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What is This?
Demystifying Values-Affirmation Interventions: Writing About Social Belonging Is a Key to Buffering Against Identity Threat

Nurit Shnabel1, Valerie Purdie-Vaughns2, Jonathan E. Cook2, Julio Garcia3, and Geoffrey L. Cohen4

Abstract
Two experiments examined for the first time whether the specific content of participant-generated affirmation essays—in particular, writing about social belonging—facilitated an affirmation intervention’s ability to reduce identity threat among negatively stereotyped students. Study 1, a field experiment, revealed that seventh graders assigned to a values-affirmation condition wrote about social belonging more than those assigned to a control condition. Writing about belonging, in turn, improved the grade point average (GPA) of Black, but not White students. In Study 2, using a modified “belonging-affirmation” intervention, we directly manipulated writing about social belonging before a math test described as diagnostic of math ability. The more female participants wrote about belonging, the better they performed, while there was no effect of writing about belonging for males. Writing about social belonging improved performance only for members of negatively stereotyped groups. Implications for self-affirmation theory and practice are discussed.
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Academic settings can be threatening for negatively stereotyped students, who are often concerned about confirming negative stereotypes about the intellectual ability of their group (G. L. Cohen & Garcia, 2005; Steele, 1997). This “identity threat” may cause stress (Blascovich, Spencer, Quinn, & Steele, 2001) that can undermine academic performance (Steele & Aronson, 1995; see also Walton & Spencer, 2009, for recent meta-analyses). Beyond poor performance, negative relational consequences may also follow, including interpersonal anxiety (Goff, Steele, & Davies, 2008) and feelings of alienation and social disconnection (Cook, Purdie-Vaughns, Garcia, & Cohen, 2012; Walton & Cohen, 2007). African American students in an English Classics course, for instance, may worry not only about being seen as less intellectually able in the minds of others but also about whether their marginalized status hampers the development of relationships across racial lines (Shelton & Richeson, 2006; Walton & Cohen, 2007).

One effective way to buffer students against such stressors is to provide them with opportunities to affirm the self. Self-affirmation refers to behavioral or cognitive events that bolster the perceived integrity of the self, and the person’s overall image as adequate, effective, and able to control important outcomes (Sherman & Cohen, 2006; Steele, 1988). One common strategy to experimentally manipulate self-affirmation is to instruct participants to write about their core values (McQueen & Klein, 2006). Studies that have used such values-affirmation interventions have found that the small but significant act of writing short essays about one’s core values, such as friends and family, religion, or art, can fortify the self, reduce psychological threat and stress
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ability-stereotyped students who engaged in such affirmational experiments in middle school (G. L. Cohen et al., 2006; Garcia, Purdie-Vaughns, Apfel, & Brzustoski, 2009; Martens et al., 2006; Miyake et al., 2010). Specifically, in randomized laboratory experiments with a different group that contends with a stereotype-threatened group (i.e., women in Math) was assigned to the affirmation condition wrote more about social belonging than students in the control condition, and students in the affirmation condition wrote more about social belonging than students in the control condition, and students would be less likely to occur.

Our central argument is that writing about social belonging themes (i.e., how an important value makes one feel more connected to other people) is such an “active ingredient.” We tested our hypothesis in two studies: using a “bottom-up” approach, Study 1 involved a content analysis of the values-affirmation essays written by the African American and White seventh graders who participated in G. L. Cohen and colleagues’ (G. L. Cohen et al., 2006; G. L. Cohen et al., 2009) randomized field experiments. The content of these students’ essays was analyzed in the present research for the first time. Specifically, we examined whether students assigned to the affirmation condition wrote more about social belonging than students in the control condition, and whether writing about belonging, in turn, mediated the improvement in academic performance among African American, but not White students. Study 2 involved a laboratory experiment with a different group that contends with threat, specifically, female students taking a math test. We experimentally manipulated the proposed mediator (i.e., writing about social belonging) and examined whether the effectiveness of the affirmation at raising the performance of a stereotype-threatened group (i.e., women in Math) was enhanced by instructions encouraging students to focus more on how their values bring them closer to other people.

Despite the semantic similarity, our research diverges from Walton and Cohen's (2007, 2011) previous research on “belongingness uncertainty” in terms of its main goal and its conceptualization of social belonging. Specifically, the goal of the present research was to understand what contents may drive the effects of affirmation interventions, and in a more general sense, what contributes to psychological resiliency in threatening situations. By contrast, Walton and Cohen did not examine affirmation processes. Rather, they focused on a “social belonging” intervention that sought to buffer stigmatized group members against experiences with marginalization in college. Their intervention conveyed that difficulties in college are common and transient, and thus not unique to oneself or one’s group (Walton & Cohen, 2011). Moreover, Walton and Cohen focused on stigmatized group members’ sensitivity to information diagnostic of their fit in their specific academic environment, what they termed “belonging uncertainty” (e.g., in the computer science department; Walton & Cohen, 2007). By contrast, the present research conceptualized belonging more broadly, trying to capture the general experience of having meaningful social bonds with family members, friends, and others who are not necessarily part of students’ academic environment. In summary, though there are interesting connections to the present research, this previous research addressed different theoretical constructs and processes.

**Writing About Social Belonging Is a “Key Ingredient”**

We defined essays on social belonging as focusing on how an important personal value (e.g., artistic values, religion, relationships) makes one feel closer to and more connected with other people or promotes the experience of having and enjoying positive social bonds. To illustrate, the essay below, which demonstrates belonging themes, was written by an African American seventh-grade student who participated in Study 1:

> My friends and family are most important to me when I have a difficult situation that needs to be talked about. My friends give me companionship and courage. My family gives me love and understanding.

Our definition of social belonging is consistent with definitions of belonging that focus on forming and maintaining positive interpersonal relationships (e.g., Baumeister & Leary, 1995). It also dovetails with research on the sources of perceived social support such as doing or thinking about ordinary yet meaningful conversations and shared activities with significant others (Lakey & Orehek, 2011). We converged on the proposition that social belonging is the active ingredient in values-affirmation exercises based on a wide range of social and personality theories that begin with the premise that people’s connections with significant others are
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... tied to their sense of self (e.g., Andersen & Chen, 2002; Aron, Aron, & Norman, 2001; Cialdini, Brown, Lewis, Luce, & Neuberg, 1997; Cross, Bacon, & Morris, 2000; Leary & Baumeister, 2000; Markus & Kitayama, 1991). For example, attachment theory (Bowlby, 1988) suggests that the internal representation of the bond with significant others may serve as a symbolic shield that helps individuals to cope with threats to their selves (Mikulincer & Shaver, 2007). In light of these theories, affirmation of the self may in fact involve an affirmation of one’s social bonds. Stated differently, when people select and write about a self-defining value, they may spontaneously affirm their connections with cherished others, which, in turn, should affirm the self.

In addition, when confronted with threat, people turn to reminders of positive social bonds and connections with significant others. For instance, participants who spontaneously affirmed themselves (i.e., wrote statements about valued self-domains) when writing about major life events and traumatic experiences wrote about themes related to valued relationships 75% of the time; only 25% of their statements related to other domains such as religion and faith or career and education (Creswell et al., 2007). Similarly, Crocker, Niiya, and Mischkowski’s (2008) research on smokers’ acceptance of threatening health information found that smokers who wrote about important values increased their positive other-directed feelings, such as love and connectedness with significant others, which in turn helped them transcend concerns about self-worth and respond more constructively to threats.

Beyond the potential of writing about social belonging themes to confront threats in general, we reasoned that it should be particularly effective in buffering against identity threats. Our reasoning was based in part on theorizing that conceptualizes negative stereotyping as a form of social rejection threat and on empirical findings suggesting that rejection threats can be repaired or reduced only through direct affirmation of this particular self-domain (i.e., affirmation of one’s sense of belonging).

Specifically, it has been recently argued that discrimination and ongoing devaluation due to stigmatization should be viewed as a form of social rejection that threatens one’s sense of belonging (Smart Richman & Leary, 2009). Supporting this argument, empirical evidence has confirmed that both the experience of social rejection threat (Baumeister, Twenge, & Nuss, 2002) and identity threat (e.g., Inzlicht, McKay, & Aronson, 2006) interfere with participants’ or stigmatized group members’ self-regulation and cognitive functioning. Similarly, both social rejection threat (Twenge, Catanese, & Baumeister, 2002) and stereotype threat (e.g., Stone, 2002) increase threatened individuals’ or group members’ engagement in self-defeating behavior (e.g., procrastination or less practice for a test). Finally, both social rejection threat (Baumeister et al., 2002) and identity threat (e.g., Steele, 1997) were found to lead to poorer academic performance such as lower IQ or (Graduate Record Examinations) GRE scores. Thus, overall, converging findings support the notion that identity threat can be conceptualized as a social rejection threat.

Given that stigmatized group members experience a social rejection threat that can threaten their feelings of self-integrity, it seems plausible that this threat will be most effectively removed through belonging-affirmation. According to Knowles, Lucas, Molden, Gardner, and Dean (2010) one’s sense of belonging is a unique and fundamental source of self-integrity and esteem (see Baumeister & Leary, 1995; Leary & Cox, 2008) that requires specific repair when threatened. This characteristic of one’s sense of belonging is distinct from nonsocial sources of self-integrity, which function interchangeably and can substitute for each other (see Steele, 1988; Tesser, 2000, 2001). Consistent with this argument, threats to one’s self-worth in a nonsocial domain were effectively removed in one study through “indirect-affirmation,” that is, affirmation of one’s self-worth in other domains (Knowles et al., 2010, Study 4). In contrast, indirect-affirmation failed to restore participants’ sense of self after belonging threats, which led Knowles and colleagues to conclude that “social threats may only truly be repaired by affirming the strength of one’s social connections” (Knowles et al., 2010, p. 182). Affirming social bonds and sense of belonging should thus be a particularly efficient strategy to address stigmatized group members’ experience of identity threat, which should in turn lead to improved academic performance. The present study was designed to test this hypothesis.

The Present Research

Mediators through which values-affirmation interventions reduce identity threat and improve academic performance among negatively stereotyped group members have eluded previous investigations. For example, although G. L. Cohen and colleagues (2006) found evidence of reduced activation of racial stereotypes among Black students who affirmed their values, this activation was not associated with academic performance. Similarly, Cook and colleagues (2012) found that a sense of belonging in school (i.e., feeling of academic fit) was greater among affirmed than nonaffirmed Black students, but this feeling was not associated with the affirmed students’ grades.

The present study was designed to identify an upstream mediator. Specifically, we hypothesized that writing about social belonging themes would mediate the positive effects of values-affirmation interventions on academic performance of Black seventh graders in a natural classroom setting (Study 1) and on the performance of female college students taking an identity-threatening math exam in a lab setting (Study 2). Importantly, Study 2 was designed to increase the internal validity of the conclusions of Study 1 through a direct manipulation of our proposed mediator, as suggested in recent methodological recommendations (see Spencer, Zanna, & Fong, 2005; Stone-Romero & Rosopa,
Moreover, by using a different stereotyped group, age range, and experimental setting (lab vs. field), Study 2 aimed to increase the generalizability of the conclusions of Study 1 regarding the critical importance of social belonging themes. Together, these two studies were designed to contribute to our understanding of mediating processes through which values-affirmation interventions counteract identity threats. Despite the prevalence of affirmation research (see Aronson, Cohen, & Nail, 1999; Harris & Epton, 2010; McQueen & Klein, 2006; Sherman & Cohen, 2006; Sherman & Hartson, 2011, for reviews), little research has examined the content of affirmation essays to discern whether the theme participants write about what drives their positive effects (see Burson, Crocker, & Mischkowski, 2012, for an exception). Identifying such themes can make both theoretical and practical contributions. Theoretically, it may point to the conditions that lead affirmation interventions to be effective. Practically, focusing on the content of the affirmation essays, rather than on their psychological consequences (e.g., cognitive appraisals, Sherman, Bunyan, Creswell, & Jaremka, 2009; or emotional experiences, Crocker et al., 2008), may suggest concrete refinements to the affirmation intervention that could enhance its efficacy. Specifically, affirmation instructions could be revised to encourage people under conditions of identity threat to write about the themes revealed to correlate with performance gains (i.e., social belonging). Identifying refinements to the standard values-affirmation intervention serves an obvious practical purpose as these interventions can help to remedy one of the most pressing and enduring educational challenges of our time—achievement gaps in academic settings.

Study 1

Study 1 extends previous research in which affirmation interventions delivered in early seventh grade were found to significantly improve the grade point average (GPA) of Black, but not White students and reduce the racial achievement gap over a 2-year period in middle school (G. L. Cohen et al., 2009). Performance declines are common in middle school (Eccles, Lord, & Midgley, 1991), but they are particularly steep for Black students. The intervention successfully buffered Black students against such performance declines (G. L. Cohen et al., 2006), but no specific mediator was identified. The goal of Study 1 was to examine the content of students’ affirmation essays to test whether writing about social belonging constitutes such a mediator.

In particular, we tested for a conditional indirect effect (Preacher, Rucker, & Hayes, 2007; aka “moderated mediation,” in which the strength of an indirect effect, such as the effect of values-affirmation on GPA through writing about belonging, depends on the level of a particular moderator, such as racial group). Consistent with research showing that individuals who spontaneously affirmed themselves when instructed to write “expressive writing” essays tend to write about positive social bonds with others (Creswell et al., 2007), we hypothesized that regardless of race, students in the affirmation condition would write more about social belonging than students in the control condition. However, because in most intellectual settings, Black students contend with identity threat, writing about social belonging was expected to be especially helpful for this group and account for their improved GPA in the affirmation condition. In contrast, because their identity is not threatened in intellectual settings, and the affirmation did not have a consistent effect on White students’ GPA, writing about belonging was not predicted to benefit their GPA. Figure 1 illustrates the proposed model.

Method

Participants. Participants were 169 Black and 186 White seventh graders’ (48% male) of middle-class to lower-middle-class socioeconomic status (SES) who participated in Cohen and colleagues’ (G. L. Cohen et al., 2006; G. L. Cohen et al., 2009) randomized field experiment across three cohorts. Because results did not vary by cohort, cohorts were combined to increase statistical power.

Experimental Procedure. Seventh-grade students in a school whose student body was almost evenly divided between Blacks and Whites took part in the study (three successive years, for three different cohorts). Students were randomly assigned within classrooms to the values-affirmation or control condition. Teachers were unaware of the research hypotheses or students’ condition assignment.

The experimental manipulation followed procedures validated in prior research (McQueen & Klein, 2006). In both conditions, students reviewed a list of values (12 in the first cohort and 11 in the second and the third), such as relationships with friends and family or being good at art. Students in the affirmation condition were asked to indicate their most important values and write about why their selected values were important to them. Students in the control condition indicated their least important values and wrote about why their values might be important to someone else. The manipulation, presented by teachers as part of regular classroom
activities, occurred at the beginning of the fall quarter (specifically, mid- to late October in the first cohort, and mid- to late September in the second and third cohorts). During this quarter, about 1 month following the original intervention, participants in the second and third cohorts (but not the first cohort) completed an additional intervention (i.e., they wrote one more values-affirmation or control essay). Because the number of interventions appears unrelated to GPA in the first term of the intervention (G. L. Cohen et al., 2009; Cook et al., 2012) or academic belonging (Cook et al., 2012) and the benefit of the affirmation seems to depend disproportionately on the first, earlier intervention, at least for the first-term grades (Cook et al., 2012), we included only the first intervention essay in our content analysis.

**Content analysis.** Writing about belonging was defined as explicitly mentioning in an essay that (a) one values an activity because it is done with others (e.g., “Athletics is important to me because I like to work out with my brother”), or (b) one feels part of a group of people because of a certain value or while engaging in a certain activity (e.g., “I feel part of a team when I play music with my band”), or (c) any related thoughts on the subject of one’s social belonging, such as being affiliated to or liked by others (e.g., “Friends and family are important to me because they are always there for me”). Examples of essays that did not include belonging themes include, “My grades are important to me because I want to get into a good college” (affirmation condition) or “Politics would be very important to someone else when voting for the president, mayor, governor etc.” (control condition).

For each essay, two coders independently judged whether it included writing about social belonging (0 = no, 1 = yes). Initial agreement between the two coders was 95%, and Cohen’s kappa was .90, representing high agreement (Landis & Koch, 1977). Cases of disagreement were resolved by a third coder.

**Measures.** The dependent measure was students’ postintervention change in GPA (consistent with G. L. Cohen et al., 2006), calculated by subtracting their preintervention performance (i.e., the average of their sixth-grade GPA and preintervention seventh-grade GPA) from their grades at the end of the first quarter of seventh grade. A positive value for this variable—GPA-Difference—was obtained when GPA improved during the first quarter, whereas a negative value was obtained when GPA dropped.

Our choice of GPA-Difference as our main dependent variable was motivated by our wish to present results in an intuitive manner, so that negative results indicate decline in performance and positive results indicate improvement. Conceptually, identical results were obtained when raw GPA was used as the dependent variable.

**Results**

We conducted a logistic regression to examine the effects of race and condition on the categorical variable indicating writing about belonging themes. The main effects of Race and Condition were entered in Step 1 and their interaction was entered in Step 2. Step 1 revealed that adding the effects of race and condition significantly improved model fit, $\chi^2(2) = 107.76, p < .001$. As predicted, a significant main effect for the experimental condition ($p < .001$) revealed that the percentage of essays that included belonging themes was significantly higher in the affirmation compared with the control condition. Specifically, only 8.3% of control essays included writing about belonging compared with 57.5% of the affirmation essays. The frequency of writing about belonging did not vary by race ($p > .247$). Finally, adding the interaction term in Step 2 did not improve model fit, $\chi^2(1) = .54, p > .463$.

Next, consistent with previous reports (see G. L. Cohen et al., 2006; G. L. Cohen et al., 2009), we found a significant race by condition interaction, $F(1, 342) = 16.05, p < .001$, on GPA-Difference. The positive effect of values-affirmation was limited to Black students, whose GPA-Difference in the affirmation condition ($M = +0.082$) was buffered against performance declines found in the control condition ($M = −0.191$), $F(1, 342) = 18.13, p < .001$. White students’ GPA did not differ by condition, $F(1, 342) = 1.84, p > .176$ ($Ms = −.132$ vs. $−.051$ in the affirmation and control conditions, respectively.) All means are covariate adjusted.

**Conditional Indirect Effect.** To test our main hypothesis, we used Preacher et al.’s (2007) MODMED macro, which allowed us to test the indirect effect (i.e., a $\times$ b; see Figure 1) of affirmation on GPA through social belonging themes as a function of race. (The key Belonging × Race interaction and indirect effect were unchanged when a path analysis approach was used.) Results are presented in Table 1.

First, consistent with our hypothesis that when people affirm themselves they often turn to their relations with significant others, writing about social belonging was significantly greater in the affirmation condition compared with the control condition. The test of this main effect of condition on writing about belonging is presented in the upper part of Table 1.

Second, as can be seen in the middle part of Table 1, the effect of writing about belonging on GPA-Difference was moderated by race (i.e., the two-way interaction between race and writing about belonging was significant). This finding would be expected given our prediction that writing about belonging would have a positive effect on Black students’ GPA-Difference but would not affect the academic performance of White students.

Finally, the lower part of Table 1 presents the tests of indirect effects for each race. For Black students, consistent with predictions, writing about belonging significantly mediated the positive effect of values-affirmation on their GPA. Thus, Black students assigned to the affirmation condition wrote about belonging themes more than Black students assigned to the control condition, and writing about...
belonging, in turn, was associated with positive change in GPA. Unexpectedly, the indirect effect for White students was also significant but in the opposite direction. White students assigned to the affirmation condition wrote about belonging more than those assigned to the control condition, but regardless of condition writing about belonging was associated with a drop in GPA for White students.

Importantly, consistent with the logic of self-affirmation theory (Sherman & Cohen, 2006), the effect of writing about belonging correlated with choosing the value “relationships with friends and family” ($r = .52$, $p < .001$), choosing this value did not mediate the indirect effects of the affirmation intervention on either Blacks’ ($p > .115$) or Whites’ ($p > .755$) GPA-Difference. Hence, it was writing about social belonging, which included essay content on both social (e.g., friends and family) and nonsocial (e.g., music) values, which captured the content that critically affected Black students’ academic improvement.

Furthermore, the results were limited to writing about belonging rather than about other topics. We tested for conditional indirect effects for the two most frequent themes besides belonging that appeared in intervention essays—coping with negative events or emotions (included in 29% of the affirmation and 9% of the control essays), and academic success (included in 28% of the affirmation and 24% of the control essays). Neither of these themes mediated the positive effect of the affirmation condition on Black students’ academic grades ($ps > .681$).

**Discussion**

Study 1 supported our hypothesis that writing about social belonging themes mediated the positive effect of values-affirmation interventions on Black students’ academic performance. Seventh graders assigned to the affirmation condition spontaneously wrote about belonging (e.g., why or how a certain value made them feel affiliated with others) more than those assigned to the control condition, and writing about belonging, in turn, led to improved GPA among Black students (i.e., it effectively prevented performance decline). Unexpectedly, writing about belonging related to poorer performance for White students. We further discuss this issue in the “General Discussion” section. Nevertheless, results support the predicted role of writing about social belonging for Black students’ performance.

**Study 2**

The goal of Study 2 was to strengthen the internal and external validity of Study 1. First, to address criticism of “measurement-of-mediation” designs and allow for strong inferences about the hypothesized causal chain (Spencer et al., 2005; Stone-Romero & Rosopa, 2008), Study 2 directly manipulated the proposed mediating variable (writing about social belonging), in turn, was associated with positive change in GPA. Unexpectedly, the indirect effect for White students was also significant but in the opposite direction. White students assigned to the affirmation condition wrote about belonging more than those assigned to the control condition, but regardless of condition writing about belonging was associated with a drop in GPA for White students.

Importantly, consistent with the logic of self-affirmation theory (Sherman & Cohen, 2006), the effect of writing about belonging correlated with choosing the value “relationships with friends and family” ($r = .52$, $p < .001$), choosing this value did not mediate the indirect effects of the affirmation intervention on either Blacks’ ($p > .115$) or Whites’ ($p > .755$) GPA-Difference. Hence, it was writing about social belonging, which included essay content on both social (e.g., friends and family) and nonsocial (e.g., music) values, which captured the content that critically affected Black students’ academic improvement.

Furthermore, the results were limited to writing about belonging rather than about other topics. We tested for conditional indirect effects for the two most frequent themes besides belonging that appeared in intervention essays—coping with negative events or emotions (included in 29% of the affirmation and 9% of the control essays), and academic success (included in 28% of the affirmation and 24% of the control essays). Neither of these themes mediated the positive effect of the affirmation condition on Black students’ academic grades ($ps > .681$).

**Table 1. Regression Results for Conditional Indirect Effect.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Writing about social belonging themes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>.169</td>
<td>.099</td>
<td>1.711</td>
<td>.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-affirmation intervention</td>
<td>.494</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>11.774</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA-Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-.402</td>
<td>.122</td>
<td>-3.289</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-affirmation intervention</td>
<td>.101</td>
<td>.052</td>
<td>1.935</td>
<td>.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belonging</td>
<td>-.195</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>-2.750</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>-.079</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>-1.379</td>
<td>.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belonging × Race</td>
<td>.347</td>
<td>.095</td>
<td>3.648</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: GPA = grade point average. N = 355 (169 Blacks, 186 Whites). Unstandardized regression coefficients are reported. Bootstrap sample size = 5,000. LLCI = lower level of the 95% bootstrap percentile confidence interval; ULCI = upper level of the 95% bootstrap percentile confidence interval. Condition and Race were dummy coded such that Control = 0 and Affirmation = 1, similarly, White = 0 and Black = 1. Note that because belonging and race are uncentered, their lower order effects should be interpreted in light of the significant interaction (i.e., the lower order effect of belonging represents its effect for Whites; the lower order effect of race represents its effect for participants with a belonging score of 0). Although the MODMED macro uses ordinary least squares (OLS) regression, both OLS and logistic regression often yield the same decision criteria (Pohlmann & Leitner, 2003). By example, the OLS analysis of condition on belonging, reported in the upper part of Table 1, yields the same decision as the logistic regression analysis reported on Page 15 (i.e., both analyses revealed a significant effect of condition on writing about belonging). As our goal was to test the significance of the relations between these variables rather than report precise population estimates, the OLS approach in MODMED was appropriate. In addition, the critical Belonging × Race interaction and indirect effect remained significant using a path analysis approach that explicitly modeled belonging as a dichotomous variable.
belonging themes) and examined its effect on the outcome variable (academic performance). Experimentally manipulating themes of belonging allowed us to draw strong inferences with regard to their causal role in affirmation effects.

Study 2 also tested our hypotheses in the context of individuals completing a single test in the laboratory rather than students in classrooms over extended periods of time. While Study 1 had the advantage of offering evidence of causal processes in actual classrooms, it remained unclear whether the findings were conditioned on factors such as students’ social relationships, the classroom setting, in which minority students can be chronically vigilant for cues confirming or refuting the threat of being treated discriminatorily (G. L. Cohen & Garcia, 2008), or the passage of time. For example, writing about belonging may have led Black students to affiliate more with their classmates, and this actual social support may have protected them from performance decline. To rule out these possibilities, Study 2 examined whether findings similar to Study 1 emerged under the controlled conditions of a single test in the lab.

Moreover, to further extend the generalizability of our findings, Study 2 focused on a different stereotyped group: women in the context of math ability. Identity threat has been found to undermine the performance of women in mathematics, particularly when women face a challenging task and when stereotypic assumptions of gender differences in performance are not specifically dismissed (Spencer, Steele, & Quinn, 1999). This was the case in Study 2, where participants confronted an extremely difficult math test and the instructions did not explicitly dispel the existence of gender differences (which would reduce identity threat; Spencer et al., 1999).

Perhaps most important, to the best of our knowledge, Study 2 is the first to test a strategy to enhance the effectiveness of the standard values-affirmation task by directing participants to write about belonging themes in their affirmation essays. Values-affirmation exercises are ideal candidates for large-scale implementation in educational settings because they constitute a brief and inexpensive strategy to reduce existing achievement gaps between stigmatized and nonstigmatized groups (Yeager & Walton, 2011). To the extent that such scaling will take place in the future, every improvement in the standard values-affirmation could have substantial real-life consequences.

Male and female participants were randomly assigned to one of four experimental conditions: a no-affirmation condition in which participants wrote about why a value unimportant to them would be important to someone else, an individuating-affirmation condition in which they wrote about why their important value made them feel independent or self-sufficient, a standard-affirmation condition in which participants wrote about why their value was important to them, and a belonging-affirmation condition in which participants wrote about why their value made them feel closer and more connected with other people. The no-affirmation and standard-affirmation conditions corresponded to the control and experimental conditions used in Study 1.

Our rationale was that variations in the affirmation exercise would influence the frequency with which participants wrote about social belonging. Accordingly, in the no-affirmation condition, the percentage of essays with social belonging themes was predicted to be the lowest. In the individuating-affirmation condition, the frequency of social belonging themes was expected to be greater than the no-affirmation control but lower than the standard-affirmation condition. Finally, social belonging themes were predicted to be the greatest in the belonging-affirmation condition, which explicitly asked participants to write about how their important values contributed to positive social bonds with others.

Following the affirmation exercise, participants completed a difficult math test similar to the GRE math exam. Consistent with previous research, we hypothesized that women would perform worse than men in the no-affirmation condition, as women are negatively stereotyped in math (e.g., Spencer et al., 1999), but that this gap would be reduced in the affirmation conditions. Furthermore, we expected that as the frequency of social belonging themes increased across conditions, female participants’ math performance would improve linearly. For male participants, who do not contend with negative stereotypes about quantitative ability, performance was expected to be unaffected by condition.

Method

Participants. Participants were 62 male and 55 female White undergraduate students from a large liberal arts university in the Western United States. They were recruited from an introductory psychology class and were compensated with either course credit or payment. Ages ranged from 18 to 30 (M = 19.8, SD = 2.00). Participants were randomly assigned to condition.

Procedure. The experiment was carried out in an environment set up like a classroom, in groups of three to nine participants who were seated at two-person tables (each participant sat alone). The experimenter sat at a table in front of the classroom and was present during the whole experimental session. Participants were told that they would participate in two separate studies: the first exploring values, the second about how people solved math problems. The ostensible first study constituted the values-affirmation manipulation. All participants were presented with the same list of 11 values used in previous affirmation research with adults (G. L. Cohen, Aronson, & Steele, 2000) and asked to rank them in order of personal importance. Next, participants in the no-affirmation condition wrote a brief essay describing why their ninth most important value might be important to someone else. In the affirmation conditions, they wrote about why their top-ranked value (a) made them feel independent and
Results

To check our manipulation, we assessed whether the different conditions successfully produced different frequencies of social belonging themes. For each essay, one trained coder judged whether it included social belonging (0 = no, 1 = yes). The same validated coding system used in Study 1 was used here. Sample excerpts from the essays included, “Politics is an important value; however, it is not a huge concern to me” (no-affirmation), “Without the support of my parents I wouldn’t have gone out of state and become as independent as I am” (individuating-affirmation), “Having good relationships with friends and family is very important to me. Without them it would be hard to get by” (standard-affirmation), and “My friends and I enjoy cracking jokes about anything. It makes me feel more connected with them” (belonging-affirmation).

A logistic regression was conducted to examine the effects of the experimental condition and participants’ gender on the categorical variable indicating writing about belonging themes: Gender and Condition (coded as three dummy-variables with the control condition as reference group) were entered in Step 1, the interactions between gender and each of the affirmation conditions (i.e., the three dummy-variables) were entered in Step 2. Step 1 revealed that adding the effects of gender and the affirmation conditions significantly improved model fit, χ²(4) = 50.46, p < .001. Specifically, a marginal effect for Gender (p < .083) indicated that women tended to include belonging themes in their essays more than men did (66% of women’s essays compared with 55% of men’s essays included these themes). Of direct relevance for the purposes of the present study, as intended, the three affirmation conditions significantly increased the inclusion of belonging themes in participants’ essays compared with the control condition (ps < .001). Specifically, only 15% of essays in the no-affirmation condition included social belonging themes, compared with 60%, 63%, and 97% of the essays in the individuating-affirmation, standard-affirmation, and belonging-affirmation conditions, respectively. Note that despite the fact that our instructions in the individuating-affirmation condition directed participants to focus on independence and self-sufficiency, this condition yielded similar frequency of writing about belonging themes to that obtained in the standard-affirmation condition. As the sample excerpt from this condition illustrates, the two themes (i.e., independence and belonging) need not be incompatible. For instance, participants may refer to their family as a secure base that allows them to independently explore the world. Although adding the three interaction terms in Step 2 significantly improved model fit, χ²(3) = 8.28, p < .041, none of the interaction terms was significant (ps > .724).

We next tested our primary hypotheses that (a) women’s performance would be worse than men’s in the no-affirmation condition but (b) the gender gap in performance would decrease in the affirmation conditions. A two-way ANOVA revealed no main effects (ps > .268) and the predicted gender by affirmation condition interaction, F(3, 109) = 3.40, p < .021, η² = .086 (see Figure 2). Simple effects tests indicated that (a) women performed worse than men in the no-affirmation condition, F(1, 109) = 5.23, p < .025, η² = .046; (b) the gender gap was eliminated in the individuating-affirmation condition, F(1, 109) = 1.79, p > .184, η² = .016, and in the standard-affirmation condition, F(1, 109) = .27, p > .601, η² = .003; and (c) the gender gap reversed in the belonging-affirmation condition, F(1, 109) = 3.97, p < .049, η² = .035.

To examine whether women performed better when assigned to affirmation conditions where participants spontaneously wrote more about belonging (i.e., the individuating and standard-affirmation conditions) and the condition where participants wrote most about belonging as instructed (i.e., the belonging-affirmation condition), we conducted a linear contrast, assigning the values “−2,” “−1,” “+1,” and “+2” to the no-affirmation, individuating-affirmation, standard-affirmation, and belonging-affirmation, respectively. Consistent with our hypothesis, the linear contrast was significant, F(1, 109) = 5.82, p < .018, and accounted for 82% of the
between-cell variance among women; the quadric and cubic contrasts were not significant, $ps > .282$. The same linear trend undertaken for men was not significant, as expected, $F(1, 109) = 2.77, p > .098$. Indeed, if anything, there was a trend for conditions that elicited more writing about social belonging to be associated with poorer performance for men. Finally, the difference in linear trends among men and women was significant, $F(1, 109) = 8.30, p < .005$, indicating that for women, but not for men, assignment to conditions that elicited more participants to write about social belonging improved performance on the math test.

We conducted a final contrast to examine whether women in the belonging condition, which yielded the highest percentage of essays that included social belonging themes, led to better performance compared with the other affirmation conditions, which yielded moderate percentage of essays that include social belonging themes. Because the individuating and standard-affirmation conditions failed to yield different proportions of social belonging themes, we collapsed these two affirmation conditions. The contrast between women’s performance in the belonging-affirmation condition compared with their performance in the combined individuating and standard-affirmation conditions was marginally significant, $F(1, 109) = 3.06, p < .083, \eta^2_p = .027$, suggesting that affirmations especially focused on belonging tended to yield greater benefits than other affirmations. Of course, given that the standard- and individuating-affirmation conditions also elicited substantial writing about belonging, this is a conservative test.

Although the results supported our hypotheses, they may be accounted for by two alternative explanations. First, it was possible that men and women picked different values as their most (or least) important and hence, even when assigned to identical experimental conditions, they actually wrote about different values in their essays. For example, to the extent that women picked “relations with family and friends” whereas men picked “independence” as their most important value, it might have been harder for men than for women to follow the instructions of the belonging-affirmation condition (i.e., think about how your most important value makes you feel closer and connected to other people). Correspondingly, it might have been harder for women to follow the instructions of the individuating-affirmation condition. If so, the difficulty of the writing task rather than the affirmation condition itself might have affected participants’ math performance by, for instance, impairing women’s performance in the individuating condition and men’s in the belonging condition. A chi-square test examining the association between participants’ gender and the value that they wrote about (i.e., their most important value in the affirmation condition and a less important value in the control condition) ruled out this possibility, $\chi^2(8) = 12.23, p = .141$ (two values—sports ability and membership in a social group such as community or school club—were not picked by any participants, reducing degrees of freedom).

A second alternative explanation that may explain our results is also possible. Women tend to represent themselves in terms of their communal attributes, such as nurturing, whereas men tend to represent themselves in terms of their agentic attributes, such as forceful and self-sufficient (Diehl, Owen, & Youngblade, 2004). It is possible that women may have found following the instructions in the belonging-affirmation condition easier than men because the instructions were more congruent with their perceptions of themselves as communal, leading them to easily retrieve belonging-relevant examples in their essays. By contrast, following the instructions in the individuating-affirmation condition may have been easier for men. For women and men, the difficulty of the writing task, rather than gender stereotypes about math ability, may have determined participants’ subsequent performance on the math test.

To the extent that the above “congruency hypothesis” is true, we would expect to find similar gender effects in Study 1, such that female seventh graders should benefit from writing about belonging more than male seventh graders. To test this possibility, we conducted an additional analysis on data from Study 1. When we tested the model of conditional indirect effect examined in Study 1 with gender instead of race as the moderator of the path from writing about belonging to GPA-Difference (see Figure 1), the Belonging × Gender interaction was not significant ($p > .162$), indicating that the effect of writing about belonging on participants’ GPA was not moderated by gender. Moreover, while the indirect effect for male students was marginally significant ($Boot p < .107; M_{\text{GPA-Diff}} = −.223$ vs. $−.009$ in the control and affirmation conditions, respectively), it was far from significance for female student ($Boot p > .733; M_{\text{GPA-Diff}} = −.020$ vs. $−.052$ in the control and affirmation conditions, respectively). These findings suggest that in a context where the most salient threat was related to racial rather than to gender identity, girls did not benefit from writing about belonging (if anything, it was boys who benefitted more from the intervention in general and from writing about belonging in particular). It is hence likely that the effectiveness of the belonging-affirmation on women’s performance found in Study 2 stemmed from the successful reduction of stereotype threat among our female participants.

**Discussion**

The results of Study 2 provide strong support for our hypothesis that explicitly reflecting about social belonging themes is an active ingredient through which values-affirmation counteracts stereotype threat, in this case, among women in math. Importantly, as in Study 1, participants in the standard values-affirmation assignment often wrote about belonging and the intervention eliminated the gender gap in performance. Nevertheless, the subtle variations in the affirmation instructions affected female participants’ math performance such that directed prompts to write about belonging most improved women’s performance.
General Discussion

The present research demonstrates that writing about social belonging themes is a key ingredient in the effectiveness of affirmation interventions at improving performance among negatively stereotyped groups. Such interventions might be less effective when the essays written by stigmatized group members revolve around other topics. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first research that identifies particular content that leads values-affirmation interventions to be less effective when the essays written by stigmatized group members revolve around other topics. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first research that identifies particular content that leads values-affirmation interventions to be effective. Results are robust, having been found experimentally in both the laboratory and the field, using two separate groups that confronted negative stereotypes about their general or domain-specific intellectual ability. Study 1 demonstrated that writing about social belonging accounted for the positive effect of the affirmation intervention on the GPA of Black seventh-grade students. Study 2 showed that varying the extent to which affirmation exercises elicited social belonging themes improved the performance of female college students on a math exam. Together, our findings suggest that reminders of relational connections boost one’s ability to perform well academically in the face of identity threats.

Our research advances understanding not only of values-affirmation interventions in particular but contributes to self-affirmation theory in general. Current affirmation theory focuses on abstract (i.e., content-free) psychological processes (i.e., boosting self-resources, broadening individuals’ perspective on threat, and increasing individuals’ ability to evaluate threats independently of ego-defensive concerns; see G. L. Cohen, Purdie-Vaughns, & Garcia, 2012; Sherman & Hartson, 2011). However, many well-documented psychological processes previously hypothesized to be content-free have been shown to be highly dependent on particular themes. Our research can similarly inform affirmation theory by suggesting that the processes set in motion through self-affirmation interventions may be facilitated when these interventions involve a specific theme, that is, social belonging.

Of course, the proposition that affirmation processes are facilitated when people write about social belonging themes requires further research. One limitation of the present research is that it used only middle school minority students and women from an introductory psychology course. Writing about belonging might have different and even negative effects for other groups or under different circumstances. For instance, it might cause women in an individualistic or male-dominated class or profession to worry about being seen in light of gender stereotypes of communality. In addition, the present research focused on buffering against identity threats, which may be viewed as threats to one’s sense of belonging (Smart Richman & Leary, 2009). It is possible that belonging themes serve as a key ingredient in buffering against social threats but not in buffering against nonsocial threats, where other contents may be effective as well. This possibility is consistent with Knowles and colleagues’ (2010) reasoning that the different dimensions on which one may affirm oneself in response to threats are organized hierarchically. Due to the primacy of belonging needs (Baumeister & Leary, 1995; Leary & Cox, 2008), when people face social rejection threats, as was the case in the present studies, these threats may be mitigated primarily (and perhaps even exclusively) by affirming one’s sense of belonging. By contrast, threats to nonsocial (and hence less fundamental) dimensions of their selves may be effectively mitigated by the affirmation of any important self-domain, including both social and nonsocial ones.

Admittedly, the buffering effect of social belonging themes in contexts of nonsocial threats awaits direct empirical testing. Nevertheless, based on the findings that feelings of love and connectedness—possibly evoked by writing about social belonging—mediated the effects of values-affirmation in buffering against a nonsocial threat (i.e., threatening health information; Crocker et al., 2008), we suggest that belonging themes should bolster the effects of affirmation interventions in contexts of both social and nonsocial threats. This suggestion is consistent with the findings that activating individuals’ social support system (S. Cohen & Wills, 1985; Lakey & Orehek, 2011) or “secure base script” (Waters & Waters, 2006) helps people to constructively cope with stress and distress. Hence, beyond their potential contribution to affirmation theory, our findings also point to the link between affirmation theory and the large body of research on attachment and social support. Linking these literatures offers a fruitful synergy that may inspire future research in both areas and lead to cross fertilization.

Our proposition that self-affirmation interventions are particularly effective in buffering against threats when people write about belonging themes raises the question of whether values-affirmation interventions indeed affirm the self. The finding that people reduce the sense of threat not by looking inside themselves (i.e., through reflecting on their inner, personal strengths) but rather by looking outside themselves (i.e., through reflecting on their valued social connections) seems, at first glance, to contradict the notion that values-affirmation interventions are indeed affirming the self. Closer scrutiny, however, reveals that social belonging themes are not inconsistent with affirming the self. Specifically, social belonging may be influential due to its capacity to promote a sense of self-integrity, that is, “one’s sense of adaptive and moral adequacy” (Steele, 1988, p. 263). Because fitting into social groups is an important aspect of human adequacy (e.g., Brewer, 1991; Leary & Baumeister, 2000), reflecting on social belonging-related themes should in principle bolster self-integrity.

In summary, reminding threatened individuals of their meaningful connections with significant others who love and support them may increase their sense that they are efficacious and appropriate people (i.e., bolster their self-integrity), which may in turn protect the self in situations that may otherwise seem dire. We propose that this fundamental
ambiguity between social connectedness and self-integrity may in fact be an important contribution of our work. Sorting out the extent to which heightened social belonging versus heightened self-integrity contributes to affirmation effects is a task that awaits future research. However, we think that at a basic level, the two kinds of motives are fundamentally confounded for a social species like our own.

Another intriguing direction for future research would be exploring whether values-affirmation might exert negative effects under certain circumstances. In the present research, in Study 1, we obtained a significant negative indirect effect of writing about belonging for Whites, in the opposite direction than the effect obtained for Blacks, such that writing about belonging correlated with a drop in GPA for Whites. In Study 2, although not statistically significant, there was a negative trend for men such that conditions inducing more writing about belonging led to poorer performance on the math test. Similar trends have been found in previous research. For example, Miyake and colleagues (2010) found that a values-affirmation intervention that had a positive effect on female students taking a science class, negatively affected one of the performance outcomes for male students.

Why might writing about belongingness be associated with poorer performance for nonstigmatized group members? It is possible that focusing on belonging generally reduce stress (e.g., due to the activation of the social support system). Stress reduction may have positive consequences for stigmatized group members, whose stress level—due to the experience of stereotype threat—is high and hence impairs their performance. However, consistent with the Yerkes-Dodson law, according to which a certain degree of stress or arousal is necessary for optimal performance (Yerkes & Dodson, 1908), stress reduction might decrease stigmatized group members’ stress below the level that is necessary for optimal performance. An alternative explanation may be offered by the logic of Optimal Distinctiveness Theory (Brewer, 1991), which suggests that group members seek to balance between two opposing motivations: the wish to belong and feel included and the wish to feel distinct and independent. Because stigmatized group members feel potentially excluded in academic settings, affirming their belonging may restore their balance, relieve them from preoccupation with belonging-related concerns, and consequently improve their performance. By contrast, nonstigmatized group members may feel generally balanced in academic settings and the belonging-affirmation might drive them out of their balanced state. For example, reminders of social support may threaten their sense of distinctiveness and independence, which may result in impaired performance. Future research should explore these admittedly speculative possibilities, which have important implications for both the theoretical understanding and the practical application of values-affirmation interventions.

On a practical level, the present research is the first to offer a specific strategy to improve the existing values-affirmation intervention. Specifically, our findings suggest that structured assignments encouraging members of stigmatized groups to write about social belonging, as was done in the belonging-affirmation condition in Study 2, improve academic performance beyond the improvement commonly found in standard affirmations. The implications of these findings are substantial and timely. Practitioners and policy makers across a variety of domains (e.g., health, education) have increasingly recognized the importance of augmenting structurally based interventions based on social–psychological research (G. L. Cohen et al., 2012). However, scaling such interventions for widespread use requires a thorough understanding of why, how, and when these interventions work (Yeager & Walton, 2011). The present research advances such understanding by pointing toward the critical content that qualifies values-affirmation effectiveness in buffering against identity threat and by presenting a theoretically informed refinement to affirmation exercises with the potential to improve academic performance.
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Notes
1. Six participants who were included in G. L. Cohen, Garcia, Purdie-Vaughns, Apfel, and Brzustoski (2009) sample were not included in the present sample because their writing exercises were missing or lost during the school data collection.
2. Consistent with G. L. Cohen, Garcia, Apfel, and Master (2006; G. L. Cohen et al., 2009), analyses also controlled for seventh-grade teacher, cohort, preintervention performance (sixth-grade GPA [grade point average] and preintervention seventh-grade GPA), gender (contrast coded: −1 vs. +1), and the Gender × Race and Gender × Condition interactions.
3. An alternative analytic approach would be to additionally test whether race interacted with condition directly on GPA (i.e., mediated by belonging). Testing this alternative model (i.e., a Direct Effect and Second Stage Moderation Model; Edwards & Lambert, 2007) revealed that (a) the indirect effect remained significant and (b) both the effects of condition and belonging on GPA-Difference were significantly moderated by race (ps < .05). That is, both the
path from the experimental condition to GPA-Difference (i.e., the direct effect) and the path from belonging to GPA-Difference significantly interacted with participants’ race. These results suggest that the proposed mediation pathway did not account for all the difference in GPA between White and Black students as a function of condition. Nevertheless, consistent with our hypothesis, the indirect effect and the second-stage moderation (i.e., the Belonging × Race interaction) remained significant when examined at the same time with the moderation of the direct effect (i.e., the Condition × Race interaction).

4. Another possibility is that qualitative differences between the belonging themes of stigmatized and nonstigmatized participants that were not captured by our coding scheme may have driven the divergent effects. However, additional content analyses that reduced the “belonging themes” into more refined categories did not reveal a sound explanation as to why writing about belonging may have impaired the performance of nonstigmatized group members.
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