Van Dyck and Virginio Cesarini:  
A Contribution to the Study of Van Dyck’s Roman Sojourns

Van Dyck was in Rome between February and August 1622, and again between March and October or November 1623. A small group of half- or near three-quarter-length portraits, each one tinged with melancholy and each representing someone Van Dyck probably knew intimately, has been assigned to one or the other of these sojourns. The portraits are painted in a restricted, almost monochromatic range of colors that seems at complete odds with the great coloristic performances of the first Roman stay, namely the incomparable, shimmering portraits of Sir Robert (fig. 1) and Teresa Lady Shirley, and the wistful, searching splendor of the picture of Cardinal Guido Bentivoglio (fig. 8).

Like the self-portrait that has justly been dated to the Roman years (fig. 2), the half- and three-quarter-lengths are pictures in which elegance is accompanied by a certain neurasthenic refinement. They include the portraits of Dudley Carleton’s agent, George Gage (which I believe can only date from the first Roman stay; fig. 3), the German sculptor Georg Petel (fig. 4), and the underestimated painting said to be of the French painter and engraver Jean Leclerc (fig. 5).

The portraits of the art dealer Lucas van Uffel in Braunschweig and New York (fig. 6) were certainly done in 1622–1623, but whether they were painted in Genoa, Venice, or Rome has not yet been established with certainty. It has recently been suggested that the Brussels portrait of a sculptor (fig. 7) is neither of François Duquesnoy, as it has traditionally been said to be (following the inscription of the 1751 engraving by Pieter van Bleek), nor a work of the Roman years, as has always seemed plausible. But whatever the identity of the sitter, Duquesnoy is the one sculptor, as we shall see, with whom Van Dyck is likely to have enjoyed a particularly close association from his earliest days in Rome. Finally, there is the picture (fig. 9) that is perhaps the quietest and most compelling in this whole group, but which has so far eluded identification. It has always been called a portrait of a Roman cleric, someone perhaps only a few years older than Van Dyck, and it is painted in such a way—to speak generally and impressionistically—as to seem to reflect a peculiar sympathy between the sitter and the painter.

The formats of this picture and the Hermitage self-portrait of Van Dyck are unusually close. Both sitters have a similarly casual coif, and both have their hands disposed so as to suggest a combination of langor, elegance, and significance, as if some telling part of the character of each were invested in the gesture of the hand that is not simply allowed to fall over chair or pedestal. I believe that the young man, once erroneously said to be an Antwerp doctor known as “Lazarus Maharkyzus” (on the basis of the inscription on a late seventeenth-century engraving by Sebastian Barras), can now be identified, and that the new identification casts considerable light on the range of Van Dyck’s friends, associates, and patrons during his Roman period.
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A certain amount has long been known (or plausibly surmised) about the circles in which Van Dyck mixed in Rome. Given the closeness of Van Dyck’s association with his Genoese hosts and friends Lucas and Cornelis de Wael (particularly Cornelis), we can be fairly sure that it was through them that he had an introduction to the group of Flemish artists who gathered round the Flemish hospice of San Giuliano dei Fiamminghi. Most significant in this group was the young and promising sculptor François Duquesnoy, whom Passeri recalls having seen in the company of his Flemish compatriots at the hospice. Passeri also notes that Duquesnoy’s earliest protector in Rome was the rich Flemish merchant Pietro Pescatore, treas-urer of the hospice of San Giuliano in the very years Van Dyck was in Rome, and the chief Roman patron of another ex-student of Hendrik van Balen’s, Cornelis Collet, whom Van Dyck later portrayed for the series of portrait engravings known as the Iconography. Pescatore would remain a consistent patron of Duquesnoy from the time he commissioned Duquesnoy’s first major work, the Venus Nourishing Amor. All in all, there is no reason to doubt the report of Van Dyck’s friendship with Duquesnoy given in the eighteenth-century manuscript life of Van Dyck now preserved in the Musée du Louvre. The evidence for this friendship, and for many others, would also, presumably, emerge from the regrettably lost correspondence between Cornelis de Wael and the Antwerp dealer resident in Venice, Lucas van Uffel.

Through Duquesnoy and his roommate and early supporter, the sculptor Claude Lorrain, Van Dyck could not have failed to meet the members of the French colony in Rome. Indeed, if he had stayed in Rome just a few more months after the autumn of 1623, he might have encountered Nicholas Poussin, the young French painter who would soon become Duquesnoy’s close friend, roommate, and neighbor, who arrived in Rome in March 1624. Very shortly after this date Duquesnoy is known to have begun receiving commissions from the Barberini family—from both Urban VIII, elected in August 1623, and his nephew Cardinal Francesco—and their circle, including the famous collector, scientist, and antiquarian Cassiano dal Pozzo. It is not surprising,
both in light of circles such as these and from what we can only guess of the character of the young painter from the almost overly refined self-portraits, that Van Dyck shunned the company of the well-known rougher elements of the Flemish colony in Rome, and that they shunned him.²⁷

But how much did Van Dyck have to do with the richer Flemings of Rome and its environs, such as the merchants who gathered round the more prestigious church of Santa Maria dell’Anima? It was through Pietro Pescatore that Duquesnoy received the orders for some of his most moving creations, the funeral monuments for the Northern merchants Adriana Vrijburg (1628–1629) and Ferdinand van der Eynden (1633–1640),²⁸ but at the time Van Dyck was in Rome he seems to have had very little to do with them or their circle. The reason was probably that he was too busy with the portraits of his friends or of friends of friends, and of some of the most distinguished Romans of his day. Chief of these would have been the two portraits recorded in the eighteenth-century biography in the Musée du Louvre.²⁹ No trace, unfortunately, remains of the portrait Van Dyck is supposed to have painted of Maffeo Barberini, but we do at least have the great painting, now in the Pitti Palace, of Cardinal Guido Bentivoglio [fig. 8]. Even more than several other of Van Dyck’s Roman sitters, Bentivoglio, who was elevated to the cardinalate in 1621, had significant connections with Flanders. His portrait by Van Dyck is one of the greatest essays in reds and scarlets ever painted, even more so than Philippe de Champaigne’s Omer Talon, 1649 (National Gallery of Art, Washington). In the Bentivoglio portrait the high forehead, keen and searching gaze, and delicate features are all testimony to a refinement and honesty of spirit that emerge with great clarity in the literary works of the cardinal, above all in his Memorie,³⁰ in the letters and Relazioni he wrote at the time of his nuncio in Brussels from 1607 to 1615,³¹ and in his great history of the Revolt of the Netherlands, the
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Della Guerra di Flandra, first published in 1632. 32 Bentivoglio knew the regents of the Netherlands, Albert and Isabella, well; in short, no Roman prelate knew Flanders better. He had also known Galileo ever since his student days at Padua, when Galileo had instructed him in the use of the sphere. 33

Even if Bentivoglio had not met the already promising Flemish painter at the time of his Flemish missions, what could have been more natural than that this great lover and historian of Flanders—“amorevole della nazione fiamminga” says Bellori—should have commissioned his portrait from the promising young Flemish painter, albeit only twenty-three or twenty-four years old, newly arrived in Rome? After all, Van Dyck had already proved his mettle in the Genoese portraits. There was a fine (though in comparison wholly staid) precedent in the portrait of
Agostino Pallavicini [J. Paul Getty Museum, Malibu],

but nothing Van Dyck did in Rome ever matched the brilliance of the Bentivoglio picture, or that of the pendant portraits of the Shirleys, fresh from the East, perhaps introduced to Van Dyck by George Gage or through one or other of his English connections. Apart from these, Van Dyck seems to have concentrated all his attention on the more sober group of half- or three-quarter-length pictures. If there is one among them that matches—possibly even outstrips—the Bentivoglio in terms of the penetrating and searching gaze of the sitter, it is the portrait of the unknown young man in clerical garb (fig. 9). If we imagine this portrait and the Bentivoglio together, the two sitters seem almost to respond to each other, not by word but by the very manner of their gaze. Indeed, the young man’s gaze seems even more intense, even more visionary than that of the calmer and more self-contained Bentivoglio. Bentivoglio gives the impression of someone at peace with himself, the young man of someone still restless, anxious, and striving. But who is the young man? Unlike the sitter shown in a state of repose, such as Bentivoglio or Lucas van Uffel, he is someone who seems both visionary and almost morbidly afflicted. His is an elegant but febrile personality, a man with sunken cheeks, wan complexion, and a gaze that for all its intensity seems abstract and distant, as if he were lost in some world beyond this one, or exhausted by labor and illness.

In the Sala dei Capitani in the Capitoline Museum there is the tomb and funerary bust, long attributed to François Duquesnoy, of Virginio Cesarini, one of the most distinguished and talented young Romans of his day (figs. 10, 11). Van Dyck’s portrait is of the same young man. Cesarini was only four years older than Van Dyck, and would thus have been twenty-seven or twenty-eight when Van Dyck painted him. Even if one takes into account the fact that physiognomic similarities are not always easy to detect across such different media, still one may discern in the sculpture several of the same emotional and physical characteristics as are in the sitter in the painting. In both works the flesh is drawn tightly over sunken cheeks. The two men have the same mustache, hairstyle, and fragile, incipient beard (even though it is a little fuller in the sculpture). Even the part in the hair is the same. One instantly recognizes the large and distinguished nose, a feature still more evident in the engravings made for Cesarini’s funeral cullage and for the posthumous publication of his literary remains (figs. 12, 13). The bust on the tomb also has slightly fleshy lips, large ears (more clearly visible when viewed from the side, in the corner of the Sala dei Capitani), and clearly swollen eyes. And beneath the great swath of fur that seems to protect the sitter are exactly the same garments as in the painting: the long, buttoned clerical robe known as the zimarra, and the open-necked white collar that protrudes from it. [Although often lost in reproduction, the buttons are clearly visible in the picture itself.] Above all, however, it is the drawn expression, visionary but evidently very sick,
that both portraits share, and that points to exactly what we know about Virgilio Cesari
cini in these years. That none of these similarities is coincidental or haphazard emerges
when one compares Van Dyck’s picture with other tomb busts by the sculptor of the monu-
ment in the Capitoline, such as those of Bernardo Guglielmi in San Lorenzo fuori le
Mura [fig. 16], 1627/1628; John Barclay in Sant’Onofrio, 1627/1628; and George Conn
in San Lorenzo in Damaso, 1640.38

It was in the very years that Van Dyck was in Rome that the still very young Cesarini
[he was born on 23 October 1593] occupied a pivotal position in the cultural, scientific,
and political life of the city. He was the editor and defender of Galileo, and the favorite
of Maffeo Barberini. Related on his mother's side to the Orsini family, he had close con-
nections with several of the most powerful and interesting Roman families of his day,
ranging from the troubled Cesi family to the Farnese and the Aldobrandini. He was loved
by many, from the great Cardinal Bellarmine to a host of other intellectuals and literati;
and his friendships were just as wide. He was noted for his severe morality, but in his heart
he found space for a number of the best-known freethinkers and libertines of his day.

Cesarini died on 1 April 1624, at age twenty-eight. For eight years he had been tor-
mented by a terrible pleurisy, quite probably tuberculosis. Despite the pain, he worked stoically, unremittingly, and wholly
devotedly on his poetry, philosophy, and science.40 Such was his fame in all of these areas,
from boyhood on, that no one could have been surprised when in 1618 he was
asked to join the most noted scientific academy, the Accademia dei Lincei, founded in
1603 by that other great prodigy of the age, the eighteen-year-old Federico Cesi. Galileo
was the academy's sixth member, having been elected in 1611.41 Soon Cesarini was
befriended by the man who would go on to become one of the most renowned virtuosi
and patrons of the arts and sciences in Rome, Cassiano dal Pozzo.42 Together with Cas-
siano, Cesarini’s own best friend Giovanni Ciampoli,43 and Rubens’ old friend and doc-
tor, Johannes Faber (all members of the Accademia dei Lincei themselves), Cesarini en-
couraged Galileo to reply to his Jesuit critics and helped in the preparation of Galileo’s
epoch-making response to his opponents.

This was the heroic work known as the Sag-
giatoze, published in the very year in which
Van Dyck probably painted Cesarini.44 In
fact, the Saggiatore took the form of a letter
to Cesarini himself, as the title page (fig. 14)
makes clear. The title also shows the insignia of the Accademia dei Lincei, the
sharp-eyed astute lynx itself, and reveals that the work was still produced under the
patronage of the Barberini, who before the decade was out would turn their backs on
Galileo.

For the whole period in which Cesarini worked on the publication of the Saggiatore
(together, above all, with Cassiano), he con-
tinued to suffer. His illness was described by
many of his contemporaries,45 but perhaps
never with such dolor as in the funeral odi-
on delivered for him by his Jesuit friend
Alessandro Gottifredi (fig. 18):

You would see the wretched relic of a man in
the flower of his youth and manhood, blood-
less and withered, deprived of all his
strength, cast down and obviously oppressed
by the sheer burden of his pain, a living
cadaver, the shadow of a man, without blood, or
juice, or color. You’d see he was simply the
guest of calamity. And yet he never, in such
great distress, felt sorry for himself, or lost his
spirit; but with constant expression and clear
eyes looked upon his own wreckage, unsink-
able despite the waves that battered him, an
immobile rock [of Marpesa] in the face of
adversity.46

The illness affected both Cesarini’s chest
and throat. From his own letters and from his
friends Ciampoli and Cesi we know of the
constant torment of his cachexia and flus-
sioni.47 There are also several letters from
Cesarini to Cassiano, in which Cesarini de-
scribes some of his distress about his illness
and his anxiousness to go to Bologna to meet
the famous French doctor Pierre Potier, who
had already sent him—via Cassiano—a num-
ber of herbal remedies.48 Indeed, the corre-
spondence with Cassiano is full of refer-
cences, characteristically, to experimentation
with cures and simples.49 Cesarini worries
constantly about the weather, since the cold
was evidently very bad for him. Thus on 19
January 1620 he writes from Nettuno that:

until now the rain and the warm winds have
confined me to my house. The change of air
particularly in these torrid times has given me
a chill. Since then my throat has become in-
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flamed with the usual catarrh, which seems to want to accompany me all the time. But still I have some confidence in Sr Potier...  

Five days later things are looking up, and he writes that for two days he has felt much less weak and out of breath, and is waiting hopefully for better weather. No wonder the sculptor who portrayed him in the Capitolino showed him swathed in a fur wrap.

Cesarini's literary work, too, is full of references to his illness. He suffered constantly, and we are left with the image of a wasted visionary, a new Pico, as he was
called by all his eulogists, including Gottfriedi (see fig. 15)\textsuperscript{53} Robert Bellarmine,\textsuperscript{54} and the still too little-known canon from Ghent, Justus Rigwius, perhaps the most important Fleming in the Linean circles in these years.\textsuperscript{55} Rigwius corresponded with almost everyone in the republic of letters in these years, from Cassiano to Cesi, from Gaspar Scipioni to Rubens,\textsuperscript{56} and barely let slip an opportunity to refer to the brilliant young man in their midst who was tormented by an inexorable illness. It is from Rigwius' eulogy that we may glean still further details of Cesare's illness and his legendary fortitude in bearing it.\textsuperscript{57}

When Antonia Nava Cellini published the tomb of Cesare as by Duquesnoy, she commented on "gli occhi perduti in una strana fissità, che seguono un interno pensiero o si dilatano per il terrore della morte sempre presente."\textsuperscript{58} These are words that apply even more precisely to Van Dyck's portrait. So,
too, does Nava Cellini's further description of the way in which the sculpture shows the elevated humanity of Cesarini reflected in the drawn face: "che la origine di così spirituale estesumazione si vorrebbe vedere in una malinconia del tutto poetica; invece un morbo procurato forse da studi logoranti, poi scongiurato invano e continuamente temuto, morbo di cui conosciamo le fasti." In one of the many lines of his poetry alluding to his illness Cesarini writes: "Me dolor adsiduus vicino funere terret." From his biographers and eulogists, and from his poems both in Latin and the vernacular, we know about the phases of his malady. He periodically lost his voice; his eyes were in constant pain; and his breathing, impeded by increasingly severe cataract, grew more and more difficult. Death was ever near.

There can be little doubt that the sculptor of the Cesarini tomb was the young François Duquesnoy, as Nava Cellini claimed in 1555 and not, as Ann Harris recently suggested, the young Bernini. First of all the style, as Nava Cellini convincingly showed, is perfectly consistent with Duquesnoy's work. It may indeed be more refined than portraits such as those of Bernardo Guglielmi of 1627–1628 (fig. 16) or of George Conn of 1640, but its elegiac tone points forward to the beautiful tombs of Adriaen Vrijburgh and Ferdinand van der Eynde in the grander Flemish and German church of Santa Maria dell'Anima. Indeed, the great swath of fur that protects Cesarini from the cold seems to have provided the idea for the tooling on the extraordinary texture of the swath behind the putti in the Vrijburgh monument (fig. 17) of a few years later.

As we have seen, Duquesnoy was the leading Flemish artist in the circle that gathered around San Giuliano dei Fiamminghi. By April 1624 he had entered the orbit of Cassiano, who lived a few steps away in the via dei Chiavari. Along the street in the other direction was the Cesarini palace. Duquesnoy would soon begin to work (if he had not already begun to do so) for the two most prominent members of the Barberini family, Maffeo and Francesco. Cesarini was Cassiano's closest friend at the time, and the absolute favorite of Maffeo Barberini, created Pope Urban VIII eight months before Cesarini's death. Surely the Cesarini bust is the work with which Duquesnoy showed his mettle to the new pope and the pope's artistically inclined nephew, Francesco. For it was immediately after the execution of the Cesarini tomb that Duquesnoy began working for them in earnest, from the ivory crucifix and the Saint Sebastian (whereabouts unknown) done for Urban VIII to the funerary busts of John Barclay in Sant'Onofrio and Bernardo Guglielmi in San Lorenzo fuori le Mura (fig. 16), paid for by Francesco Barberini in 1628. Duquesnoy would then work on Bernini's great Baldacchino and the soon famous statue of Saint Andrew in Saint Peter's.

If the similarities between the painting and sculpture of Cesarini still give rise to skepti-
cism, and it is thought that features such as the hairstyle, the beard, and the part are all common enough in seventeenth-century portrait busts, one has only to compare the painting with the other sculptures by Duquesnoy, say that of George Conn, the Scotsman so beloved by Urban, his nephew Francesco, and Cassiano, but so hated by all good Englishmen for his later proselytizing of a number of ladies from the circle of Henrietta Maria, including the wife of Endymion Porter. To make this sort of comparison, whether with secure works by Duquesnoy or by anyone else, is to be even more certain of the identity between the bust of Cesarini on the Capitoline and the painting by Van Dyck.

The eighteenth-century manuscript biog-
raphy of Van Dyck records that in addition to the portrait of Bentivoglio, Van Dyck also painted a portrait of Maffeo Barberini, which "lui attira les plus grands applaudissements." Whether or not this is the case—prima facie, perfectly plausible—it is worth remembering that the elevation of Maffeo to the papacy in August 1623 occurred while Van Dyck was still in Rome. Maffeo, too, had been a friend of Galileo, and he, too, was a deeply talented Latin poet. He was the uncle of Cassiano's good friend and patron, Francesco Barberini, who was also patron of several promising young artists from the North. Furthermore, Maffeo was close to Bentivoglio, whose portrait has survived, and the bonds between the two men are movingly recorded by Bentivoglio himself, in his memoirs. In fact, Bentivoglio refers to Maffeo in the same breath as he names the third member of their trio:

... incontro egli [Bentivoglio] specialmente una somma felicità in partecipare i suoi studii con due rarissimi ingegni di somma reputazione in materia di lettere; questi furono il Cardinale Maffeo Barberini, regnante hora Papa Urbano VIII, e Don Virgilio Cesariani. ...

This is the nexus to remember when one considers the sketch that survives beneath the portrait of the man here identified as Cesariani. Even now one can see that there must have been another picture beneath the unusually thickly painted surface, and the X-rays taken in 1955 unequivocally reveal that beneath the picture we now see was a preliminary oil sketch for the great Bentivoglio portrait (figs. 18-20; compare fig. 9). It is impossible not to recall that the very first thing Bellori notes about Van Dyck's move to Rome is that "fu trattenuto in corte del Card. Bentivogli amorevole della nazione fiandrega, per essere egli dimorato in Fiandra e per avere scritto quella istoria che vive immortale." In addition, we know that Cesariani was the closest favorite of Maffeo, that he was made Maffeo's Maestro di Camera on Maffeo's elevation to the papacy, and that he would have been made a cardinal himself had a premature death not snatched him away. Urban loved and appreciated him so much that he ordered a funeral for him on the Capitoline, and had his tomb placed in the most prestigious room of all there, the Sala dei Capit-
and sensitive of the Flemish painters then clustering around the church hard by his palace!

There remains the question of Cesari's "clerical" garb. It is not, strictly speaking, clerical. It is the garb of a Jesuit. Why should the young prince be wearing this? It would appear that as his illness became worse, Cesari grew ever closer to the Jesuits in Rome. The irony of his affection for the order could escape no one, for in the very years in which he was encouraging Galileo to respond to his Jesuit critics, most notably the talented member of the Collegio Romano Orazio Grassi, the mathematician, astronomer, and designer of Sant'Ignazio, Cesari was applying to join the order. He had many Jesuit friends, in particular Tarquinio Galluzzi, well-known professor of Greek, and Famiano Strada, professor of rhetoric at the Collegio Romano and the author of the other great history of the revolt of the Netherlands, the De Bello Belgico. Cesari was also close to the man who was to deliver the funerary oration on the Capitoline in 1624 (fig. 13), Alessandro Gottifredi, who later became the general of the Jesuit order. It is from Gottifredi that we learn of Cesari's affection for the order and of his wish to be buried in full Jesuit garb. This is a wish that the young man had already expressed in 1620, at the age of twenty-five, when he drew up his will and testament at the house of his friend Federico Cesì in Acquasparta:

I wish to be buried in the habit of a religious of the Order of Jesus, in recognition of the fact that the Father General of the Order has already graciously accepted me into the said Order at my request. Therefore I wish to have my body honoured by the said habit... and if I die in Rome I wish to be buried in the Church of the Gesù in the same tomb where my mother the duchess is buried, and if I die elsewhere I wish to be buried in a church of the Order.

Only part of this request could be fulfilled, as we now know. Cesari was interred in the habit we see him wear in Van Dyck's picture, but his great protector, by then Urban VIII, wanted him buried in civic splendor in the great room adorned by the statues of members of his own and other illustrious Roman families, such as the Farnese. Fittingly, the most restrained monument in this splendid room, which adjoins the stupendous...
room with the great statues by Bernini and Algardi of Urban himself and of his successor Innocent X, is that of Virginio Cesarini. The monument is in the far corner, a modest bust atop a flat wall tomb with a long eulogistic inscription composed by Giovanni Ciampoli. This was indeed an honor for the young man, but an appropriate one for the close friend and defender of Galileo, to whom the great Florentine scientist dedicated one of the
decided that Galileo's reply to his critics was to be dedicated to Cesarini. Why? Because, as Pietro Redondi has plausibly pointed out, with his extraordinary range of friends and protectors, from Maffeo Barberini to the great cardinal protectors of the Jesuits themselves, Robert Bellarmine and Ludovico Ludovisi. Cesarini offered the best cover for this audacious effort, the effort that would establish once and for all the heliocentric system of the universe.

Throughout 1622 Cesarini was working on the text of Galileo's so-called "letter" to him. Assisting him in this task were two other men: his constant friend Ciampoli, and above all Cassiano dal Pozzo, who united in his personal love of art and love of science and already then was in correspondence with everyone who was anybody in the learned society of his time. This was the trio that brought the crusade against Lotario Sarsi [as Orazio Grassi called himself] to its conclusion. By the time Van Dyck returned to Rome in March the three men had already made arrangements with the printer, the manuscript had been submitted, very swiftly, to the censor, and Rubens' old friend Johannes Faber was working on the feverish final preparation of the manuscript. By May or June 1623 the Saggiatore was already being printed. By the end of the first week of August Maffeo Barberini was pope. Was it during this period that Van Dyck painted the brilliant and much-loved young man who had been at the center of the efforts to encourage Galileo and prepare his great work for publication? He is shown here (fig. 9) worn out by all his labors, his eyes swollen and red-rimmed, as everyone commented, by his incessant nocturnal lucubrations, his cheeks sunken as a result of wasting disease, his thin hair unusually disheveled even for a sitter to Van Dyck. But in the gesture of his left hand one detects something assured, almost assertive, a sense of decisiveness that reminds one, yet again, of the effectiveness with which Van Dyck so regularly combined the elegant and the demonstrative. The gesture is elegant enough, but there is no hesitancy here, just as there is none in the gesture of the hand placed against the hip in the self-portrait (fig. 2) of these years. In the picture of Cesarini the eyes, though tired, gaze into the distance, heavenward. They seem to be scanning the heavens for the

most famous of all his works committed to proving that the sun and the planets did not revolve round the earth.

Such is the extraordinary milieu on whose periphery we must now set Van Dyck. Whether the artist painted Cesarini in 1622 or 1623—and I incline to the latter date—these were the very years in which Cesarini was ruining his health still further, but remained tireless in his work on behalf of what he knew to be the truth.

It was on the occasion that Cesarini drew up his last will and testament at the Cesi Palace in Acquasparta in July 1620 that he, Cesi, and Ciampoli (himself a close friend of Bentivoglio and much favored by him) decided that Galileo's reply to his critics was to be dedicated to Cesarini. Why? Because, as Pietro Redondi has plausibly pointed out, with his extraordinary range of friends and protectors, from Maffeo Barberini to the great cardinal protectors of the Jesuits themselves, Robert Bellarmine and Ludovico Ludovisi. Cesarini offered the best cover for this audacious effort, the effort that would establish once and for all the heliocentric system of the universe.

Throughout 1622 Cesarini was working on the text of Galileo's so-called "letter" to him. Assisting him in this task were two other men: his constant friend Ciampoli, and above all Cassiano dal Pozzo, who united in his personal love of art and love of science and already then was in correspondence with everyone who was anybody in the learned society of his time. This was the trio that brought the crusade against Lotario Sarsi [as Orazio Grassi called himself] to its conclusion. By the time Van Dyck returned to Rome in March the three men had already made arrangements with the printer, the manuscript had been submitted, very swiftly, to the censor, and Rubens' old friend Johannes Faber was working on the feverish final preparation of the manuscript. By May or June 1623 the Saggiatore was already being printed. By the end of the first week of August Maffeo Barberini was pope. Was it during this period that Van Dyck painted the brilliant and much-loved young man who had been at the center of the efforts to encourage Galileo and prepare his great work for publication? He is shown here (fig. 9) worn out by all his labors, his eyes swollen and red-rimmed, as everyone commented, by his incessant nocturnal lucubrations, his cheeks sunken as a result of wasting disease, his thin hair unusually disheveled even for a sitter to Van Dyck. But in the gesture of his left hand one detects something assured, almost assertive, a sense of decisiveness that reminds one, yet again, of the effectiveness with which Van Dyck so regularly combined the elegant and the demonstrative. The gesture is elegant enough, but there is no hesitancy here, just as there is none in the gesture of the hand placed against the hip in the self-portrait (fig. 2) of these years. In the picture of Cesarini the eyes, though tired, gaze into the distance, heavenward. They seem to be scanning the heavens for the
truth, but they also carry in them the signs of imminent death. Perhaps they imply the presence of some interlocutor, if not Bentivoglio or Maffeo Barberini, then perhaps Cesari's beloved Giovanni Ciampoli. The picture is all the more poignant for the fact that the sitter was evidently so young, only twenty-eight at the most, when the picture was painted, less than a year before he died.

Something still more moving emerges when one turns again to the Van Dyck self-portrait (fig. 2). The artist is little more than a boy—indeed, no more than twenty-four at this time—and yet the pictures he produced are testimony to an insight into the soul that one can only imagine coming from some graver and more experienced being. This stripping was capable of the supreme subtleties of gesture and gaze that characterize all his portraits, and above all the deceptively subdued yet infinitely artful ones of his Roman years.

Era egli ancora giovane, spuntando di poco la barba, ma la giovinezza sua veniva accompagnata da grave modestia di animo e da nobiltà di aspetto, ancorché piccolo di persona. Erano le sue maniere signorili più teso che di uomo privato... says Bellori.\(^\text{84}\) No wonder that the young painter was so swiftly taken up by the great cardinals Bentivoglio and Barberini. What maturity was it, though, that made Van Dyck capable of investing even his more modest pictures, even those of his friends, with the signs of their deepest character and their most profound emotions? The question cannot, of course, be answered in a scholarly paper, for, as the ancients said of the very best portraits: it is the works themselves that speak.

---

17, François Duquesnoy, Tomb of Adrian Vriens, 1633–1640, marble
Santo Maria dell'Anima, Rome
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18. X-radiograph of "A Roman Cleric," here identified as Virginio Cesarini [detail]
Hermitage, St. Petersburg

19. X-radiograph of "A Roman Cleric," here identified as Virginio Cesarini [detail]
Hermitage, St. Petersburg

20. X-radiograph of "A Roman Cleric," here identified as Virginio Cesarini [detail]
Hermitage, St. Petersburg
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23. As Bellori called him. He is not to be confused with the painter Claude Lorrain, to whom Duquesnoy was later to be close. On the sculptor whom Passeri simply called "Lorettese" and Bellori "Claudio Loretti" see Passeri 1934, 103; Bellori 1672, 270. Of course the possibility must remain that knowing of Duquesnoy's later friendship with the painter, Bellori simply mistakenly gave the name of Claude to the itinerante from Lorraine who initially helped Duquesnoy in Rome.
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