## IEOR 6711: Stochastic Models I

## Solutions to Homework Assignment 9

**Problem 4.1** Let  $D_n$  be the random demand of time period n. Clearly  $D_n$  is i.i.d. and independent of all  $X_k$  for k < n. Then we can represent  $X_n + 1$  by

$$X_{n+1} = \max\{0, X_n \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[s,\infty)}(X_n) + S \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0,s)}(X_n) - D_{n+1}\}$$

which depends only on  $X_n$  since  $D_{n+1}$  is independent of all history. Hence  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  is a Markov chain. It is easy to see assuming  $\alpha_k = 0$  for k < 0,

$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{S-j} & \text{if } i < s, j > 0\\ \sum_{k=S}^{\infty} \alpha_k & \text{if } i < s, j = 0\\ \alpha_{i-j} & \text{if } i \ge s, j > 0\\ \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} \alpha_k & \text{if } i \ge s, j = 0 \end{cases}$$

The following three problems (4.2, 4.4, 4.5) needs a fact:

$$\mathsf{P}(A \cap B|C) = \mathsf{P}(A|B \cap C)\mathsf{P}(B|C)$$

which requires a proof to use. Try to prove it by yourself.

**Problem 4.2** Let S be the state space. First we show that

$$\mathsf{P}(X_{n_k+1} = j | X_{n_1} = i_1, \cdots, X_{n_k} = i_k) = \mathsf{P}(X_{n_k+1} = j | X_{n_k} = i_k)$$

by the following : Let  $A = \{X_{n_k+1} = j\}$ ,  $B = \{X_{n_1} = i_1, \cdots, X_{n_k} = i_k\}$  and  $B_b, b \in \mathcal{I}$  are elements of  $\{(X_l, l \leq n_k, l \neq n_1, \cdots, l \neq n_k) : X_l \in \mathcal{S}\}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}(A|B) &= \sum_{b\in\mathcal{I}}\mathsf{P}(A\cap B_b|B) \\ &= \sum_{b\in\mathcal{I}}\mathsf{P}(A|B_b\cap B)\mathsf{P}(B_b|B) \\ &= \sum_{b\in\mathcal{I}}\mathsf{P}(A|X_{n_k}=i_k)\mathsf{P}(B_b|B) \\ &= \mathsf{P}(A|X_{n_k}=i_k)\sum_{b\in\mathcal{I}}\mathsf{P}(B_b|B) \\ &= \mathsf{P}(A|X_{n_k}=i_k)\mathsf{P}(\Omega|B) \\ &= \mathsf{P}(X_{n_k+1}=j|X_{n_k}=i_k) \;. \end{split}$$

We consider the mathematical induction on  $l \equiv n - m$ . For l = 1, we just showed. Now assume that the statement is true for all  $l \leq l^*$  and consider  $l = l^* + 1$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}(X_n = j | X_{n_1} = i_1, \cdots, X_{n_k} = i_k) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \mathsf{P}(X_n = j, X_{n-1} = i | X_{n_1} = i_1, \cdots, X_{n_k} = i_k) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \mathsf{P}(X_n = j | X_{n-1} = i, X_{n_1} = i_1, \cdots, X_{n_k} = i_k) \mathsf{P}(X_{n-1} = i | X_{n_1} = i_1, \cdots, X_{n_k} = i_k) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \mathsf{P}(X_n = j | X_{n-1} = i) \mathsf{P}(X_{n-1} = i | X_{n_k} = i_k) \quad \text{By } l \le l^* \text{ cases} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \mathsf{P}(X_n = j | X_{n-1} = i, X_{n_k} = i_k) \mathsf{P}(X_{n-1} = i | X_{n_k} = i_k) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \mathsf{P}(X_n = j, X_{n-1} = i | X_{n_k} = i_k) \\ &= \mathsf{P}(X_n = j | X_{n_k} = i_k) \end{split}$$

which completes the proof for  $l = l^* + 1$  case.

**Problem 4.3** Simply by Pigeon hole principle which saying that if n pigeons return to their m(< n) home (through hole), then at least one home contains more than one pigeon. Consider any path of states  $i_0 = i, i_1, \dots, i_n = j$  such that  $P_{i_k, i_{k+1}} > 0$ . Call this a path from i to j. If j can be reached from i, then there must be a path from i to j. Let  $i_0, \dots, i_n$  be such a path. If all of values  $i_0, \dots, i_n$  are not distinct, then there must be a subpath from i to j having fewer elements (for instance, if i, 1, 2, 4, 1, 3, j is a path, then so is i, 1, 3, j). Hence, if a path exists, there must be one with all distinct states.

**Problem 4.4** Let Y be the first passage time to the state j starting the state i at time 0.

$$P_{ij}^{n} = P(X_{n} = j | X_{0} = i)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} P(X_{n} = j, Y = k | X_{0} = i)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} P(X_{n} = j | Y = k, X_{0} = i) P(Y = k | X_{0} = i)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} P(X_{n} = j | X_{k} = j) P(Y = k | X_{0} = i)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{jj}^{n-k} f_{ij}^{k}$$

**Problem 4.5 (a)** The probability that the chain, starting in state i, will be in state j at time n without ever having made a transition into state k.

(b) Let Y be the last time leaving the state i before first reaching to the state j starting the state i at time 0.

$$\begin{split} P_{ij}^{n} &= \mathsf{P}(X_{n} = j | X_{0} = i) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathsf{P}(X_{n} = j, Y = k | X_{0} = i) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathsf{P}(X_{n} = j, Y = k, X_{k} = i | X_{0} = i) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathsf{P}(X_{n} = j, Y = k | X_{k} = i, X_{0} = i) \mathsf{P}(X_{k} = i | X_{0} = i) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathsf{P}(X_{n} = j, Y = k | X_{k} = i) P_{ii}^{k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathsf{P}(X_{n} = j, X_{l} \neq i, l = k + 1, \cdots, n - 1 | X_{k} = i) P_{ii}^{k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{ij/i}^{n-k} P_{ii}^{k} \end{split}$$

## Problem 4.7

(a)  $\infty$ 

Here is an argument: Let x be the expected number of steps required to return to the initial state (the origin). Let y be the expected number of steps to move to the left 2 steps, which is the same as the expected number of steps required to move to the right 2 steps. Note that the expected number of steps required to go to the left 4 steps is clearly 2y, because you first need to go to the left 2 steps, and from there you need to go to the left 2 steps again. Then, consider what happens in successive pairs of steps: Using symmetry, we get

$$x = 2 + (0 \times (1/2) + y \times (1/2) = 2 + y/2$$

and

$$y = 2 + (0 \times (1/4) + y \times (1/2) + (2 * y) \times (1/4)$$

If we subtract y from both sides, this last equation yields

$$2 = 0$$
.

Hence there is no finite solution. The quantity y must be infinite; a finite value cannot solve the equation.

(b) Note that the expected number of returns in 2n steps is the sum of the probabilities of returning in 2k steps for k from 1 to n, each term of which is binomial. Thus, we have

$$E[N_{2n}] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(2k)!}{k!k!} (1/2)^{2k} ,$$

which can be shown to be equal to the given expression by mathematical induction.

(c) We say that  $f(n) \sim g(n)$  as  $n \to \infty$  if

$$f(n)/g(n) \to 1$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ .

By Stirling's approximation,

$$(2n+1)\frac{(2n)!}{n!n!}(1/2)^2n \sim 2\sqrt{n/\pi}$$
,

so that

$$E[N_n] \sim \sqrt{2n/\pi}$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ .

## Problem 4.8 (a)

$$P_{ij} = \frac{\alpha_j}{\sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k} , \quad j > i$$

(b)  $\{T_i, i \ge 1\}$  is not a Markov chain - the distribution of  $T_i$  does depend on  $R_i$ .  $\{(R_{i+1}, T_i), i \ge 1\}$  is a Markov chain.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}(R_{i+1} = j, T_i = n | R_i = l, T_{i-1} = m) &= \frac{\alpha_j}{\sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k} \left( \sum_{k=0}^l \alpha_k \right)^{n-1} \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \\ &= \alpha_j \left( \sum_{k=0}^l \alpha_k \right)^{n-1} , \quad j > l \end{split}$$

(c) If  $S_n = j$  then the  $(n + 1)^{st}$  record occurred at time j. However, knowledge of when these n + 1 records occurred does not yield any information about the set of values  $\{X_1, \dots, X_j\}$ . Hence, the probability that the next record occurs at time k, k > j, is the probability that both  $\max\{X_1, \dots, X_j\} = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}\}$  and that  $X_k = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ . Therefore, we see that  $\{S_n\}$  is a Markov chain with

$$P_{jk} = \frac{j}{k-1} \frac{1}{k} , \quad k > j .$$

Problem 4.11 (a)

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{ij}^n &= & \mathsf{E}[\text{number of visits to } j | X_0 = i] \\ &= & \mathsf{E}[\text{number of visits to } j | \text{ever visit } j, X_0 = i] f_{ij} \\ &= & (1 + \mathsf{E}[\text{number of visits to } j | X_0 = j]) f_{ij} \\ &= & \frac{f_{ij}}{1 - f_{jj}} < \infty \;. \end{split}$$

since 1 + number of visits to  $j|X_0 = j$  is geometric with mean  $\frac{1}{1-f_{jj}}$ .

(b) Follows from above since

$$\frac{1}{1 - f_{jj}} = 1 + \mathsf{E}[\text{number of visits to } j | X_0 = j]$$
$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{jj}^n .$$

**Problem 4.12** If we add the irreducibility of **P**, it is easy to see that  $\pi = \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}$  is a (and the unique) limiting probability.