

## LINEAR BIRTH AND DEATH PROCESSES WITH KILLING

SAMUEL KARLIN,\* *Stanford University*  
 SIMON TAVARÉ,\*\* *Colorado State University*

### Abstract

We analyze a class of linear birth and death processes  $X(t)$  with killing. The generator is of the form  $\lambda_i = bi + \theta$ ,  $\mu_i = ai$ ,  $\gamma_i = ci$ , where  $\gamma_i$  is the killing rate. Then  $P\{\text{killed in } (t, t+h) | X(t) = i\} = \gamma_i h + o(h)$ ,  $h \downarrow 0$ . A variety of explicit results are found, and an example from population genetics is given.

BIRTH-DEATH PROCESSES; KILLING; POPULATION GENETICS

### 0. Introduction

Let  $\{Y(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a birth–death process on  $S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  with infinitesimal generator  $A = (a_{ij})$  given by

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= 0, & |i - j| > 1 \\ a_{i,i+1} &= \lambda_i, & a_{i,i-1} = \mu_i, & a_{ii} = -(\lambda_i + \mu_i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_i > 0$  for  $i \geq 0$ ,  $\mu_i > 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ , and  $\mu_0 \geq 0$ . If  $\mu_0 > 0$ , the process has an absorbing state at  $-1$ . It is established in [1] that in virtually all practical cases of birth–death processes the transition function  $P_{ij}(t) = P\{Y(t) = j | Y(0) = i\}$  may be represented in the form

$$(0.2) \quad P_{ij}(t) = \pi_j \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} Q_i(x) Q_j(x) d\rho(x), \quad i, j \geq 0$$

where  $\rho$  is a positive measure on  $[0, \infty)$ , and the system of polynomials  $\{Q_n(x)\}$  satisfies

$$(0.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_0(x) &\equiv 1 \\ -xQ_0(x) &= -(\lambda_0 + \mu_0)Q_0(x) + \lambda_0 Q_1(x) \\ -xQ_n(x) &= \mu_n Q_{n-1}(x) - (\lambda_n + \mu_n)Q_n(x) + \lambda_n Q_{n+1}(x), \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

Received 18 August 1981.

\* Postal address: Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, U.S.A.

\*\* Postal address: Department of Statistics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, U.S.A.

Research supported in part by NIH Grant 5R01 GM10452–18 and NSF Grant MCS-24310.

and the orthogonality relations

$$\int_0^\infty Q_i(x)Q_j(x)d\rho(x) = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\pi_j}, \quad i, j \geq 0$$

where

$$\pi_0 = 1, \quad \pi_n = \frac{\lambda_0\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{n-1}}{\mu_1\mu_2 \cdots \mu_n}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

In this note we study the properties of a class of birth–death processes with generator of the form

$$(0.4) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= 0, & |i - j| &> 1 \\ a_{i,i+1} &= \lambda_i, & a_{i,i-1} &= \mu_i, & a_{ii} &= -(\lambda_i + \mu_i + \gamma_i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_i > 0, i > 0$ . The parameter  $\gamma_i$  may be regarded as the rate of absorption, or killing into a fictitious state  $H$ , say;

$$P\{Y(t + h) = H \mid Y(t) = i\} = \gamma_i h + o(h), \quad h \downarrow 0.$$

Our study of linear birth–death processes with killing was motivated in part by the following problem from population genetics. Consider a population of  $N$  individuals, each of which is classified as one of three possible genotypes  $AA, Aa, aa$ . A question of some interest, posed originally in [6], is: Given that the population currently comprises only the genotypes  $AA$  and  $Aa$ , how long does it take to produce the first homozygote  $aa$ ? To put the problem in a simple framework, let  $(X(t), Y(t))$  be the number of  $Aa, aa$  genotypes in the population at time  $t$ , and take  $(X(0), Y(0)) = (i, 0)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq N$ . Then we want to ascertain the properties of the time  $T$  defined by  $T = \inf\{t > 0: Y(t) > 0\}$ . Since  $Y(t)$  is currently 0, we need only keep track of  $X(t)$ , and we add an extra state  $H$  to the state space  $S = \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$  to account for any cases in which  $Y(\cdot) > 0$ .

We concentrate on a model in which reproduction occurs by selfing. For further details of the problem, see also [3], [6]. We assume that reproduction events occur at the points of a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$ . At such a point, suppose there are no  $aa$  individuals,  $i Aa$  and  $N - i AA$  in the population. Following Moran [5], we chose one individual at random to die, and one to replace him as the result of selfing. The probabilities  $p_{AA}, p_{Aa}, p_{aa}$  that the replacement individual is of genotype  $AA, Aa, aa$  are given by

$$(0.5) \quad p_{AA} = 1 - \frac{3i}{4N}, \quad p_{Aa} = \frac{i}{2N}, \quad p_{aa} = \frac{i}{4N}.$$

The process  $X(\cdot)$  is now identified as a birth–death process with killing on  $S = \{0, 1, \dots, N\} \cup \{H\}$ , and the rates (0.4) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_i &= \lambda \left(1 - \frac{i}{N}\right) p_{\Lambda a} \\
 \mu_i &= \lambda \frac{i}{N} p_{\Lambda \Lambda} \\
 \gamma_i &= \lambda p_{aa}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{0.6}$$

Explicit results for this process are not easy to find, but there is an approximating process that is readily analyzed. We take  $\lambda = N$  (corresponding to speeding up the timescale), and let  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . We obtain a process  $\bar{X}(\cdot)$  on  $\{H\} \cup \{0, 1, \dots\}$  with transition rates

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}i, \quad \mu_i = i, \quad \gamma_i = \frac{1}{4}i.
 \tag{0.7}$$

We described a number of explicit results for the process corresponding to (0.7) in Section 4.

**1. Preliminaries**

Although the methods we develop will apply in more general cases, we focus primary attention on a variety of linear processes, where explicit results are readily established. We start with the case of (0.1) where

$$\lambda_i = (i + 1)\lambda, \quad \mu_i = (i + \beta - 1)\mu, \quad \lambda < \mu, \quad \beta > 1.
 \tag{1.1}$$

Here  $\mu_0 > 0$ , so there is an absorbing state at  $-1$ . We denote the corresponding process by  $\bar{X}(\cdot) = \{\bar{X}(t), t \geq 0\}$ . The properties of this process have been established in detail in [2]. We record the following results. Let

$$F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n z^n}{n! (c)_n}, \quad (a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a + n)}{\Gamma(a)},$$

and define

$$\varphi_n(x) = \varphi_n(x; \beta, \gamma) = F(-n, -x; \beta; 1 - (1/\gamma)),
 \tag{1.2}$$

for  $\beta > 0, 0 < \gamma < 1$ , and set  $\varphi_{-1} \equiv 0$ . The polynomials  $(\beta)_n \varphi_n(x)$  are the classical Meixner polynomials. Now set

$$\rho_n = (1 - \gamma)^\beta (\beta)_n \frac{\gamma^n}{n!}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}.
 \tag{1.3}$$

Table 1C of [2] establishes that if  $x_n = (n + \beta - 1)(\mu - \lambda)$ , then  $\bar{P}_{ij}(t) = P\{\bar{X}(t) = j \mid \bar{X}(t) = i\}$  is given by

$$\bar{P}_{ij}(t) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^i \frac{j!}{(\beta)_j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-x_n t} Q_i(x_n) Q_j(x_n) \rho_n
 \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$Q_n(x) = \frac{(\beta)_n}{n!} \varphi_n \left( \frac{x}{\mu - \lambda} - \beta + 1 \right).$$

From now on we concentrate on the case  $\beta = 2$ . If we write  $X(t) = \bar{X}(t) + 1$ , then  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  is the standard linear birth–death process on  $S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  with rates

$$(1.5) \quad \lambda_i = i\lambda, \quad \mu_i = i\mu, \quad i \geq 0 \quad (\lambda < \mu)$$

and  $P_{ij}(t) = \bar{P}_{i-1, j-1}(t)$ ,  $i, j \geq 1$ . The explicit representation of  $\bar{P}_{ij}(t)$  given in [2], pp. 654–5 is also useful, but we content ourselves with recording two standard formulas that can also be derived from [1] and [2].

$$(1.6) \quad G_i(z, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_{ij}(t)z^j = \left[ \frac{(1-\sigma) + (\sigma-\gamma)z}{1-\sigma\gamma - z\gamma(1-\sigma)} \right]^i, \quad i \geq 1, \quad |z| < 1$$

where  $\sigma = \exp\{-(\mu - \lambda)t\}$ . We note the notation of  $\sigma$  here differs from [2].

$$(1.7) \quad G_{ij} = \int_0^{\infty} P_{ij}(t)dt = \begin{cases} \gamma^j(1-\gamma^{-1})[j(\lambda-\mu)]^{-1}, & 0 < i \leq j \\ [j(\lambda-\mu)]^{-1}(\gamma^j-1), & i > j. \end{cases}$$

**2. Linear birth–death with killing**

We now focus on the special case of (0.4) in which the process  $\tilde{X}(\cdot) = \{\tilde{X}(t), t \geq 0\}$  has state space  $\{H\} \cup \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , and generator determined by

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda_i = bi, \quad \gamma_i = ci, \quad \mu_i = ai,$$

where  $a, b, c > 0$ .

In what follows, let  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  be the roots of the equation

$$(2.2) \quad bv + (a/v) = a + b + c; \quad 0 < v_0 < 1 < v_1.$$

It is clear that either  $\tilde{X}$  is absorbed at 0 or killed at  $H$  in finite time. Standard probabilistic arguments show that

$$q_i = P\{\tilde{X}(t) \text{ hits } 0 \text{ before } H \mid \tilde{X}(0) = i\} = v_0^i, \quad i \geq 0.$$

So we are led to look at the associated process  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  obtained by conditioning on  $\{0\}$  being reached first. The transition probabilities are given by

$$(2.3) \quad P_{ij}(t) = \bar{P}_{ij}(t)v_0^i v_0^{-j}, \quad i, j \geq 0.$$

Observe that  $P_{i, i+1}(h) = \bar{P}_{i, i+1}(h)v_0 = ibv_0h + o(h)$  and  $P_{i, i-1}(h) = (ia/v_0)h + o(h)$ . Therefore,  $X(\cdot)$  is a linear birth–death process with transition rates given by

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_i = ibv_0; \quad \mu_i = \frac{ia}{v_0} = ibv_1, \quad i \geq 0.$$

(The last equation results since the product of the roots of (2.2) is  $v_0v_1 = a/b$ .) Clearly,  $\lambda_i = ibv_0 < \mu_i = ibv_1$ . It follows immediately that  $X(\cdot)$  is generated as a special case of (1.5). Hence, for  $|z| \leq 1$ ,

$$\tilde{G}_i(z, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{ij}(t)z^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_0^i v_0^{-j} P_{ij}(t)z^j = v_0^i G_i(zv_0^{-1}, t).$$

Using the identifications  $\lambda = bv_0$ ,  $\mu = a/v_0$ ,  $\gamma = \lambda/\mu = v_0/v_1$ , we have

$$(2.5) \quad \tilde{G}_i(z, t) = \left[ \frac{v_0v_1(1-\sigma) + z(v_1\sigma - v_0)}{v_1 - \sigma v_0 - z(1-\sigma)} \right]^i,$$

where in this case  $\sigma = \exp\{-b(v_1 - v_0)t\}$ . We also record from (1.7) that  $\tilde{G}_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \tilde{P}_{ij}(t)dt = v_0^i v_0^{-j} G_{ij}$  is given by

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{G}_{ij} = \begin{cases} [jb(v_1 - v_0)]^{-1} v_1^{-i} (v_1^i - v_0^i), & 0 < i \leq j \\ [jb(v_1 - v_0)]^{-1} v_0^i (v_0^{-i} - v_1^{-i}), & i \geq j. \end{cases}$$

We shall now discuss some of the properties of the killing process. Denote by  $T_H$  the hitting time of  $H$ . Since  $P_i\{T_H > t\} = P_i\{0 \leq \tilde{X}(t) < \infty\} = \tilde{G}_i(1, t)$ , (where  $P_i, E_i$  denote probabilities and expectations given  $\tilde{X}(0) = i$ ), we have

$$(2.7) \quad P_i\{T_H > t\} = \left[ \frac{v_0(v_1 - 1) + v_1\sigma(1 - v_0)}{(v_1 - 1) + \sigma(1 - v_0)} \right]^i, \quad i \geq 1.$$

(2.7) establishes, letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and hence  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , that  $P_i\{T_H < \infty\} = 1 - v_0^i$ , which confirms that indeed  $\tilde{X}(\cdot)$  ends at  $\{0\}$  or  $\{H\}$ . The mean termination time is given by  $E_i[T] = E_i(T_0 \wedge T_H) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \tilde{G}_{ij}$ .

In what follows, we shall consider only those sample paths that end at  $\{H\}$  rather than  $\{0\}$ . Denote this process by  $X^*(t)$ , with transition functions  $P_{ij}^*(t)$ ,  $i, j \geq 1$ . Since in this process  $\{H\}$  is hit with probability 1, it makes sense to define the killing position  $K = X^*(T_H)$ . We shall derive the distribution of  $K$  in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a birth-death process with infinitesimal parameters (0.4). Assume  $P_i\{T_H < \infty\} = 1$  for all  $i \in S$ . Then  $P_i\{K = j\} = G_{ij}\gamma_j$ ,  $i, j \in S$ .

*Proof.*  $P_i\{X(t) = j, t < T_H \leq t + h\} = P_{ij}(t)\gamma_j h + o(h)$ ,  $h \downarrow 0$ . So  $P_i\{K = j\} = \int_0^\infty P_{ij}(t)\gamma_j dt \equiv \gamma_j G_{ij}$ .

For the process at hand, the relevant Green's function  $G_{ij}^*$  is given by

$$G_{ij}^* = \int_0^\infty P_{ij}^*(t)dt = \frac{1 - v_0^i}{1 - v_0^j} \int_0^\infty \tilde{P}_{ij}(t)dt = \frac{1 - v_0^i}{1 - v_0^j} \tilde{G}_{ij},$$

and since  $\gamma_j = cj(1 - v_0^j)^{-1}$  (the rate of killing given eventual killing) we find that

$$P_i\{K = j\} = cj\tilde{G}_{ij}(1 - v_0^i)^{-1} = \begin{cases} c[b(v_1 - v_0)]^{-1}(1 - v_0^i)^{-1}v_1^{-j}(v_1^i - v_0^i), & 0 < i \leq j \\ c[b(v_1 - v_0)]^{-1}(1 - v_0^i)^{-1}v_0^i(v_0^{-j} - v_1^{-j}), & i \geq j. \end{cases}$$

(2.8)

When  $i = 1$ , we see that  $P_1\{K = j\} = (1 - 1/v_1)(1/v_1)^{j-1}$ ,  $j \geq 1$ .

To describe the behavior of  $\tilde{X}(\cdot)$  and  $X^*(\cdot)$  before killing takes place, we shall study the asymptotic conditional distributions given by

$$\tilde{a}_j = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_i\{\tilde{X}(t) = j \mid T_0 \wedge T_H > t\},$$

(2.9)

$$a_j^* = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_i\{X^*(t) = j \mid T_H > t\}.$$

These are straightforward to compute from (2.5), via the following lemma.

*Lemma 2.*  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{-1}(\tilde{G}_i(z, t) - v_0^i) = -v_0^{i-1}A(z)$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , where  $\sigma = \exp\{-b(v_1 - v_0)t\}$  and  $A(z) = (v_1 - v_0)(v_0 - z)/(v_1 - z)$ ,  $0 \leq z < v_1$ .

*Proof.* From (2.5), we can write

$$\tilde{G}_i(z, t) = \left\{ v_0 - \sigma A(z) \left[ 1 - \sigma \left( \frac{v_0 - z}{v_1 - z} \right) \right]^{-1} \right\}^i.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_i(z, t) - v_0^i &= \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} \left\{ -\sigma A(z) \left( 1 - \sigma \left( \frac{v_0 - z}{v_1 - z} \right) \right)^{-1} \right\}^k v_0^{i-k} - v_0^i \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^i \binom{i}{k} \left[ -\sigma A(z) \left( 1 - \sigma \left( \frac{v_0 - z}{v_1 - z} \right) \right)^{-1} \right]^k v_0^{i-k}. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows immediately as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

To establish the first of (2.9), we use Lemma 2 to see that for  $0 \leq z \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_i\{\tilde{X}(t) = j \mid T > t\}z^j &= \frac{\tilde{G}_i(z, t) - \tilde{G}_i(0, t)}{\tilde{G}_i(1, t) - \tilde{G}_i(0, t)} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{-A(z) + A(0)}{-A(1) + A(0)} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The limit is precisely the probability generating function of  $\tilde{a}_j$ , which leads on simplification to

$$\tilde{a}_j = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{v_1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{v_1} \right)^{j-1}, \quad j \geq 1.$$

Using similar considerations, we see that for  $0 \leq z \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_i\{X^*(t) = j \mid T_H > t\} z^j &= \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{ik}^*(t) \right]^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-v_0^j)}{(1-v_0)} \tilde{P}_{ij}(t) z^j \\ &= \frac{\tilde{G}_i(z, t) - \tilde{G}_i(zv_0, t)}{\tilde{G}_i(1, t) - \tilde{G}_i(v_0, t)}. \end{aligned}$$

So another application of Lemma 2 shows that  $\{a_j^*, j \geq 1\}$  has probability generating function given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^* z^j = \frac{-A(z) + A(zv_0)}{-A(1) + A(v_0)}.$$

The probabilities  $\{a_j^*\}$  are given by

$$a_j^* = \frac{v_1 - v_0}{v_1(1 - v_0)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{v_1}\right) \left(\frac{1}{v_1}\right)^{j-1} - \frac{v_0(v_1 - 1)}{v_1(1 - v_0)} \left(1 - \frac{v_0}{v_1}\right) \left(\frac{v_0}{v_1}\right)^{j-1}, \quad j \geq 1.$$

### 3. Linear birth–death process with immigration and killing

In this section, we concentrate on the birth–death process  $\tilde{X}(t)$  with killing on state space  $\{0, 1, \dots\} \cup \{H\}$  with infinitesimal transition rates given by

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{a}_{i,i+1} = bi + \theta, \quad \tilde{a}_{i,i-1} = ai, \quad \tilde{a}_{ii} = -[i(a + b + c) + \theta]$$

where  $a, b, c, \theta > 0$ .

The following observation simplifies the analysis. Let  $v = v_0$  be the smaller solution of Equation (2.2), and define

$$(3.2) \quad a^* = a/v, \quad b^* = vb, \quad \theta^* = \theta v, \quad \kappa = \theta(1 - v).$$

Recall from (2.4) that  $a^* > b^*$ . Let  $X(t)$  be a birth–death process with rates

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda_i = ib^* + \theta^*, \quad \mu_i = ia^*, \quad i \geq 0$$

and let  $P_{ij}(t)$  be its transition functions. Define

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{P}_{ij}(t) = v^{-j} v^i P_{ij}(t) e^{-\kappa t}, \quad i, j \geq 0.$$

*Lemma 3.* The functions  $\{\tilde{P}_{ij}(t), t > 0\}$  satisfy

$$\tilde{P}'_{ij}(t) = ai\tilde{P}_{i-1,j}(t) - \{i(a + b + c) + \theta\}\tilde{P}_{ij}(t) + (bi + \theta)\tilde{P}_{i+1,j}(t), \quad i, j \geq 0$$

and  $\tilde{P}_{ij}(t) = P\{\tilde{X}(t) = j \mid \tilde{X}(0) = i\}$ .

*Proof.*  $\tilde{P}'_{ij}(t) = v^{-j} v^i P'_{ij}(t) e^{-\kappa t} - \kappa \tilde{P}_{ij}(t), \quad i, j \geq 0.$

Now use the backward equation satisfied by  $\{P_{ij}(t), t > 0\}$  to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}'_{ij}(t) &= e^{-\kappa t} v^{-j} v^i [a^* i P_{i-1,j}(t) + (b^* i + \theta^*) P_{i+1,j}(t) \\ &\quad - ((a^* + b^*) i + \theta^*) P_{ij}(t)] - \kappa \tilde{P}_{ij}(t) \\ &= ai\tilde{P}_{i-1,j}(t) + (bi + \theta)\tilde{P}_{i+1,j}(t) - \{(a + b + c)i + \theta\}\tilde{P}_{ij}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{\tilde{P}_{ij}(t), t \geq 0\}$  satisfy the requisite equations for  $\{\tilde{X}(t), t \geq 0\}$ , and because the infinitesimal rates are linear they determine a unique process. We may then take  $\tilde{P}_{ij}(t) = P\{\tilde{X}(t) = j \mid \tilde{X}(0) = i\}$ , and the proof is complete.

It is worth while noting that if in (3.2) we specify  $v = v_1$  (the larger solution of (2.2)) the resulting  $\tilde{X}(t)$  is identical to that determined from  $v = v_0$ .

The  $X(\cdot)$  generated by (3.2) and (3.3) is a linear birth-death process with immigration which has been extensively studied. In particular, the spectral decomposition (0.2) is given in [2], Table 1F. From [2],

$$(3.5) \quad P_{ij}(t) = \pi_j \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} Q_i(x) Q_j(x) d\rho(x)$$

with

$$(3.6) \quad \sigma = \exp\{-b(v_1 - v_0)t\}, \quad \pi_j = \left(\frac{v_0}{v_1}\right)^j \frac{(\beta)_j}{j!}, \quad \beta = \frac{\theta}{b}, \quad \gamma = \frac{v_0}{v_1}$$

where the measure  $\rho(\cdot)$  has masses of size  $\rho_n$  (cf. Equation (1.3)) at points  $x_n = nb(v_1 - v_0)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $Q_n(x) = \varphi_n(x/b(v_1 - v_0))$ ,  $\beta, \gamma$  (cf. (1.2)). (3.5) reduces then to

$$(3.7) \quad P_{ij}(t) = \pi_j \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sigma^n \varphi_i(n) \varphi_j(n) \rho_n.$$

The spectral representation

$$\tilde{P}_{ij}(t) = \tilde{\pi}_j \int_0^\infty e^{-yt} \tilde{Q}_i(y) \tilde{Q}_j(y) d\tilde{\rho}(y)$$

is now accessible.

In fact

$$\tilde{P}_{ij}(t) = v_0^i v_0^{-j} e^{-\kappa t} P_{ij}(t),$$

and from (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{ij}(t) &= v_0^i v_0^{-j} e^{-\kappa t} \pi_j \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} Q_i(x) Q_j(x) d\rho(x) \\ &= \frac{\pi_j}{v_0^{2j}} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\kappa+x)t} [v_0^i Q_i(x)] [v_0^j Q_j(x)] d\rho(x) \\ &= \tilde{\pi}_j \int_\kappa^\infty e^{-yt} [v_0^i Q_i(y - \kappa)] [v_0^j Q_j(y - \kappa)] d\rho(y - \kappa). \end{aligned}$$

The spectral measure  $\tilde{\rho}$  is given by  $\tilde{\rho}(E) = \rho(E - \kappa)$ , that is  $\tilde{\rho}$  concentrates mass  $\rho_n$  of (1.3) at  $\kappa + nb(v_1 - v_0)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{Q}_i(x) = v_0^i Q_i(x - \kappa), \quad i \geq 0.$$



Now  $X(\cdot)$  is positive recurrent and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\kappa t} \tilde{P}_{ij}(t) = v_0^i v_0^{-j} \pi_j \rho_0$ . Further, the sequences  $m_i = \pi_i v_0^{-i}$  ( $i \geq 0$ ),  $\xi_i = v_0^i$  ( $i \geq 0$ ) satisfy the invariance properties

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_i m_i \tilde{P}_{ij}(t) &= e^{-\kappa t} m_j, & j \geq 0 \\ \sum_i \tilde{P}_{ij}(t) \xi_i &= e^{-\kappa t} \xi_j, & i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows in particular that  $Z(t) = e^{\kappa t} v_0^{X(t)}$  is a martingale.

The spectral representation yields in a simple way the recurrence properties of  $\tilde{X}(t)$ ; see also [4]. The explicit form for  $\tilde{P}_{ij}(t)$  now follows from (3.4) and [2], p. 655. Hence, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} G_i(z, t) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_{ij}(t) z^j \\ &= \left[ \frac{1 - \sigma - z(\gamma - \sigma)}{1 - \sigma\gamma - \gamma z(1 - \sigma)} \right]^i \left[ \frac{1 - \gamma\sigma - \gamma z(1 - \sigma)}{1 - \gamma} \right]^{-\beta}, & i \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma = e^{-b(v_1 - v_0)t}, \quad \gamma = \frac{v_0}{v_1}, \quad \beta = \frac{\theta}{b}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_i(z, t) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{ij}(t) z^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_0^{-j} v_0^i P_{ij}(t) e^{-\kappa t} z^j \\ &= v_0^i e^{-\kappa t} G_i(z v_0^{-1}, t). \end{aligned}$$

Again, denoting by  $T$  the killing time, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} P_i\{T > t\} &= \tilde{G}_i(1, t) = v_0^i e^{-\kappa t} G_i(v_0^{-1}, t), & i \geq 0; \\ &= e^{-\kappa t} \left[ \frac{v_0(v_1 - 1) + v_1\sigma(1 - v_0)}{v_1 - 1 + \sigma(1 - v_0)} \right]^i \left[ \frac{(v_1 - 1) + \sigma(1 - v_0)}{v_1 - v_0} \right]^{-\beta}, & i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $i = 0$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} E_0[T] &= \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{G}_0(1, t) dt \\ &= \left( \frac{v_1 - v_0}{v_1 - 1} \right)^{\beta} \frac{1}{\theta(1 - v_0)} F\left( \beta, \beta \left( \frac{1 - v_0}{v_1 - v_0} \right); \beta \left( \frac{1 - v_0}{v_1 - v_0} \right) + 1; \frac{v_0 - 1}{v_1 - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 shows in principle how to find the distribution of the detection position, once the Green's function

$$\tilde{G}_{ij} = \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{ij}(t) dt = v_0^i v_0^{-j} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\kappa t} P_{ij}(t) dt$$

is computed. This seems difficult to do in an explicit way. However, the asymptotic conditional distribution is easy to find. The method of Section 2 shows that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_i\{\tilde{X}(t) = j \mid T > t\} z^j = \frac{\tilde{G}_i(z, t)}{\tilde{G}_i(1, t)} \rightarrow \left[ \frac{1 - zv_0}{1 - v_0} \right]^{-\beta}$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence the asymptotic conditional distribution  $\{a_j, j \geq 0\}$  is negative binomial, with

$$a_j = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_i\{\tilde{X}(t) = j \mid T > t\} = (1 - v_0)^\beta v_0^j \frac{(\beta)_j}{j!} \quad j \geq 0,$$

where  $\beta = \theta/b$ . The mean is  $\sum ja_j = (1 - v_0)^{-1} \beta v_0$ .

We remark that the generating function  $\tilde{G}_i(z, t)$  can be found using a simple compounding argument based on Poisson immigrations of rate  $\theta$ , and the linear birth–death process studied in Section 2. However, direct evaluation of the spectral representation leads to more detailed results. The general theory established in [1] can be used to evaluate first-passage problems. Here is another example. Let  $q_i$  be the probability that  $\tilde{X}(\cdot)$  reaches  $\{0\}$  before  $\{H\}$ . Then

$$q_i = \tilde{Q}_i(0) = v_0^i Q_i(-\kappa) = v_0^i \varphi_i \left( \frac{-\kappa}{b(v_1 - v_0)} \right).$$

**4. An example from population genetics**

We highlight in this concluding section several explicit results for the birth–death process with rates (0.7). This is a special case of the process studied in Section 2 (2.1) with  $b = 1/2$ ,  $a = 1$ ,  $c = 1/4$ . We get  $v_0 = 0.7192$ ,  $v_1 = 2.7808$ , and it follows that the probability that formation of any  $aa$ -individuals occurs before fixation of the  $A$  allele is  $1 - q_i = 1 - (0.7192)^i$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . This will give a good approximation to the underlying process (0.6) when  $N$  is large. In a genetic context, we are most interested in the behavior of the process when  $\tilde{X}(0) = 1$ , corresponding to the appearance of a single mutant  $a$ -allele. For the general case of Section 2, we have from (2.6)

$$(4.1) \quad E_i(T) = E_i(T_0 \wedge T_H) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{G}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{b} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{1}{v_1} \right).$$

This reduces to  $E_i(T) = 0.891$  in the present case, and corresponds to a value of  $0.891N$  for the process (0.6) with  $\lambda = 1$ . In a similar way, we find

$$(4.2) \quad E_i(T_H \mid T_H < \infty) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} G_{ij}^* = -\frac{1}{b(v_1 - v_0)} \ln \left( \frac{v_1 - 1}{v_1 - v_0} \right).$$

This gives a value of  $E_i(T_H \mid T_H < \infty) = 1.043$ , or  $1.043N$  for (0.6) with  $\lambda = 1$ .

## References

- [1] KARLIN, S. AND MCGREGOR, J. (1957) The classification of birth and death processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **86**, 366–400.
- [2] KARLIN, S. AND MCGREGOR, J. (1958) Linear growth birth and death processes. *J. Math. Mech.* **7**, 643–662.
- [3] KARLIN, S. AND TAVARÉ, S. (1981) The 'detection of a recessive visible gene in finite populations. *Genet. Res. (Camb.)* **37**, 33–46.
- [4] KINGMAN, J. F. C. (1963) The exponential decay of Markov transition probabilities. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **13**, 337–358.
- [5] MORAN, P. A. P. (1962) *The Statistical Processes of Evolutionary Theory*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [6] ROBERTSON, A. (1978) The time to detection of recessive visible genes in small populations. *Genet. Res. (Camb.)* **31**, 255–264.