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## The Queueing Model



- Potential delay $W(t)(P(W(t)>x)$ and $E[W(t)])$
- Abandonment probability $\mathbb{P}(A b(t))$


## The Queueing Model

$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{GI} / \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{GI}$

- Poisson arrival process, time-varying rate $\lambda(t)$
- I.I.D. service times $S \sim G$
- Staffing level $s(t)$
- I.I.D. abandonment times $A \sim F$
- First-Come First-Served (FCFS)
- Unlimited waiting capacity


## Many-Server Heavy-Traffic (MSHT) Limits

## Increasing Scale Increasing Scale

- a sequence of $G_{t} / G I / s_{t}+G I$ models indexed by $n$,
- arrival rate function grows: $\lambda_{n}(t) / n \rightarrow \lambda(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- time-varying number of servers grows: $s_{n}(t) / n \rightarrow s(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- service-time $\operatorname{cdf} G$ and patience $\operatorname{cdf} F$ held fixed independent of $n$ with mean service time $1: \mu^{-1} \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} x d G(x) \equiv 1$.
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## The Full Staffing Problem

1. Model Fitting $\left(M_{t} / G I / s_{t}+G I\right)$

- Forecasting (estimating the arrival rate function from historical data)
- Data analysis (service times and abandonment hazard rate)

2. Setting Staffing Levels (specify $s(t)$ )

- The standard method: Pointwise Stationary Approximation (PSA)
- New methods for longer service times
- Offered Load (OL), MOL, ISA, DIS-MOL (agree with PSA if PSA good)

3. Shift Scheduling

- Set up shifts (meet target level subject to constraints, integer programming)
- Assign people to shifts (personnel issues, union contracts)

4. Real-Time Control (Responding to unexpected overloads)
5. More Complex Environments: Skill-Based Routing, Distributed Call Centers

## Motivation

## Why hard? time-varying arrivals and longer service times


financial service call center from Green, Kolesar and Soares (2001)

## Non-Exponential Service and Abandonment



abandonment

Brown et al. (2005)

## Motivation

## Staff to Meet SLA's

## Service Level Agreements (SLA's)

$\bullet \mathbb{P}$ (waiting $<30$ seconds $)>0.8$

- $\mathbb{E}$ (wait) $<30$ seconds
- $\mathbb{P}$ (abandonment $)<0.02$


## Motivation

## Staff to Meet SLA's

## Service Level Agreements (SLA's)

- $\mathbb{P}$ (waiting $<30$ seconds $)>0.8$
- $\mathbb{E}$ (wait) $<30$ seconds
- $\mathbb{P}($ abandonment $)<0.02$

How?

## Variations of One Key Idea

## Offered Load Analysis: Exploit Infinite-Server (IS) Queues.

1. Simple Performance Formulas for an IS Queue
S. G. Eick, W. A. Massey and $W^{2}$. The Physics of The $M_{t} / G / \infty$ Queue. Operations Research 41 (1993) 731-742.
2. Extends to Networks
W. A. Massey and $W^{2}$. Networks of Infinite-Server Queues with Nonstationary Poisson Input. Queueing Systems 13 (1993) 183-250.
3. Refinements using Modified Offered Load (MOL) approximation

Use stationary model in nonstationary way with arrival rate depending on OL.

## Alternative Staffing Methods

- Pointwise Stationary Approximation (PSA)

Standard methods, Green and Kolesar (91,97,01), W ${ }^{2}$ (91)

- Shorter service times, high quality of service
- Modified Offered Load (MOL)

Jagerman (75); Massey\&W ${ }^{2}$ (94,97); Jennings et al. (96); Feldman et al.(08)

- Longer service times, high quality of service
- Simulation-Based Iterative Staffing Algorithm (ISA)

Feldman et al.(08)

- Stabilize probability of delay, extends to other criteria and models, Supports MOL, But does NOT stabilize $P(\operatorname{Aban}(t))$ and $E[$ Wait $(t)]$
- Delayed-Infinite-Server Modified-Offered-Load (DIS-MOL)

Liu and $W^{2}$ (12)

- Analytical method, theoretical insights, generalizes, stabilizes $P(\operatorname{Aban}(t))$ and $E[$ Wait $(t)]$


## Pointwise Stationary Approximation

Basic Idea (to set staffing levels $s(t)$ )

- Given Non-stationary $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{t}} / \mathbf{G I} / \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{t}}+\mathbf{G I}: \lambda(t)$,
- Use Stationary M/GI/s + GI : $\lambda, s, X_{\infty}$
- For fixed $t$, in the stationary model
- let $\lambda \leftarrow \lambda(t)$, choose $s$ s.t.

$$
\mathbb{P}(\text { Delay })=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{\infty} \geq s\right) \approx \alpha
$$

- Let $s(t) \leftarrow s$. Do for all $t$, get $\{s(t): 0 \leq t \leq T\}$.


## PSA works well when

- Short service time, high service quality


## The Offered Load (OL) Approach

- The Offered Load (OL) $m(t)$ is the mean number of busy servers in the $M_{t} / G I / \infty$ infinite-server model (Poisson).
- We can use a normal approximation for the Poisson distribution to obtain the square root staffing (SRS) rule

$$
s(t)=m(t)+\beta \sqrt{m(t)} .
$$

- A refinement is to use the Modified Offered Load (MOL) method. It uses the stationary $M / G I / s+G I$ model (in a time-varying way) with arrival rate based on the offered load

$$
\lambda_{M O L}(t)=\frac{m(t)}{E[S]}=m(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
$$

where $m(t)$ is the offered load. Choose $s(t)$ at time $t$ to achieve the delay probability target for stationary model with $\lambda=\lambda_{M O L}(t)$.

## Iterative staffing algorithm (ISA)

- $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{GI} / \mathrm{st}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathbf{G I}$
- Simulation based
- Stabilize any delay probability target $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$
- Independent of models or parameters
- Requires very large number of simulation runs
- Supports MOL method


## Iterative staffing algorithm (ISA)

## Main algorithm

- Initialize: $s^{(1)}(t) \leftarrow s^{*}(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$ (e.g., use offered load: $s^{*}(t)=m(t)+\beta \sqrt{m(t)}$ )
- Given $\left\{s^{(i)}(t): 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}$, evaluate the distribution of $X^{(i)}(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$ (average of many simulation runs)
- For each $t$, find $s^{(i+1)}(t)=s$ such that $\mathbb{P}\left(X^{(i)}(t) \geq s\right) \approx \alpha$
- If $\left\|s^{(i+1)}-s^{(i)}\right\|<\epsilon$, end;
else, $i \leftarrow i+1$, go back to line 2


## An Example

$M_{t} / M / s_{t}+M$

- Arrival Rate: $\lambda(t)=a+b \sin (c t)$
- parameters: $a=100, b=20, c=1$
- Service rate: $\mu=1$; Abandonment Rate: $\theta=1$
- Offered Load: mean number of busy servers in $M_{t} / M / \infty$ model
$-m(t)=a+\frac{b}{1+c}(\sin (c t)-c \cos (c t))$
- See Eick, Massey and $W^{2}$ (1993 a,b) for formulas and derivation.

The Offered Load as a function of c
in the arrival rate function $\lambda(\mathbf{t})=100+20 \sin (\mathbf{c t})$


## Long Service Times: PSA is Bad



## The ISA \& MOL Delay Probability Functions $P($ Delay $(t))$ for 9 Targets: $0.1,0.2, \ldots, 0.9$



ISA \& MOL Staffing for High QoS (QD): $P($ Delay $)=0.1$


ISA \& MOL Staffing for Good QoS (QED): $P($ Delay $)=0.5$


ISA \& MOL Staffing for Low QoS (ED): $P($ Delay $)=0.9$


## Validate the Square Root Staffing Formula

The implied empirical Quality of Service

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{I S A}(t) \equiv \frac{s^{I S A}(t)-m(t)}{\sqrt{m(t)}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \\
& \quad \text { where } m(t) \text { is the offered load. }
\end{aligned}
$$

# The Implied Empirical QoS $\beta^{I S A}(t)$ for the 9 Targets: $0.1,0.2, \ldots, 0.9$ 



## ISA Coincides with the MOL

For the $M_{t} / M / s+t+M$ model, we can use MOL, i.e., the $M / M / s+M$ model with arrival rate

$$
\lambda_{M O L}(t)=\frac{m(t)}{E[S]}=m(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
$$

where $m(t)$ is the offered load. Choose $s(t)$ at time $t$ to achieve delay probability target for stationary $M / M / s+M$ model with $\lambda=\lambda_{M O L}(t)$.

## Motivation for new DIS-MOL approximation

target used so far: delay probability function $P(\operatorname{Delay}(t))$

Service Level Agreements (SLA)

- $\mathbb{P}($ waiting $<30$ seconds $)>0.8$
- $\mathbb{E}($ wait $)<30$ seconds
- $\mathbb{P}($ abandonment $)<0.02$

With ISA and MOL Staffing: the Abandonment Probabilities $P(\operatorname{Aban}(t))$ for the 9 Targets: $0.1,0.2, \ldots, 0.9$


## An Approximating Model

## Delayed Infinite-Server (DIS) Model

Approximates overloaded $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{GI} / \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{t}}+$ GI model, with $(\lambda(t), G, F)$.

- Given Poisson arrival process, with time-varying rate $\lambda(t)$
- Separate IS models for waiting room and service pool.
- All customers stay exactly $w$ in a waiting room with unlimited capacity
- While waiting, each abandons independently with given cdf $F$.
- If not abandoned after $w$, enter service to receive with given $\operatorname{cdf} G$.


## Approximating DIS Model: Network of IS Queues

## Decoupling



F

## Two $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{GI} / \infty$ Models

Fact: Recall that the departure process from an IS queue is Poisson with past at any time independent of queue length at that time, so contents of two IS queues at any one time are independent Poisson random variables.

## Content of the waiting room $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{t})$

- Time-varying arrival rate $\lambda(t)$
- I.I.D. Service times $T=A \wedge w, A \sim F$


## Content of the service facility $B(t)$

- Time-varying arrival rate $\beta(t)=\bar{F}(w) \lambda(t-w)$
- I.I.D. Service times $S \sim G$


## The Offered Load

$Q(t) \sim \operatorname{Poisson}(\mathbb{E}[Q(t)])$

$$
\mathbb{E}[Q(t)]=\mathbb{E}\left[\lambda\left(t-T_{e}\right)\right] \mathbb{E}[T], \quad T=A \wedge w
$$

$B(t) \sim \operatorname{Poisson}(\mathbb{E}[B(t)])$

$$
\mathbb{E}[B(t)]=\bar{F}(w) \mathbb{E}\left[\lambda\left(t-w-S_{e}\right)\right] \mathbb{E}[S]
$$

Offered Load $(\mathbf{O L}) \equiv \mathbf{m}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{t}) \equiv \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{t}) \equiv \mathbb{E}[B(t)]$,
where $\alpha \equiv P($ Aban $)$ target, $\alpha=F(w)$ and, for any rv $X, X_{e}$ has the stationaryexcess distribution associated with $X$ :

$$
P\left(X_{e} \leq t\right) \equiv \frac{1}{E X} \int_{0}^{t} P(X>u) d u
$$

## Simple DIS Staffing

For high abandonment-probability (low quality-ofservice) targets, use naive staffing rule:

$$
\text { let } s(t)=m(t) \text {. }
$$

## The New Modified Offered Load: DIS-MOL

- For each $t$, define the MOL arrival rate

$$
\lambda^{M O L}(t) \equiv \frac{m(t)}{(1-\alpha) \mathbb{E}[S]} \quad \text { Little's Law }
$$

- For each $t$, find $s^{\alpha}(t)$ such that steady-state $\mathbb{P}(A b) \approx \alpha$ of $\mathbf{M} / \mathbf{G I} / \mathbf{s}+\mathbf{G I}$ with $\lambda=\lambda^{M O L}(t), \quad s=s^{\alpha}(t)$
- To carry out last step, approximate $M / G I / s+$ GI model by an associated $M / M / s+M(n)$ model with state-dependent abandonment rates, and apply "engineering approximation" in $W^{2}$ (2005).


## The Markovian Sinusoidal Example Revisited

$M_{t} / M / s_{t}+M$ with sinusoidal arrival rate

- $\lambda(t)=100+20 \cdot \sin (t)$

- $\bar{G}(x)=e^{-\mu x}, \mu=1$
- $\bar{F}(x)=e^{-\theta x}, \theta=0.5$


## Simulation Verification

Heavy load: $5 \% \leq \alpha \leq 20 \%$; DIS OL alone works.


## Simulation Verification

## Light load: $0.5 \% \leq \alpha \leq 2 \%$; need full DIS-MOL.



## A Markovian Example



## Validate the Square Root Staffing Formula

## The implied empirical Quality of Service

$$
\beta^{\alpha}(t) \equiv \frac{s^{M O L_{\alpha}}(t)-m_{\alpha}(t)}{\sqrt{m_{\alpha}(t)}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
$$

where $m_{\alpha}(t)$ is the offered load as a function of the target abandonment probability $\alpha$.

## The Implied Empirical $\mathbf{Q o S} \beta_{\alpha}(t)$ for the 10 Targets: from 0.10 to 0.0001



## Recent papers: Extension to Networks Example: Queues with Feedback

- G. Yom-Tov and A. Mandelbaum, Erlang-R: A Time-Varying Queue with ReEntrant Customers, in Support of Healthcare Staffing, The Technion, 2010. To appear in MSOM.
- Y. Liu and $W^{2}$, Stabilizing Performance in Many-Server Queues with Time-Varying Arrivals and Customer Feedback, submitted to Operations Research, 2013.



## Extension: Queues with Feedback

## Model description

- Poisson arrival with rate $\lambda(t)$
- I.I.D. service times $G$
- I.I.D. patience times $F$
- Retrial with probability $(1-p)$
- I.I.D. orbit times $H$
- Customer retrial at most once

Apply variation of DIS-MOL with 5 IS queues:


Apply variation of DIS-MOL with 5 IS queues:


Apply variation of DIS-MOL with 5 IS queues:


## Staffing

Apply variation of DIS-MOL with 5 IS queues:


Staffing

Apply variation of DIS-MOL with 5 IS queues:


Staffing

## Apply variation of DIS-MOL with 5 IS queues:

Delayed Infinite-Server Construction


## Flow Rate Formulas

- $\alpha_{1}(t)=E\left[\lambda(t-T) 1_{\{T<w\}}\right]$
- $\alpha_{2}(t)=E\left[\lambda_{F}(t-T) 1_{\{T<w\}}\right]$
- $\beta_{1}(t)=\lambda(t-w) \bar{F}(w)$
- $\beta_{2}(t)=\lambda_{F}(t-w) \bar{F}(w)$
- $\sigma_{1}(t)=\bar{F}(w) E[\lambda(t-w-S)]$
- $\sigma_{2}(t)=\bar{F}(w) E\left[\lambda_{F}(t-w-S)\right]$
- $\lambda_{F}(t)=(1-p) \sigma_{1}(t)$


## The Five Expected Queue Lengths

- $E\left[Q_{1}(t)\right]=E\left[\lambda\left(t-T_{e}\right)\right] E[T]$
- $E\left[B_{1}(t)\right]=\bar{F}(w) E\left[\lambda\left(t-w-S_{e}\right)\right] E[S]$
- $E[O(t)]=(1-p) E\left[\sigma_{1}\left(t-U_{e}\right)\right] E[U]$
- $E\left[Q_{2}(t)\right]=E\left[\lambda_{F}\left(t-T_{e}\right)\right] E[T]$
- $E\left[B_{2}(t)\right]=\bar{F}(w) E\left[\lambda_{F}\left(t-w-S_{e}\right)\right] E[S]$


## The Five Expected Queue Lengths

- $E\left[Q_{1}(t)\right]=E\left[\lambda\left(t-T_{e}\right)\right] E[T]$
- $E\left[B_{1}(t)\right]=\bar{F}(w) E\left[\lambda\left(t-w-S_{e}\right)\right] E[S]$
- $E[O(t)]=(1-p) E\left[\sigma_{1}\left(t-U_{e}\right)\right] E[U]$
- $E\left[Q_{2}(t)\right]=E\left[\lambda_{F}\left(t-T_{e}\right)\right] E[T]$
- $E\left[B_{2}(t)\right]=\bar{F}(w) E\left[\lambda_{F}\left(t-w-S_{e}\right)\right] E[S]$
$\Rightarrow$ The Offered Load is $m(t) \equiv E\left[B_{1}(t)\right]+E\left[B_{2}(t)\right]$


## The Five Expected Queue Lengths

- $E\left[Q_{1}(t)\right]=E\left[\lambda\left(t-T_{e}\right)\right] E[T]$
- $E\left[B_{1}(t)\right]=\bar{F}(w) E\left[\lambda\left(t-w-S_{e}\right)\right] E[S]$
- $E[O(t)]=(1-p) E\left[\sigma_{1}\left(t-U_{e}\right)\right] E[U]$
- $E\left[Q_{2}(t)\right]=E\left[\lambda_{F}\left(t-T_{e}\right)\right] E[T]$
- $E\left[B_{2}(t)\right]=\bar{F}(w) E\left[\lambda_{F}\left(t-w-S_{e}\right)\right] E[S]$
$\Rightarrow$ The Offered Load is $m(t) \equiv E\left[B_{1}(t)\right]+E\left[B_{2}(t)\right]$
$\Rightarrow$ Apply MOL with OL $m(t)$


## Variation of the Previous Example

$M_{t} / M / s_{t}+M$ with sinusoidal arrival rate

- $\lambda(t)=100+20 \cdot \sin (t)$
- $\bar{G}(x)=e^{-x}$
- $\bar{F}(x)=e^{-0.5 x}$
- $\bar{H}(x)=e^{-x}$
- $p=0.5$
- $\alpha=[0.05,0.1,0.15,0.2]$


