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SUMMARY

Precision medicine in oncology requires not only identification of cancer-associated mutations but also effective drugs for each cancer genotype, which is still a largely unsolved problem. One approach for the latter challenge has been large-scale testing of small molecules in genetically characterized cell lines. We hypothesized that compounds with high cell-line-selective lethality exhibited consistent results across such pharmacogenomic studies. We analyzed the compound sensitivity data of 6,259 lethal compounds from the NCI-60 project. A total of 2,565 cell-line-selective lethal compounds were identified and grouped into 18 clusters based on their median growth inhibitory GI50 profiles across the 60 cell lines, which were shown to represent distinct mechanisms of action. Further transcriptome analysis revealed a biomarker, NADPH abundance, for predicting sensitivity to ferroptosis-inducing compounds, which we experimentally validated. In summary, incorporating cell-line-selectivity filters improves the predictive power of pharmacogenomic analyses and enables discovery of biomarkers that predict the sensitivity of cells to specific cell death inducers.

INTRODUCTION

Cancers are often caused by somatic mutations in the genome. To understand the mechanisms of transformation, there have been efforts to collect inventories of DNA sequence variations relevant to transformation (Hudson et al., 2010; Weinstein et al., 2013). This information, in addition to providing insight into the tumorigenic process, has become the basis of the field of precision medicine (Barretina et al., 2012; Garnett et al., 2012; Basu et al., 2013; Schreiber et al., 2010; Eifert and Powers, 2012).

Ideally, anticancer drugs should kill transformed cancer cells efficiently with minimal effects on non-transformed cells and tissues. However, except for a few successful cases of targeting cancers driven by a specific oncogene (e.g., imatinib targeting BCR-ABL in CML and vemurafenib targeting V600E BRAF in melanoma; Sala et al., 2008; Schindler et al., 2000), two main obstacles prevent molecularly targeted precision therapies from being realized. First, mutated oncogenic proteins responsible for driving cancers are not necessarily viable candidates for targeted therapy, as these proteins may not be “druggable” or may not be needed for the ongoing survival and growth of cancers. Second, genes essential for cancers may also be important for the survival of normal cells (Luo et al., 2009). For these reasons, it has been challenging to extend the paradigm of directly targeting oncogenic drivers to diverse cancers beyond the known examples (Ginsburg and Kuderer, 2012).

A second approach in precision medicine is to identify biomarkers that predict sensitivity to targeted agents, even if these biomarkers are not themselves drivers of tumorigenesis. This approach is potentially more generalizable than directly targeting driver oncoproteins. To seek cancer biomarkers that predict sensitivity to therapeutic agents, large pharmacogenomic studies have been performed. The NCI-60 human tumor cell line anticancer drug screen (the NCI-60 project) started in the late 1980s, and is the first kind of such efforts. The NCI-60 project is a part of the Developmental Therapeutics Program, which aims for discovery and development of anticancer reagents. The NCI-60 project has been providing the research community with a service that tests lethal compounds in up to 60 different human cell lines from different tissue origins (Shoemaker, 2006). Other recent pharmacogenomic studies include the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) and the Cancer Genome Project (CGP) (Barretina et al., 2012; Garnett et al., 2012). In these studies, 138 and 24 compounds were tested for lethality in 727 and 1,036 cell lines, respectively. Not only somatic mutations, but also transcriptome and other molecular profiles were collected for each cell line in these studies. This potentially powerful approach seeks to correlate the sensitivity of cell lines to specific agents with the presence of specific biomarkers. However, recently, one controversial study suggested that these two
projects, CCLE and CGP, generated inconsistent results when the same compounds and cell lines were tested (15 compounds tested in 471 cell lines); the implication of this study was that currently it may not be possible to perform compound sensitivity profiling on a large scale with consistent, reproducible results (Haibe-Kains et al., 2013).

We sought to test the hypothesis that the most selective compounds across cell lines would have the most consistent results, potentially addressing the reproducibility issue that has been recently discussed. We recognize that high-throughput technologies in modern biology are intrinsically noisy, but that they nonetheless provide valuable information. For example, transcriptome data, such as those obtained through microarrays or RNA-seq, is a widely accepted tool in biological research despite the noise that can be present; suitable data processing has overcome the limitations of noise using these technologies. We suspected that an appropriate technique for processing compound sensitivity data in large-scale studies might make the results more meaningful. The dispersion in relative compound sensitivity across cell lines, termed “cell-line selectivity,” was computed to see if it yielded more robust and consistent results across multiple studies. We then used this approach to correlate compound sensitivity and gene expression across NCI-60 cell lines, and identified biomarkers that predict sensitivity of cell lines to ferroptosis, a recently reported form of regulated non-apoptotic cell death, for which predictive biomarkers have not been defined.

RESULTS

Cell-Line Selectivity Yields Consistent Results between the CCLE and CGP Projects

It is often challenging to distinguish true signals from experimental noise. However, it is feasible to estimate noise in high-throughput experiments. In a single parameter estimation, one can argue that the larger sample distribution is more reliably estimated; a simple, but often appropriate, assumption is to model that an observation (x), its true signal (s) and experimental noise (n) have the relationship \( x = s + n \), where the variables (x, s, n) are normally distributed with standard deviations of \( \sigma \), \( \sigma_s \), \( \sigma_n \), respectively. Because signal and noise are independent of each other, the relationship between the variances \( \sigma^2 = \sigma_s^2 + \sigma_n^2 \) holds true. When \( \sigma \gg \sigma_n \), we find that \( \sigma = \sigma_s \). However, when the signal is comparable with noise, or if \( \sigma = \sigma_n \), care needs to be taken in estimating the signal (\( \sigma_s \)), as the noise (\( \sigma_n \)) is not insignificant.

In assessing relationships between two parameters, Pearson and Spearman correlations are popular measures because of their conceptual and computational succinctness. However, these correlations are scaled between −1 and 1, being independent of the sample dispersions (\( \sigma \)); therefore, we cannot assess the quality of the data associated with each variable from correlations.

In transcriptome analysis, this is a common problem; one way to cope with this issue is to compute dispersion of expression of each gene across samples and remove genes from the data based on the magnitude of deviation of gene expression between samples before they are processed (Bourgon et al., 2010). Likewise, we hypothesized that filtering compounds based on the magnitude of the deviation in drug sensitivity across the samples would generate more robust results, which we termed cell-line selectivity. We thus computed cell-line selectivity of 15 compounds, as defined as the interquartile range (IQR) of the lethal compound across the cell line panel, for both CCLE and CGP data from the supplementary data of Haibe-Kains et al. (2013). This parameter was highly correlated between the two projects (the Spearman correlation coefficients were 0.70 and 0.67, with both p values <0.01 using two different measures of cell-line selectivity, log10IC50 [IC50 hereafter, where IC50 is the median inhibitory concentration] and area under dose-concentration curves [AUC], respectively) (Figures 1A and S1A).

Specifically, when AUC was used to compute cell-line selectivity of the 15 compounds, the parameter was similar between CCLE and CGP (i.e., the data were spread on both sides of the identity line); however, when IC50 was used, cell-line selectivity was overall different between the studies (i.e., the data were not on the identity line). Moreover, the cell-line selectivity of many compounds based on IC50 was close to zero in the CCLE data. It is likely that concentration ranges of these compounds did not cover the IC50 in many cell lines and, thus, variations are not observed, while partial toxicity can still be measured using AUC. AUC is in such cases a better measure than IC50, as has been suggested previously (Haibe-Kains et al., 2013). Moreover, pathway-drug associations are also based on the drug sensitivity measures AUC and IC50 (see Experimental Procedures); it is reasonable that cell-line-selective compounds based on AUC are more consistent in pathway-drug associations than for non-selective compounds, while cell-line selectivity based...
on IC50 (Figures 1B and S1B) is not as powerful of a predictor of the pathway-drug associations because IC50 is a less accurate measure of toxicity than AUC. Overall, this analysis supports our notion that pharmacogenomic data are more robust and consistent when lethal compounds with high cell-line selectivity are analyzed, especially using AUC instead of IC50.

While AUC-derived cell-line-selective compounds gave more consistent results between CCLE and CGP, only 24 and 138 compounds, respectively, were analyzed in the two studies of Haibe-Kains et al. (2013). We therefore focused on another pharmacogenomic study, the NCI-60 human cancer cell line screen (Shoemaker, 2006). Sensitivity profiles of 50,839 unique compounds from the NCI-60 screen (the NCI-60 data hereafter) are publicly available online (http://discover.nci.nih.gov/cellminer/loadDownload.do), 6,249 of which are without missing values. Although we prefer using AUC as a measure versus a single-concentration parameter, growth inhibitory (GI50) values were the only available measure of sensitivity in this dataset. Therefore, we analyzed the NCI-60 data using GI50 to test its suitability for the discovery of predictive biomarkers for lethal compounds (Figure 2).

**Ferroptosis Inducers Are Cell-Line-Selective Lethal Compounds in the NCI-60 Data**

Using the GI50-derived cell-line selectivity parameter, we focused on a set of lethal compounds inducing ferroptosis, a regulated, non-apoptotic form of oxidative cell death. Ferroptosis inducers were initially discovered to induce selective lethality in transformed cells over parental non-transformed cells (Yagoda et al., 2007). It is likely that ferroptosis occurs in certain pathological contexts, such as Huntington’s disease, periventricular leukomalacia, and renal tubular cell death, whose death processes are suppressed by ferroptosis inhibitors such as ferrostatin-1 (Dixon et al., 2012; Linkermann et al., 2014a; Skouta et al., 2014). Thus, a better understanding of ferroptosis has significant therapeutic potentials. Ferroptosis is induced when the lipid repair enzyme, glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4), is inhibited, either directly or indirectly (Dixon et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014).

We sought to use cell-line selectivity parameters to extract biomarkers that predict sensitivity to ferroptosis. We submitted ten ferroptosis inducers to the NCI-60 project to define their GI50 values across the NCI-60 cell lines (Figure S5A). When their GI50 profiles (median-centered log10GI50 values across the NCI-60 cell line panel) were analyzed, some cell lines were more resistant than others, and the difference in GI50 concentrations between the most sensitive and resistant cell lines was over 100-fold for all ferroptosis inducers except the dual-mechanism lethal compound CIL56. CIL56 induces necrotic cell death as well as ferroptosis. Overall, ferroptosis-specific lethal compounds were cell-line selective (Shimada et al., 2016). Between the two main mechanisms of ferroptosis, direct GPX4 inhibitors were more cell-line-selective than compounds that induce glutathione (GSH) depletion (Figure 3B), which ultimately leads to loss of GPX activity.

We hypothesized that compounds with greater cell-line selectivity would be more likely to yield predictive biomarkers. To test whether ferroptosis inducers were more cell-line selective than other lethal compounds, we computed cell-line selectivity for ferroptosis inducers and 6,249 other lethal compounds for which GI50 values were publicly available in the NCI-60 project. We found that ferroptosis inducers were more cell-line selective than other compounds (p value < 0.01) (Figure 3B): of the 6,249 compounds, we defined a subset of 2,555 lethal compounds that showed similar or larger cell-line-selective lethality than at least one of the ferroptosis inducers. A total of 239 of the 2,555 publicly available compounds were known to induce death through specific mechanisms of action (MoA). Some,
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We then tested whether other compounds in the cluster containing ferroptosis inducers also induce ferroptosis or not. We found that one compound, NSC704397, was commercially available, and that it induces lethality in ferroptosis-susceptible HT-1080 fibrosarcoma cells, which was suppressed by 100 μM α-tocopherol (Figure S3). We previously showed that lipophilic antioxidants such as α-tocopherol uniquely suppressed ferroptotic cell death among various oxidative cell death stimuli, strongly suggesting that NSC704397 induces ferroptosis (Dixon et al., 2012). Thus, this confirms that our cluster analysis is an effective approach to uncover MoA of lethal compounds systematically, and that the compound cluster we focused on represents ferroptosis inducers.

Topoisomerase I and II inhibitors (T1, T2), DNA alkylators at the N-7 position of guanine (A7), DNA synthesis inhibitors (Ds), antifolates (Df), and tyrosine kinase inhibitors also exhibited significant enrichments in specific clusters (YK) (Figures 4 and S4). Other classes of compounds, such as microtubule inhibitors (Tu) and HSP90 inhibitors (P90), were also enriched within a single cluster. However, the number of compounds representing each class was too small compared with the total number of compounds of the cluster to make these latter results significant. Lethal compounds targeting DNA directly (Ds, Df, A7) or indirectly (T1, T2) share more similar GI50 profiles than other classes, such as ferroptosis or tyrosine kinase inhibitors, possibly reflecting their similarity in mechanism of action (Figure 4). We therefore merged these 14 clusters into one DNA-targeting compound cluster. Other clusters sharing similar GI50 profiles to the same extent as these clusters based on the hierarchical clustering dendrogram (Figure 4A left) were also merged, resulting in 18 distinct clusters (Figure 4B). We expect that these 18 clusters represent significantly distinct lethal mechanisms in sensitive cells.

Transcriptomic Analysis Revealed Anticancer Drugs Were Largely Separated into Two Distinct Groups

We then explored the transcriptome data of the NCI-60 cell lines to uncover biomarkers that could differentiate the cell-line selectivity of the 18 compound clusters. The transcriptome data were pruned so that 80% of the 17,185 genes across the NCI-60 panel were used. Pruning was based on IQR of their expression across the cell lines. We next computed the Spearman correlation coefficients between the GI50 profiles of each of the 18 compound clusters and each gene’s expression across the NCI-60 panel (Figure 2). Here, positive and negative correlations were interpreted such that higher expression of the gene is associated with a resistance or sensitivity to the cluster compounds, respectively. We then performed gene-set-enrichment analysis (GSEA) and looked for gene ontology (GO) terms enriched in genes which were positively or negatively correlated with the GI50 profiles for each cluster (Subramanian et al., 2005). This analysis revealed 386 GO terms, whose activities were associated with the GI50 profiles of one or more compound clusters (p < 10^-3, GSEA) (Figure 5A).

Hierarchical clustering of the GO term–compound associations split the 18 compound clusters roughly into two groups: the majority of compounds (~95%) including DNA-targeting compounds and ferroptosis inducers grouped together, while the remainder (~5%) including tyrosine kinase inhibitors (YK) belonged to the other. Notably, 2,205 compounds (86% of total) in

Figure 3. Selecting Cell-Line-Selective Lethal Compounds from the NCI-60 Drug Sensitivity Data
(A) GI50 profiles of ten ferroptosis inducers. LogGI50 values were centered at the median across the NCI-60 cell lines. One of them, CIL56, is known to induce only ferroptosis.

(B) The cell-line selectivity of 6,249 lethal compounds from the public dataset was compared in comparison with ferroptosis inducers. A total of 2,555 compounds whose cell-line selectivity score was larger than at least one ferroptosis inducer was in comparison with ferroptosis inducers. A total of 2,555 compounds whose cell-line selectivity score was larger than at least one ferroptosis inducer was defined as cell-line-selective lethal compounds (the threshold is shown as a dashed line).

including topoisomerase I inhibitors, DNA synthesis inhibitors, antifolates (known to inhibit DNA synthesis), and serine/threonine kinase inhibitors, were more cell-line selective than those compounds with other MoA, such as hormones and apoptosis inducers (Figure S2).

Model-Based Clustering Reveals that Specific Patterns of GI50 Profiles Correlate with MoA
Most ferroptosis inducers were originally discovered in small molecule screening using engineered human fibroblasts (Smukste et al., 2006; Weiwer et al., 2012). Since most lethal compounds do not activate ferroptosis, we hypothesized that the GI50 profiles of the ferroptosis inducer would be distinct from other lethal compounds. We therefore applied cluster analysis of 2,565 compounds (ten ferroptosis inducers and 2,555 cell-line-selective lethal compounds) based on the similarity of their GI50 profiles. We used a model-based clustering algorithm in the R statistical computing language (Fraley and Raftery, 2002). Without knowing the number of clusters or the MoA of compounds a priori, the optimal number of clusters was computed using the Bayesian Information Criterion. This clustering procedure assigned 2,565 compounds into 53 clusters (Figure 4A). Seven of nine ferroptosis inducers were assigned to one cluster consisting of only 20 compounds (20/2,565 = 0.78%) (Table S1). This is consistent with the hypothesis that the GI50 profiles of ferroptosis inducers are similar to each other but distinct from other cell-line-selective lethal compounds.
the former group showed particularly similar GO term-compound associations within the larger group (Figure 5A). This indicates that most of the 2,565 cell-line-selective lethal compounds in the NCI-60 project showed similar drug sensitivity profiles. Genes encoding extracellular matrix or plasma membrane proteins were positively and negatively correlated against the former and the latter groups, respectively, while genes encoding nucleic or mitochondrial proteins were negatively and positively correlated with them (Figure 5B). These correlations may reflect the subcellular components that the compounds are ultimately targeting.

**Specificity Parameter Predicts Specific Gene Signatures as Biomarkers for Sensitivity to Each Cluster of Compounds**

The heatmap in Figure 5B also revealed that some GO terms were exclusively associated with only one compound cluster. For example, genes encoding proteins in mitochondria (g6), microtubules (#41), and nuclei (#49) were positively correlated with only one compound cluster each. This inspired us to search for the GO terms that are exclusively associated with one of the 18 clusters. Because these GO terms are associated with only one compound cluster, they should represent biomarkers for sensitivity to the cluster’s mechanism of action. To identify such GO terms and the corresponding compound cluster, we not only computed the significance (p value) of enrichment between all the GO terms and all the compound clusters, but also associated GO terms. The two most significant and specific GO terms associated with this cluster were oxidoreductase activities (GO:0016614 and GO:0016616). There were ten GO terms related to oxidoreductase activity in the analysis (Figures 6A and 6C), and five of them were most strongly associated with this cluster among the 18 clusters (i.e., specificity >0) (Figure 6B). Particularly, enzymes that reduce NAD+ or NADP+ (NAD(P)+ hereafter) (GO:0016616, GO:0016614) or oxidize NADH or NADPH (NAD(P)H hereafter) (GO:0016651) are included in this cluster; therefore, oxidoreductases using NAD(P)+ and NAD(P)H (NAD(P)(H) hereafter) appear to be genuine biomarkers of sensitivity to ferroptosis. When the two GO terms were merged into one term, representing oxidoreductases using NAD(P)(H), this new gene set became both more significant (p = 1.2 × 10^{-4}) and more specific (specificity = 5.06) (Figure 6D).

Although the significance of this analysis appeared high, we needed to be cautious interpreting the analysis, as the large specificity of this gene set against the ferroptosis cluster may be due to overfitting the gene-drug correlations from the NCI-60 data specifically. We therefore performed similar analysis on an independent dataset, the Cancer Therapeutic Response Portal (CTRP), which tested 255 compounds, 88 of which (including four ferroptosis inducers erastin, (1S,3R)-RSL3, ML162, and ML21) were cell-line-selective lethal in 115 cell lines from CCLE (Basu et al., 2013) (Figures S5A). Although the transcriptional signature of the NAD(P)(H)-dependent gene set was computed the specificity, or the difference between the first and second lowest p values in log scale. This filter revealed that 38 GO terms were exclusively associated with 11 clusters (Table S2), indicating that the GO terms are potential biomarkers of sensitivity for these clusters.

**Activity of NAD(P)(H)-dependent Oxidoreductases Is Associated with Ferroptosis**

We then focused on one cluster that contained ferroptosis inducers and their
still strongly associated with sensitivity to the ferroptosis inducers, the association was not as significant or as unique to these compounds as in the NCI-60 analysis, possibly reflecting the noisy nature of the studies, or that the collection of 88 compounds covers substantially distinct MoA from that of NCI-60 (Figures S5B and S5C). Whatever the cause of the discrepancy between CTRP and NCI-60, it is important to note that the two independent analyses suggest that transcriptional signature of AB

Figure 5. GO Enrichment Analysis Reveals GO Terms Associated with 18 Compound Clusters
(A) A heatmap showing 386 GO terms associated with the GI50 profiles of at least one of the 18 compound clusters (p < 10^-3), Blue and yellow indicate negative and positive association, i.e., down- and up-regulation of genes in the GO term associated with resistance to the compound cluster, respectively. Bar plot on the right indicates the numbers of compounds assigned into each cluster.
(B) A subset of (A), showing more significant association between GO terms and compound clusters (p < 10^-7). The heatmap was further split based on categories of GO terms: BP, biological process; CC, cellular content; and MF, molecular function.
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NAD(P)(H)-dependent oxidoreductases is strongly associated with sensitivity to ferroptosis, and therefore still a candidate biomarker for ferroptosis.

NAD(P)(H) Is a Biomarker for Sensitivity to Ferroptosis Inducers Across 12 Cell Lines

Based on this finding, we further hypothesized that not only is the expression of NAD(P)(H)-dependent genes, but also NAD(P)(H) level, correlated with sensitivity to ferroptosis. We found that three canonical ferroptosis inducers representing the three major mechanisms of inducing ferroptosis (erastin, (1S,3R)-RSL3, and FIN56) decreased both NAD(H) and NADP(H) levels (Figure 7A). The decrease in NAD(P)(H) level following treatment with ferroptosis inducers was inhibited by the antioxidant, α-tocopherol, suggesting that the loss of NAD(P)(H) during ferroptosis is a downstream consequence of lipid peroxidation upon GPX4 inhibition, thereby identifying for the first time an effect that is downstream of lipid ROS generation during ferroptosis.

NADPH is synthesized through phosphorylation of NAD+ by NAD+ kinase (NADK) (Pollak et al., 2007). NADPH is used by glutathione reductase to reduce oxidized glutathione (GSSG) to GSH (Deponte, 2013), further pointing to its potential relevance to ferroptosis. We suspected that basal NADP(H) abundance might correlate with sensitivity to ferroptosis. Indeed, knockdown of NADK using siRNA, which decreased NADP(H) level without affecting NAD(H) level, sensitized HT-1080 cells to the three ferroptosis inducers, supporting this hypothesis (Figure 7B). The extent to which siNADK enhanced the effects of the ferroptosis inducers was greater against GPX4 inhibitors ((1S,3R)-RSL3, FIN56) than against a GSH depletor (erastin). This corresponds to the fact that GPX4 inhibitors are more cell-line-selective lethal compounds than a GSH depletor (Figure 3B).

NADP(H) is an essential metabolite for survival, and its depletion could be a critical consequence of ferroptotic cell death. Cells resistant to ferroptosis inducers may somehow overcome the depletion of NADP(H) upon ferroptosis induction. We hypothesized that there should be two ways for cells to achieve resistance: (1) basal level of NADP(H) is high and/or (2) cells are resistant to its depletion upon ferroptosis induction. To test these hypotheses, we expanded our analysis and measured the level of NADP(H) upon vehicle or FIN56 treatment for 6 hr in a panel of 12 cell lines from diverse tissues. Indeed, our analysis confirmed that resistant cell lines exhibited either: (1) high basal level of NADP(H) and low [NADP+] /[NADPH] ratios or (2) resistance to depletion of NADP(H) upon FIN56 treatment (Figures 7C and S6). The basal level of NADP(H) serves as a biomarker for sensitivity to FIN56-induced ferroptosis and accounted for up to 70% of variability of logEC50 values (where EC50 is the median effective concentration) across 12 cell lines. Moreover, linear combination of basal NADP(H) level and the decrease in NADP(H) level can predict up to 90% of variability of the log10EC50 values across the panel. It is worth noting that there is little to no correlation between the basal NADP(H) level and sensitivity to ferroptosis inducers across 12 cell lines.
and the extent of NADP(H) decrease upon FIN56 treatment across the 12 cell lines, indicating that cells can acquire resistance to FIN56 through these independent mechanisms.

In conclusion, analysis of compound sensitivity across the NCI-60 cell line panel using transcriptome data revealed that NADP(H) abundance is inversely correlated with sensitivity to ferroptosis inducers, validating the accuracy and usefulness of this approach to identify biomarkers for sensitivity to cell death induction.

DISCUSSION

In this analysis, we computed cell-line selectivity in pharmacogenomic datasets. Compounds with low cell-line selectivity were removed from this analysis from the beginning, as this filter made the analysis more robust and consistent across independent studies. Among the cell-line-selective lethal compounds, we confirmed that compounds with the same mechanism of action showed similar drug sensitivity (GI50 profiles), indicating that the profiles likely reflect the compounds actual mechanism of action. We designed this analysis to make the result robust; first, using model-based clustering and further consolidating clusters of compounds with similar GI50 profiles, and thus similar MoA, and, finally, computing the specificity metric revealed GO terms associated with individual compound clusters, helping us define biomarkers for sensitivity for compound clusters.

NADP(H) abundance was found to be a biomarker for sensitivity to the ferroptosis cluster; however, it may be too generous to conclude that the NADP(H) level is an exclusive predictor for ferroptosis susceptibility. Although we discovered that another compound in the cluster, NSC704397, is a ferroptosis inducer, the cluster may possibly represent other cell death phenotypes.

Figure 7. Experimental Validation of NADPH as Predictor of Sensitivity to Ferroptosis

(A) Total NAD(H) and NADP(H) levels upon treatment of ferroptosis inducers with or without α-tocopherol.

(B) The effect of NAD⁺ kinase (NADK) knockdown on the sensitivity to ferroptosis inducers (top), NADK messenger level (bottom left) and NAD(P)(H) level.

(C) The relationship between the sensitivity to FIN56 and the NADP(H) level across the 12-cell line panel.

(D) Revised model for ferroptosis.
whose GI50 profiles are indistinguishable from ferroptosis inducers. The decrease of NAD(P)H is a known downstream consequence of some non-apoptotic-regulated cell death and, for example, some associated with decreases in NAD+ downstream of cell death induction may fall into the same cluster (Linkermann et al., 2014b).

More compounds are being tested in CCLE (or equivalently, CTRP), CGP and similar efforts. For robust and consistent analysis of these studies, incorporation of data filters such as the ones described in this study will be beneficial for the discovery of biomarkers that predict sensitivity of lethal compounds.

SIGNIFICANCE

In the era of precision medicine, mapping DNA sequence variants and transcriptional changes in individual patients’ cancers is feasible due to advances in sequencing technologies. There are large collaborative efforts to assemble comprehensive molecular profiles of individual cancers. However, precision medicine requires not only identification of cancer-associated mutations but also effective drugs for each cancer genotype, which is still a largely unsolved problem. One approach to address this problem has been to use large-scale testing of small molecules in genetically characterized cell lines. Although promising, this approach has not always led to reproducible results. We hypothesized that compounds that exhibited high cell-line-selective lethality would exhibit robust and consistent results across large pharmacogenomic studies and could be used to identify biomarkers predictive of sensitivity.

We analyzed the drug sensitivity data of 6,259 lethal compounds in the NCI-60 project and categorized lethal compounds as cell-line selective or not based on IQRs of log_{10} GI50 values across the NCI-60 cell line panel. We then clustered 2,565 cell-line-selective lethal compounds into 18 clusters. Correlating GI50 profiles of the 18 clusters and transcriptome data for the NCI-60 cell lines revealed biomarkers that may predict sensitivity of cell lines to specific lethal compound clusters. Notably, expression of NAD(P)H-dependent oxidoreductase genes was correlated with sensitivity to a cluster containing primarily ferroptosis-inducing compounds. This prediction was further experimentally validated: cellular NADPH abundance predicted sensitivity to ferroptosis inducers, and NADPH was depleted during ferroptosis.

Thus, analysis of cell-line selectivity improved the predictive power of pharmacogenomic analyses and enabled the discovery of a biomarker, NADPH, that predicts the degree of sensitivity of cell lines to ferroptosis. The methodology described in this paper should be applicable to uncovering biomarkers for sensitivity of other cell-line-selective lethal compounds.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

CCLE and CGP Data Analysis

The comparisons of CCLE and CGP were performed using the supplementary data of Haibe-Kains et al. (2013). In the original article, consistency of pathway-gene associations between the studies was assessed as follows: (1) first, the Spearman correlations between gene expression and the drug sensitivity (either AUC or IC_{50}) to each lethal compound across 474 cell lines (which we termed consistency) were computed, (2) these correlations were used to perform GSEA against GO terms. Significance of association between GO terms (pathways) and sensitivity to each drug was computed and the p values were false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted, and (3) for GO terms with only FDR-adjusted p values <0.2 at least some, the Spearman correlations of normalized enrichment scores between the studies were computed for each lethal compound considered as “consistency of pathway-drug association.”

The cell-line selectivity across 474 cell lines for each lethal compound was then computed in each study. As in the original article, two measures, log_{10}IC_{50} and AUC, were used to compute the cell-line selectivity. Finally, the relationship between consistency of the pathway-drug association and the cell-line selectivity was evaluated for AUC (Figure 1) and IC_{50} (Figure S1), respectively.

NCI-60 Data Analysis

Symbols

We used the following symbols for the analysis of the NCI-60 data described below: a lethal compound (L), a cell line (C), a compound cluster (C), the ferroptosis cluster (P), the logGI50 value of L in C (gL,C), a vector of gL,C across the NCI-60 cell line panel (gL,…), the median value of gL,C among the cell lines (gL,…), the median subtracted logGI50 value of L in C (gL,C = gl,C – gL,…), and the gL,… of the NCI-60 cell lines, or GI50 profile of L (gL,…). L can be a compound cluster (a matrix of 6,249 compounds by 59 cell lines), a vector of GI50 values of the NCI-60 cell lines (gL,…), a GO term (GO), the Spearman correlation coefficient between XP,… and GI50, and the specificity of GO against θ (θGO,L) = 1 − GI50, for each lethal compound.

Discovery of Cell-Line-Selective Lethals from the NCI-60 Data

Raw logGI50 data (gL,…), for each cell line, were downloaded from CellMiner’s website (http://discover.nci.nih.gov/cellminer/loadDownload.do). For lethal compounds tested in more than one replicate, we computed the median of each cell line, so that there is a unique value, gL,C, for each L and C. Of the 50,839 lethal compounds, we selected 6,249 that were tested in all 59 cell lines (breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-468 and melanoma cell line MDAMB-N were removed from this analysis because either pharmacology or transcriptome data were not available; the list of 59 cell lines used in the analysis is available at http://dtp.nci.nih.gov/docs/misc/common_files/cell_list.htm). These gL,… (a matrix of 6,249 L by 59 C) have no missing values. Each L’s GI50 profile among NCI-60 cell lines was computed by gL,… = gL,… – gL,… because the relative cellular sensitivity to L among the NCI-60 panel was of our interest.

Regarding gL,… of ferroptosis inducers, we submitted ten lethal compounds to NCI: four GSH synthesis inhibitors (erastin, AE, and PE); four covalent GPX4 inhibitors (acetaminophen, erastin, AE, PE, MEII); and two non-covalent GPX4 inhibitors (CIL56 and FIN56). gL,… of the ten ferroptosis inducers were acquired in biological duplicates at NCI. The logGI50 value of MEII in the EKVX cell line (DENV/ENV) was estimated by averaging gL,… of the other GSH depleting compounds (i.e., L = erastin, AE, and PE).

Next, we sought cell-line-selective lethal compounds. The parameter, cell-line selectivity score, was defined by the IQR (the difference between the upper and lower quartiles) of each gL,…. The cell-line selectivity scores of 6,249 compounds as well as ferroptosis inducers were computed. The cell-line selectivity score of ferroptosis inducers (excluding CIL56, which induces both ferroptosis and necrosis) was substantially larger than other compounds. A total of 2,555 compounds whose scores were greater than that of at least one specific ferroptosis inducer were defined as cell-line-selective lethal compounds.

Model-Based Clustering of Cell-Line-Selective Lethals

Cluster analysis groups objects in a way such that objects within a cluster are more similar than between clusters. Specifically, we chose to cluster 2,565 G,… (ten ferroptosis inducers and 2,555 other cell-line-selective lethal compounds) using model-based clustering, which estimates the number of clusters as well as the membership of each object (Fraley and Rattray, 2002). The 2,565 compounds were grouped into 53 clusters.

The drug sensitivity data from the NCI-60 project came with MoA of lethal compounds if known. We computed whether each MoA was over-represented...
in any of the 53 clusters using Fisher’s exact test. A MoA is assigned to a compound cluster 1’ when (1) 1’ over-represented MoA (FDR < 0.1) and (2) 1’ contained five or more compounds with the MoA. This analysis revealed that MoA targeting DNA were all relatively similar to each other. Therefore, we consolidated these adjacent clusters into one new cluster applying the cutree function to the hierarchical clustering dendrogram of the 53 compound clusters. This ended up consolidating 53 clusters into 18 clusters.

A slpGO profile of a compound cluster 1’ (=G1’;) was represented by the gene expression profile 1’ among L assigned to the same cluster 1’; we thus computed G1’, for both 53 and 18 clusters.

**Transcriptional Analysis**

Microarray data of NCI-60 cell lines (XT,J) using the Affymetrix U133 Platform was available at https://wiki.nci.nih.gov/display/NCIDTPdata/Molecular+Target+Data. They are robust multi-array-normalized microarray data of NCI-60 cell lines generated by Gene Logic, Inc. Based on their differential expression of XT,J (evaluated using IQR), 13,748 T (80% of the total genes on the chip) were chosen for further analyses. We first computed the Spearman correlation coefficients (pT,J), i.e., pT,J = cor(slpGO, XT,J, G1,J) between 13,748 T and 53 J.

We then performed GO enrichment analysis using pT,J computed above. GO was taken from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) from the Broad Institute (http://www.broadinstitute.org), and GO containing ten T or more were examined in the GSEA framework (Subramanian et al., 2005). Significance of the enrichment was assessed using random sample permutation. The enrichment score, (G), is a vector of signed log p values for GO and all the clusters except 1’; note that GOt is positive when and only when GO is most significantly associated with the compound cluster 1’; it gets larger when GO’s association is more specific to 1’. In sum, we sought [(GO1),…, (GONT,J)] = log (slpGO1,J) >0 >2. and expected GO to be a specific and significant biomarker for the sensitivity to 1’.

**Pathway-Drug Association in CTRP**

We analyzed drug sensitivity data of 354 lethal compounds in 242 cell lines from another project, CTRP, available in supplemental data of Basu et al. (2013). Lethal compounds tested in CTRP included four ferroptosis inducers, all of which were tested in 115 cell lines, and 255 lethal compounds were also tested in the same 115 cell lines, which we analyzed in a similar way to our NCI-60 data analysis. We first computed cell-line selectivity of 255 compounds and discovered 88 compounds that were as cell-line selective as ferroptosis inducers. We then performed drug sensitivity data of 354 lethal compounds in 242 cell lines upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts. The data from the time course measurement were fitted using the equation derived from Michaelis-Menten kinetics: Ys = Y0 + (Ymax − Y0) \( \frac{1}{1 + (Kt − t)} \). The Ys values were measured every minute for 1 hr upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts. The data from the time course measurement were fitted using the equation derived from Michaelis-Menten kinetics: Ys = Y0 + (Ymax − Y0) \( \frac{1}{1 + (Kt − t)} \). The Ys values were measured every minute for 1 hr upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts. The data from the time course measurement were fitted using the equation derived from Michaelis-Menten kinetics: Ys = Y0 + (Ymax − Y0) \( \frac{1}{1 + (Kt − t)} \). The Ys values were measured every minute for 1 hr upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts. The data from the time course measurement were fitted using the equation derived from Michaelis-Menten kinetics: Ys = Y0 + (Ymax − Y0) \( \frac{1}{1 + (Kt − t)} \). The Ys values were measured every minute for 1 hr upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts. The data from the time course measurement were fitted using the equation derived from Michaelis-Menten kinetics: Ys = Y0 + (Ymax − Y0) \( \frac{1}{1 + (Kt − t)} \). The Ys values were measured every minute for 1 hr upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts. The data from the time course measurement were fitted using the equation derived from Michaelis-Menten kinetics: Ys = Y0 + (Ymax − Y0) \( \frac{1}{1 + (Kt − t)} \). The Ys values were measured every minute for 1 hr upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts. The data from the time course measurement were fitted using the equation derived from Michaelis-Menten kinetics: Ys = Y0 + (Ymax − Y0) \( \frac{1}{1 + (Kt − t)} \). The Ys values were measured every minute for 1 hr upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts. The data from the time course measurement were fitted using the equation derived from Michaelis-Menten kinetics: Ys = Y0 + (Ymax − Y0) \( \frac{1}{1 + (Kt − t)} \). The Ys values were measured every minute for 1 hr upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts. The data from the time course measurement were fitted using the equation derived from Michaelis-Menten kinetics: Ys = Y0 + (Ymax − Y0) \( \frac{1}{1 + (Kt − t)} \). The Ys values were measured every minute for 1 hr upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts. The data from the time course measurement were fitted using the equation derived from Michaelis-Menten kinetics: Ys = Y0 + (Ymax − Y0) \( \frac{1}{1 + (Kt − t)} \). The Ys values were measured every minute for 1 hr upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts. The data from the time course measurement were fitted using the equation derived from Michaelis-Menten kinetics: Ys = Y0 + (Ymax − Y0) \( \frac{1}{1 + (Kt − t)} \). The Ys values were measured every minute for 1 hr upon enzyme-substrate mixture addition to cell extracts.
package (plotting heatmap), mclust package (model-based clustering), rgraphviz package (a GO graph visualization), lm function (linear regressions), cor.test (significance of correlation), GSEA-P-R package (GSEA). p-values of enrichment scores in GSEA using random permutation were computed in Hotfoot HPC cluster at Columbia University.
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