The Hes

Three letters of the Urdu alphabet are called he. The original distinction between what Urdu-speakers call $c\underline{h}o\underline{t}\bar{\iota}$ he and $ba\underline{r}\bar{\iota}$ he is that in Arabic the two letters make different sounds. However, in Urdu, when $c\underline{h}o\underline{t}\bar{\iota}$ he is pronounced, it is pronounced in exactly the same way as $ba\underline{r}\bar{\iota}$ he. Do-cashm $\bar{\iota}$ he is simply a different version of $c\underline{h}o\underline{t}\bar{\iota}$ he (the naskh version), which came to be used in Urdu to show aspiration.

I. Regular barī he and chotī he:

1. Names

Obviously, $c\underline{h}ot\overline{i}$ he and $bar\overline{i}$ he are so named because one is smaller while the other is bigger (even though, as you will see, the smaller one has the larger role). $Bar\overline{i}$ he (which I've also seen referred to as $magar-mac\underline{h}$ he or "crocodile he" because of its shape) is part of the family that also includes $j\overline{i}m$, ce, and khe, while $chot\overline{i}$ he is in a class of its own.



2. Versus do-cashmī he

In general, if you hear a word with a 'h' sound in it, and it is **preceded by a vowel** (sometimes even if it isn't preceded by a vowel), it must be either $bar \bar{i} he$ or $cho \bar{i} he$. However there is no way to tell which he the sound should be represented by simply by hearing it, because normally both hes sound exactly the same.

Therefore, you just have to **remember** that, for instance, $har{a}l$ is written with a $bar{a}he$, while hilna is written with a chota he.

However, if you ever suffer from an incurable bout of amnesia and can't remember which he to use, **the safest option** is $c\underline{h}$ ot \overline{h} . This is because $bar\overline{i}$ he is used only in words derived from Arabic (of which there are, however, quite a few), whereas $c\underline{h}$ ot \overline{i} he is used in words derived from Arabic, Persian, Sanskrit, English, etc. Therefore many more words use $c\underline{h}$ ot \overline{i} he than $bar\overline{i}$ he.

Of course, when I write them in Roman script, I differentiate between them by putting a dot under $bar\bar{i}$ he. Others will not be so kind.

3. As ghost letters

In the middle of words, both of these *he*s act as ghost letters. See the handout on ghost letters for details.

II. Word-final chotī he and barī he

When used at the end of a word, *choṭī he* and *baṛī ḥe* have a number of peculiarities.

1. Word-final -ah

When a $c\underline{h}o_{\bar{t}}\bar{i}$ he appears at the end of a word and is preceded by a zabar (-ah), the he is usually silent. Word-final -ah usually represents the short vowel -a, and may even be pronounced - \bar{a} in most cases. So, for instance, the word written $p\bar{a}j\bar{a}mah$ is in fact pronounced " $p\bar{a}j\bar{a}mah$ " or " $p\bar{a}j\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ ". We may blame Persian for this peculiarity, which seems to have come about because the Persian language needed a way to represent word-final -a without having to write a zabar at the end of such words.

When word-final -h is silent, i will put it in parentheses: $p\bar{a}j\bar{a}ma(h)$.

When such words take *izāfat*, instead of the usual *zer* beneath the last letter (*he*), we put a *hamza* on top of the *he*. (See the handout on *izāfat*.)

2 Irregular letters ending in -h

There are a few very common but irregularly pronounced words ending in $c\underline{h}ot\overline{i}$ he that you must memorize. All of these are similar in that the final -h is unpronounced. First of all, there are the pronouns yi(h) and wu(h), spelled with final $c\underline{h}ot\overline{i}$ hes, but pronounced as thought the $c\underline{h}ot\overline{i}$ he were invisible: "ye" and "wo." Note that the invisible he still acts as a ghost letter:

Similarly, we have the postposition pi(h):

However, in the word ki(h), the final -h disappears but does not have an effect on the -i-, so that the word is pronounced "ki":

2. At the end of verb roots

For certain verbs whose root ends in -h, the -h at the end of the root is represented by two $c\underline{h}o\underline{t}\overline{t}$ hes instead of one. For instance, the root of the verb $kahn\overline{a}$ is kah, which ostensibly has only one h, but when it's written in Urdu script, it looks like kahh:

There are three common verbs that behave in this way, so that all you should have to do is to memorize these three:

When I write these verb roots in Roman script, I will not write the final -h as doubled. That is, I will write kah, and expect you to know that it is written kahh in Urdu script.

Note that other verbs whose roots end in -h do not behave in the same way. In particular, the root of $rahn\bar{a}$, rah, does not become rahh.

3. Directly preceded by a consonant

As mentioned in I.1., both of these *hes* can sometimes come directly after a consonant, and sometimes the consonant + *he* can come at the end of the word. For instance, we have the common words *wajh* (reason), *tarh*(way, manner, method), and *subh* (morning).

Possibly for ease of pronunciation, these words are commonly pronounced differently from how they're written. Specifically, speakers put and -a- between the consonant and the he, so that wajh is pronounced "wajah," ṭarḥ is pronounced "tarah," and ṣubḥ is pronounced "subah."

However, it is not incorrect to pronounce them as they are written, and in poetry in particular, they will almost always be pronounced as they are written in order to preserve the rhythm of the verse.

III. Do-cashmī he:

In Persian, do-cashmī means "two-eyed," and "two-eyed he" has this name to distinguish it from its one-eyed ancestor, the choṭī he. Originally do-cashmī he simply represents a different way of writing choṭī he, so some (usually typeset) texts make no distinction between the two. However, I will always distinguish between them.

Do-cashmī he has only one proper function: it comes directly after an aspirable consonant (see the handout on aspirable consonants) to show that it is aspirated. For instance, pe is an aspirable consonant, and to show cases in which it is aspirated, we put a do-cashmī he directly after it with no intervening vowel, as in phal (as opposed to pal or pahle).

The combination of an aspirable consonant + a do-cashm \bar{i} he should be thought of as a single consonant in itself, as Devanagari orthography makes clear.

Occasionally, an aspirable consonant will be directly followed by a baṛt he or a choṭt he instead of a docashmī he. See the first section of this handout for ideas about what to do if you're not sure.