# **Relating Intracochlear Pressure to Emissions**

Wei Dong and Elizabeth S. Olson

Columbia University New York USA

# **Cochlear wave in forward direction**

Traveling + compression waves



Dong & Olson, JASA, 117, 2005

#### Method Simultaneous recording of DP and DPOAE Speaker $L_1 = L_2$ , $f_2/f_1 = 1.05$ or 1.25, sweep $(f_1, f_2)$ from low to high Sound Wax Ear canal $f_1 + f_2$ OAEs Eardrum Stapes **Microphone: EC pressure** $f_1 + f_2 +$ ST pressure sensor Incus Wax/ Malleus **Intracochlear pressure** Forward: phase ST – EC ( $f_1 \& f_2$ frequency) Intracochlear pressure measurement **Reverse:** phase DPOAE – DP (DP frequency) scala vestibuli sensory tissue (organ of Corti) IHC Adult gerbil (50 - 70 g)basilar membrane scala tympani Basal cochlear turn BF ~ 20 kHz pressure sensor (diameter $\sim 150 \,\mu\text{m}$ )

## **DP** local and remote generation

#### <u>At fs ~ BF</u>

### Tuned similarly to single-tone with similar traveling group delay

Cochlear filtering shapes DP amplitude DPs appear to be locally generated

### <u>At fs << BF</u>

Fine structure in amplitude Phase is relatively steep with wiggles

DPs appear to be remotely generated



 $2f_1 - f_2$ 



Wg93, sensor positioned 10  $\mu$ m from the BM L<sub>1</sub> = L<sub>2</sub> = 70, 80 & 90 dB SPL f<sub>2</sub>/f<sub>1</sub> = 1.05 f<sub>2</sub> = [1 : 0.2 : 35] kHz







## Is there clear similarity between DP and DPOAE?

#### IF YES

### How will DPs travel out of the cochlea?

Propagating via BM reverse traveling wave? Or directly through fluid via compression wave?

#### **Evidence should be in the phase:**

Reverse traveling wave phase delay  $\approx$  forward traveling wave phase delay Compression wave phase  $\approx$  middle ear reverse delay



Sensor positioned 28  $\mu$ m from the BM, before hitting  $L_1 = L_2 = 80 \text{ dB SPL}$   $f_2/f_1 = 1.05$  $f_2 = [1:0.1:40] \text{ kHz}$  Sensor positioned 20  $\mu$ m from the BM, after hitting L<sub>1</sub> = L<sub>2</sub> = 60, 70 & 80 dB SPL f<sub>2</sub>/f<sub>1</sub> = 1.25 f<sub>2</sub> = [1 : 0.4 : 30] kHz

## **DP** directly related to **DPOAE** (*f* < *BF*)

 $2f_1 - f_2$ : Phase DPOAE-DP favors reverse wave



# DP directly related to DPOAE (f ~ BF)

 $2f_1 - f_2$ : no similar fine structure (wg96)



**DPOAE** and **DP** not similar, yet we do expect the **BF DPOAE** to have substantial contribution from **BF** region. Can we get a quantitative relationship?

## **DP directly related to DPOAE** ( $f \sim BF$ ) 2 $f_1 - f_2$ : phase DPOAE-DP (wg96)



#### <u>At 80 dB SPL</u>

Phase leads instead of lags:

Supports compression wave hypothesis OR

Due to: DP phase – forward traveling DPOAE phase – shallow, has no phase information about traveling in and out

At 60&70 dB SPL

**Phase lags:** 

Supports reverse traveling wave AND Due to: DP phase – forward traveling

**DPOAE** phase – steep, contains phase information about traveling in and out

## More reverse wave like phase

 $2f_2 - f_1$ : phase DPOAE-DP favors reverse traveling wave  $(f_2/f_1 = 1.05)$ 



# **DP compression wave is not in evidence due to spatial variation of DP pressure**



DPs change rapidly in space, were not dominated by a compression wave (which would be spatially unvarying)

# Summary

- At fs ~ BF, comparisons of DPs and DPOAEs need to be interpreted with caution. The phase DPOAE – DP can only be expected to have meaning when the DPOAE phase is steep. In those cases, DPOAE – DP phase is consistent with the reverse traveling wave.
- At fs < BF, comparison of DPs and DPOAEs routinely show a direct relationship, that DPs travel back to the EC via a reverse traveling wave.
- In addition, DP spatial variation indicates that the DP close to the BM is not dominated by a compression wave.



