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With this Winter 1995 issue, *Library Columns* begins a new format and an expanded mission. *Columns* is still the publication of The Friends of the Columbia Libraries, and we remain committed to keeping our readers informed about research that touches on Columbia University and its library collections. But we have broadened our scope. While continuing to highlight the collections of the Rare Book and Manuscript Library and the research they facilitate, *Library Columns* will now appear twice each year—in the winter and summer—and will attempt to shed that same light on Columbia’s other great collections. Toward this end, we have created an editorial board of librarians from throughout the Columbia community to provide news and insight on developments in their respective collections. We have also created an Advisory Board of scholars to help us identify important research taking place at Columbia that may be of interest to our readers.

Perhaps the clearest evidence for our new role can be found in this issue’s “Our Growing Collections,” which describes additions to the Avery, East Asian, Music, and Rare Book and Manuscript Libraries. Taken together, they give ample evidence that the collections of the Columbia Libraries are indeed growing, as we treasure and care for the heritage on our shelves and work to make it available to all those who value it as we do.
As Good as Its Owner? Giovanni Aurispa and His Manuscript of Victorinus’s Commentary

ANDERS WINROTH

Hic liber Aurispae est, Siculæ regionis alumni.
Nec melior liber est, nec melior dominus.
Convenit atque libro dominus, dominoque libellus:
Instruit hic dominum, corrigit ille librum.

This book is Aurispa’s, a son of the Sicilian region.
The book is not any better than its owner,
nor is its owner better than the book.
And the owner suits the book, the book suits the owner:
It instructs the owner, he corrects the book.

With these two neat though hardly very elegant couplets, the humanist book collector Giovanni Aurispa (1376–1459) announces his ownership of one of the most interesting medieval manuscripts in the Columbia collections, Plimpton MS 103. This book contains the commentary on Cicero’s De inventione, written by the fourth-century Roman rhetorician Marius Victorinus.

Aurispa lived during the great epoch of classical literature’s rediscovery, and he himself played an important part in this process. His major contribution pertains to Greek literature, which before his time was a scarce commodity in the
West. He brought home to Italy hundreds of books from long journeys in the Byzantine East in 1405–1413 and 1421–1423, thus greatly improving the supply of Greek texts available in the West. But Aurispa’s interests were not restricted to Greek literature. He also collected books in Latin, and his most important discovery in this field was the commentary on Terence’s comedies written in the fourth century by Aelius Donatus, which he found at Mainz in Germany in 1433.

In his indefatigable activity as a book hunter, Aurispa showed himself a worthy colleague of his better-known contemporaries, Poggio Bracciolini and Niccolò Niccoli, although his literary and scholarly production does not at all match their wide-ranging activity. While Poggio, for example, “indulged in a variety of literary pursuits, ranging from history and moral essays to polemic and pornography” (Reynolds & Wilson), Aurispa produced only one short original work and a handful of translations from Greek to Latin.

So why did Aurispa collect books? On this question scholarly opinion is divided. It has been suggested that his motives were purely commercial, and that he was more of a book trader than a collector. There is evidence that Aurispa sold many of his books, some of which commanded high prices, and Aurispa’s friend Francesco Filelfo, also a book collector and a humanist, accused him of being more interested in trading books than in studying them.

Filelfo’s remark was taken seriously by the ground-breaking investigator of early Renaissance book-hunting, Remigio Sabbadini: “He [Aurispa] instead was entirely focused on peddling them [his books].” In addition to the evidence already mentioned, Sabbadini based his conclusion on an inventory of Aurispa’s books written after his death and published in 1890 by Sabbadini himself. There are very few Greek books in this list, and Sabbadini concluded that Aurispa had sold all but a few of the many books he had brought home from his Eastern journeys.

More recent scholarship has shown, however, that this inventory lists only a part of Aurispa’s collection. In 1976, Adriano Franceschini published a more complete inventory written in 1459, which portrays an impressive library of no less than 578 books. At least 210 books were in Greek. Except for a few renaissance works in Italian, the bulk of the remainder were in Latin. The content of the library reflects Aurispa’s humanist interests: very few medieval authors are represented, and the focus is on the literature of Greek and Roman antiquity. In this area, Aurispa’s collection is the most complete since the end of antiquity. It does not contain a full run of what can be found in the Loeb classical library, but this modern series is the comparison that springs to mind. But there are also some conspicuous lacunae, such as Julius Caesar’s *Gallic Wars* and *Civil Wars*, Quintilian’s rhetorical writings, and the *Greek Anthology*, a copy of which Aurispa had actually brought home from one of his Greek journeys.

Apart from these specific gaps, the inventory conjures an image of “a highly specialized library, collected with passion and intelligence” (Franceschini). In other words, it is the library of a scholarly bibliophile. It must have taken many years and a determined effort to assemble such a collection, especially since Aurispa does not appear to have been a wealthy man. He complains about his lack of means in a letter dated 1437 to the viceroy of his native Sicily and even claims that he had to sell his clothes to be able to buy books in Constantinople. Though this assen-
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tion need not be taken literally, since similar claims often appear in scholars’ epistolary supplications for monetary subvention, Adriano Franceschini’s research shows that Aurispa’s financial plight was real. He had difficulties in obtaining and retaining profitable positions. Furthermore, Franceschini points out that many of the books Aurispa sold were expensive items, and the library described in the inventories contains, on the whole, less expensive copies. One gets the impression that Aurispa sold books in order to build a well-stocked library. By selling an expensive copy, he was able to buy another less-expensive exemplar of the same work and could use the savings to buy other texts. It is thus easy to understand how he was unwilling to part with his laboriously acquired books. This is probably the background of Filelfo’s remark, which might best be interpreted as friendly banter.

Plimpton MS 103 is one of those relatively inexpensive, quotidian manuscripts that seem to have made up the bulk of Aurispa’s collection. It represents, in fact, one of very few entries in the inventory of Aurispa’s library that may be identified with an extant manuscript. Only four volumes that contain Aurispa’s exlibris are known, and in none of them did Aurispa use such an elaborate exlibris as in the Plimpton manuscript. Does this mean that he was particularly fond of his copy of Victorinus? If so, his interest was not prompted by the potential value of the book. Its decoration is minimal. Two initials at the beginnings of the two books of Victorinus’s work have a simple but tasteful decoration in the form of an interlacing pattern. The text was copied during the twelfth century, probably in northern Italy, in a tiny but well-formed Carolingian minuscule bookhand. This was by far the preferred bookhand for renaissance humanists. They (wrongly) believed it to be of ancient origin and used it as the model for their own handwriting, from which today’s handwriting and printed typefaces descend. Plimpton MS 103 is a book for a scholar, a person who is interested in what the text says rather than in making a lucrative investment.

Why was Aurispa drawn to this book? At the end of the twentieth century Marius Victorinus is hardly a familiar name, but he was a popular author during the Middle Ages and the Renaissance. Contributing to his fame was the fact that Victorinus converted to Christianity and that Augustine wrote about the attention this caused in Rome: “When he stepped forward to make his profession [of Christianity], everyone, when they recognized him, whispered his name. . . . Who did not know him? And a low murmur ran through the mouths of all the rejoicing multitude: ‘Victorinus! Victorinus!’” (Confessions VIII 2.5) Victorinus was the teacher of many important Romans. He was rewarded (deservedly, says Augustine) for his excellence in teaching with a statue in the Roman Forum, “which is considered an extraordinary honor by the citizens of this world.”

If ever so exalted, Victorinus was a school master and his commentary on the De inventione of Cicero is a school book, meant to explain the intricacies of Cicero’s rhetorical teachings to students. This is evident from the school-masterly fashion in which Victorinus expounds at length on almost every word of Cicero. In our times, when technical rhetoric is no longer important, the commentary can appear only tedious. During antiquity, the Middle Ages, and the Renaissance, however, rhetoric was an important discipline. It was one of the three subjects in the trivium (grammar, rhetoric, and logic), which formed the basic curriculum taught in the schools. During the Renaissance, rhetoric was an especially cherished subject for the humanists. They valued the eloquence
of Cicero and tried to mimic it in their own writings and in orations at festive occasions. Consequently, it is not surprising that Aurispa owned Victorinus’s commentary on one of Cicero’s works on the theory of rhetoric.

Aurispa’s treatment of the volume shows that he was interested in Victorinus’s text and read it with something more than a passing interest. He scrutinized the text so carefully that he was able to discover that a leaf was missing from the manuscript, and he took care to have the missing text copied from another manuscript onto an inserted leaf. Afterwards, he had the book rebound in the nicely blind-tooled leather binding that still covers it. Even better evidence for Aurispa’s interest in this book are the numerous notes he wrote in the margins. Most of them mark the places where Victorinus begins a new topic, and they help to orient the reader within the volume. It seems that Aurispa wanted to be able easily to find the places where Victorinus discusses different rhetorical matters.

Some of Aurispa’s notes concern Greek words in the manuscript. In ancient Rome, the art of rhetoric was, like so much else, imported from Greece. Rhetorical theory consequently uses many technical terms in Greek, and Victorinus sprinkled a fair number of them throughout his commentary. Aurispa was one of the few people in Western Europe in the early fifteenth century who had mastered Greek. He might even have acquired the basics of the language in his youth in Sicily, which to some extent was a bilingual society. Aurispa also taught Greek to no less a luminary than Lorenzo Valla. But Valla never acquired a deep understanding of the language, so this does not throw favorable light on Aurispa’s teaching skills. Since Greek was practically unknown in Western Europe before Aurispa’s time, Greek words in Latin manuscripts often caused considerable problems for the scribes. Many scribes simply left an empty space, with or without the familiar phrase “Graeca sunt, non leguntur” (It is Greek and cannot be read). Others attempted to imitate as well as they could the unfamiliar characters, often with nonsensical results. A few scribes achieved some proficiency in at least writing Greek letters without necessarily understanding what they were writing. The scribe who wrote Plimpton MS 103 belonged to this last category. His Greek characters are well-formed majuscules that usually reproduce quite well Victorinus’ original words. When the scribe’s rendering is inexact, Aurispa attempts in several cases to emend the Greek readings. His corrections are good and usually close to the text as it is rendered in Karl Halm’s standard edition. Aurispa’s manuscript is, in general, a text of high quality, at least about as high as the two more recent of the three manuscripts Halm used for his edition. But any definitive assessment of the textual value of Plimpton MS 103 must await a new critical edition.

Plimpton MS 103 reveals an owner who cared a great deal about his book. He took pains to make the text as complete and as correct as possible. He also made it easy to access by means of marginal finding notes and protected it in a new binding. He was more interested in studying than in selling this particular book, and it thus appears that Aurispa’s exlibris is to the point: the book teaches its owner, and the owner corrects his book. The book is free from superficial embellishment, but its content is sound. The same could be said about Aurispa. “The book suits the owner.”
Aurispa’s illustrious library was scattered by his heirs soon after his death, and we do not know of the immediate fate of the Victorinus manuscript. It seems to have remained in Italy for several centuries. The book collector and legal historian Federico Patetta (1867–1945) of Rome is the next known owner. George A. Plimpton acquired the manuscript from the book dealer Joseph (Giuseppe) Martini of Lugano, and it was bequeathed to Columbia University together with the rest of the Plimpton collection in 1936.

Bibliographical Note

Lafayette Place, where Columbia Law School was located in the time of Frank Dupignac; looking north from Great Jones Street, circa 1866.

Photo, E. & H.T. Anthony & Co (Lightfoot Collection; Dover Publications.)
In all societies, history and myth are interwoven. No less so in the United States, where historical stereotypes are often accepted as historical truths. This pattern is reflected in family history as well as in national history. There are aristocratic lords of the manor, like the Van Rensselaers; dynastic families of great wealth and influence, like the Rockefellers; and families in which a Horatio Alger figure, like Ragged Dick, the match boy, figures prominently—he starts out with every disadvantage, and with hard work and a little pluck and luck, he overcomes all obstacles. There are images of hardy colonists with their log cabins in the woods; of equally hardy pioneers heading west in their prairie schooners; and of the penniless immigrant from overseas, who arrives alone and friendless on the shores of America and builds a brilliant new life in the land of opportunity, while the immigrant’s descendants are destined to enjoy unlimited “upward mobility.”

But these stereotypical images give no hint of the existence of families like mine, who, as far back as I can trace them in America—through more than a dozen generations in some lines—show (with few exceptions) no powerful aristocrats, no rags-to-riches stories, no riches-to-rags stories, no heroic tales of the hardships of immigration or settlement. Generation after generation, they are
solidly, stolidly, anonymously in the middle ranks of society: lawyers, merchants, bankers, stockbrokers, who for the most part took pride in being out of the spotlight. What they wanted, rather than fame or adventure or great wealth, was a peaceful and fruitful family and professional life. They chose to stay in their comfortable Manhattan (or Brooklyn) town houses, rather than to brave the dangers of the West—although one of them “pioneered” by moving from his house on Fifth Avenue near 66th Street to the West Side, where he was an early resident of the apartment building known as the Dakota, at 72nd Street and Central Park West.

My family preferred the bulls and bears of Wall Street to the ranches of Texas or the wilderness of California. To be sure, their fortunes fluctuated; yet after financial and familial disasters, they always made a comeback. But even when they did well, their fortunes generally amounted to no more than perhaps a million dollars or so at most. That was a more substantial level of wealth in, say, 1880 than it is now; but it is a mere fraction compared to the hundreds of millions accumulated by Rockefeller, or Carnegie, and others, at the same time. And yet, I would suggest, these middle-class people are more influential than the stereotypes seem to indicate. In their family life and through their business and professional activities, they have helped to sustain the day-to-day framework of society.

The City of New York has, from its founding as New Amsterdam in the early seventeenth century, been a center for shipping and for related activities, such as insurance, banking, and the law. And the opening of the Erie Canal in 1825 made New York a national center for trade and finance. It is, therefore, not surprising that Columbia was offering courses in law as early as the late eighteenth century. Nor is it surprising that in my New York family, many have attended Columbia College and Columbia Law School. In this essay, I sketch four generations of family association with Columbia, from a great-uncle of mine, Frank Jay Dupignac (L.L.B., 1869), to myself (A.B., 1963; A.M., 1964; Ph.D., 1968).

Frank J. Dupignac was born in New York on January 10, 1848, the eldest of three children of great-great-grandparents of mine, Eliza Boyle (1828–1870) and James Betts Dupignac (1818–1890), who were married in New York on May 15, 1845. At the time of James B. Dupignac’s birth, his parents were living on East Broadway, then known for the fine federal and Greek Revival houses built there by sea captains and shipping merchants. But his father had been born in New London, Connecticut, where, in the 1790s, the family had arrived in the aftermath of the French Revolution, apparently from the French West Indies. They were of Huguenot origin; in New York they were members of the Episcopal Church.

The Columbia Law Library possesses a manuscript book of names and addresses of students enrolled in the Columbia Law School in the 1860s, and in the book appears the signature Frank John Dupignac, 665 Broadway—near Bond Street—with the date October 4, 1865, when he enrolled for his first year of study. The location was the Tremont House (the hotel was owned by his father from 1860 to 1870—the year of his wife’s death at the age of forty-one, when he permanently retired from business; next door was the National
Academy of Design, at 663 Broadway). His first name echoes that of his Irish-born maternal grandfather, Francis Boyle (1797–1864), to whom I also can trace my name. The middle name is the same as the first name of his paternal grandfather, but he became known as Frank Jay Dupignac, probably adopting a nickname—I am not aware of any connection with the family of the jurist John Jay (A.B., 1764; A.M., 1767).

At the time of Frank J. Dupignac’s enrollment at Columbia, the School had a two-year program, as it is described in the Eighth Annual Catalogue of the Officers and Students of the Law School of Columbia College, for 1865–1866 (where his name is printed in the list of members of the junior class). The catalogue announces an unequivocal open admissions policy: no college degree was required; there was no entrance examination; and no particular course of preparatory study was stipulated. The fee for tuition was one hundred dollars a year, payable in advance. It is noted that board in the city may range in cost from five to seven dollars a week, and rooms may be rented for one to two dollars a week. The catalogue prudently advises that if two students room together, they may lessen their expenses. If the dollar amounts of these figures seem ludicrously low by today’s standards, it should be recalled that five dollars a week (six twelve-hour work days) was at the time a typical wage for a working person in a clerical job, the modern counterpart of which would now pay approximately one hundred times as much (for a five-day, forty-hour schedule). Similarly, one could at that time go to a modest restaurant and enjoy an adequate dinner for twenty-five cents. If the fees for tuition, room, and board are multiplied by
a factor of about one hundred, the amounts translate into terms comparable to modern-day costs, although today the cost of room rent would perhaps be higher in comparison to other living expenses.

Although courses in law had long been offered at Columbia, the Law School, as a separate division, had been established relatively recently, in 1858, by Theodore William Dwight (LL.D., 1860, Hon.), who was initially the sole teacher. He taught six hours of classes every day, and on Friday evenings he, together with two seniors, held moot courts. The program was expanded with optional evening lectures by such professors as Francis Lieber (on political science), Charles Murray Nairne (on moral philosophy), and John Ordronaux (on medical jurisprudence), but until 1875, Dwight was the sole regular teacher. It should be remembered that at this period one customarily prepared for the bar by working in a law office as an apprentice. The idea of a systematic course of formal legal study was an innovation, in which Dwight was a conspicuous leader. Whitney Bagnall, in her article in this issue of Library Columns, explains how Dwight sharply distinguished between legal practice and legal principles, believing that the latter should form the basis of study for the law.

Frank J. Dupignac, after completing his first year of study in 1866, took two years off and then reentered the Law School and once again signed the enrollment book, on October 6, 1868, when he was living at 25 Second Street, then a neighborhood of attractive town houses, and graced by the elegant New York City Marble Cemetery, east of Second Avenue, where President James Monroe was once buried, and where the remains of many prominent New Yorkers lie. (One notes the absence of an East or West designation in the student’s address—that is because Second Street runs only east, from the Bowery; to the west its course coincides with Bond Street for two blocks, and west of Broadway there is a different pattern of streets.)

At the time of its founding, the Law School was located at 11th Street and Second Avenue, near St. Mark’s in-the-Bowery, but it was moved in 1859 to the former residence of William Backhouse Astor (x1811, College) at 27 Lafayette Place (the street was later lengthened and renamed Lafayette Street)—then still a choice residential area, lined with fine houses and gardens and lovely trees. The Astor Library was conveniently nearby, since merged with the Lenox and Tilden Foundations into the New York Public Library. The handsome building that housed the Astor Library is now the headquarters of the New York Shakespeare Festival. The main campus of Columbia was then far uptown at 49th Street and Madison Avenue, where it had moved in 1857 from the original campus at Park Place, one block west of City Hall Park. After 1873, the Law School was located at Lafayette Place and Great Jones Street, and in 1883, it moved to the 49th Street campus. In the printed catalogue of the Law School for 1868-69, Frank J. Dupignac is listed as a member of the senior class, living at 11 Van Ness Place (now Charles Street), in the West Village.

In the course of his legal career, Frank J. Dupignac “obtained considerable prominence” (as a newspaper article of 1890 comments) as a corporation lawyer in New York. He died at his home on Orienta Avenue in Mamaroneck, New York, on May 10, 1922, and his funeral was held at St. Thomas Church, at 53rd Street and Fifth Avenue. A brother of his, Walton Clarke Dupignac
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(1854–1893), also attended Columbia Law School (LL.B., 1876). Frank J. Dupignac’s son, Dudley Dupignac, entered Columbia College but did not graduate (x1898).

The Columbian in the next generation of my family was related to the Dupignacs by marriage. Clarence William Dupignac (1860–1896), the younger of Frank J. Dupignac’s two brothers, married Clara Marshall Tefft (1858–1918); she was one of four children of Ione Marshall (1838–1899) and Charles Griswold Tefft (1832–1877), son of Alma Griswold (1815–1889) and Erastus Tucker Tefft (1810–1888), founder of the wholesale dry goods firm that eventually became known as Tefft, Weller and Co. (E. T. Tefft was the “pioneer,” mentioned earlier, who became an early resident of the Dakota, built in 1884.) One of Clara Tefft’s three sisters, Anna Griswold Tefft (1856–1945), married Morton David Bogue (1848–1906), who was a member of Tefft, Weller and Co. Their eldest son (one of five children), Morton Griswold Bogue, was born in Brooklyn on November 6, 1880. He grew up in the Park Slope area, although the family spent summers at Lake George. At home, the children enjoyed such entertainments as—on Thanksgiving Day, 1891, at the Brooklyn Academy of Music—a matinee performance of *The Tar and the Tartar* (by Adam Itzel and Harry B. Smith; the musical comedy had been performed more than a hundred times that year). Meanwhile, their father and a friend went to the Polo Grounds at 155th Street, beside the Harlem River, to watch the Yale football team defeat Princeton 19–0, in the rain. The crowd was estimated at forty thousand. After battling a crush that one imagines to have been almost comparable to what they had just seen on the field, the two boarded a streetcar and rode down to 23rd Street, where they stopped for some oysters before returning home.

In the fall of 1896, Mort Bogue, at the age of fifteen, entered Columbia College. The College academic session of 1896–97 was the last to be held at the campus that filled the block between 49th and 50th Streets, between Madison Avenue and Park Avenue (as Fourth Avenue had been renamed in 1888). The buildings were imposing, but the site had become too crowded for new construction, and there was no room for expansion outside the campus, in the now built-up midtown area. In 1897, Columbia moved its headquarters to the 116th Street site that had been purchased in 1894 from the Bloomingdale Insane Asylum, which relocated to White Plains, and at that location continues its psychiatric services today as The New York Hospital–Cornell Medical Center Westchester Division, at 21 Bloomingdale Road. There was an important Columbia connection with the asylum—it had been opened on “Bloomingdale Heights” in 1821 for mental patients of the New York Hospital, whose pioneering psychiatric service had earlier been established by Samuel Bard (x1763, College; M.D., 1768, Hon.), a founder of the New York Hospital (1769) and of the College of Physicians and Surgeons (1767). His name is commemorated in Bard Hall at the Health Sciences campus in Washington Heights. (The Netherlandish term Bloemendaal—anglicized and translated as Bloomingdale—designated the whole area now known by the more prosaic name of the Upper West Side.)

The spacious grounds of the Bloomingdale asylum had been attractively landscaped and occupied by graceful buildings, two of which were
taken over by Columbia for academic use. Meanwhile, work had begun on Low Memorial Library, which was occupied even before it was completed in 1901. One of the earlier structures, called Macy Villa when it was part of the asylum, still stands, although it lacks the porticoes and wraparound porches that originally gave it the aspect of a charming summer residence. It has been popularly known as "the little red house," but its formal name is Buell Hall. As one looks at the Morningside neighborhood today—a century later—one needs to exercise a good deal of imagination to realize that during Mort Bogue's years at Columbia, much of the surrounding area was open land, formerly famed for its rural, scenic, inspiring beauty. Today the site is a podium for an architectural construct that echoes the urban grandeur of imperial Rome.

The president of Columbia under whom the campus moved to 116th Street was Seth Low (A.B., 1870; LL.D., 1914, Hon.; president from 1889 to 1901, when he left to become mayor of New York). He built up the University's finances, reorganized its administrative system, and generally prepared the way for the institution to become a great university under his successor, Nicholas Murray Butler (A.B., 1882; M.A., 1883; Ph.D., 1884), who took office in 1902. But, although a variety of new courses had been introduced into the curriculum, especially in the growing field of the sciences, the undergraduate program still required substantial work in the traditional fields of history, mathematics, languages, and classical studies. Some of the prominent teachers of the period were, to name only a very few: Michael I. Pupin (A.B., 1883; Sc.D., 1904, Hon.)—physics; A. V. W. Jackson (A.B., 1883; A.M., 1884; L.H.D., 1885; Ph.D., 1886; LL.D., 1904, Hon.)—languages; Brander Matthews (A.B., 1871; LL.B., 1873; A.M., 1874; LL.D., 1904, Hon.)—literature; and Franz Boas (Sc.D., 1929, Hon.)—anthropology. According to the Columbia yearbook for 1900, Morton G. Bogue served as coxswain of the varsity crews of 1897 and 1898 and participated in many crew events. He was on the editorial board of Spectator and was active in other extracurricular organizations, including Delta Psi fraternity (St. Anthony Hall).

After graduating from Columbia College in the century class of 1900, Mort Bogue entered Columbia Law School, where he studied from 1900 to 1903 without taking a degree. In the early years of the Law School, it was common for students to leave without taking the degree, since the degree was not required in order to practice law, and if a student had an opportunity to begin working, that was often a more attractive prospect than continuing one's studies. To cite two prominent Columbia examples, neither Theodore Roosevelt (xI882, Law) nor Franklin Delano Roosevelt (xI907, Law) took their degrees; each attained the office of governor of the State of New York and of president of the United States.

Since 1890, the Law School course had been a three-year program, and under the leadership of William A. Keener, the "case method," which had been introduced at Harvard, was adopted at Columbia. Keener brought in many prominent teachers, of whom one of the most vividly remembered was Charles Thaddeus Terry (LL.B., 1893). It has been said that he was "the only man ever to frighten Harold Medina" (on whom see below). The School moved from the 49th Street campus to Low Memorial Library in 1898. Perhaps the most famous law professor of the period was Harlan Fiske Stone (LL.B., 1898; LL.D. 1925,
Hon.), who taught in the School from 1899 to 1905, and in 1925 was appointed to the United States Supreme Court, where he became chief justice in 1941, succeeding Charles Evans Hughes (LL.B., 1884; LL.D., 1907, Hon.), who had earlier served as governor of New York (1907–1910). An impressive number of Columbia graduates have served on the Supreme Court.

Morton G. Bogue was admitted to the New York Bar in 1903 and practiced law in New York for the rest of his life, eventually becoming a senior partner in the firm of Beekman and Bogue. Charles Keller Beekman had also studied at Columbia (A.B., 1889; LL.B., 1892). As an alumnus, Mort Bogue continued to be active on behalf of Columbia athletics and received the Alumni Athletic Award in 1950. Most relevant to the present discussion is that from 1938 to 1946 he served as a trustee of Bard College (affiliated with Columbia, 1928–1944); and he was an alumni trustee of Columbia from 1937 to 1945. One of the major projects at Columbia during the wartime years, starting in 1939, was the development of the atomic bomb under the leadership of Enrico Fermi, Isidore I. Rabi, Harold Urey (three Nobel Prize winners), and others. At the time of Morton G. Bogue’s death in 1955, he was living at the Sulgrave (an apartment hotel—torn down around 1963), 60 East 67th Street, and at Bridgehampton, Long Island; his law office was at 15 Broad Street in New York. His younger brothers both attended Columbia College but did not take degrees: Frank Tefft Bogue (x1903), and Perry David Bogue (x1906). However, a sister of theirs, Anna Tefft Bogue, received her bachelor’s degree from Smith College in 1903, at a time when relatively few women attended college.

In my family, the next Columbian was my father, Francis Jacques Sypher (1905–1960), son of Robert Martin Sypher (1881–1956) and Anna Elizabeth Dupignac (1884–1972)—daughter of Clara Tefft and Clarence Dupignac. My father was born in the shadow of Morningside Heights, at 307 West 115th Street, near the eastern edge of Morningside Park, which was a beautiful place for small children to play. But in 1908, the family moved to the suburbs—part of the huge migration out of the city at the time, made possible by improved public transit and the automobile. As a consequence of such moves, formerly rural areas of New Jersey, Connecticut, and Westchester became built-up in subsequent decades, and the demographic composition of neighborhoods such as Harlem, the Upper West Side, and Washington
Heights changed dramatically. In the fall of 1921, my father entered Columbia at the age of sixteen (he had skipped two grades). At that time he was living in Leonia, New Jersey, and he commuted to Morningside via the 125th Street ferry. After joining Alpha Sigma Phi fraternity, he moved to their house at 524 West 114th Street and eventually became president of the organization. He told of wild pranks and parties in those somewhat lawless Prohibition days. In one episode, he and some friends bought a beat-up Model T Ford for ten dollars and drove it down to Philadelphia to attend a Columbia-Penn football game. The old wagon held out until they finally arrived back in New York, but it broke down on 116th Street, and they got out and left it there in the middle of the street.

My father’s studies included the recently founded (1919) Contemporary Civilization course, which attempted to explain—as one classmate of my father’s, a veteran of World War II, commented—"why there would never be another war." My father also studied astronomy with Harold Jacoby (A.B., 1885; Ph.D., 1895), who had designed the horological markings for the Columbia sundial on College Walk. (On December 19, 1946, the huge, fifteen-ton, lathe-turned, dark green granite ball that served as its gnomon—or shadow marker—was removed because it had become cracked and in danger of falling; the dial has not told time since.) Jacoby was known for giving high grades, and his astronomy course acquired the reputation of being a "gut"—to such an extent that he was told by the administration that he had to vary his grading, so he began to award grades according to a percentage system in which the top third of the class received A’s, the second third B’s, and the last third C’s. My father took English with Mark Van Doren (Ph.D., 1921), whom he remembered as a "hard marker"; clearly, English was not my father’s best field. And he made a cursory study of Spanish—his father had advised him that Latin America was "the key to the future." I once asked him what the phrase "todos los jueves" meant, displayed on a movie theater marquee on upper Broadway, and he sagely explained that it meant "all the eggs." I remember the trivial episode because I was mightily puzzled over why such a message would be on a movie theater, but was too young and too timid to ask any further questions, and I had no idea that he had confused jueves ("Thursdays," referring to weekly live performances) with huevos ("eggs").

My father did better with his favorite subject, the key to the past, Latin, in which he had excelled in his previous school years. In college, he took virtually every Latin course that he could fit into his schedule, at such an advanced level that there were few other students in the classes. Having long since read works of Caesar, Cicero, Vergil, and Horace, he went on to study such authors as Terence, Plautus, Tacitus, and Lucretius, whose De rerum natura made a deep impression on him. He did well enough to be regularly on the dean’s list; Herbert E. Hawkes (LL.D., 1929, Hon.) was dean of the College. One of my father’s classmates in his Latin studies was his friend William York Tindall (A.B., 1925, A.M., 1926, Ph.D., 1934), who became a professor of English at Columbia and a world-renowned authority on James Joyce. Because my father was so young and slight of stature, he was almost literally seized upon to be the coxswain of the fresh-
man crew. In his sophomore and junior years, he steered the 150 lb. crew through many races and countless practice sessions on the Harlem River.

Columbia had introduced a program called "professional option" by which a Columbia student could, instead of taking a regular fourth-year college course, take the first year of a professional program. My father opted to begin studying law. He received the degree of A.B. with his college class in 1925, but completed the three-year program of the Law School in 1927, in effect skipping yet another grade. At that time, the Law School was located in Kent Hall. He studied with, among others, Judge Harold R. Medina (LL.B., 1912), whom my father, like everyone else, intensely admired. My father then passed the New York State Bar examination in 1927, at the age of twenty-two, which must be a record or near record for early admission. (Mort Bogue had been admitted at about the same age.) He began work in the Wall Street area and became an associate and partner in law firms where he practiced corporate law; he later founded his own practice—based in mid-town—mainly in trusts, estates, and real-estate matters.

During these years, my father maintained ties with Columbia. He attended alumni events, and on homecoming weekends he would go to Baker Field to give much-needed encouragement to the Columbia football team. He was also active as a member and officer of the Columbia University Club, at 4 West 43rd Street, across from the Century Association. During my school vacations, I used to clerk for him in his law office at the Bar Building (36 West 44th Street), and every day he would, at a certain hour of the afternoon, step out of the 43rd Street door and cross the street to the club for a few games of backgammon or gin rummy, before arriving home at seven o'clock sharp. At the club he would occasionally encounter Thomas E. Dewey (LL.B., 1925), governor of the State of New York from 1943 to 1955 and Republican candidate for president in 1944 and 1948. The last time I visited the Columbia University Club was in 1965, when I had dinner there as the guest of Deacon Murphy (A.B., 1908; A.M., 1910; LL.B., 1912), who had worked with Dewey's "racket-busters," fighting organized crime in the City of New York in the 1930s. Deacon Murphy sponsored my membership in the Saint Nicholas Society, founded by Washington Irving (A.M., 1821, Hon.; LL.D., 1829, Hon.) and others in 1835; many Columbia alumni have belonged to the society, including my father. The handsome clubhouse of the Columbia University Club was sold in 1975 to the Reverend Sun Myung Moon's Unification Church.

In the late 1940s and early 1950s, my father was active at International House. From time to time, resident students were dinner guests at our apartment at 1049 Park Avenue. Not long after the death in 1947 of Nicholas Murray Butler, my father attended a reception at International House, during which Frank D. Fackenthal (A.B., 1906; Litt.D., 1929, Hon.), then acting president of Columbia, upon arriving at the door, was refused admission because he did not have the required card of invitation. Fackenthal, known for his modesty, hesitated, as if not quite knowing what to do next. My father, who happened to be nearby, informed the usher that the gentleman was president of the University.

My first visit to Columbia was with my father in the late 1940s on a cloudy, breezy, chilly fall day.
There was football practice in front of Butler Library, on South Field, then a playing field. We went for lunch to the Lions Den in John Jay Hall, where I was somewhat overwhelmed, since I was just a little kid and everyone towered over me, and the rack for sliding the cafeteria tray was on a level with my nose. I thought I could never go to school in such a place, since everything at Columbia was so big.

My first official contact with Columbia as an institution occurred in the fall of 1958 when, as a student at Trinity School, I applied for admission, with the recommendation of Mr. C. Bruner-Smith (A.B., 1925—a friend and classmate of my father’s). Bruner (as he is known to his many friends) was the senior English teacher and head of the upper school at Trinity, where he is presently active and greatly admired, as he has been since 1927. During most of my attendance there, the headmaster was Matthew E. Dann (A.B., 1926; A.M. 1927); he was a popular leader who was irreverently referred to by the boys as “Fat Matt” on account of his generous embonpoint. (Trinity, now co-ed, was then a school for boys only.) I had started at Trinity in 1947, after attending nursery school and kindergarten at the Day School, which was founded by a Columbia graduate, Henry Darlington (A.B., 1910), the eloquent and energetic rector of the Church of the Heavenly Rest, at 90th Street and Fifth Avenue. At Trinity I studied with many graduates of Columbia, including Rolston Coles (A.B., 1930)—Latin; and John Langford (B.S., 1912, Teachers College)—English; they were superb teachers.

For my interview for admission to first grade at Trinity, my mother took me to meet with Mr. Langford, who was head of the lower school. He was a tall, handsome, imposing man—a lifelong bachelor who lived to the age of ninety-two in the same house in which he had grown up, in New Rochelle, New York. He always wore stiff, white collars and immaculate three-piece suits, with a gold watch chain across the vest. With fountain pen (blue-black ink) or with chalk, he wrote in a perfect Spencerian hand. His speech was a model of English grammar and pronunciation, and he was a masterful teacher of composition and literature. He was both loved and feared: his approval was eagerly sought after; his ire was terrifying—when he snapped his fingers for attention, a whole hall full of noisy boys would instantly fall silent. But all knew that he was a benevolent, dedicated teacher who had everyone’s best interests at heart. He told of how, in his early days at the school, the headmaster at that time, who was somewhat of a Prussian disciplinarian, wore an academic gown—and slippers, so that he could silently prowl the halls and spy on the school’s activities. For my interview, Mr. Langford sat me on his knee and asked me to recite the alphabet, which I did, and then he pulled out his desk drawer and gave me a white peppermint Lifesaver, which he called an “educational pill.” I had passed.

Trinity School had been founded in 1709 as the parish school of Trinity Church, and the first classes of King’s College—as Columbia was originally called—were held in Trinity’s classrooms, since the Park Place buildings had not yet been constructed (the campus was partially opened in 1760). In 1894, the school, after twelve previous moves, occupied its present quarters at 139-141 West 91st Street. Many graduates of Trinity School have gone to Columbia. And many Columbia people have been associated with the school, includ-
ing John Howard Van Amringe (A.B., 1860; A.M., 1863; L.H.D., 1890, Hon.; LL.D., 1910, Hon.), the revered dean of the College from 1894 to 1910, who was on the board of trustees of Trinity. By coincidence, in the 1890s, my great-grandparents, Clara and Clarence Dupignac, and their three children were living across the street from Trinity School in a brownstone at 141 West 92nd Street, facing St. Agnes Chapel, where the family attended church. The beautiful romanesque edifice, surrounded by gardens, was a chapel of Trinity parish. The interior had been designed by Tiffany, including fine stained-glass windows and mosaic floors. St. Agnes was a high-church parish, and when my grandparents wanted to get married there, Father Kelly declined to perform the ceremony because it was the penitential period of Lent, so they went up Amsterdam Avenue to St. Michael’s, on 99th Street, where the priest was more lenient, and they were married there on March 16, 1904. St. Michael’s is still standing, but St. Agnes Chapel was demolished in 1943 because the demographics of the neighborhood had changed and there were few parishioners to support the institution. During my time as a student at Trinity, the space was used as a playing field for football and other games, and was known as the “dust bowl.”

Late in December 1958, I received a letter of acceptance of admission to Columbia, and after graduating from Trinity in June of 1959, I entered Columbia the following September. During the next four years I was privileged to study with many gifted teachers, such as Andrew Chiappe (A.B., 1933; A.M., 1939; Ph.D., 1943)—Shakespeare; Herbert A. Deane (A.B., 1942; Ph.D., 1953)—Contemporary Civilization; Edward S. Le Comte (A.B., 1939; A.M., 1940; Ph.D., 1943)—seventeenth- and eighteenth-century English literature; William York Tindall—James Joyce; and others. Like many commuters, I participated little in extracurricular activities, since I lived relatively far from Morningside Heights—at home with my mother, at 1335 Madison Avenue; my father had died when I was nineteen. (The number four bus was my lifeline to Columbia.) I did, however, attend some splendid theatrical productions staged by students: Yerma, by Federico García Lorca (who was at Columbia from 1929 to 1930), at the Minor Latham Playhouse at Barnard; T. S. Eliot’s Murder in the Cathedral at St. Paul’s Chapel; and, most impressive, a brilliant nighttime production of the Agamemnon of Aeschylus on the steps and plaza before the columns of Low Memorial Library. The surrounding buildings rang with echoes of Clytemnestra’s passion. (Why, I wonder, have spectacular performances like this not been done more often?)

Upon the recommendation of Mr. Deane and others, and with sympathetic advice from Marjorie Hope Nicholson (then head of the Graduate English Department), I was admitted to the Columbia Graduate Faculties to study in the Department of English and Comparative Literature. In the fall of 1963 I late-registered after sailing back on the Holland-America Line’s Maasdam from Galway Bay after four months of glorious wandering on the European Continent and in England and Ireland. In 1963, one could travel comfortably anywhere in Europe for about five dollars a day total living expenses: hotel, meals, everything. And since I spoke fluent French (I had started to study French in first grade at Trinity) and passable Italian (which I had taken courses in
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during my previous two years at Columbia), I was able to get around in interesting off-the-beaten-path areas, such as Calabria and Sicily, where I visited many places familiar from my classical studies. Among the most memorable were Scilla (classical Scylla), Reggio Calabria (from which one could occasionally see, so I was told, the phenomenon of the fata morgana), Taormina (near Mt. Etna), the Aeolian Islands, Agrigento, and Syracuse, where I stayed in a crumbling old villa next to the beautiful Fountain Arethuse (with its lush growth of papyrus plants), rich in classical associations and familiar from Ovid and from Milton’s “Lycidas.” To my amazement, I ran into Columbia acquaintances almost everywhere that summer, especially in Florence, Venice, Paris, and London.

In Ireland, I explored the Yeats and “Joyce Country,” with a copy of a marvelous picture-book of that title by Tindall, and, of course, a copy of Ulysses. Tindall had told me how he had gone to Paris right after graduation in June 1925 and had headed straight for Sylvia Beach’s famous bookshop, Shakespeare and Company, at 12, rue de l’Odéon, to buy a copy of Ulysses, which had been published in Paris in 1922 but was banned in the United States. He said it had the reputation of being a “dirty book,” and he wanted to find out what all the controversy was about. With his fresh-bought copy (in his office in Philosophy Hall, he showed me the very volume, which had a white paper cover, unlike most copies, which were bound in dark blue paper), he went to the nearby Jardin du Luxembourg, sat down on a park bench, and began reading. By incredible coincidence—or perhaps by fate—the day was Bloomsday, June 16th.

I had set sail for Rotterdam on June 7th, just after graduation, aboard the Holland-America’s Waterman, a former troop ship turned into a student ship. We were packed in twelve to a cabin. The passage was a ten-day-long round-the-clock party, with six meals a day—a huge Dutch breakfast including cold meat and cheese, bouillon at midmorning, a huge lunch, afternoon tea, a huge dinner, and midnight sandwiches of blood sausage on heavily buttered rolls; the total fare for the crossing, including all meals, was $180. On board ship, one could buy a bottle of Heineken beer for twelve cents and a pack of Lucky Strikes for thirteen cents. Aside from eating and drinking, and loafing on deck, our principal occupation was playing cards—at one time or another we played every game we could think of, from war, concentration, go fish, old maid, I doubt it, and hearts, to canasta, casino, and bridge. We used Dutch cards, bought on the ship, with the king marked H (for heer), the queen marked V (for vrouw), and the jack marked B (for boer), which led to no end of confusion. A Mount Holyoke graduate gave me ample instruction in bridge. She was traveling with her sister, also from Mount Holyoke. With another guy, we made a foursome for cards nearly every morning and afternoon. The Mount Holyoke graduate wore neatly pressed khaki Bermuda shorts, a crisp madras blouse with a Peter Pan collar, and a gold circle pin; and there was always a cigarette in or near her carefully manicured right hand, and on the table next to her drink, a pack of Winstons, with a Zippo lighter lying on top. From long practice, she had a cool, smooth shuffle, riffle, and deal, and total mastery of the fine points of the game. I was awed. There were some things I had not learnt at Columbia.
Francis J. Sypher as coxswain of the crew, circa 1923, from his college photo album. Columbiana Library.
After receiving the master's degree from Columbia in June of 1964, I entered the doctoral program and completed the Ph.D. in June 1968. I also encountered wonderful teachers in graduate school—too many to name, but I recall with special admiration Elliott Van Kirk Dobbie (A.B., 1927; A.M., 1928; Ph.D., 1937), a great white-headed gentle bear of a man, with whom I studied the history of the English language and read Beowulf; Professor Paul Oskar Kristeller (L.H.D., 1974, Hon.), whose recent lectures and works of brilliant scholarship continue to be an inspiration; and Gilbert Highet (Litt.D., 1977, Hon.), whose impressively well-organized course on Vergil's Aeneid conveyed a wealth of learning and had far-reaching influence for me.

The social life of a graduate student is generally considered to be nonexistent, but in the mid-1960s we had daily access to sociable afternoon tea at Philosophy Hall, served with ginger snaps and Lorna Doone shortbread cookies (the name recalls Blackmore's novel, published in 1869). The strong, fragrant tea was drawn from steaming, shining Russian samovars by volunteers from the Columbia community. Faculty as well as students were in attendance, as they were at evenings sponsored by the English Graduate Union, which would invite visiting scholars to the Faculty House. I especially remember a fine lecture by Eugène Vinaver on the works of Sir Thomas Malory. Afterward there would be a cheerful reception, with generous quantities of food and drink—always welcome to graduate students. During this period, I taught in the English Department of Columbia College as a "preceptor," with responsibility each semester for two sections of English composition (fall 1965 to spring 1968). I had also been scheduled to be an assistant and reader of student papers in Professor Chiappe's Shakespeare course. He had initially invited me to teach in the department, but he suddenly died just before my appointment with him was to begin.

In the late 1950s and early 1960s, the central campus looked much as it does now, although there has since been extensive building to the north and east and at the Barnard campus. However, the general mood seems to me to have been different then. Doors were wide open, and there seemed to be no need for the security measures that are accepted as normal today—not only at Columbia, but at many institutions and office buildings. Also, the campus was decorated with carefully tended beds of beautiful flowers, whose colors and shapes contrasted delightfully and gave a garden aspect to the well-maintained grounds and buildings. All of that changed in April of 1968, when groups of students seized and occupied campus buildings and barred others from entering them to use offices or to conduct or attend classes. The episode was terminated by a police raid on April 30th. In the course of those events, the flower beds were trampled out of existence; with them went the atmosphere that had seemed to prevail before. But Columbia is still a place where a long history of continuity makes itself felt.

In conclusion, I cannot help commenting on the number of influential Columbia people who are mentioned in passing in this discussion. It was not my intention originally to compose a "star-studded" account, but the brilliant names kept on appearing, almost of their own accord, as I wrote. I do not refer to the modest achievements of
members of my own family, but rather to the emin­
ent lawyers, judges, physicians, scientists, teach­
ers, scholars, authors, political leaders, et al. who
have touched the lives of millions of people, and
who have helped guide the paths of countless
organizations. I am also struck by the extraordi­
inary network of relationships that emerges here—
among contemporaries and from generation to
generation among teachers, judges, founders of
institutions, and others whose influence flows on
and on. This small sketch offers, I think, an unex­
pected yet striking indication of how deep and far­
reaching Columbia’s impact upon society has
been, and continues to be: not only upon one
family, or in the City of New York, but in the
nation and throughout the world.
When George Augustus Baker enrolled in Columbia College School of Law in 1869, he was making a decided break with the artistic traditions of his Brooklyn family. There were no lawyers in Baker’s family; both his father and grandfather (both also named George Augustus Baker) were artists, his father a portrait painter in oils and his grandfather a miniaturist on ivory. George Augustus Baker, Jr., earned a successful living as a painter, with his portraits of women and children much sought after, collected by the Vanderbilts, and exhibited in Paris. What was it at Columbia Law School, then only eleven years in operation, that drew young George Baker away from his family inclination and led him to enroll with 134 other men to read for the bar? The thought of greater wealth or political preferment? The suggestion of a young lady? Perhaps. More likely a stronger motivation can be traced to the reputation of the Law School’s founder and principal lecturer, Theodore William Dwight.

Theodore W. Dwight. His name seems to place him more at Yale than at Columbia. As the grandson of Timothy Dwight, Yale’s eighth president, Dwight could easily have found a teaching career in New Haven. But his parents, Dr.
Benjamin Woolsey Dwight and Sophia Woodbridge Strong, moved to Clinton, New York, in 1831, when Theodore was nine years old. At that point, Theodore Dwight became firmly rooted in New York, both upstate and in the city, while his family’s background in education instilled in him a deep commitment to changing the character of legal education. Dwight was called to Columbia in 1858 from Hamilton College in Clinton, where he had been head of the very successful law department he had created as a logical extension of his regular classes in history, civil polity, and political economy. He himself was a graduate of Hamilton who followed his undergraduate days with a brief stint studying physics in New York City and then one year of law at Yale. He returned to Clinton to teach at his alma mater after being admitted to the New York bar in 1845. Following twelve years of directing an expanding and well-regarded law program, Dwight was persuaded by Columbia’s trustees to move his stage of operations to New York City, which lacked a law school despite an abundance of commercial, legal, and political opportunities in the area. At that time, the trustees were experimenting with the concept of post-graduate education in several fields: philology, political science, ethics, and law. Of these four subjects, law was the only one to produce a large enough enrollment to establish a law department or law school within the College. According to Dwight’s registration book, filled with signatures, the entering class that enrolled after Dwight’s inaugural lecture on November 1, 1858, contained 35 students. In 1869, when George Baker enrolled, the entering class consisted of 135 students.

Dwight held distinctive views on the teaching of law, advocating a method of teaching that later came to bear his name. Recalling that law schools of the nineteenth century required no undergraduate degree for admission and that admission to the bar did not require a law degree, a twentieth-century lawyer can only wonder at the lack of standardized procedures in the early bar. That was one of the shortcomings Dwight hoped to remedy. Although Dwight’s two-year curriculum plan at Columbia covered civil law, constitutional history, equity jurisprudence, medical jurisprudence, and law of the sea, Dwight’s students were under no professional obligation to remain in school for the full two years. After one year, some chose to complete their legal education by clerking in a law office. The experience varied greatly and was completely unmonitored. Such casual instruction was what Dwight hoped to banish throughout the profession. At Columbia, Dwight intended to establish the study of law as a science, to restore law to the academy in its rightful place as a learned profession.

In his inaugural lecture to prospective students and lawyers sympathetic to his purpose, Dwight faulted training in law offices for its haphazard and exploitative approach:

In the haste of office business, the varied labors imposed upon a lawyer in full practice, in one of our large commercial cities, little leisure will be found for the instruction of students. The carefully drawn paper which they are left to copy is not understood. The work is done mechanically.

And if one were not ground down by the tedium of rote work, Dwight feared for the health of apprentices toiling in unwholesome conditions in small offices. Readers of “Bartleby the Scrivener” will recognize similarities between the situation described by Dwight and the impoverished life of a law copyist drawn by Herman Melville, a contemporary of Dwight’s.
Dwight's response to these conditions, his lifelong mission toward a system of legal education, was to teach law not as an unmanageable collection of legal points culled from decisions of the court and published in innumerable law reports, but as a learned discipline endowed with a long history, understood through legal principles, and rationally explained by judicious reference to pertinent cases. Under Dwight's tutelage, students attained their competence in legal rules and doctrines by reading authoritative treatises that presented comprehensive knowledge of legal topics. His presentation differed from the "case method," so-called because students were taught by reading actual case decisions of the courts, published voluminously in law reports. Professors who taught by the case method relied on "casebooks," collections of cases on a single topic, such as contracts. In contrast, Dwight advocated examination of those legal principles that guided the courts in their interpretation and construction of statutes. Dwight took the long view: principles evolve slowly and are durable; cases become dated quickly. At one point near the end of his teaching career, Dwight drew up a list of the fifty leading law books he considered most valuable for the young practitioner. Including such authors as Joseph Story on jurisprudence, Joseph Arnould on marine insurance, and Francis Wharton on conflict of laws, this list embodied fundamental texts of nineteenth-century American jurisprudence.

Once installed as warden of Columbia College Law School, a position comparable to dean, Dwight assumed responsibility for establishing a law library for the use of his students. Although the College had, since the time of its founding, seen a number of its alumni train for the bar, the College library owned few current legal treatises or collections of state laws. As an immediate remedy, Dwight persuaded the trustees to acquire the entire library of Joshua A. Spencer, a state senator, mayor of Utica, and long-time friend of Dwight's. As U.S. district attorney for the northern district of New York and leader of the Utica bar, Spencer had amassed a useful practitioner's library, which Dwight bought from Spencer's widow for two thousand dollars in 1859. Added to these law books shortly after the School's establishment were collections from two Columbia families who had made distinguished contributions as members of the New York bar and as public servants. First came the law library of William Samuel Johnson, president of Columbia College after the Revolution and one of the drafters of the U.S. Constitution. Another major collection came from John Jay, grandson of the first chief justice of the Supreme Court, who gave the new Law School 650 volumes, embracing a few from his great-grandfather William Livingston, a number from his grandfather, from his uncle Peter Augustus Jay, and from his own father, William Jay. Because of these immediate acquisitions, the School's 1861 announcement could describe the Law Library as containing "a complete series of the Reports and Statutes of the United States and of the Reports of the State of New York, with the most valuable of those of the other States; a full series of the English Reports from the Year Books to the present time, with several editions of the English Statutes, and the principal Treatises on American and English law." Consulting law books bearing signatures of these jurists, Columbia law students felt an immediate connection with serious legal minds who had already made their mark in international, political, and legislative affairs.
Confronted by this meaty curriculum coupled with Dwight’s insistence on regular attendance and competition in moot courts, George Augustus Baker entered Columbia Law School equipped to record the words of his teacher. In Baker’s class, a little more than half the students held undergraduate degrees. Baker himself had graduated from the College of the City of New York, as had eleven others; many classmates came from Columbia College or Yale, others from as far as Kenyon College, the University of Louisiana, and the University of Heidelberg. F. J. Sypher’s article in this issue provides an accurate picture of Law School life during Dwight’s era. In one regard, Baker managed to distinguish himself from his classmates, but it was not for his academic record. Although Baker completed the two-year course and three days of examinations creditably to graduate in May 1871 with a class that then numbered 99 members, Baker is the only student in his class whose notebooks reside in the Columbia Law Library. For anyone interested in the history of legal education, the Dwight method of legal instruction, or the history of Columbia Law School, Baker’s four surviving notebooks provide solid documentation on the teaching of American law during the nineteenth century.

For recording Dwight’s lectures, Baker purchased bound notebooks with lined, pre-numbered pages and ruled margins. This style of notebook, measuring roughly 8" x 10", was a fairly typical choice of law students. It remained popular for a long time, perhaps for its durability. Baker’s notebooks are excellent examples of style and penmanship. In addition to underscoring chapter titles and subdivisions in red ink, Baker used red ink to embellish each title page. Handsomely drawn in red and blue, the title page of volume I reads:

“Columbia College Law Lectures, Delivered to the Junior Class of Columbia College Law School, by Prof. Theo. W. Dwight, LL.D. During the Junior year of 1869–70. Vol. I. The Property of George Baker.” The artistic talent in his background came through after all.

Baker has not dated individual lectures, choosing instead to number them consecutively. The first volume, devoted to municipal law and the rights of persons, contains seventy-nine lectures. Lectures continue in volume II, the law of personal property, volume III, the law of real property, and volume IV, equity jurisprudence. Baker created a table of contents in each volume for ease of reference. For anyone studying Dwight’s selection and organization of material, these four notebooks are of fundamental importance.

Upon graduation from the Law School, Baker set up practice in New York. It was not long, however, before his reputation as a lawyer was eclipsed by his fame as an artist of another sort. Baker began to write poetry and stories about fashionable New York society, perhaps drawing inspiration from the lives of those whose portraits his father was painting. His first volume of poetry, Point Lace and Diamonds, appeared in 1875. This was quickly followed in 1876 by Bad Habits of Good Society. Baker also contributed poems and articles to Scribner’s and The Galaxy, two popular monthly magazines. One reviewer of Baker’s collection of short stories, Mrs. Hephaestus and Other Stories, wrote that “Mr. Baker exhibits some of the best qualities of the light and amusing story teller.” The narrator in Mrs. Hephaestus styles himself “an attorney and counsellor-at-law, a solicitor in bankruptcy and a proctor in admiralty.” There is no evidence that Baker ever donated his literary publications to enliven reading material in the Law Library!
The Dwight method in the hands of its dedicated teacher proved a great magnet for students of law, attracting men like Charles Evans Hughes, class of 1884, who went on to the Supreme Court, and those like Baker, who combined the practice of law with other pursuits. By 1871, only a dozen years after its founding, the Law School had graduated 690 men and was drawing entering classes of more than 100 students. But how did students regard their teacher, who placed heavy emphasis on the historical development of law? They loved him. Poultney Bigelow, a graduate of the law class of 1882, wrote in his memoirs, Seventy Summers (London: Longmans, 1925):

We had a notable teacher—the revered and beloved Theodore Dwight. He was then about sixty years of age—the very embodiment of a venerable sage come from heaven to illumine for use the medieval obscurities of Blackstone. His pupils crowded about him. The late-comers occupied windowsills or perched about his feet on the edge of his professional platform. Every space of standing-room was occupied, and every word that fell from his golden lips was noted. Here at last was genuine thirst for knowledge; our thirst was keen, and we recognized in Theodore Dwight the master who could satisfy our desires. . . . Every law student knew that he could here get his money's worth.

Other students recalled Dwight’s amazing ability to know and distinguish his many pupils by name, sometimes many years after graduation.

Like George Baker, some students preserved their notebooks long after they ceased to serve any useful function to a lawyer. Baker and his notebooks are only a beginning. In addition to these, the Law Library holds notebooks of nineteen students from the time of Theodore Dwight, each with a story of its own. Among them are the notes of Samuel Greenbaum, class of 1875, a New York State Supreme Court judge; Henry Morgenthau, class of 1877, ambassador to Turkey; and Theodore Roosevelt, class of 1882, who took careful dictation in pencil, decorated his notes with doodles, but did not remain to complete his second year in the Law School.

Dwight remained warden of the Law School for thirty-three years, although at least two institutions of higher learning earnestly desired him to become their president. When he retired from the School in 1891 at the age of sixty-nine, nearly six thousand men had benefited from his lectures. His retirement had its painful side, because his successor was William Keener of Harvard Law School, a firm believer in the case method instilled in him at Harvard. Dwight’s goal of removing legal education from practical training in law offices was not yet realized. But in the company of the School’s many graduates, Dwight was a powerful intellect who challenged the bar to reconsider the definition and goals of legal education.

Suggestions for Further Reading


- Works by George Augustus Baker: Point Lace and Diamonds The Bad Habits of Good Society West Point: A Comedy in Three Acts Mrs. Hephaestus and Other Stories Molly’s Prenuptial Flirtation

These are gems of social commentary and are best found in a secondhand or antiquarian bookstore.
Upon the recent death of his widow, the family of Mr. Gordon Bunshaft has added to Avery’s Gordon Bunshaft collection several photo albums, Bunshaft’s travel diaries, and other memorabilia that complement the collection donated by this distinguished architect during the last year of his life.

When Bunshaft was awarded the Pritzker Prize in 1988, the New York Times said:

Unlike the Oscars or the Pulitzers, [the Pritzker] is not an indication of the latest new works of importance. It is more of a capstone to a career. . . . Bunshaft, the 79 year old architect who for many years was the most powerful design partner in the New York office of Skidmore, Owings and Merrill, is a confirmed modernist. His masterworks include Lever House, 140 Broadway and the Manufacturers Hanover Bank. All in New York, they are glass buildings which are justly considered triumphs of postwar American modernism. . . . In the 1970s . . . his style evolved into a somewhat aggressively heavy sculptural approach that was less well received than his earlier work. His buildings during this period included the round Hirshhorn Museum in Washington, the travertine-clad Lyndon Baines Johnson Library in Austin, Texas, and the sloping facade skyscraper at 9 West 57th Street in New York.

Bunshaft was also the architect of Yale’s Beinecke Library and is considered responsible for instigating corporate investment in and public display of significant art works. He had an important art collection himself, which was willed to the Museum of Modern Art. The extensive documentation of all his personal art purchases, however, has come to Avery as part of this latest gift.
Cain gift: Mrs. Elizabeth (Betty) Cain, former chair of The Friends of the Columbia Libraries, has donated to Avery Library the personal books and papers of her late husband, Mr. Walker O. Cain. Walker was a loyal friend of the Avery Library and donated during his lifetime many books and documents from the McKim, Mead and White architectural offices, to whose practice he was successor. Betty has now donated Walker’s papers and drawings, documenting his career and extraordinary drawing abilities.

Goodman bequest: The Avery Library has received the Percival Goodman bequest from his widow, Mrs. Naomi Goodman, who paid for the creation of a comprehensive finding aid. Percival Goodman (1904—1989) was an architect, urban planner, professor, author, and artist.

A professor of Architecture and City Planning at Columbia from 1946 to 1971 and an emeritus professor thereafter, Goodman is perhaps best remembered as an architect who believed that the power of design could improve social conditions. In 1947, he co-authored, with his brother, the philosopher Paul Goodman, Communitas, a blueprint for ideal communities. He later wrote The Double E, a treatise on the relationship of ecology to city planning, which was published in 1977.

Goodman willed his architectural library and all other architectural records remaining in his possession after he closed his office in 1979 to the Avery Library. He had previously donated a large portion of his professional work to the American Heritage Center at the University of Wyoming. Mrs. Goodman has since arranged for the return of this material to the Avery Library so that the complete records of Goodman’s 60-year career can be consolidated and preserved at Avery.

Goodman, who left school to work in his uncle’s architectural office in New York when he was 14 years old, was later awarded the Paris Prize, a scholarship to study at the Ecole des Beaux-Arts. In France, he was exposed to the work of Le Corbusier and others who greatly influenced his thinking. He created many plans for sections of New York, including “Riverview” in 1945, a modernist development that would have provided housing for thirteen thousand families in Long Island City. The concept prefigured by more than forty years the more gradual industrial-to-residential transformation occurring there today. Goodman’s archive is invaluable to scholars of twentieth-century architecture in that it includes drawings, plans, models, photographs, slides, correspondence, teaching and lecture notes, published articles, and unpublished manuscripts, as well as planning studies undertaken by Goodman and those supervised by him as a professor at Columbia.

The atrocities of the Holocaust had a profound effect on Goodman, and after World War II he designed synagogues for new communities in need of temples. His use of modernist architecture combined with commissioned work by contemporary artists set the style for his synagogue designs. A major component of the collection is the documentation for these more than fifty synagogues.

In a salute to Goodman on the occasion of his eightieth birthday in 1984, Paul Goldberger in the New York Times wrote, “Percival Goodman retains, more earnestly, surely, than most of his colleagues, a commitment to the possibilities of rational planning. That is, in many ways, the ultimate legacy of modernism—not the esthetic of sleekness and
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technology, which can be shifted and redirected into all sorts of different contexts, but the belief that a new way of thinking will bring about a better life for everyone." Goodman was more than just a leading architect of his time; he was a leading thinker. The Avery Library is honored to house this archive of his materials and looks forward, with the help of the J. M. Kaplan Fund, to mounting an exhibition of the highlights from these works.

THE C. V. STARR EAST ASIAN LIBRARY

Abe Kōbō gift: The C. V. Starr East Asian Library is developing a collection around the works of Japanese writer Abe Kōbō (1924–1993), beginning with unique materials donated in Abe’s honor by his long-term friend, University and Shinchō Professor of Japanese Emeritus Donald Keene. Abe Kōbō was internationally acclaimed as a novelist, playwright, and essayist. His long connection with Columbia University included an honorary doctorate awarded in 1975 as well as his long friendship with Professor Keene. Since Abe’s death in January 1993, Professor Keene has been donating additional valuable materials.

Abe’s plays were produced by the Abe Kōbō studio, which experimented with increased emphasis on movement and light rather than on dialogue. A number of his works have been produced in the United States. Starr’s collection includes a number of playbills, published plays, information on his studio, and translations of plays. Abe did not consider any text final and continuously revised texts for each production of a play. One of the most valuable items is a set of revisions Abe wrote in the published version of Tomodachi (Friends) in Bungei. The play has been translated by Donald Keene.

Among Abe’s best-known novels are Suna no Onna (Woman in the Dunes), on which the celebrated film by Hiroshi Teshigahara was based; Hako-otoko (Box Man); and Moetsukita chizu (The Ruined Map). First editions of these and other novels, inscribed to Professor Keene, are included in the collection, as well as a number of limited editions of lesser-known works.

Many letters exchanged between Abe Kōbō and Donald Keene are in the collection, including some concerning the translation and an American performance of Friends, and a letter written in Abe’s voice by Keene to provoke a response, and later edited, in red, by Abe.

Abe considered himself a visual as well as a literary artist; one work in the collection, which also includes some audiocassette material, is a photographic self-portrait. The collection is currently being processed to facilitate access.

THE MUSIC LIBRARY

Miller gift: The Music Library has received the Robert Miller collection of piano music containing pieces for the piano written during a thirty-year period beginning in the 1950s. The collection includes a holograph score, “Form IV: Broken Sequences,” by Stefan Wolpe, inscribed to Miller. In addition, it contains approximately 250 holograph facsimiles, including variant editions, most of which are annotated with Miller’s performance notes, or with directives by the composer.

Pianist and lawyer Miller had an active, if brief, career as a recitalist, excelling particularly in modern music. He studied piano before entering
Princeton, where he received the B.A. in 1952. After his discharge from the U.S. Army, he received the law degree from Columbia University Law School in 1957. During this time he also continued his career as a pianist. He was closely identified with the Group for Contemporary Music and the Composers’ Conference and was the first pianist to hold the Fromm Foundation fellowship at the Berkshire Music Center. Numerous composers created works for him, including Milton Babbitt, George Crumb, Mario Davidovsky, Wolpe, Charles Wuorinen, and others. He died of cancer in November 1981.

The Rare Book and Manuscript Library

Blake gift: Mrs. Edith G. Blake has added materials to the papers of Henry Beetle Hough, author of that classic of American nature writing, The Outermost House.

Coover gift: Mr. Christopher Coover (M.L.S., 1983) has donated to the Library seven significant books, among them a first edition, in the rare original binding, of Ruskin’s The Stones of Venice (three volumes) and first editions of Byron’s Sardanapalus and Marino Faliero.

Elman gift: The contemporary novelist Richard Elman, who recently spoke to The Friends of the Columbia Libraries about the poet William Bronk, has donated a copy of his hard-to-find pseudonymous title, John Howland Spyker’s Little Lives, which includes a descriptive sketch of Bronk.

Griffin purchase: A twenty-year diary, the manuscripts of at least three novels (two unpublished) and short stories, and correspondence with, among others, Thomas Merton, Robert Casadesus, and Jacques Maritain are among the papers of Mr. John Howard Griffin, which were purchased in 1994 with the proceeds of several Rare Book and Manuscript Library endowments. Griffin, a musicologist and professional photographer as well as a writer, is primarily remembered for his ground-breaking and controversial report on segregation, published in 1958 under the title Black Like Me. But his experiences, which include a ten-year unexplained period of blindness and a stint with the French Resistance in World War II, form a remarkable story of a spiritual odyssey through the modern world.

Lasker bequest: The philanthropist Mary E. Lasker (LL.D., 1976), whose contributions to the world of science and to the beautification and social welfare of New York lasted for nearly fifty years, has left to Columbia a large collection of papers documenting her professional and philanthropic activities, along with a donation of ten thousand dollars to catalog, preserve, and process these materials for scholarly use.

Meade gift: Ms. Marion Meade has donated to the Library a group of manuscript materials relating to the life and career of the American writer Dorothy Parker.

Miller gift: Professor Rosalind Miller has given the Library a compilation of letters and documents relating to her critical study of the early work of Gertrude Stein. Among them are a telegram from Stein in Paris to Miller in New York, as well as letters from Carl van Vechten, Alice B. Toklas, Lionel Trilling, and others.
**Pratt bequest:** Dallas Pratt, M.D. (1941), has left to the Library a portrait of John Masefield by William Strang, a fine Aubrey Beardsley drawing, and an Abraham Lincoln letter dated November 19, 1860. A member of the Friends of the Columbia Libraries since 1951 and long an editor of *Library Columns*, Dr. Pratt was the co-founder of the American Museum in Bath, England. During the five decades of his association with the Rare Book and Manuscript Library, he contributed numerous rare books and manuscripts to the collection, including important works by Ptolemy, the Duchess of Marlborough, Jonathan Swift, Alexander Pope, and John Keats.

**Roosevelt gift:** To their earlier gift of the papers of painter Stephen Haweis, members of the family of Mrs. John Roosevelt and Mr. P. James Roosevelt have added three oil paintings by Haweis and other materials relating to the artist and his life on the island of Dominica.

**Rothkopf gift:** Ms. Carol Rothkopf (A.M., 1952) has added a number of volumes to the modern literature collections. Her donation includes, among many other titles, three books written by Bernard Stone and illustrated by Name To Come: *Emergency Mouse: A Story* (1978), *Inspector Mouse* (1980), and *Quasimodo Mouse* (1984), autographed by the author and illustrator, with drawings by the latter; and a group of audiocassettes of the music of composer Georges Antheil.

**Salisbury bequest:** An extensive and invaluable collection of manuscripts and books from the library of the late Mr. Harrison Salisbury (LL.D., 1973) were received by bequest in late 1993 and added to the Rare Book and Manuscript Library collections in the spring. As a correspondent in Russia during World War II and later as a traveler, journalist, and historian in post-war Europe and China, Mr. Salisbury witnessed many of the most critical political encounters and social upheavals of the twentieth century. His papers, including both his own major contributions to international dialogue and his correspondence with leaders of all the countries playing a major role in world politics, document with remarkable vividness the course of modern history. Among the more than three thousand volumes added to the Columbia collections from his library are inscribed and association copies of his own books and those of his contemporaries, and a large collection of long-unavailable Russian periodicals and publications from the former Soviet Union.

**Sassoon purchase:** A collection of autograph and typed letters and cards to British poet Siegfried Sassoon, as well as letters from Sassoon to others, including E. M. Forster and Lady Ottoline Morrell, has been purchased with the Rare Book and Manuscript Fund.

**Saxon gift:** Mrs. Nancy Saxon (A.B., 1994, B.) has added twenty-six watercolors and charcoal drawings to the extensive collection of works by her late husband, New Yorker cartoonist Charles Saxon (A.B., 1940). His subjects range from topless dancers to Santa Claus, his settings from the beaches of southern France to the streets of New York.

**Weil gift:** Mr. James L. Weil has added two more contributions to the fine collection of poetry by William Bronk that he has given to us over the years: *Bare Bones* and *Exemplaries* (both New Rochelle: James Weil, 1994).
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One hundred years ago, on December 7, 1895, Columbia laid the cornerstone of its first Morningside Heights building, Low Memorial Library. While no classes were held at the new location until 1897, this year nonetheless represents the centenary of Columbia’s commitment to the Morningside campus and, in a sense, to all that the University would become in the century that followed.

Mindful of this anniversary, we devote the second issue of the new Columbia Library Columns to “things Columbia.” Inevitably that must entail celebration of the centenary event itself—the design and construction of Low Library. An article by Barry Bergdoll chronicles the building’s emergence from the minds of Charles Follen McKim and Seth Low, a product of their collective vision of the Morningside campus and of Low’s vision for Columbia’s future as a research university. A photo essay by Hollee Haswell chronicles the vision’s realization in stone. And Michael Rosenthal’s article on Nicholas Murray Butler describes the man who more than anyone else was responsible for the institutional realization of that vision. Finally John Stranges provides an edition of a brief correspondence between two important Columbians, George Louis Beer and James T. Shotwell, a snapshot of the Versailles Peace Conference’s aftermath, and a view of the role Columbians played in the world at large.
Nicholas Murray Butler in front of Earl Hall, ca 1945. After he resigned as president, Butler visited the campus each day, weather permitting. Although his failing eyesight required that he be accompanied by a companion, his vision of Columbia never diminished. Columbiana Collection.
The role played by the legendary “robber barons” in shaping American industrial society after the Civil War is by now a well-established part of our history. The Morgans, the Rockefellers, the Vanderbilts, the Carnegies, and their cohorts rest comfortably with their mythic status in the pantheon of major American figures. Ruthless, visionary, and powerful, these captains of industry built empires that, for better or worse, came to define much that is unique about America.

At roughly the same time (a little later, 1876, if we want to take the founding of Johns Hopkins as the starting point), another institution developed that was as distinctly American in its way as the steel, oil, and railway monopolies were in theirs: the modern research university. With the rapid transformation of colleges into research universities, a transformation that quickly eclipsed the German model on which these universities were originally based, there emerged a new species of industrialist—the industrialist of the mind—to preside over them. Contemptuously (if perceptively) branded by Thorstein Veblen as “captains of erudition,” university presidents were intellectual moguls, no less acquisitive than their capitalistic brethren, who ferreted among the wealthy for their resources and came to exercise vast cultural influence.
Of these academic empire builders, men like Gilman of Hopkins, Eliot of Harvard, White of Cornell, and Harper of Chicago, arguably the most visible and certainly the most enduring was Nicholas Murray Butler, who ruled Columbia University from 1901 to 1945. Astonishingly, Butler is the only one whose biography has never been written. In remedying this, I take as my subject a man whose importance is not simply a product of his lengthy tenure at Columbia, but of the fact that, in the process of creating a vast institution in his own image, he made himself into a powerful American cultural icon recognized and revered (and not infrequently reviled) throughout the world.

Butler’s ambitions for himself were never parochially limited to Columbia. Nor were they national or even international in scope. They were finally nothing less than intergalactic. (In his autobiography, Lord Halifax expresses his amusement at the joke that Butler had indicated that he would not die until a vacancy had occurred in the Holy Trinity.) His choice of “Cosmos” as his pen name for some of the innumerable articles he wrote tells us more about Butler than he understood. Alva Johnson’s summary of Butler in his 1930 New Yorker profile as “the only member of his profession—that of consulting world advisor and liaison officer of the nations; the grandmaster of internationalists (non-Red) of all countries; the most comprehensively decorated private individual extant; the semiofficial boss of American letters, the president of the most prestigious educational institution on earth,” represents perhaps the most successful attempt we have to contain his immensity in a few phrases.

A book about Butler is necessarily a book about different kinds of power: the emerging power of the American research university, of the country itself, and of Butler’s extraordinary skill in blending the two together and selling them, along with himself, to the world at large. Fascinating in their own right, Butler’s vision and heroic excesses reveal much about America’s sense of self and one of its most distinctive institutions as both came to dominance in the first half of this century.

If Butler is an obscure figure today, his least utterances and even his whereabouts were newsworthy in his time. His annual summer departures for Europe invariably merited a notice in the New York Times, and his arrivals were treated as important state occasions, whether in England, Germany, France, or Italy. He helped England through a parliamentary crisis by appearing at a secret Constitutional Conference, addressed the Reichstag, and was a member of the French Academy. Had he chosen to wear all his foreign decorations, even his capacious chest would have had trouble accommodating them at one time: Commander of the Order of the Red Eagle (with star) of Prussia; Grand Commander of the Royal Redeemer (First Class) from Greece; Serbia’s Grand Cross of the Order of St. Sava (First Class); Grand Officer of the Order of Polonia Restituta (Second Class); Commander of the Order of the Saints and Lazarus from the Italian Government; Grand Officer of the Legion of Honor of France; Grand Cordon of the Order of Leopold from Belgium; and Grand White Cordon with red borders of the Order of Jade from China, to name a few.
When he wasn’t chatting with emperors, popes, kings, revolutionaries (he once had lunch with Lenin), and dictators, or receiving medals from foreign countries and honorary degrees (thirty-eight in all) from universities both here and abroad (St. Andrews, Oxford, Cambridge, Paris, Louvain, Prague, Glasgow, Breslau, Strasbourg, Toronto, and Rome, among others), he was deeply involved in American politics. A friend of most Republican presidents from McKinley on, he helped draft party platforms, influenced foreign policy, and was engaged in formulating all manner of legislation, the most important of which, from his point of view, was his work on the National Budget Bill of 1920. “Nicholas Miraculous,” as his good friend Teddy Roosevelt dubbed him, was asked to run (but declined) for mayor, governor (three times), and senator. When Taft’s vice-presidential running mate suddenly died shortly before the 1912 election, Butler agreed to serve as a last-minute substitute on the ticket, no doubt deserving some of the credit for the eight electoral votes from Vermont and Utah that the two garnered.

He shared the Nobel Peace Prize with Jane Addams in 1931, served as president of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace for twenty years, and was chairman of the Carnegie Corporation from 1937 to 1945. He was a member of every conceivable committee and organization—and president of most of them—from the American Committee for the Independence of Armenia to the Final Jury to Pass upon Essays Submitted by High School Pupils in a contest sponsored by the International Business Machines Corporation.

In fact, more or less the only presidency he failed to achieve was that of the United States, and that for no lack of trying. Although his hatred of losing caused him later to disavow his ambition, he desperately sought the Republican nomination in 1920, actually managing to receive New York’s 69 ½ votes as a favorite son candidate at the convention. But not even his slogan, “Pic Nic for a picnic in November,” or the assurance on his campaign buttons that “He’s no pussy footer,” could alter the party’s conviction that the country was not ready for another university president to follow Wilson. It was a disappointment that rankled all his life, much as he attempted to deny it.

As an educational reformer, he helped purge the public school systems of both New York City and Paterson, New Jersey, of political cronyism. Under his leadership, efficiently run, centrally administered Boards of Education were voted into replace a corrupt system of local boards functioning under the strict rules of political patronage. Prior to becoming president of Columbia, Butler had already been one of the founders and the first president of what began as a teachers’ training school and shortly became Columbia’s Teachers College. Before and during the early years of his presidency, he worked hard to try to find some solution to the problem of unifying standards for college admission. His efforts culminated in the creation of the College Entrance Examination Board, which originated today’s practice of requiring all applicants to college to take the same standardized test. In addition, he was president of the National Education Association and founder and editor of the Educational Review.
Add to these accomplishments the more than 5,000 items—speeches, papers, reviews, and books—that are listed in a bibliography compiled in 1934, and one gets a sense of just how prodigious a character Butler was. By no means the most modest of men, Butler gloried in the fact that as he grew heavy with years and honors his entry in *Who's Who in America* occupied more space than anybody else's. If importance were measured by sheer inches, none could readily compare. In 1942, for example, Franklin Delano Roosevelt, conceivably more significant even than Butler, could only muster 2 7/8 inches to Butler's 10 3/4.

Butler's lengthy entry was not accidental; he monitored the public record of his achievements as more venal souls might the daily stock market listings. Nothing could be omitted, not his memberships in golf clubs—four—or the fact that in 1923 he became a member of the Bricklayers, Masons, and Plasterers Union of America. Had the *Guinness Book of World Records* existed during his lifetime, the 4,284-word sentence he generated in his 1943 presidential report would surely have been noted as the longest nonfictional sentence ever written. Butler's unwavering attention to the documentation of his career can perhaps best be seen in a note he wrote to his secretary in 1941, when he was seventy-nine: “Will you get from Mr. Hayden the exact description of the honor which the government of Venezuela is to confer upon me on Friday and add it to the list of items in your record and that furnished to *Who's Who*. It may be too late to get it into the 1942 *Who's Who* but I’m not sure.” (It was.)

The platform for his various achievements, of course, was Columbia University, which he in large part built, funded, and ran as his own. It can be said about few institutions that they were as dependent for their development on the energies of one man as Columbia was on Butler. As a young professor he helped draft the blueprint by which Columbia would move from college to organized research university. As the first dean of the faculty of philosophy, he encouraged, or so he claimed, the purchase of land on Morningside Heights, urging the Trustees in fact to acquire all the land from 110th to 120th Streets and from Morningside Heights to the Hudson River.

In the process of shaping a university to his own grand specifications, Butler exercised a tight autocracy. He was insistent about attracting distinguished faculty to Columbia, and quick to dismiss them if they challenged his authority. The firings of faculty who persisted in arguing that the United States should stay out of World War I even after Butler had declared such assertions treasonous, is but one instance of many in the career of a man who felt comfortable with the notion that the university belonged to him.

The public adulation in which Butler wallowed as his career flourished was not the only response he provoked. From the start of his presidential tenure he was excoriated by critics objecting to his seemingly boundless egotism and administrative tyrannies, who viewed his ties to industry and finance as compromising the academic integrity of the university. In addition to Veblen's finding him the most pernicious form of the abhorrent species of university president, Upton Sinclair, in *The Goose Step*, saw Butler's Columbia as the prime instance of the malefic influence that the corporate board-
room had come to play in university affairs. And Randolph Bourne, a Columbia graduate, pilloried Butler in a savage parody as Alexander Mackintosh Butcher. Perhaps the most amusing instance of Butler’s capacity to inspire fierce antipathy was the “Draft Ode for a Phi Beta Kappa Occasion” published in *Poetry* magazine in 1939 by poet and classicist Rolf Humphries. Richly veined in classical allusion, the poem makes little sense until one reads vertically the first letter of each line, which spells out “Nicholas Murray Butler is a Horses Ass.”

Outraged at having been duped, *Poetry* castigated Humphries in the next issue for his “scurrilous phrase” and disbarred him from ever appearing again in its pages. (The sentence was eventually rescinded, Humphries later admitted.)

But whatever the nature of the particular judgment, everyone recognized Butler as the emblematic presence of American higher education. Writers as dissimilar as Aldous Huxley, Sinclair Lewis, and H. Allan Smith treated him as the quintessential American academic. Referring to the
extent to which Butler was encrusted with honors, H. G. Wells called him the “pearly king of academics.” Ezra Pound, who considered him “one of the more loathsome figures of a time that has not been creditable even to humanity,” nevertheless acknowledged him as the “titular head of the country’s intellectual life.” Good or bad, he was someone to be reckoned with.

Butler’s own view of himself and his importance is perhaps best caught on a small typewritten chart located in a file labeled “Personal Odds and Ends.” Most likely the product of a self-indulgent reverie which he had spawned in an idle moment some time in 1940, its frighteningly revealing calculation had no doubt been typed into permanent form by a dutiful secretary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mussolini</th>
<th>Stalin</th>
<th>Hitler</th>
<th>Roosevelt</th>
<th>NMB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Born</td>
<td>1883</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>1882</td>
<td>1862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Come to Power</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>1902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years in Power</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3880</td>
<td>3880</td>
<td>3880</td>
<td>3880</td>
<td>3880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divided by 2</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1940</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The company Butler chooses for himself here emphasizes the enormous distance he traveled from his warnings, bestowed upon his Columbia classmates in his 1882 Class Day Address, against the evils of overweening ambition: “Let us then keep steadily before us,” he concluded, “the motto of the ancient sage—‘not too far.’—and rest content with the satisfactory attainment of a reasonable ambition as our ideal of human happiness.”

While it is perfectly reasonable—and even appropriate—that Butler’s critics point out the dimensions of his narcissism and his patrician indifference to process, it is also critical that these be seen in the context of his own vast aspirations for himself and the university he was building. In our current age of diminished expectation, in which we have accommodated ourselves to the flawed nature of all our institutions, educational as well as governmental, it is astonishing to encounter Butler’s monumental vision of what he hoped to achieve. At a dinner honoring him on his seventy-fifth birthday, Butler emphasized the dreams he always had for Morningside Heights:

What was in my mind, and is in my mind still, is that Morningside Heights shall become the greatest capital of the mind that the world has ever seen—either ancient or modern—and that from it there shall go out to every part of this land and to every foreign land a steady and heartening stream of influence and inspiration in every field of thought and endeavor.

However implausible such a notion, it was Butler’s ability to articulate it that accounts in part for Columbia’s greatness as a modern university. It also speaks to a time in which an implacable ambition such as his could be entertained. Butler’s quest for power, control, and influence make him one with his friends Andrew Carnegie and J. P.
Morgan. He too sought an empire, and understood as well as any man the growing power of the research university as a defining social and cultural institution in a country that was poised to take over world leadership. It is particularly interesting in this regard that Morgan (or so Butler alleged), no doubt sensing the kinship between them, offered Butler the presidency of the Erie railroad. (Controlling an empire that was arguably more influential even than Morgan’s, however, Butler was not overly tempted to trade in his cultural capital for railroad shares.)

Extolling Butler on the occasion of his eightieth birthday, his friend Charles D. Hilles pointed out that “It is indispensable that a man, to become great or famous, shall represent in a well-defined way the general aspirations of his times.” Butler’s life perfectly illustrates the correctness of Hilles’ claim. In all of his idiosyncrasy, Butler is at the same time a wonderfully representative figure of the first half of our century. Rediscovering for this age the complex career of a man whose importance was explicitly understood by his contemporaries should help us better understand the character of the age that nourished him.
Design sketch for elevation of Low Library, on verso of page 2 of a letter from McKim to Stanford White, dated 24 July 1894. In the letter McKim states he must cancel his trip to meet White in London (?) because of the amount of work President Low has laid out for him (while Low is on vacation). Drawings and Archives. Avery Architectural and Fine Arts Library.
Laying the Cornerstone of the New Columbia University (Library)

December 7, 1895

BARRY BERGDOLL

Any city that hopes to be famous, in the sense that Athens was famous and is famous still, must crown its material success with an intellectual life powerful within its limits and beyond its borders.

Seth Low, 1900

Long before President Seth Low made his dramatic announcement in 1895 of his intent to donate the one million dollars required for a library building on the “Morningside Acropolis,” he had made the building his own in private meetings, letters, and phone conversations with “his” architect, Charles Follen McKim. During the summer of 1894 the design took shape in an interchange between Columbia’s first nonacademic president and America’s champion of academic architecture. Together Low and McKim designed a new library that would be one of the most admired buildings in American architecture for over a generation. They also stamped the future shape and image of the University for the next century. The cornerstone, laid on December 7, 1895, was more than the first stone of a new library building. It was a reinvention of the library in the academic life of the University, the keystone of the new campus, and the capstone of Low’s ambition to transform Columbia College into Columbia University in the City of New York.
Since the mid-1850s a portion of the faculty had advocated elevating Columbia from a college to a university, a semantic change that represented the corporation’s metamorphosis from a parochial training ground for New York’s elite into a research institution with a self-conscious metropolitan duty. Thomas Bender has recently argued persuasively that the Trustees endorsed this new role for Columbia in the city’s life when they selected businessman and former Brooklyn Mayor Seth Low (class of 1870) as a new breed of university president in 1890. Low was conscious of the perils facing a nonacademic at the head of an institution proud of its tradition and its adherence to the classical curriculum. But he was dedicated to a vision of the University, which he was intent upon crafting into a unified family of faculties capable of rivaling the great German universities American educators increasingly admired. The founding of the University Council in 1890 was the first step in an administrative centralization of Columbia. This and the new name for his alma mater, “Columbia University in the City of New York,” were great sources of pride for Low. The creation of the new campus crowned by a library bearing his father’s name was to consolidate these reforms.

The story of Columbia’s decision to abandon its cramped midtown campus at 49th Street and Madison Avenue for the more spacious and verdant grounds of the Bloomingdale Asylum is well known. In late spring of 1892 the Trustees solicited the advice of three prominent New York architects on planning the new site, determined that the campus should grow by reason rather than the ad hoc necessity that had reigned at the 49th Street site. The “commission” of Charles Coolidge Haight, Richard Morris Hunt, and Charles Follen McKim (who represented the firm of McKim, Mead & White) echoed the tensions within the faculty and Trustees between advocates of the classical curriculum and those endorsing Columbia’s movement toward the modern research university modeled on Johns Hopkins and the elective system of Charles Norton Eliot’s Harvard. Haight, who had designed the brick Victorian Gothic buildings at 49th Street, represented tradition. He proposed a cloistered approach to the new site, allowing him to expand on the collegiate associations of his Gothic and complement the Romanesque design recently selected for the cathedral of St. John the Divine. Both Hunt and McKim had trained at the Ecole des Beaux-Arts. In 1892 they were immersed in designing aspects of the World’s Columbian Exhibition in Chicago, viewed then and ever since as the seminal moment in America’s adaptation of the classical rhetoric and the large-scale, formal planning of Beaux-Arts France. Hunt proposed a unified scheme for the Morningside Heights campus with a large central courtyard, combining the unimpeachable logic of French hospital planning with the monumental grandeur of Chicago’s Court of Honor. McKim too proposed a formal courtyard arrangement but with a vital difference: his complex featured a great open courtyard facing south to 116th Street, the whole landscaped to take advantage of the rise on the site with its magnificent views south towards the city and west to the Hudson. The courtyards were to create a strict hierarchy of buildings designed with “pure classical forms, as expressing in the simplest and most monumental way the purposes to which the buildings are devoted,” and with strict attention to the
“wholly municipal character of the problem.” McKim thereby adapted the grand public and civic imagery of Chicago to the uses of a private college inching its way toward university status.

The Trustees entrusted the three plans to Professor Ware, doyen of Columbia’s School of Architecture, and to Frederick Law Olmsted, whose most recent designs included the grounds of the U.S. Capitol and the World’s Columbian Exhibition. Ware and Olmsted drew up a composite master plan and a list of program requirements they hoped Haight, Hunt, and McKim would use to develop model elevations and solve the thorny question of the proper style for Columbia—Gothic or classical. The Trustees were quick to note that, “in attempting the Gothic we shall at once appear to be imitating the English universities, and shall thereby suggest a comparison which can scarcely fail to be unfavorable to us.” As to the classical, the Trustees noted that “the present tendency in architecture in this country seems to be to develop in this direction” and that, therefore, “it is the style which will appeal most strongly to educated popular taste, and will be most likely to secure an imposing architectural effect.”

But the three architects refused to continue working together. The Trustees then moved to appoint McKim. While this was reasoned out as a foregone conclusion—Haight’s Gothic had been rejected and Hunt’s declining health was all too apparent—it is likely that McKim had already cemented a relationship with Seth Low. The president was such an astute negotiator that the minutes of the Board of Trustees in these years make the design of the campus seem a corporate affair. Low involved both the committees and the trustees to be sure, but he was also adroit at educating them in his vision of the University and the instrumental role the new buildings would have in realizing that vision. McKim in turn educated Low, who returned from the World’s Columbian Exhibition in Chicago enthusiastic for the civic virtues of an Americanized Beaux-Arts classicism.

McKim was, indeed, perfectly suited to win Low’s confidence. He had academic credentials—a year at Harvard’s Lawrence Scientific School and three at the Ecole des Beaux-Arts—and his firm, McKim, Mead & White, was a prototype of the great corporate practices of the twentieth century. McKim had already shown his commitment to Columbia’s young School of Architecture, most dramatically by his donation in 1889 of $20,000 to endow the McKim Traveling Fellowships. Low found in McKim a fellow believer in the responsibility of America’s cultural elite to build institutions through private philanthropy.

In turn McKim solicited Low’s help. As his vision of an American Academy in Rome took shape, he solicited Low’s support for this center of classical studies. And in June 1893 he requested an honorary degree for Daniel Burnham “in view of his public services as Director of Works of the Columbian exhibition.” It seemed likely the new campus would be designed by a number of like-minded architects who agreed on certain guidelines: uniform cornice lines, a consistent attitude toward style and image, and a clearly defined hierarchy of spaces, functions, and materials. These were the principles of the Chicago Exhibition’s so-called “White City” as defined by Burnham and refined by a cohort of Beaux-Arts trained
American architects. It was a vision of urban scale planning that coincided brilliantly with Low’s vision of the engaged, metropolitan university.

In April 1894 the Trustees approved McKim’s master plan for the campus. It retained the great open south court—McKim called it alternatively Columbia’s “vestibule” or “atrium”—with a library closing the view atop a high flight of steps. A second court would be closed at the north by a multifunctional building combining dining hall, academic theater, and gymnasium. On the cross axis the Library would be flanked by a chapel on the east and a student assembly hall on the west, each of which had an apse protruding into two rectangular “quadrangles” parallel to the avenues and enclosed by the academic “pavilions.” The campus as we know it was essentially defined.

Over the course of the summer the plan was studied further, and by the time it was presented to the Trustees in the fall, only one key element had been radically transformed: the Library at the center. At their October meeting the Trustees approved without apparent discussion a resolution: “That the shape of the library building be changed from a long rectangle to a Greek cross.” As Low later made clear he was determined that the University Library be an utterly original creation. The rectangular footprint was too close in conception to McKim’s much acclaimed design for the Boston Public Library. By becoming more emphatically freestanding the new building would be the focal point of the campus and would stand in distinct contrast to the rectilinear academic buildings. The change was momentous, for the building was transformed from a vaguely defined rectangle to a monumental, centrally planned structure—something not seen on an American campus since Jefferson’s designs for the University of Virginia and Jean-Jacques Ramée’s for Union College.

In any case, McKim worked on the Library through the summer of 1894. On July 6 he wrote to Mead: “The scheme for the Library has undergone many changes. Last week we struck it....” On July 24 he was obliged to write Stanford White that he could not join him in Europe on “the delightful journey you laid out for me,” since “President Low announced his determination to take a vacation of several weeks and at the same time cut out for me such a lot of work that it simply [has] made my proposed trip out of the question.” On the back of that letter, now in the Avery Library, is the first known sketch for the centrally planned, Pantheon-inspired library. McKim conceived a domed building—perhaps influenced by Low’s continual insistence on the unity of the University—and one whose clear homage to the Roman Pantheon is unmistakable, corrected with the high dome profile of Hunt’s Administration Building at the Columbian Exhibition.

Like any Beaux-Arts trained architect, McKim defined for himself in this preliminary sketch the essence of the problem he wanted to solve architecturally: how to give Columbia the aura of antiquity and the more commanding, domed profile for a grand public building in the modern city. McKim’s dome would command not only a grand and unified campus, but also the emerging monumental landscape of Morningside Heights, where the dome of Grant’s Tomb and the lantern of St. Luke’s hospital were already rising and the great towers and dome of St. John the Divine were projected. Over a year earlier he had written to
Olmsted that the rise of the center of Columbia’s new site was “the crowning feature of the island” with its “commanding view ... of the Palisades to the Narrows, and over both rivers, no problem could be more admirably suited to monumental treatment.”

While McKim was eager to develop a Library of the grandest simplicity, Low wondered aloud if the “faculty buildings” should not be ornamented so as to appease the Trustees, who were still unsure of Columbia’s new scale and imagery. “I note what you say in regard to the Faculty buildings and appreciate the importance of winning over the Gothic gentlemen of your committee in all legitimate ways,” McKim wrote back on September 8, 1894. “My experience, however, teaches me that their reasoning is as medieval as their taste, and I honestly believe that the right way is not to sugarcoat our exteriors with compromising features but to meet the problem fairly and squarely in the face as we have, under your leadership hitherto done.” By October Low was anticipating McKim in his drive for simplicity and grandeur. Writing to McKim of “our plans” and the admiration of the Library “by all who see it,” Low warned McKim: “I am afraid you are allowing your sense of the ornate to dominate you too much. No one of the other buildings seem to me to have the fine simplicity of the Library.”

Low developed a strategy with McKim to win approval for the new buildings from the Trustees at their October meeting. McKim had a vast, plaster model of the campus made and supervised working drawings of the Library so that construction could begin as soon as the design was approved. McKim had won over first Low and then the Trustees to the great paved vestibule, scaled, as McKim explained, not to the Library alone but “to the whole University system,” and inspired by the system of terraces and landscaping at the World’s Columbian Exhibition.

The plans were accepted on November 7, 1894. Meanwhile Low asked McKim to work on alternatives for building in marble, limestone, or a mixture of brick and limestone. Estimates in hand, Low was arming himself for his great surprise, which would come near the end of the academic year. When the cornerstone of the Low Memorial Library was laid a year later, Low realized he had indeed laid the cornerstone of the whole university system, not only architecturally, but philosophically and philanthropically as well. The building would be of limestone rather than marble, for as Low admitted, “My personal relations to the building are such that I should be sorry to see it made so costly as to compel the Trustees to supplement my own gift.” Rather, his building was to set the pattern for what the press of the day called “the new philanthropy” of “The Age of Generosity,” the gift of named buildings. It took adroit advantage of a change in New York laws in 1893 that protected the intentions of donors in bequests and charitable donations. Low’s one million dollar gift—unprecedented even in an age of escalating philanthropy—was
front page news for days in the New York press. The president set the process in motion, and his fellow Trustee, Schermerhorn, immediately seconded with a gift of $300,000 for the first of the academic pavilions, that for the natural sciences.

Both Low and McKim understood the vital role monumental architecture had to play in making the new University a reality. To the Trustees Low explained over and over again that only a daring investment in the original buildings would attract donors, as well as students. From a trip to Europe, where he measured the stylobate, or pedestal, of the Parthenon, McKim wrote back to reassure Low of the design of the great platform on which the Low Memorial Library would be displayed: “As you can imagine I have studied the subject of platforms wherever I have gone with eager curiosity and without venturing any bold comparisons I look more than ever confidently to the development of yours as a pedestal upon which the
University may rely for popular as well as actual support.” He went on to reassure him that with time his gift would pay off with further gifts and reminded him that the downturn of the economy in the mid-1890s required patience.

Equally the new building was to set the pattern for the curriculum and the interaction between disciplines that Low envisioned as the greatest advantage of the university system. With his tireless urging the Trustees discussed a new undergraduate curriculum in which the uniform classical course of study was adapted to the growing demand for scientific instruction and specialized knowledge. It might seem ironic that even as Columbia built itself a monumental, classical campus, it finally took the leap of abandoning Greek as an admission requirement. However, Low Library was not intended to cement Columbia to antiquity but rather to unify the pantheon of departments into a single university. The new curriculum, adopted in January 1896 to go into effect with the move to the new site, made this clear:

...no one can obtain the degree of Bachelor of Arts who does not know something of at least one ancient language, and who has not therefore looked out through that window into the world of antiquity. He must know, also, something of history, something of philosophy, something of political economy, a good deal of English, something of mathematics, and something of at least one natural science. He must also have a reading knowledge of both French and German. This is the norm for every student.

As the building rose, it increasingly appeared to Low to provide the opportunity to assure the success of that curricular ideal.

The key came with the reorganization of the Library from a collection of books into the working center of education. As Low explained, the scientific faculties would be housed in buildings especially configured according to their needs around laboratories, scientific collections, and departmental libraries. In the fashion of the great German universities, the centerpiece would be the Library, which “besides being used as a general library, is treated as the laboratory of those faculties whose implements of work are books alone.”

The library-as-laboratory was especially clear in the combination of the grand circular reading room primarily for the undergraduates—with access to a reference collection in stacks surrounding the rotunda and the entire collection via up-to-date pneumatic paging systems—and a series of specialized reading rooms. These included imposing spaces for the Law School and the Avery Architectural Libraries, later moved to McKim-designed libraries on the ground floors of Avery and Kent Halls. But most significantly, on the upper floors there was to be a carefully integrated system of seminar rooms within the book stacks. These would allow each discipline to have both private study areas and areas for seminar classes immediately adjacent to the appropriate part of the Library’s growing collections. Indeed, when Low Library first opened, the University Quarterly reported that “This great building, which stands in the center of the University group, shelters under its capacious roof not only the University Library, but the libraries, lecture halls and offices of three of the great University Schools.”
Low's conviction that his new library building could both memorialize his image of the University and reinforce its curriculum was played out in his attempt, ultimately defeated by the Trustees, to cover the building with inscriptions inside and out. Once again McKim took the lead. He associated the architecture of a modern library with programmatic inscriptions such as those Henri Labrouste had carved on the facade of his seminal Bibliothèque Sainte-Geneviève in Paris (1838–50), the inspiration for his own Boston Public Library (1887–95). In late winter of 1896 McKim informed Low that inscriptions had to be designed for the great attic over the main Ionic portico, the friezes crowning the second floor of the other three facades, and the panels over the four ground floor entrances in the angles of the Greek cross. This was a matter of some urgency, as by summer the scaffolding would be coming down and McKim considered the College Library incomplete without inscribed names. Low rebuffed him—“In all future communications, please describe this building as the Columbia University Library”—but promised to turn his attention to the challenge.

During the summer months in Maine Low worked on devising a scheme of inscriptions that could fit the space available and function both as individual inscriptions and as a larger narrative. In August he sent his ambitious scheme to McKim: “I propose that the Frieze and the small panels in the angles will be used to epitomize the history of the University, by indicating the historical development of its parts.” Over the attic a long text would recount Columbia’s growth from King’s College to Columbia University. On the side facades the friezes and panels would cite the various components of the University and their dates of foundation. The individual faculties were to be placed in relationship to their positions in the evolving campus master plan. The most notable gesture was reserved for the panel over the Southeast entrance, where Low proposed to commemorate the creation of the University Council by which he had organized Columbia into a university even before the official adoption of the name. This, he explained, would point towards the quadrant of the master plan where the College, “which has been the seed out of which the University has grown,” would take up residence.

These inscriptions proved to be the most controversial issue of the entire design reviewed by the Trustees. One member called the scheme “radically wrong” and quipped, “The space ought to be created for the inscriptions, not the inscriptions for the space.” He suggested they should be rendered in Latin, a proposal firmly rejected by Low as anti-populist. When the inscriptions on the inside were carved—Law, Theology, Medicine, and Philosophy on the great piers supporting the dome—they were in fact placed to coordinate the physical placements of the new University faculties with the traditional division of knowledge. But the specific history Low sought to celebrate on the exterior was flatly rejected with the exception of the long text in the attic about the College’s origins and the utterly uncontroversial “The Library of Columbia University” in the principle frieze. All other spaces were left blank for future discussion.
The building was far from finished when classes began on Morningside Heights on Monday, October 4, 1897. Summer 1897 had been passed in a frenetic rhythm of telegrams between Low in Maine and McKim in New York. On the “sets of plans ... for your own personal use” that McKim had provided, Low and his wife reviewed every detail from the ventilation and the all-important lighting to the decorative details, including the installation of the bronze Zodiac in the vestibule floor. Work would continue well into 1898 even as the library was occupied by an expanding population of administrators, faculty, and students.

But Low took time off from the ceremonies on October 4, 1897, to dictate a letter of appreciation to McKim—one of three letters he wrote to his architect that day:

I avail of this opportunity to tell you how more than delighted both Mrs. Low and I are with this building. It is finer than we had dreamed it would be, even when we first returned to the city this autumn. Mr. Pine [a Trustee] said to me this morning that he thought it was really one of the great buildings of the world. Mrs. Low and I share that feeling most cordially. I congratulate you upon the outcome with all my heart. I have realized very fully for many months that your interest in the building was not simply that of the architect in his creation, but that there was on your part the personal interest in it of friend for friend.

Low and McKim’s visions had come to coincide to an extraordinary degree. McKim’s concept of a heroic, American classicism, heir both to Rome and to the Parisian Ecole des Beaux-Arts, fell into harmony with Low’s notion that a grandiose civic image could craft an international university out of a local college. Both Low and McKim viewed the entire scheme as a personal triumph.

---
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26 On the change in the law, see Bender, New York Intellig., 280–81. The rubrics come from an article printed in The Dial shortly after Low’s announcement and contained in a file of clippings on Low’s gift in Columbiana Library.


28 A New Curriculum for the School of Arts. Adopted by the Faculty, January 24, 1896. To go into effect July 1, 1897, printed report bound with the Trustees’ Minutes. Columbia University. University Archives. Office of the Secretary of the University. Minutes of the Trustees of Columbia College, vol. 16 (1895–96).

29 From the pamphlet Columbia University (1897): 3, printed on the occasion of the University’s taking up residence at the new site. There are two copies of this pamphlet in Columbiana Library. This image of the library-as-laboratory might well have come from the Librarian, James Canfield, who used it in numerous reports of the period and expanded upon it at some length in his article “The Library,” Columbia University Quarterly 2 (1900), 101–107.


31 Low to McKim, Mead, and White, 14 February 1896. Columbia University. Office of the President. Central Files.


34 McKim to Low, 10 June 1895. Columbia University. Office of the President. Central Files.

35 Low to McKim, 4 October 1897. Columbia University. Office of the President. Central Files.
Silent as a majestic sailing ship our days pass, the pace seemingly regulated by us. As we race to the end of a century it is fitting that we pause to reflect upon the work of previous generations and celebrate their enduring gifts. Without reflection our current decade might easily pass with no notice of cause for celebration.

In 1891, since Columbia College had already outgrown the Park Place and the 49th Street campuses, the Trustees of Columbia College decided to purchase the property of the Bloomingdale Asylum, 116th to 120th Street between Broadway and Amsterdam Avenue. The Trustees wisely decided against taking the monies for this purchase from the College’s already incumbered funds. Two million dollars was raised, not with great ease, from wealthy benefactors, citizens of New York, and alumni. Once the property was secured, a plan for use of the Asylum buildings was proposed but never executed. New buildings were needed.
On May 6, 1895, at the regular Trustees meeting President Seth Low made the following announcement:

*It was a favorite saying with my father, the late Abiel Abbot Low, that “commerce is the handmaid of civilization.”* As a memorial of him, a merchant who taught his son to value the things for which Columbia College stands, I propose, if the Trustees consent, to cooperate with the College in the construction of the new University Library ... I will undertake to give to the College ... a sum equal to the cost of the Library, up to but not exceeding $1,000,000...

Newspaper accounts of the day called Columbia lucky and the gift noble, magnificent, princely. Seth Low, then age forty-five, was commended and thanked for the wisdom of his choice no less heartily than for his munificence.

We pause to think about the ideals brought forth, maintained, cherished, and given to generations from this place; to reflect on the technical skill, craftsmanship, artistry, and labor which in two short years, 1895–97, brought forth the first buildings on the Morningside Heights campus. A decade of centennial celebrations has already begun.
15 September 1895. Already the equal-length arms of the Greek cross of Low Library’s floor plan emerge from the site. The glass top of the Bloomingdale Asylum greenhouse appears through the distant trees. The first permanent Columbia building, the powerhouse, is located off to the left, out of the photograph. Columbiana Collection.

7 December 1895. Laying of the cornerstone. Surrounded by members of the Board of Trustees, Seth Low is third from the left in the front row. Out of respect for Low’s father this was a solemn occasion with no fanfare. Making his gift without solicitation and as a memorial, Seth Low made certain that neither his name nor the sum of the gift was mentioned at this ceremony. In addition only the name of his father, Abiel Abbot Low, appears in the dedication, found in the foyer floor at the main entrance. Columbiana Collection.
3 July 1896. The winter of 1895 was comparatively mild with little or no snow. The following July was hot, humid, and wet—but this did not hinder construction. Pausing briefly for this early morning photograph, the workmen bring form to what would be the most photographed vista on campus. Columbiana Collection.

19 September 1896. Similar to the arches of ancient bridges and aqueducts, the massive vault spans the distance between the supporting piers. Columbiana Collection.
Above: 1 October 1896. With the keystone in place—no small achievement—one can feel the pride of the accomplishment. Architect Charles Follen McKim appears to be on the right in the derby. Columbiana Collection.

Left: 21 December 1896. Grant’s tomb is framed by the arch of the west vault. The open spaces stand ready to hold the clerestory windows that will light the main reading room of the Rotunda. Columbiana Collection.
Left: 10 May 1897. As the damp spring progresses to a very wet summer, unknown artisans sculpt the laurel wreaths beneath the parapet inside the Rotunda. Columbiana Collection.

Below: 18 June 1897. Sophocles, Demosthenes, Euripides and Augustus Caesar, two-dimensional cartoons, poise as stand-ins where the heroic-size sculptures would be placed. In all, sixteen statues were planned. Only these four have stood guard over the Rotunda for a century. Sophocles and Euripides exchanged places when the sculptures were installed. Columbiana Collection.

Facing page, above: 23 June 1897. Gaslights dot the curb along the south side of 116th Street. On the north side, beyond the work shed, the mansard roof of a Bloomingdale Asylum building is visible through the trees. Willing hands of local youths steady the brake, as the workmen prepare the massive pink granite slab to be moved into place on the plaza before the magnificent new library. The only place where his name appears on the campus, this slab is the signature stone of the architect, Charles Follen McKim. It lies, centrally located, in the midst of the structures and campus he designed. Columbiana Collection.
Above: 10 June 1897. In less than four months from the taking of this photograph, Low Memorial Library would be ready for opening day, 4 October 1897. West Hall of the Bloomingdale Asylum is to the left, as is the new Engineering building under construction. Columbiana Collection.
When he wrote two letters to James T. Shotwell in December 1919, George Louis Beer did not know that he had only three months to live. He had returned to New York from the Paris Peace Conference in November physically and emotionally exhausted. Yet, he was grateful for having been a part of such a historic event. President Woodrow Wilson and Colonel Edward House, Wilson’s confidant, had included him not only in the group of academic and other specialists called together to help prepare the United States for the Peace Conference but in the even more selective American Commission to Negotiate Peace, the group that accompanied Wilson to Versailles in December 1918.

On the commission, Beer held the position of chief of the Colonial Division, a title that amused him somewhat, given that the United States had neither a colonial office nor extensive experience in the administration of colonies. At Versailles, Beer was the American member of the committee charged with drawing up the mandates under which the former German colonies and the territories taken from Turkey were to be admin-
istered by the victorious powers. Beer soon managed to gain the trust of important members of the British, French, and Italian delegations, and became one of the few insiders whose influence rivaled that of some of the foreign ministers of the major Allied powers. Shotwell, comparing his own “rather desultory” work at Versailles on international labor legislation with that of Beer, had written to his wife in January 1919 that “Beer is really playing a very important role. He was in a position to do what I could never have got through. He is in the secret sessions, and I see him after each one, and we talk of the weather. He is really quite discreet.”

Beer was already well-known as a prize-winning historian and a commentator on British-American relations, when the United States entered World War I in April 1917. From the outbreak of the war in Europe in August 1914, Beer abruptly shifted his interest from British colonial policy before the American Revolution to the promotion of British-American cooperation during the current crisis and thereafter. He never considered himself a propagandist; rather, he believed that the long-standing antagonism between Britain and the United States had begun out of a historical misunderstanding of the British colonial system and the subsequent willingness of American politicians to “twist the lion’s tail” whenever it suited them.

Like many historians of his day, he thought he had reached his conclusions “scientifically,” that is, through the most rigorous examination and interpretation of original documents. Then, at Versailles, he saw the opportunity for the two great English-speaking nations to serve as trustees of the native peoples of Africa and the Middle East committed to their care. Lord Milner, his British counterpart at Versailles, considered Beer one of the few Americans who understood the sincerity and complexity of modern British imperialism. Indeed, there were great risks and responsibilities assumed by the mandatory powers as they assisted less developed regions toward eventual self-governance. Beer refused to embrace the American tendency to idealize small nations. Small nations threatened petty wars and petty wars could quickly become great ones. These were the practical consequences of excessive concern for sovereignty. Besides, the League of Nations would ensure that the mandatory powers adhere to the terms of their mandates. It all made sense to Beer, regardless of what the critics of British imperialism or the new mandate system might say.

The Covenant of the League of Nations that emerged from Versailles was not exactly what Beer had in mind. It failed, mainly, to include a provision for automatically recurring conferences to deal with specific issues, such as the arms and alcohol trade in Africa and the atrocities in the Belgian Congo. For Beer, the conferences were more important than the idea of a world parliament, which would soon experience either the problem of minor countries blocking action or domination by the major powers. Shortcomings notwithstanding, the League was still the agency to extend the principles of British-American civilization to the obscure corners of the world.

Sir Eric Drummond, first secretary general of the League, wasted no time in appointing Beer as commissioner of the mandate section of the League. A renowned scholar, a man of affairs who had successfully managed his father’s tobacco
importing business for ten years after his graduation from Columbia in 1892, a patriot firmly in support of American intervention in 1917 despite his father's origins in Hamburg, Germany, a statesman who understood the sometimes painful but unavoidable steps of undeveloped regions from colony to independence, Beer seemed a natural choice. He accepted the appointment despite his hatred of bureaucracy and his removal from historical scholarship and his beloved library. A return to teaching was not practical, for despite having held the title of Prize Lecturer in European History for a time at Columbia, he had not been a particularly successful instructor following his graduate studies there, as both he and some of his colleagues acknowledged.

Beer wanted to play a role, large or small, in helping the United States and the British Commonwealth to appreciate the power of their common tradition, language, and culture not only to defend their own civilization but also to support and protect those who would aspire to similar ideals. Hence, the attack on the Treaty of Versailles and the League of Nations in particular in the United States from both the left and the right made him deeply pessimistic. A realistic man, Beer had always tried to prepare himself for life's failures, but the events leading to the defeat of the Treaty and the League were a heavy burden to body and spirit.

I discovered the following letters during my doctoral research on James T. Shotwell, when Shotwell's younger daughter, Helen, gave me access to her father's private correspondence. Helen Shotwell insisted that, of her father's many distinguished friends, George Louis Beer was among the most trusted and admired. Knowing my own admiration for both her father and Beer, she subsequently asked me to accept the letters as a poignant reminder of the two men's friendship.

329 West 71st Street • New York • December 1, 1919

Dear Shotwell:

Some days ago I met the Hobsons at dinner and learned that you were occupying their house. This prompted me to ask for the address and suggested sending you these lines. Since I saw you last I have done very little work or even thinking and, as a result, am gradually rounding into shape again. I am afraid that the past five years have taken a good deal out of me and that I can never again work so hard and steadily as I did. But possibly the fact that I am not even yet quite up to the mark is due to a somewhat pessimistic interpretation of the future. When gloom settled over us in Paris I sometimes thought that our forebodings were intensified by our physical condition, but every day now makes me more firmly convinced that the job was an increasingly poorly executed one. The only hope is in the League of Nations and the attitude of the Senate makes that hope a rather slim one. I had expected to sail last week on the "Mauritania" in order to prepare some matters for the Council of the League but at the last moment I canceled my passage on account of the situation in Washington. At the moment I have no idea when I shall leave, if at all.
It looks quite possible now that the fight over ratification will proceed for months and that it may be injected into
the presidential campaign. The Republicans, as I am informed, will insist upon the passage by majority vote of
drastic reservations. In that event the Democrats will defeat the entire treaty upon the final vote and make this
the issue in the presidential election. If this should take place it would be calamitous. I am even afraid that the
League idea itself would be abandoned, temporarily at least. The people don’t seem to realize that however inade­
equate and even unsatisfactory the Treaty is, the alternative implied in its rejection is infinitely worse.

Under these circumstances, until it is quite clear that we are going to participate in the League and assume our
share of the world’s obligations, I have decided not to take up my job in the League. In the meanwhile, I do not
feel like starting on any new work even though I have just today finished getting my papers and books in order.

I have also become more and more sceptical about the entire project of writing a detailed history of the Peace
Conference. The settlement is far from completed and it simply can’t be written at this time. I always had serious
doubts as to the feasibility and wisdom of this Curtisian project and they have grown rapidly the past weeks. I
intend to write to Temperley very shortly, but should be very much obliged to you if you would look him up and
discuss this matter with him. His address is care of Hodder & Stoughton.¹

Since my return from the advisor-desks about three weeks ago, I have been busy preparing for my departure and
then canceling the arrangements. Hence, I have not seen many people beyond those immediately interested in
the new developments in Washington, such as House, Auchincloss, Miller, Warrin, Fosdick, etc. I intend, now that
I have time, to run up to Columbia and see how the men there feel.² Of course, I have seen Altschul who natu­
rally inquired about you.³ I could not give him very satisfactory information as you were a most illusive person last
August and no one knew your whereabouts. Let me hear what you are doing and what your plans are. With best
regards to Mrs. Shotwell and the young ladies, I am, as ever.

Most cordially yours,
George Louis Beer

³²⁹ West ⁷¹ˢᵗ Street  •  New York  •  December 1⁶, ¹⁹¹⁹

Dear Shotwell:

Many thanks for your two letters which arrived a week or so ago within a day of one another. The mails are still
irregular to remind us that the world is still badly out of joint. In the first place, I want to scold you for worrying
at all about the checks. There was absolutely no hurry and I hope that you have not in any way inconvenienced
yourself in sending them to me. I am glad that you have some hope of collecting from the London S.W.

I am rather pessimistic about the future and, in my opinion, the Senate has aggravated the mess. What the out­
come is to be as far as we are concerned I don’t see. Everything at Washington is topsy-turvy as, since Wilson’s
illness, there has been no leadership whatsoever. House was up till last week in New York and presumably is still
there. Wilson, so Fosdick tells me, does not even know that he is in America. They did not tell him, fearing that
the shock would be too great. For the past few days I think that I can perceive some rays of light in Washington
and the possibility of our ratifying the Treaty early next year. If we don’t do so, I foresee all sorts of evils on the continent of Europe, even to its decomposition which would poison America as well.

I am remaining quietly in my study until I know positively what we are going to do. In the meanwhile, I am quite lazy which is partly due to ill health and partly to a conviction that nearly all writing and speaking are at the moment futile. As I had promised Temperley I sent him 10,000 words or so on the colonial settlement and am also beginning to get a volume on the whole question of tropical Africa ready. So I am not quite consistent. But one has to keep busy someway or another.

My ill health is mainly the consequence of an internal derangement which the physician assures me will disappear under a rigid and quite obnoxious diet. It is rather disagreeable. As a consequence of this and of my general pessimism, I have gone out of my way to avoid seeing people. I had a surfeit of talk in Paris.

I have heard from Young in connection with his contribution to Curtis’ ponderous four volume epitaph on the Peace Conference. Haskins and Lord are to read papers on the Western and Eastern frontier settlements of Germany at the AHA [American Historical Association] meeting. Notestein got A.F. Whyte of the New Europe on the programme also. Simkhovitch refuses to discuss the world situation at all and urged me to retire to my study and to forget all about it and write of the past. I see Altschul occasionally and he always enquires after you. Tomorrow he gives a lunch for Max Lazard, whom I have seen several times. He did well, I am told, at the Labor Conference. The Press virtually ignored its doings. Bowman, I am told, was recently in Europe in connection with the Peace Conference.4

I am not quite happy about the indefiniteness of my future, as I should like to settle down to one thing or another, but I am much worried about Gray. If we don’t go into the League, I don’t see why there should be any Americans in the Secretariat. Drummond is not opposed to having them, but the Council will have to pass on this question; and when they see, as the London Times says, 12,000 officers in London alone looking for work, it seems to me that they are in duty bound to favor their own nationals. I do not know whether Gray returned with Polk or remained in Paris.5 He wrote a pathetic letter to me, but I really don’t know what I can do under the circumstances. At all events, Gray is not lighting candles in front of Lodge’s statue. I was somewhat cheered of the possibility of your giving him some work for the Carnegie Endowment. What he wants and, I think, deserves, is a permanent job.

I am glad that you are so comfortably settled and that your work is progressing so satisfactorily. I am looking forward to seeing the programme when you get it ready.

I am lunching with Croly tomorrow to discuss what is to be done with the Treaty now. I have a shrewd suspicion that the New Republic crowd is now sorry that they worked for the rejection of the Treaty.6 As you know, I am far from pleased with it but the alternative to acceptance is infinitely worse than all its imperfections. The radicals have played into the hands of the ultra-conservatives and, in my opinion, if the League does not go into effect now, we shall never see during our lifetime another practical attempt in this direction. We are headed for the imperfect League or for a period of international anarchy of indefinite duration and full of strife and wars. I see no possibility of amending the Covenant in a sense satisfactory to Radicals, Liberals and genuine internationalists. It would have no chance whatsoever in the U.S.A. Hence I am not working for any such changes for in this instance clearly “le meilleur est l’ennemi du bien” [the best is the enemy of the good]. If we ratify the Treaty, the driving force of the League and nearly all its honesty and unselfishness will be contributed by the British
Commonwealth and the U.S.A. If we hold aloof, it will be an empty shell and I am afraid, further, that the economic necessities of Europe and the desire for security against Germany will lead to measures that will drive a wedge deep between us and the British Commonwealth. Excuse the dogmatic tone of this last paragraph. It embodies only some of my fears and—hopes, and I wanted to get them off before I was called to dinner too often.

Will you give Mrs. Shotwell and the young ladies my best regards and good wishes for the holidays and the coming year and retain a good measure yourself.

Most Cordially,
George Louis Beer

Beer died, at age 47, on March 15, 1920. Four days later, the Treaty of Versailles was put to its fourth and final vote in the Senate, where it failed to receive the two-thirds majority necessary for ratification. The United States, of course, did not join the League of Nations, and as Beer had foreseen, the world headed “for a period of international anarchy of indefinite duration and full of strife and wars.” However, no one can say with assurance that the presence of the United States in the League of Nations would have prevented Japanese and then German threats to world peace in the 1930s.

Beer represented the convictions and prejudices of many of his contemporaries about the progress of civilization. He had, as his friend Lord Milner said of him, “a strong sense of the duty which the more advanced nations owe to the more backward.” Yet he saw no moral dilemma between the “duty” of the advanced nations and the aspirations of those whom they governed. A man of immense goodwill and generosity, although never naive, Beer could only hope, as he would say, that “in the fullness of time” the United States, now the greatest of the English-speaking nations, would exercise the role it was destined to play in fixing a broken world.

1 Beer refers to H.W.V. Temperley, distinguished British historian, decorated war veteran, and member of the British delegation to the Paris Peace Conference. By 1924, Temperley had edited a six-volume History of the Peace Conference of Paris.

2 Beer lists a group of people who were involved in either the preparation of U.S. proposals for the Peace Conference or the negotiation and drafting of the Treaty itself. Colonel Edward M. House, President Wilson’s confidant and personal ambassador, was the leader of the group. Gordon Auchincloss, House’s son-in-law, served as assistant to the counselor in the State Department. David Hunter Miller, a New York lawyer and partner of Auchincloss, served as legal advisor to House and had drafted many of the provisions of the Treaty. Frank Warrin, also a New York lawyer, was Miller’s assistant. Raymond Fosdick, a lawyer and diplomat, had represented the U.S. War Department in France before his appointment as first under-secretary general of the League of Nations in 1919. Fosdick resigned this position early in 1920, when it became clear that the United States would not join the League.
Charles Altschul was a prominent New York financier and well-known critic of German militarism.

The reference here is to an additional group of specialists, drawn mainly from leading U.S. universities, who played a significant part in developing and advancing American proposals at the Peace Conference. Allyn Young, professor of economics and finance at Cornell, was the chief U.S. economist at the Peace Conference. Charles Haskins, professor of history at Harvard, was the U.S. specialist on Western Europe. Robert Lord, also professor of history at Harvard, was the American expert on Poland and Czechoslovakia. Wallace Notestein, professor of history at the University of Minnesota, was the specialist on Alsace-Lorraine. Alexander Frederick Whyte was the editor of The New Europe. He had been special correspondent for The Daily News at the Versailles Conference. Vladimir Simkhovitch, professor of economic history at Columbia, was the American expert on Russia. Isaiah Bowman, the director of the American Geographical Society, was the chief territorial expert and executive officer of the American specialists, known collectively and unofficially as the “Inquiry.” Max Lazard, a member of a wealthy and famous French banking family, had been a student at Columbia before the war. As a member of the French delegation to the Peace Conference, he was an advocate of progressive international labor legislation.

Lewis H. Gray, an instructor in Indo-European languages at Princeton before the war, was the U.S. specialist on the Near East and Caucasus region. Frank Polk was the counselor of the U.S. State Department.

Herbert Croly was the editor of The New Republic and a prominent spokesman for progressive causes.
Our Growing Collections

THE RARE BOOK AND MANUSCRIPT LIBRARY

Barzun gift: Professor Emeritus Jacques Barzun (A.B., 1927; A.M., 1928; Ph.D., 1932) added to his collection thirty-two volumes of literary works and a group of nearly 1,400 papers and documents, which include personal, professional, and family correspondence, manuscripts, proofs, and other materials relating to his long career as a teacher, writer, and editor.

Boychuk gift: Nine boxes of books, manuscripts, and printed materials were donated to the Bakhmeteff Archive of Russian and East European History and Culture by Bohdan Boychuk, a Ukrainian-American poet. Mr. Boychuk was a founding member of the New York Group of Ukrainian Poets, whose archives were also added to the Rare Book and Manuscript Library this year (see Ukrainian poetry gift below).

Freese gift: Mr. William Freese donated nine letters from the eminent jurist Benjamin Cardozo written to him, to Mrs. Freese, and to the Committee on Admissions of the Association of the Bar of New York.

Hertz gift: David Bendel Hertz (B.S., 1940; Ph.D., 1949) gave to the Library a two-volume first edition of John Evelyn’s diaries (1818), with an additional bound-in letter from Evelyn.

Kainitchis gift: Clyde Kainitchis donated a seventeenth-century French manuscript entitled “Tratté de Physique,” apparently in the hand of the philosopher and mathematician Jacques Rohault (1620–1675), a member of Descartes’ circle. Rohault’s writings on Cartesian physics were widely read in a contemporary English translation.

Karpovich gift: Along with much personal and professional correspondence with such figures as Harry S. Truman, John F. Kennedy, and Isaiah Berlin, Serge Karpovich donated a collection of clippings, research materials, and lecture notes from his years as a member of the Harvard University faculty.


Knudson gift: Jerry Knudson enriched Columbia’s collection of Herbert Matthews papers by the addition of several letters written by Mr. Matthews to him, along with additional related material.

Lohf gift: Kenneth A. Lohf (A.M., 1950; M.S., 1952) donated thirty-three volumes of fiction, poetry, and literary biography to the Rare Book and Manuscript Library this year. Of particular interest are scarce issues of World War II British periodicals, e.g., *Transformation* [1], 4, 1943 and *Salamander* (Cairo), 4–5, ca 1944, as well as several volumes of English poetry dating from the years immediately following World War II, among them Laurie Lee’s *The Bloom of Candles* (London: John Lehmann, 1947), Ronald Bottrall’s *Farewell and Welcome: Poems* (London: PL: Editions Poetry London, 1945), and Edith Sitwell’s *The Shadow of Cain* (London: John Lehmann, 1947). Mr. Lohf also added a letter to Seamus O’Sullivan, dated March 8, 1808 to the Arthur J. Symons collection he established several years ago.

Momjian gift: An amusing letter from Columbia College President F.A.P. Barnard to a Mr. Joseph Stanford Brown, January 8, 1880, was donated by Marc A. Momjian (B.A., 1983; LL.B., 1986). In it, President Barnard reports on the failure of the system of cloakroom management that had been instituted against his advice a few months earlier.

Page gift: Mrs. Elizabeth Page donated to the Library first editions of six novels by Dawn Powell and a 1921 manuscript letter from Powell to her sisters, which describes at length the birth of her son John at St. Luke’s Hospital. Powell’s manuscripts and diaries, currently on deposit at Columbia, provide an incisive and hilarious commentary on New York literary life in the 1930s, ‘40s, and ‘50s and on the disillusioned writers from middle America who gave it character.

Palmer gift: Among the recent gifts of Paul Palmer (M.S., 1950; A.M., 1955) were twenty-one signed photographs of Broadway and Hollywood actors and singers, including Lawrence Tibbett, Ray Milland, Madeleine Carroll, George Brent, Warner Baxter, and Joseph Cotton. These images add to the many theatrical portraits in the Brander Matthews Dramatic Museum collection.
**Protiss gift:** Daphne and Dorothea Protiss, in memory of Paul P. Protiss and Peter Paul Protiss, donated a substantial collection of papers by and relating to the French writer Henri Jules-Bois (1871–1943). Jules-Bois—a friend of Rodin, Huysmans, Edward Arlington Robinson, and John Jay Chapman, among others—fled from France before World War II and taught at Columbia. Among his many books on psychology, philosophy, supernaturalism, and the occult were *Le Satanisme et la Magie* (1895), *L’Éternel Retour* (1914), *La Douleur D’Aimer* (1896), and *L’Eve Nouvelle* (1897).

**Raskin gift:** Mrs. Marjorie Raskin donated to the Rare Book and Manuscript Library the papers and research notes of her late husband, the *New York Times* reporter and labor analyst A. H. Raskin. The large collection includes Mr. Raskin’s notes, correspondence, manuscripts of his books, and drafts of his articles for the *Times* and other newspapers. Among the correspondents are Adolph A. Berle, Hubert Humphrey, David Dubinsky, and Adolph Ochs Sulzberger.

**Francis Rigney gift:** Mr. Rigney added to the Frederick L. Hoffman papers a typescript entitled “Lectures on Race Pathology and Anthropometry at Yale University, 1916.”

**Rothkopf gift:** Forty-two works of fiction were added to the collection by Carol A. Rothkopf (A.M., 1952), a longtime Rare Book and Manuscript Library donor. Among them were a group of inscribed Elmore Leonard novels, works by John Mortimer, and six early editions of Arnold Bennett.

**Schaeffer gift:** Dr. Samuel Schaeffer and Mrs. Schaeffer once again extended their generosity to the Rare Book and Manuscript Library. This year’s donations comprised a John McBey lithographic etching of a World War I battleground entitled “AVAS” and dated 1917; a very large and rare watercolor of a “Tass” window poster (No. 1027, Moscow, 1944); two nineteenth-century pamphlets dealing with early land speculation in California; and two lithographic posters, ca 1930, advertising the *Saturday Evening Post*.

**Schapiro gift:** University Professor Emeritus Meyer Schapiro added to his papers an important group of letters from his former student Whittaker Chambers.

**Schreiber gift:** Fred and Ellen Schreiber generously donated three pages from Sebastian Brant’s edition of Vergil’s *Opera* (Strassburg, Grüninger, 1502). The pages were used in the Rare Book and Manuscript Library exhibition *In Pursuit of Meaning: Classic Texts from Columbia’s Core Curriculum* to illustrate the use of contemporaneous images in the illustration of classical works in the early years of European printing.

**Tarjan gift:** Ms. Susanna Tarjan added to our collection of scores by Jerome Moross, a piece called “Eccentricities of Davy Crockett” from a larger work, *Ballet Ballads*.

**Ukrainian poetry gift:** Yuriy Tarnawsky and other members of the New York Group of Ukrainian Poets gave the archives of the organization to the Bakhmeteff Archive of Russian and Eastern European History and Culture of the Rare Book and Manuscript Library. Since the mid-1950s, the New York Group has published and promoted the
poetry of its members in the local area. A reading by some of these members took place in the Rare Book and Manuscript Library on April 12, 1995.

Williams purchase: Funds from a group of our dedicated endowments, in particular the Brander Matthews fund, enabled us to purchase a large group of letters, manuscripts, books, and other items from the estate of Tennessee Williams. Comprised of the contents of Williams’ Key West home when he died, the new material promises to add significantly to the study and interpretation of the playwright’s work. Included are heavily annotated works from Williams’ library, with comments, analyses, poetry, and scraps of dialogue written on the endpages and flyleaves, and revised early drafts of a number of short stories, essays, and plays, e.g., One Arm, A Screenplay, The Eccentricities of a Nightingale, “Of My Father (A Belated Appreciation),” and “Some Philosophical Shop Talk”—the latter a 40-page essay on the theater. Among his many correspondents are Carson McCullers, Katherine Anne Porter, and Paul Bowles. Of particular interest are 24 paintings by Williams and his sister Rose.

Yerushalmi gift: Professor Yosef Yerushalmi added to our collection a manuscript collection of liturgical and other poetry in Hebrew and Judeo-Arabic from Aden, created in the late seventeenth or early eighteenth century. The collection of Hebrew manuscripts in the Rare Book and Manuscript Library is one of the most extensive in the country. Professor Yerushalmi’s gift is a significant addition.

THE MUSIC LIBRARY

Fritz Reiner Center gift: The Fritz Reiner Center for Contemporary Music has given to the Music Library a collection of approximately eighty autographed letters and several photographs relating to important twentieth-century composers. Reiner, the eminent Hungarian-born American conductor who died in 1963, achieved the peak of his success as music director of the Chicago Symphony Orchestra from 1953 to 1962. Included are letters to him from Béla Bartók, Arnold Schoenberg, and Richard Strauss, as well as from students Leonard Bernstein and Lukas Foss. The collection has been added to the Music Library’s deposit collection in the Butler Rare Book and Manuscript Library.

THE LAW LIBRARY


Hazard gift: John N. Hazard, Nash Professor Emeritus of Law and leading scholar of the Soviet legal system, completed a major gift of this research library to the Law School. The collection
includes many works on international law from a Soviet perspective, treaties from the Soviet Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Czarist statutes, legal textbooks by Soviet lawyers, constitutions of Soviet states, early editions of Soviet codes, and many Communist Party pamphlets and ephemeral Party material that Professor Hazard was able to acquire during extended travels within the U.S.S.R.

Nizer bequest: Best known for his book *My Life in Court*, Louis Nizer (B.A., 1922; LL.B., 1924) was also a vibrant lecturer and popular columnist. His personal papers, given to the Law Library after his death on November 10, 1994, are composed of transcripts of radio and television interviews, research files, published articles, scrapbooks, and material for his last book, *Catspaw*.

Toobin gift: Jeffrey Toobin’s first assignment out of Harvard Law School was a place on the team of lawyers working for Special Prosecutor Lawrence Walsh to investigate the Iran-Contra affair. Toobin has given the Library forty-eight volumes of the trial transcript, including the indictment and pretrial hearing. The trial, U.S. vs. Oliver North and John Poindexter, was held before District Judge Gerhard Gesell in the District of Columbia from January 1987 to May 1989.

**The Health Sciences Library**

Records of the dean’s office: In the summer of 1993, the Health Sciences Library acquired a major new collection: the records of the dean’s office of the College of Physicians & Surgeons were transferred from the dean’s office to the Library’s Special Collections unit. This collection, some 1,400 feet of records, contains material from ca 1903 to the present, and complements the archives of the College from 1807 to 1900, which were already held in the Health Sciences Library.

Included among the records are correspondence with P&S departments, committee minutes, student records, grant proposals, printed materials, and photographs relating to the school. These records are an extraordinary resource, not only for the history of P&S but also for the study of medical education. Departmental records, for example, often include substantive discussions about the development of the department, thus illustrating the development of the various specialties. In addition, files on faculty and students contain valuable information about some of the leading figures in the health sciences.

The Health Sciences Library plans a strong and proactive approach to the preservation and management of this collection. Programs for processing the collection are being developed; policies are being formulated regarding record retention and restrictions on use; and a survey is being planned to determine long-term preservation needs, including microfilming and digitization. A key task is to make the collection known and accessible to scholars and researchers. To this end, finding aids will be created to assist users in learning what is in the collection and in requesting materials from the files. When completed, these will be mounted on CPMCnet, the Medical Center’s information service, and will be accessible to scholars worldwide through the Internet.

The development of archives for the Health Sciences campus is a new and significant initiative for the Health Sciences Library. With the acquisition of the P&S records, the keystone of this col-
Our Growing Collections

The Electronic Text Service

During the past year, the collections of the Electronic Text Service have been strengthened by a number of important new holdings. As in the past, the fields of classics and medieval studies continue to be leading areas of growth—a reflection, no doubt, of the relatively well-defined nature of the corpus of textual materials available for use by scholars in these disciplines. Considerably expanded versions of two of the department’s most heavily used resources—the Thesaurus Linguae Graecae collection of classical Greek literature and the CETEDOC Library of Christian Latin Texts—were acquired, enhancing the already great value of these research tools. (The CETEDOC collection has proven useful on several occasions in identifying manuscripts and manuscript fragments in the Rare Book and Manuscript Library’s Plimpton collection in the course of a special project now under way to catalog those materials.) Two outstanding new purchases are Chadwyck-Healy’s electronic edition of Migne’s 217-volume *Patrologia Latina* (of which approximately 100 volumes have been completed in electronic format thus far) and Brepols’ *In Principio*, an extensive database of manuscript incipits based on the card indexes of the Institut de recherche et d’histoire des textes in Paris.

Further additions expected in the near future include the Admyte database of Spanish medieval manuscripts, a collection of Roman law, a collection of Latin inscriptions, a dictionary of medieval Latin abbreviations, a database of Latin sources from Celtic lands, and an electronic version of Paul Oskar Kristeller’s *Iter Italicum*.

The ETS holdings in the field of modern literature have also grown considerably. The single most spectacular addition here is the Chadwyck-Healy poetry database, a collection comprising the works of 1,350 English poets from 660 to 1900. A full-text database of English verse drama and another of Afro-American poetry from the same publisher have also been acquired. Other items of interest include *Lettatura Italiana Zanichelli*, a set of 362 Italian literary texts, smaller collections of English and Spanish-American poetry, and a selection of modern hypertexual fiction.

The field of modern historical studies, heretofore not as well covered at the ETS, has been augmented by some important new holdings. Of considerable value for American studies is the recently received electronic version of the multi-volume *Messages and Papers of the Presidents*, with texts of the major documents of American chief executives from Washington through Taft published by the CDEX Information Group; the collection will soon be joined by a CD-ROM edition of the *Public Papers of the Presidents* by the same publisher. The ETS holdings of the leading American colonial newspaper, the *Pennsylvania Gazette*, a heavily used resource, have continued to grow. New material of this type includes on-disk collec-
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Tions of American Civil War newspaper reporting and historic articles from the Times of London. Finally, the Library has recently received a full-text version of the published proceedings of the Nuremberg Tribunal.

Religion and philosophy continue to be areas of growth. Significant items recently received or expected in the near future include the Soncino Talmud, the Pali canon of Buddhist scriptures, a collection of Islamic law, and works of Nietzsche and Spinoza.

The collection of the Electronic Text Service has also grown in terms of expanded access to the local and national library community. Thanks to an ongoing Title II-C grant, it has proven possible to list most of the major collections and many records for individual titles within them on CLIO, the Libraries’ online catalog. (At present, analytic online records are being produced for the important collection of classical Latin authors produced by the Packard Humanities Institute.) In addition, work is currently under way to make a number of texts available to Columbia users over the campus network. The Oxford English Dictionary is now available for searching and browsing, and a significant portion of the classics of political and economic thought contained in the Intelex Corporation’s Past Masters collection should be available very shortly. As this process becomes more streamlined, an increasing proportion of the ETS collections is expected to become available in this way, joining the broader stream of material planned for inclusion in Columbia’s Digital Library.

The C. V. Starr East Asian Library

Meiji Era Collection on Microfilm: The Maruzen Publishing Company of Japan has entrusted the C. V. Starr East Asian Library with 8,204 reels of microfilm of the National Diet Library Collection of Books Printed in the Meiji Era (Microfilm Set). Starr’s holdings, valued at over one million dollars and comprising more than half the total set, include all materials in the following categories: philosophy; religion; history; political and foreign affairs; society and its problems; economy and industry; statistics; education; performing arts; arts and crafts; literature; and general records, index, journals, collections. The microfilm cassettes and a dedicated Minolta 605Z Reader-Printer are housed in the microform room on the 100 level of Starr Library.

The National Diet Library collection of Meiji Era (1868–1912) books represents more than 70 percent of all material published in Japan during the most important period of its modernization. The entire microfilm set consists of 15,536 reels, printed indices, and a CD-ROM catalog, which can be searched in a variety of ways including author, title, publisher, key word, and date.

In 1994 Starr Library received a donation of approximately $20,000 from the Sumitomo Marine and Fire Insurance Company, through the Japan Foundation, to acquire the CD-ROM index to the microfilm set, the necessary hardware and software to run it, and a printer. These were installed in the reference area of the reading room on the 300 level.

Over the next several years, Starr Library hopes to raise funds to acquire the remaining 7,322 reels in the following categories to complete the set:
biography and genealogy; geography and local customs; law; military affairs; natural sciences and math; medicine; agronomy and agriculture; engineering; home economics and domestic arts; athletics and martial arts; language; children’s books; and Western language text.

The Avery Library

New-York Historical Society auction purchase: By preemptive bid, Avery Library purchased three items from the New-York Historical Society at auction at Christie’s in New York. The items add significantly to Avery’s holdings on the New York architect Alexander Jackson Davis. Two small drawings of New York City scenes, the Rutgers Medical College and the St. John’s Burying Ground, were purchased for the Drawings and Archives collection. A rare volume of lithographs of early New York City architecture—*Views of the Public Building in the City of New York*, published by Anthony Imbert, ca 1830 and featuring lithographs by A. J. Davis—was acquired for Avery’s Rare Book collection. The purchase was supported in part by a generous donation from Jane Davies, noted Davis scholar and former rare book cataloger at Columbia University Libraries.

Platt, Wyckoff and Coles gift: The Library also received, from the firm of Platt, Wyckoff and Coles, additional files from the firm and its predecessors. The firm was founded by architect Charles A. Platt, continued with his sons William and Geoffrey Platt, and later became Platt, Wyckoff and Coles. The latest gift of ca 8,200 drawings and other office files has been added to the first gift of the Platt archive, ca 3,500 drawings given in 1974, and a smaller gift in 1991. The present gift includes material from all of the firms. An exhibition on the work of Charles Platt, to which the Avery Library is a major lender, is currently on display at the Hood Museum, Dartmouth College.
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MICHAEL ROSENTHAL is Professor of English and Comparative Literature at Columbia. He has been awarded Guggenheim and Spencer Fellowships in the coming year for his work on a biography of Nicholas Murray Butler.

BARRY BERGDOLL is Associate Professor of Art History at Columbia and is currently at work on a study of the use of photographs in the nineteenth-century architectural office. He is also working in cooperation with Janet Parks, Curator of Drawings at the Avery Architectural and Fine Arts Library, on an exhibition celebrating the bicentennial of the Morningside Heights campus.

HOFFEE HASWELL is Curator of the Columbiana Collection and Advisor to the University’s Archives and Records Management Program.

JOHN B. STRANGES (Ph.D., 1970) served as Vice President of Academic Affairs at Niagara University, Lewiston, New York, from 1977 to 1994, when he was appointed the institution’s first University Professor.
In Memoriam

Corliss Lamont, a teacher, philosopher, and author who generously supported the Columbia University Libraries for many years, died in his home in Ossining, New York, on April 27, 1995. Dr. Lamont became a Friend of the Libraries in 1952 and remained an active member until his death. A widely respected scholar and an eager defender of civil liberties, he was the author of many books, including *Russia Day by Day* (1933), *The Illusion of Immortality* (1935), *The Peoples of the Soviet Union* (1946), and *The Philosophy of Humanism* (1949). In 1974, he was honored by the Friends for his establishment of major research collections in the Rare Book and Manuscript Library, including writings by George Santayana and John Masefield and art by Rockwell Kent. He established a chair in civil liberties at the Columbia University Law School in 1982 and in 1986 donated funds for the newly created Corliss Lamont Reading Room in the Rare Book and Manuscript Library. Dr. Lamont was a gracious and courageous friend whose presence will be sorely missed.
PAULINE AMES PLIMPTON, a longtime Friend and frequent donor to the Columbia University Libraries, died at the age of 93 on April 15, 1995. Mrs. Plimpton, the daughter-in-law of George Arthur Plimpton whose generous gift of books and manuscripts to the Rare Book and Manuscript Library in 1936 greatly enriched Columbia’s collection of early books and manuscripts, was a literary figure in her own right. The last of her eight books of family history and biography, A Collector’s Recollections, George Arthur Plimpton, was published by the Columbia University Libraries in 1993.

The widow of Ambassador Francis T. P. Plimpton, who was also a Friend of the Libraries, Mrs. Plimpton had most recently made a generous gift to provide the matching funds required by the National Endowment for the Humanities for completion of its grant to the Rare Book and Manuscript Library. This grant is for the creation of a detailed catalog of the Library’s collection of more than 800 medieval and Renaissance manuscripts, many of which were part of the original Plimpton gift.
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The Friends of the Columbia Libraries

The Friends assist the Columbia Libraries in several direct ways: first, through their active interest in the institution and its ideals and through promoting public interest in the role of a research library; second, through gifts of books, manuscripts, and other useful materials; and third, through financial contributions.

By helping preserve the intellectual accomplishment of the past, we lay the foundation for the university of the future. This is the primary purpose of the Friends of the Columbia Libraries.

Contribution Levels

**Contributors**

- **Contribution:** $1–$99
- **Benefits:** Books & Bytes, the newsletter of the Columbia University Libraries; special invitations to exhibitions and receptions.

**Friends**

- **Contribution:** $100–$499
- **Benefits:** Same as above, plus a subscription to Columbia Library Columns, an illustrated journal published by the Friends, and temporary reading privileges.

**Fellows**

- **Contribution:** $500–$999
- **Benefits:** Same as above, plus consultations with Columbia University's librarians upon request, and Libraries' Occasional Publications.

**Sustaining Fellows**

- **Contribution:** $1,000–$4,999
- **Benefits:** Same as above, plus reading privileges.*

**Patrons**

- **Contribution:** $5,000–$9,999
- **Benefits:** Same as above, plus personal tours of the Libraries upon request.

**University Librarians Circle**

- **Contribution:** $10,000 and above
- **Benefits:** Same as above, plus borrowing privileges.*

*Reading and borrowing privileges, if taken, reduce the tax deductibility of your gift. For Sustaining Fellows and Patrons who choose reading privileges, the tax deductible portion of the gift is reduced by $660; for University Librarian’s Circle contributors who choose borrowing privileges, the deductible portion of the gift is reduced by $1,200.