

A Preference-Based-Routing Example Solved by Linear Programming with Excel Solver

From Soup to Nuts

A Small 10-Agent Example

Ward Whitt, May 26, 2005

A small example solved by Excel with Solver (basic LP).

Suppose that the Contact Center is set up to handle the following interactions:

<u>Variable</u>	<u>VariableTypes</u>
Language	French, English
Purpose	Service, Technical

Here are the 4 feasible CallType combinations:

<u>CallType</u>	<u>Language</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Symbol</u>
1	English	Technical	ET
2	English	Service	ES
3	French	Technical	FT
4	French	Service	FS

The goal is to assign agents to priority pairs.
There are $4 \times 3 = 12$ possible ordered priority pairs. We consider only 8.
(We assume in advance that the other 4 will not be assigned.)

Assume that there are $n = 10$ agents.

See the very end for a summary of model input and output.

The Skill Matrix - a 10 x 4 matrix $S = (S_{ij})$

First Representation: 5 bilingual, 2 English only, and 3 French only; all can do both T and S

	Language		Purpose			<u>Row sums</u> (Skill Scores)
	E	F	T	S		
Agents A1	1	1		1	1	4
A2	1	1		1	1	4
A3	1	1		1	1	4
A4	1	1		1	1	4
A5	1	1		1	1	4
A6	1	0		1	1	3
A7	1	0		1	1	3
A8	0	1		1	1	3
A9	0	1		1	1	3
A10	0	1		1	1	3
<u>column sum</u>	7	8		10	10	

Second (desired) representation: 10 x 4 -- agents x call type

	Call Type					<u>Row sums</u> (Skill Scores)
	1 = ET	2 = ES	3 = FT	4 = FS		
Agents A1	1	1		1	1	4
A2	1	1		1	1	4
A3	1	1		1	1	4
A4	1	1		1	1	4
A5	1	1		1	1	4
A6	1	1		0	0	2
A7	1	1		0	0	2
A8	0	0		1	1	2
A9	0	0		1	1	2
A10	0	0		1	1	2
<u>column sum</u>	7	7		8	8	

Preference Scheme

<u>Score</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>	<u>Assigned By</u>
3	High Preference Agent	
2	Medium Prefere Agent	
1	Low Preference Agent	
0	Agent lacks skill System	

For a particular period of time (e.g., half hour or shift), the following preferences have been expressed:

A multiplier equal to number of skills divided by 4 is used for each agent

Agent preferences assumed for illustrative purposes.

	multipliers		Agents directly express				Modified by multiplier				
			call type				call type				
	no. of skills	multiplier	1 = ET	2 = ES	3 = FT	4 = FS	1 = ET	2 = ES	3 = FT	4 = FS	
Agents	A1	4	1	3	2	1	1	3	2	1	1
	A2	4	1	3	1	3	1	3	1	3	1
	A3	4	1	1	3	1	2	1	3	1	2
	A4	4	1	3	3	1	1	3	3	1	1
	A5	4	1	2	1	3	1	2	1	3	1
	A6	2	0.5	3	2	0	0	1.5	1	0	0
	A7	2	0.5	2	3	0	0	1	1.5	0	0
	A8	2	0.5	0	0	3	2	0	0	1.5	1
	A9	2	0.5	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	1.5
	A10	2	0.5	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	1.5

Agent Preference matrix $A = (A_{ij})$ -- here the same as $R = (R_{ij})$
 (Taken from last four columns above)

		<u>column sums</u>			
		call type			
		1 = ET	2 = ES	3 = FT	4 = FS
Agents	A1	3	2	1	1
	A2	3	1	3	1
	A3	1	3	1	2
	A4	3	3	1	1
	A5	2	1	3	1
	A6	1.5	1	0	0
	A7	1	1.5	0	0
	A8	0	0	1.5	1
	A9	0	0	1	1.5
	A10	0	0	1	1.5

column sums

Shift to Priority Pairs

<u>Priority Pair</u>	<u>Primary</u>	<u>Secondary</u>
1	ET	ES
2	ET	FT
3	ES	ET
4	ES	FS
5	FT	ET
6	FT	FS
7	FS	ES
8	FS	FT

Preliminary Rewards for priority pairs: $rr(l,j,k) = p \cdot Rij + (1-p) \cdot Rik$ (Does not yet account for skills)

probability $p=$

0.7

	1=ET-ES	2=ET-FT	3=ES-ET	4=ES-FS	5=FT-ET	6=FT-FS	7=FS-ES	8=FS-FT	row sur	rowmax
A1	2.7	2.4	1.7	1.7	1.6	1	1.3	1	13.4	2.7
A2	2.4	3	1	1	3	2.4	1	1.6	15.4	3
A3	1.6	1	2.7	2.7	1	1.3	2.3	1.7	14.3	2.7
A4	3	2.4	2.4	2.4	1.6	1	1.6	1	15.4	3
A5	1.7	2.3	1	1	2.7	2.4	1	1.6	13.7	2.7
Agents A6	1.35	1.05	0.7	0.7	0.45	0	0.3	0	4.55	1.35
A7	1.15	0.7	1.05	1.05	0.3	0	0.45	0	4.7	1.15
A8	0	0.45	0.3	0.3	1.05	1.35	0.7	1.15	5.3	1.35
A9	0	0.3	0.45	0.45	0.7	1.15	1.05	1.35	5.45	1.35
A10	0	0.3	0.45	0.45	0.7	1.15	1.05	1.35	5.45	1.35
<u>column sum</u>	13.9	13.9	11.75	11.75	13.1	11.75	10.75	10.75	97.65	20.65
									average	1.221

Skills for priority pairs -- $s(l,j,k)$

	1=ET-ES	2=ET-FT	3=ES-ET	4=ES-FS	5=FT-ET	6=FT-FS	7=FS-ES	8=FS-FT	row sums
A1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
A2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
A3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
A4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
A5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Agents A6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
A7	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
A8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
A9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
A10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
<u>column sum</u>	7	5	7	5	5	8	5	8	50

Final Rewards for priority pairs: $r(l,j,k) = rr(l,j,k)*s(l,j,k)$ -- rewards above except when agent does not have skill

	1=ET-ES	2=ET-FT	3=ES-ET	4=ES-FS	5=FT-ET	6=FT-FS	7=FS-ES	8=FS-FT	row sums
A1	2.7	2.4	1.7	1.7	1.6	1	1.3	1	13.4
A2	2.4	3	1	1	3	2.4	1	1.6	15.4
A3	1.6	1	2.7	2.7	1	1.3	2.3	1.7	14.3
A4	3	2.4	2.4	2.4	1.6	1	1.6	1	15.4
A5	1.7	2.3	1	1	2.7	2.4	1	1.6	13.7
Agents A6	1.35	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	2.05
A7	1.15	0	1.05	0	0	0	0	0	2.2
A8	0	0	0	0	0	1.35	0	1.15	2.5
A9	0	0	0	0	0	1.15	0	1.35	2.5
A10	0	0	0	0	0	1.15	0	1.35	2.5
<u>column sum</u>	13.9	11.1	10.55	8.8	9.9	11.75	7.2	10.75	83.95
								average	1.049

Reward matrix $r = (rij)$ -- a 10 x 8 matrix above

We henceforth let j index the priority pairs, as in the new algorithm paper.

The Optimization Problem

There are n agents. Suppose here $n = 10$.

There are m pairs (priority pairs). Here $m = 8$.

We are given score (reward) $r_{ij} = r(i,j)$ for agent i to handle pair j .

**The Goal: maximize the total reward,
i.e., maximize the sum of $x_{ij} \cdot r_{ij}$ over i and j ,
where $x_{ij} = 1$ means agent i is assigned pair j , while
 $x_{ij} = 0$ otherwise**

Subject to constraints:

Each agent is given one pair assignment.
Specified number of priority pairs assigned.

Priority Pair Requirements

Priority Pair	Primary	Secondary	Required	Pair
1	ET	ES	2	12
2	ET	FT	1	13
3	ES	ET	1	21
4	ES	FS	1	24
5	FT	ET	1	31
6	FT	FS	1	34
7	FS	ES	2	42
8	FS	FT	1	43

The sum of the requirements equals the number of agents.

We assume that the requirements for priority pairs are given.

The staffing function is critically important, a prerequisite.

We here are only concerned with generating priority-pair assignments.

The routing based on priority pairs is assumed given as well.

Rewards below are $r_{ij} = r(i,j)$ - for agent i handling priority ordered pair j

The Arc-Flow Constraints

S = source		T = sink, terminal		reward	reward	
From	To	Flow	\leq	rate rij	for this flow	
S	Agent1	1	\leq	1	0	0
S	Agent2	1	\leq	1	0	0
S	Agent3	1	\leq	1	0	0
S	Agent4	1	\leq	1	0	0
S	Agent5	1	\leq	1	0	0
S	Agent6	1	\leq	1	0	0
S	Agent7	1	\leq	1	0	0
S	Agent8	1	\leq	1	0	0
S	Agent9	1	\leq	1	0	0
S	Agent10	1	\leq	1	0	0
Pair12	T	2	\leq	2	0	0
Pair13	T	1	\leq	1	0	0
Pair21	T	1	\leq	1	0	0
Pair24	T	1	\leq	1	0	0
Pair31	T	1	\leq	1	0	0
Pair34	T	1	\leq	1	0	0
Pair42	T	2	\leq	2	0	0

Pair43	T	1 <=	1	0	0
Agent1	Pair12	1 <=	20	2.7	2.7
Agent1	Pair13	0 <=	20	2.4	0
Agent1	Pair21	0 <=	20	1.7	0
Agent1	Pair24	0 <=	20	1.7	0
Agent1	Pair31	0 <=	20	1.6	0
Agent1	Pair34	0 <=	20	1	0
Agent1	Pair42	0 <=	20	1.3	0
Agent1	Pair43	0 <=	20	1	0
Agent2	Pair12	0 <=	20	2.4	0
Agent2	Pair13	1 <=	20	3	3
Agent2	Pair21	0 <=	20	1	0
Agent2	Pair24	0 <=	20	1	0
Agent2	Pair31	0 <=	20	3	0
Agent2	Pair34	0 <=	20	2.4	0
Agent2	Pair42	0 <=	20	1	0
Agent2	Pair43	0 <=	20	1.6	0
Agent3	Pair12	0 <=	20	1.6	0
Agent3	Pair13	0 <=	20	1	0
Agent3	Pair21	0 <=	20	2.7	0
Agent3	Pair24	0 <=	20	2.7	0
Agent3	Pair31	0 <=	20	1	0
Agent3	Pair34	0 <=	20	1.3	0
Agent3	Pair42	1 <=	20	2.3	2.3
Agent3	Pair43	0 <=	20	1.7	0
Agent4	Pair12	0 <=	20	3	0
Agent4	Pair13	0 <=	20	2.4	0
Agent4	Pair21	0 <=	20	2.4	0
Agent4	Pair24	1 <=	20	2.4	2.4
Agent4	Pair31	0 <=	20	1.6	0
Agent4	Pair34	0 <=	20	1	0
Agent4	Pair42	0 <=	20	1.6	0
Agent4	Pair43	0 <=	20	1	0
Agent5	Pair12	0 <=	20	1.7	0
Agent5	Pair13	0 <=	20	2.3	0
Agent5	Pair21	0 <=	20	1	0
Agent5	Pair24	0 <=	20	1	0

Agent5	Pair31	1 <=	20	2.7	2.7
Agent5	Pair34	0 <=	20	2.4	0
Agent5	Pair42	0 <=	20	1	0
Agent5	Pair43	0 <=	20	1.6	0
Agent6	Pair12	1 <=	20	1.35	1.35
Agent6	Pair13	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent6	Pair21	0 <=	20	0.7	0
Agent6	Pair24	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent6	Pair31	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent6	Pair34	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent6	Pair42	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent6	Pair43	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent7	Pair12	0 <=	20	1.15	0
Agent7	Pair13	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent7	Pair21	1 <=	20	1.05	1.05
Agent7	Pair24	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent7	Pair31	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent7	Pair34	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent7	Pair42	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent7	Pair43	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent8	Pair12	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent8	Pair13	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent8	Pair21	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent8	Pair24	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent8	Pair31	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent8	Pair34	1 <=	20	1.35	1.35
Agent8	Pair42	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent8	Pair43	0 <=	20	1.15	0
Agent9	Pair12	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent9	Pair13	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent9	Pair21	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent9	Pair24	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent9	Pair31	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent9	Pair34	0 <=	20	1.15	0
Agent9	Pair42	1 <=	20	0	0
Agent9	Pair43	0 <=	20	1.35	0
Agent10	Pair12	0 <=	20	0	0

Agent10	Pair13	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent10	Pair21	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent10	Pair24	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent10	Pair31	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent10	Pair34	0 <=	20	1.15	0
Agent10	Pair42	0 <=	20	0	0
Agent10	Pair43	1 <=	20	1.35	1.35
Totals			10		18.2
Average					1.82

The number of constraints is $10+8+(10*8) = 98$.

The first 10 constraints say each agent works.

The next 8 specify the priority pair assignments.

The last $8*10 = 80$ relate to agent-pair assignments.

The Node Flow Constraints

Nodes	Flow in	Flow out	Net flow	Supply/Demand
S		0	10	-10 equals
T	10		0	10 equals
Agent1	1		1	0 equals
Agent2	1		1	0 equals
Agent3	1		1	0 equals
Agent4	1		1	0 equals
Agent5	1		1	0 equals
Agent6	1		1	0 equals
Agent7	1		1	0 equals
Agent8	1		1	0 equals
Agent9	1		1	0 equals
Agent10	1		1	0 equals
Pair12	2		2	0 equals
Pair13	1		1	0 equals
Pair21	1		1	0 equals
Pair24	1		1	0 equals
Pair31	1		1	0 equals
Pair34	1		1	0 equals

Pair42	2	2	0 equals	0
Pair43	1	1	0 equals	0

There are 20 node constraints.

Final Optimal Assignment

Agent1	Pair12
Agent2	Pair13
Agent3	Pair42
Agent4	Pair24
Agent5	Pair31
Agent6	Pair12
Agent7	Pair21
Agent8	Pair34
Agent9	Pair43
Agent10	Pair42

You need to round to the nearest integer.

What can be gained by optimizing?

By running Solver with the minimum, we see the worst case.

By running Solver with the maximum, we see the best case.

Best case = 18.2 total reward, = 1.82 per agent

Worst case = 5.0 total reward =0.50 per agent

Best case letting each agent do anything 20.65, 2.065 per agent
obtained by removing some constraints

Each agent picks j with largest R_{ij} -- see cell n159

Summary of Solver Input Settings:

Set Target Cell \$G\$355 - total reward
Equal to Max
By changing cells \$C\$256:\$C\$353
Subject to Constraints
\$C\$256:\$C\$353 <= \$E\$256:\$E\$353
\$C\$256:\$C\$353 >= 0
\$D\$366 = -10
\$D\$367 = 10
\$D\$368:\$D\$385 = 0

Solver Options

Max Time 100 seconds
Iterations 1000
precision 0.0001
tolerance 5%
convergence = 0.0001

Assume Linear Model (want)
Assume nonnegative (redundant)

Estimates (not needed)

Can show iteration results to step through all steps.
But do not do that to instantly get to the solution.

Summary of Iteration History

for this example

Total number of iterations = 68

Iterations with total rewards achieved

Now presenting the final priority matrix P

First extracting assignments from cells C274 to C353:

		Agents										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	row sums
Pairs	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	13	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	31	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	42	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
column sum	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
(Rounding done below.)												

Now we give the Agent Pair Assignments - transpose of the matrix above

(I did not use the transpose array function, but I would next time.)

(Rounding done here.)

		Pairs								
		12	13	21	24	31	34	42	43	row sums
Agents	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1

Finally, here is the desired 10 x 8 priority matrix $P = (P_{ij})$

		Call Types				<u>Row sums</u>
		1=ET	2=ES	3=FT	4=FS	
Agents	1	3	2	0	0	5
	2	3	0	2	0	5
	3	0	2	0	3	5
	4	0	3	0	2	5
	5	2	0	3	0	5
	6	3	2	0	0	5
	7	2	3	0	0	5
	8	0	0	3	2	5
	9	0	2	0	3	5
	10	0	0	2	3	5
<u>column sums</u>		13	14	10	13	

(We could replace some 0's with 1's if we wanted.)

Model Input

agent skills C45:F54

agent preferences: E98:H107

staffing requirements E266:E273

probability weight for $r(i,j,k)$ C145

(also D224:D231)

(D366 and D367 must be consistent.)

Main Model Output

final priority matrix D508:G517

Intermediate Model Output

agent skill matrix C62:F71

agent preference. matrix C117:F126

reward matrix (by pairs) C181:J190

arc flows (decision vars.) C256:C353