This article was originally published in a journal published by Elsevier, and the attached copy is provided by Elsevier for the author’s benefit and for the benefit of the author’s institution, for non-commercial research and educational use including without limitation use in instruction at your institution, sending it to specific colleagues that you know, and providing a copy to your institution’s administrator.

All other uses, reproduction and distribution, including without limitation commercial reprints, selling or licensing copies or access, or posting on open internet sites, your personal or institution’s website or repository, are prohibited. For exceptions, permission may be sought for such use through Elsevier’s permissions site at:

http://www.elsevier.com/locate/permissionusematerial
Continuing differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells and induced chondrogenic and osteogenic lineages in electrospun PLGA nanofiber scaffold

Xuejun Xin, Mohammad Hussain, Jeremy J. Mao*

Department of Biomedical Engineering, Columbia University, College of Dental Medicine, Fu Foundation School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 630 W. 168 St., PH7 East CDM, New York, NY 10032, USA

Received 5 June 2006; accepted 22 August 2006

Available online 28 September 2006

Abstract

Nanofibers have recently gained substantial interest for potential applications in tissue engineering. The objective of this study was to determine whether electrospun nanofibers accommodate the viability, growth, and differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) as well as their osteogenic (hMSC-Ob) and chondrogenic (hMSC-Ch) derivatives. Poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) beads with a PLA:PGA ratio of 85:15 were electrospun into non-woven fibers with an average diameter of 760±210 nm. The average Young’s modulus of electrospun PLGA nanofibers was 42±26 kPa, per nanoindentation with atomic force microscopy (AFM). Human MSCs were seeded 1–4 weeks at a density of 2×10⁶ cells/mL in PLGA nanofiber sheets. After 2 week culture on PLGA nanofiber scaffold, hMSCs remained as precursors upon immunoblotting with hKL12 antibody. SEM taken up to 7 days after cell seeding revealed that hMSCs, hMSC-Ob and hMSC-Ch apparently attached to PLGA nanofibers. The overwhelming majority of hMSCs was viable and proliferating in PLGA nanofiber scaffolds up to the tested 14 days, as assayed live/dead tests, DNA assay and BrdU. In a separate experiment, hMSCs seeded in PLGA nanofiber scaffolds were differentiated into chondrogenic and osteogenic cells. Histological assays revealed that hMSCs continuously differentiated into chondrogenic and osteogenic cells after 2 week incubation in PLGA nanofibers. Taken together, these data represent an original investigation of continuous differentiation of hMSCs into chondrogenic and osteogenic cells in PLGA nanofiber scaffold. Consistent with previous work, these findings also suggest that nanofibers may serve as accommodative milieu for not only hMSCs, but also as a 3D carrier vehicle for lineage specific cells.
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1. Introduction

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have elicited substantial attention because they can be readily isolated, expanded ex vivo and have been used for in vivo tissue engineering [1–5]. Engineered tissue phenotypes from MSCs include osteochondral grafts with both cartilage and bone [3,5–10], adipose tissue [11,12], tendon and ligaments [13–15] and skeletal muscle [5]. Despite the pioneering effort on the use of MSCs in the engineering of several tissue phenotypes, the optimal scaffolds that are capable of influencing and accommodating the growth and differentiation of MSCs are yet to be identified and likely are specific for each application. During native development and wound healing, cells and molecules interact with extracellular matrix (ECM) molecules such as fibrous proteins and glycosaminoglycans. The ECM milieu surrounding the cell has physical and structural features in the nanometer scale, and may affect several aspects of cell behavior such as morphology, adhesion and cytoskeletal arrangements [16–21].

Recently, synthetic materials have been fabricated into nanometer scale structures in attempts to simulate the matrix environment in which seeded cells can be accommodated to proliferate and differentiate towards desired lineages [22–33]. Electrospinning is one of the approaches...
that allow the fabrication of synthetic materials into fibrous structures in the nanometer scale [31–39]. Nanofibers formed by electrospinning have been shown to mimic the ECM environment to various degrees when cultured with several cell types [40–46]. Poly(ε-caprolactone) (PLC) nanofibers have been characterized [50]. Osteoblast adhesion has been shown to occur when seeded in PLGA nanofibers [51]. The growth of fetal pulmonary cells [54] and porcine chondrocytes [55]. A blend mixture of PLGA and PLGA-PEG-NH2 supported the adhesion of an osteoblast cell line [41]. Despite these meritorious studies, relatively little is known whether PLGA nanofibers accommodate continuous differentiation of MSCs into osteoblasts and chondrocytes, all of which are cell lineages of particular importance in osteoarthritis. In this report, we determined the growth and differentiation behavior of MSCs and their osteogenic and chondrogenic derivatives seeded in electrospun PLGA nanofibers.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Electrospun nanofiber fabrication

Commercially available PLGA beads (85:15; PLA:PGA) (Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI, USA) were dissolved in organic solvent mixture of tetrahydrofuran (THF, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and dimethylformamide (DMF, Fisher Chemicals, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). The block PLGA copolymer solution was loaded in a 20-mL syringe capped with 18-G needle. An electric field was created with high voltage power supply at 18 kV between the needle (anode) and the rectangular stainless steel plate (collecting plate: 20 × 30 cm as cathode) at a distance of 20 cm (Spellman High Voltage Electronic, Hauppauge, NY, USA). The polymer solution was drawn from the syringe under accurate infusion control pump (Fisher Scientific, Hanover Park, IL, USA) and sprayed onto the target by combined forces of gravity and electrostatic charge [31–39]. Electrospun nanofibers were collected for additional analysis.

2.2. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and nanoindentation with atomic force microscopy (AFM)

Morphological structures of electrospun PLGA nanofibers were observed using SEM (HITACHI S-3000N) coated with Platinum by sputter at an accelerating voltage of 10–20 kV prior to and after cell seeding. A subset of electrospun PLGA nanofibers were deposited on a clean glass substrate and a clean metal disk substrate. Individual PLGA nanofibers were separately subjected to imaging and nanoindentation under atomic force microscope (AFM, Veeeco-Digital Instruments, Santa Barbara, CA, USA), per our previous approaches [56–59]. Spherical contact tips were applied to indent PLGA nanofibers in contact mode to image and indent the PLGA nanofibers for the determination of Young's modulus per Hertz model per our previous methods [57–59]:

\[
E = \frac{2F(1 - \nu^2)\tan \theta}{4d^2},
\]

where \( F \) is the load, \( \nu \) is Poisson's ratio, \( \theta \) is intender angle of the conical tip and \( d \) is amount of indentation.

2.3. hMSCs and their differentiation into osteogenic and chondrogenic cells

Bone-marrow-derived hMSCs were purchased from AllCells (Berkeley, CA, USA). The donor was a 25-year-old healthy male volunteer without additional identifier. In our previous work, we have isolated hMSCs from multiple donors [5]. In this report, the isolated hMSCs were culture-expanded in monolayer with a cocktail containing Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Atlanta Biologicals, Lawrenceville, GA, USA) and 1% antibiotic–antimycotic (Atlanta Biologicals, Lawrenceville, GA, USA). The medium was changed every 3 days, with all cultures maintained at 37 °C with 5% CO2. Chondrogenic or osteogenic differentiations were induced per our prior methods [5]. First passage hMSCs were treated with chondrogenic supplements containing 10 ng/mL TGF-β3 (RDI, Flanders, NJ, USA) in serum-free DMEM for 2 weeks. This protocol has induced hMSCs into chondrogenic cells per several molecular and mRNA assays in our previous work [5]. For osteogenic differentiation, hMSCs were treated with a cocktail of 100 μM dexamethasone, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, and 0.05 mM ascorbic acid-2-phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) per our previous methods. Von Kossa and alkaline phosphatase assays confirmed that hMSCs so treated had been differentiated into osteogenic cells, and also per our previous work [5]. All cell differentiation cultures were incubated in 95% air, 5% CO2 at 37 °C with corresponding medium changes every 3 days.

2.4. Cell-seeded PLGA nanofiber construct and differentiation

Culture-expanded hMSCs, hMSC-derived osteoblasts and hMSC-derived chondrocytes were trypsinized, counted and seeded separately into pre-wetted and ethylene oxide sterilized PLGA nanofiber sheets (10 × 10 × 0.5 mm) at a density of 2 × 10^6 cells/mL. hMSC-seeded PLGA nanofiber constructs were continuously cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotic–antimycotic, as described above. hMSC-derived chondrocytes seeded in PLGA nanofiber constructs were continuously cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10 ng/mL TGF-β3, as described above. hMSC-derived osteoblasts seeded in PLGA nanofiber constructs were continuously cultured in DMEM supplemented with 100 μM dexamethasone, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, and 0.05 mM ascorbic acid-2-phosphate as described above. All cultures were incubated in corresponding medium for 1, 3 or 7 days. PLGA nanofiber sheets without cell seeding were used as controls.

2.5. Cell morphology in PLGA nanofiber scaffold

Cell-seeded PLGA nanofiber constructs were harvested and washed by PBS and subsequently fixed in 4% glutaraldehyde before dehydration with increasing concentrations of ethanol, and finally with hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS; Fluka Chemical, Milwaukee, WI, USA) to further extract water. The dehydrated, cell-seeded or cell-free PLGA nanofiber constructs were maintained in desiccators equipped with a vacuum for overnight air drying. After sputter-coating with Platinum, SEM was used to observe cell and scaffold morphology and cell attachment on PLGA nanofiber scaffold.
2.6. DNA content, cell viability and cell proliferation assays

PLGA nanofiber scaffolds seeded with hMSCs were incubated for 1, 3, 7 or 14 days. At each time point, cell-seeded and cell-free PLGA scaffolds were sonicated to extract total DNA. DNA content was measured using Hoechst 33258 and DNA quantitation kit and VersaFluor™ Fluorometer System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Cell viability in PLGA nanofiber scaffolds was determined for cells seeded on the surface of PLGA nanofibers by live/dead viability/cytotoxicity kit (Molecular Probes, L-3224, Eugene, OR, USA) per our previous methods [6]. Green fluorescence by calcein reaction with intracellular esterase indicated live cells, whereas red fluorescence reacted with ethidium homodimer that binds to nucleic acids indicated dead cells. Bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used to label mitotic cells in cell-seeded PLGA nanofiber scaffolds, with cell-free scaffolds as controls. BrdU labeling was analyzed by FITC conjugated anti-BrdU immunofluorescence reagent (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).

2.7. Histology, immunohistochemistry, and confocal microscopy

Cell-seeded and cell-free PLGA nanofiber sheets were mounted on slides and fixed in 4% phosphate-buffered paraformaldehyde (Sigma). Mounted sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosion (H&E, Sigma). Upon 1 and 7 days following cell seeding, PLGA nanofiber scaffolds were washed in PBS and fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde at room temperature. Cell-seeded PLGA constructs were incubated with hKL12 primary antibody (1:100–1:800, Acris antibodies GmbH) with 0.5% blocking serum. Each sample was rinsed in PBS for 20 min and incubated with a FITC-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG1 secondary antibody (Research Diagnostics, Hercules, CA, USA) in 0.5% serum for 30 min. The immunological fluorescence-stained cell-seeded constructs were mounted and viewed under laser scanning confocal microscope (LSCM, IX70-HLSH100 Fluoview; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

2.8. Data analysis and statistics

Student’s t-tests were used to determine whether DNA contents at 3, 7 and 14 days after the seeding of hMSCs differed from 1 day after seeding at an alpha level of P < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Electrospun PLGA nanofibers and AFM characterization

PLGA nanofibers fabricated by electrospinning in this study showed non-woven mat structures as in SEM images (Fig. 1A and 1B). Fiber orientation was apparently random, with average fiber diameters in the range of 550–970 nm (mean: 760 ± 210 nm: mean ± standard deviation and thereafter). Topographic imaging by AFM of the electrospun PLGA nanofibers revealed fibrous and regular smooth surface features on either glass or stainless steel surfaces (Fig. 1C and D, respectively) and in three-dimensional observation (Fig. 1E), consistent with the diameter measurements using SEM (Fig. 1A and B). By extracting from force volume images and nanoindentation force curves, and the application of Hertz model, the calculated average Young’s modulus of the electrospun PLGA nanofibers was 42 ± 26 kPa.

Fig. 1. Electrospun PLGA nanofibers: (A) PLGA nanofibers as non-woven mesh-like structures under SEM. (B) Higher SEM magnification showing irregular pores among PLGA nanofibers. (C) Imaging of single PLGA nanofibers deposited on glass substrate by atomic force microscopy (AFM). (D) AFM imaging of individual PLGA nanofibers on stainless steel substrate. (E) 3D contour of PLGA nanofibers under AFM (scale bars A: 50 μm; B: 10 μm).
3.2. Cell seeding and interactions with PLGA nanofiber scaffold

Near confluent hMSCs were trypsinized, suspended and seeded in electrospun PLGA nanofibers. Live and dead assay revealed that hMSCs in 2D were viable prior to trypsinization (Fig. 2A). Two weeks following the seeding of hMSCs in PLGA nanofibers, live and dead assay showed that the seeded hMSCs in the 3D PLGA nanofiber scaffold were still alive (Fig. 2B). DNA contents of hMSCs seeded in PLGA nanofiber scaffold increased from 1 to 14 days after cell seeding, indicating progressive proliferation of the seeded hMSCs (Fig. 2C). Significantly greater DNA contents were observed after 3, 7 and 14 days after hMSC seeding in comparison with the first day after hMSC seeding (Fig. 2C). BrdU labeling at 14 days after hMSC seeding in electrospun PLGA nanofiber scaffold revealed that a substantial number of the seeded hMSCs were undergoing mitosis (Fig. 2D).

3.3. Cell morphology in electrospun PLGA nanofiber scaffold

Prior to cell seeding, PLGA nanofibers incubated in DMEM (Fig. 3A), osteogenic supplemented DMEM (Fig. 3E) and chondrogenic supplemented DMEM (Fig. 3I) revealed somewhat uniform morphological features such as random orientation, with little difference among them, as expected. However, hMSCs seeded 1, 3 and 7 days in PLGA nanofiber scaffolds and analyzed with SEM showed apparent morphological differences (top row in Fig. 3). Seven days after seeding, hMSCs apparently attached to PLGA nanofibers and penetrated into the pores (Fig. 3D), in comparison with hMSCs seeded for 1 and 3 days (Fig. 3B and C, respectively). One day after seeding, hMSCs appeared somewhat rounded in shape (Fig. 3B), in contrast with a more elongated cell shape 7 days after seeding (Fig. 3D), suggesting that the seeded hMSCs increasingly attach to PLGA nanofibers. The morphology of hMSC-derived osteoblasts seeded in PLGA nanofibers was also remarkable. Seven days after cell seeding, hMSC-Ob apparently elaborated a substantial amount of extracellular matrices (Fig. 3H), in comparison with hMSC-Ob seeded for 1 and 3 days (Fig. 3F and G, respectively) and PLGA nanofibers incubated in osteogenic medium but without cell seeding (Fig. 3E). Similar to hMSCs, hMSC-derived osteoblasts appeared somewhat rounded 1 day after cell seeding (Fig. 3F) in contrast to the more elongated cell shape that appeared 7 days after cell seeding (Fig. 3H), suggesting hMSC-Ob attachment to PLGA nanofibers. Penetration of hMSC-derived chondrocytes into PLGA nanofibers was most apparent 7 days after cell seeding in contrast with those seeded for 1 and 3 days (Fig. 3J–L). Most PLGA nanofibers were still visible.
7 days after the seeding of hMSC-Ch (Fig. 3L), in comparison with the characteristics of hMSC-Ob seeded in PLGA nanofiber scaffolds for 7 days (Fig. 3H). A number of seeded hMSC-Ch appeared to reside in lacunae-like structures (arrow in Fig. 3L).

### 3.4. Cell phenotype by histological staining and fluorescence/confocal microscope

H&E staining of hMSCs immediately prior to trypsination and cell seeding revealed their near confluence and the typical spindle-like morphology (Fig. 4A), similar to our previous work [12]. By Day 7 after cell seeding, hMSCs assumed apparently random orientations among PLGA nanofibers (Fig. 4B). Under confocal microscopy, hMSCs cultured in 2D Petri dishes showed somewhat elongated and spindle shape at 14 days following cell seeding (Fig. 4C). The representative confocal microscopic image of hMSCs seeded in PLGA nanofibers also showed somewhat elongated shape at 14 days following cell seeding (Fig. 4D). The seeded hMSCs apparently attached to PLGA nanofibers (Fig. 4D).

### 3.5. Differentiation of hMSCs into chondrogenic and osteogenic cells in 3D PLGA nanofibers

hMSCs seeded in PLGA nanofiber scaffold in chondrogenic induced medium began to develop blue color with red nucleated stain from 1 week to 4 weeks with alcian blue staining (Fig. 5D–F). Alcian blue labels glycosaminoglycans and is a conventional marker for chondrogenesis. In comparison, alcian blue staining was negative for hMSCs seeded in PLGA nanofibers without chondrogenic differentiations (Fig. 5A–C). For hMSCs seeded in PLGA nanofibers and treated with osteogenic medium, alizarin red was positive from 1 to 4 weeks (Fig. 5J–L), indicating potential mineral deposition, in comparison with mostly negative alizarin red in hMSCs seeded in PLGA nanofibers without osteogenic differentiation (Fig. 5G–I).

### 4. Discussion

The present data represent an original report of the differentiation of hMSCs into chondrocytes and osteoblasts in PLGA nanofibers. In a closely related report,
hMSCs were differentiated into adipocytes, chondrocytes and osteoblasts in PCL nanofibers [52]. PLGA and PCL have a number of different material properties that warrant separate investigations of the differentiation behavior of hMSCs. The present data demonstrate that electrospun PLGA nanofibers are cytocompatible with not only hMSCs, but also osteoblasts and chondrocytes that derive from hMSCs. This is in general consistency with previous work that investigated the cytocompatibility of nanofibers [60–62]. Histological staining demonstrates that the PLGA nanofibers are capable of supporting seeded hMSC to differentiate, maintaining differentiated cell functions. The significantly increasing DNA contents of hMSCs over 14 days of cell seeding suggest that hMSCs continue to proliferate upon seeding in PLGA nanofibers. Thus, PLGA nanofibers apparently accommodate the self-replication of hMSCs. The ability of hMSCs to self-replicate is considered one of the essential properties of stem cells [5,63]. Per SEM and confocal microscopic images shown in the present study, the seeded hMSCs apparently are attached to PLGA nanofibers. One of the advantages of 3D nanofiber systems for seeding hMSCs is that in comparison with 2D culture system, cell seeding in 3D nanofiber system offers substantial surface area-to-volume ratio that maximizes cell–material contact.

Few previous studies have simultaneously investigated the cytocompatibility of hMSCs, hMSC-derived osteoblasts and hMSC-derived chondrocytes. In the present work, hMSCs were shown to have retained their phenotype as precursor cells as shown by hKL12 antibody staining. Further, hMSCs were shown to proliferate by BrdU staining. In addition, hMSC-derived chondrocytes assumed somewhat rounded appearance and resided in lacunae-like structures 7 days following cell seeding. Qualitatively, the morphology of hMSC-derived chondrocytes apparently differs from that of hMSCs and hMSC-derived osteoblasts. This is remarkable in that chondrocytes in native environment elaborate pericellular matrix that is enclosed in a chondron, and separated from neighboring chondrocytes with interterritorial matrix [57,64–66]. The present observation of somewhat rounded hMSC-derived chondrocytes after 7 days of seeding in PLGA nanofibers, in comparison with the morphology of hMSCs and hMSC-derived osteoblasts under the same conditions and at the same cell seeding density, suggests that hMSC-derived chondrocytes may have maintained their lineage characteristics in PLGA nanofiber scaffolds. Additional experiments are warranted to test this speculation. Previous work in cartilage tissue engineering has relied on various hydrogel scaffolds. It is probable that
nanofibers can be either used alone or serve as inserts in hydrogels towards cartilage engineering.

Physical properties and morphological structure of PLGA nanofiber fabricated by electrospinning in this work indicate that the formed scaffold has nanometer scale fibers, elastic property and porous structure. The morphological architecture of PLGA nanofiber scaffold is similar to those of natural ECM [67], suitable to nutrient and metabolic waste exchange. Single PLGA nanofiber has elastic modulus (42±26 kPa) as demonstrated by AFM in this study. The average elastic modulus in this work is similar to the elastic moduli for hMSCs (37±9.62 kPa), hMSC-derived chondrogenic cell (44.85±11.96 kPa) and hMSC-derived osteogenic cells (50.68±3.27), as found in our previous work also by AFM [5]. The similar elastic properties of PLGA nanofibers with hosting cells may be conducive to cell proliferation and differentiation, although this speculation warrants experimental investigations.

Osteoblasts derived from hMSCs in the present study showed morphological features that differ from both hMSCs, and hMSC-derived chondrocytes. Upon 7 days following the seeding in electrospun PLGA nanofibers, hMSC-derived osteoblasts apparently synthesized a substantial amount of matrices and likely penetrated the pores of PLGA nanofibers. Both SEM and confocal microscopy images suggest that hMSC-derived osteoblasts begin to attach to PLGA nanofibers following 1 and 3 days of cell seeding. This is perhaps an indication that the attachment of hMSC-derived osteoblasts is pre-requisite to matrix apposition as seen in the development of native osteoblasts.

Fig. 5. Differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) seeded in PLGA nanofiber scaffold in 3D. (A)–(C) hMSCs cultured in PLGA nanofibers without chondrogenic differentiation showed negative staining to alcian blue, indicating a lack of chondrogenic differentiation. (D)–(F) hMSCs seeded in PLGA nanofibers and treated with chondrogenic medium showed positive staining to alcian blue, suggesting that hMSCs have differentiated into chondrogenic cells with the presence of glycosaminoglycans. (G)–(I) hMSCs cultured in PLGA nanofibers without osteogenic differentiation showed negative staining to alizarin red, indicating a lack of osteogenic differentiation. (J)–(L) hMSCs seeded in PLGA nanofibers and treated with osteogenic medium showed positive staining to alizarin red, suggesting that hMSCs have differentiated into osteogenic cells with the presence of mineral deposition (scale bar: 30 μm).
The present work elicits a large of number of questions to be addressed in additional studies. Whether cell attachment in PLGA nanofibers is via cell adhesion molecules requires additional studies. At a relatively low cell seeding density and with the assumption and observation that cell-cell contact is not common, whether the seeded cells communicate by paracrine or autocrine pathways is an interesting biological question. The long-term interactions between seeded cells and PLGA nanofiber scaffolds should also be investigated. Degradation of PLGA polymers leads to acidic environment and should be addressed in its effects on seeded cells. This potential issue may be less significant if PLGA nanofibers are used as inserts in other biomaterials such as hydrogel, instead of as a bulk material. Nonetheless, the present data are consistent with previous work and add that electrospun PLGA nanofiber scaffolds are cytocompatible to not only hMSCs, but also to their osteoblast and chondrocyte lineages. In this work, hMSCs were obtained from a single adult donor. We have previously utilized multiple donors and obtained similar results [68,69]. Although certain inter-donor variability is expected, our previous observation indicates that hMSCs in multiple donors can be differentiated into multiple cell lineages [70].

5. Conclusion

PLGA nanofiber scaffolds accommodate continuous differentiation of hMSCs into osteoblasts and chondrocytes. We confirm that PLGA nanofibers accommodate the survival and proliferation of hMSCs, hMSCs, as well as hMSC-derived chondrogenic and osteogenic cells apparently attach to PLGA nanofibers, and yet assume apparently different morphological features.
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