

Rise of Andean Civilization

November 17, 1999 T. D'Altroy

Late Intermediate Period in the Highlands

1. LIP in highlands: generally a very volatile period
 - a. large-scale population growth
 - b. intense warfare
 - c. urbanization
2. political fractionation
 - a. many small political groups: incipient states or complex chiefdoms
 - b. emergence of polities in southern highlands and altiplano of a hundred thousand or so
 1. e.g., Inka, Lupaqa, Chanka, Colla
 - c. central and northern highlands
 1. e.g., Yuraccama, Xauxa, Wanka, Yauyos, Taruma

Historical Sources

1. sources on LIP sociopolitical organization
 - a. inspections
 - b. Toledan visitas
2. relevant information pertains to two topics
 1. leadership: *zínchi*
 - a. extent of authority
 - b. compensation and obligations associated with positions of leadership
 - c. selection of individuals to hold positions
 2. warfare
3. leadership
 - a. chosen on basis of military skills
 - b. succession to able sons
 - c. spatial extent of authority was limited
 - d. *zínchi* also said to have governed in times of peace
 - e. preferred access to the spoils of war
4. warfare
 - a. seen as normal state of affairs
 - b. conflicts internal among ethnic groups: not with other groups
 - c. alliances of communities
 - d. objectives
 1. primarily to get more land
 2. also women and livestock

Lake Titicaca Region

1. good early documentation
 - a. esp. visita de Chucuito [1567]
2. series of late prehispanic "kingdoms": followed collapse of Tiwanaku
 - a. Lupaqa: SW of L. Titicaca
 - b. Qolla: NW
 - c. Pacasa, Omosuyu, Uros, etc.
 - d. linguistic evidence: Aymara speakers may have replaced Puquina speakers after MH
3. sociopolitical organization
 - a. hierarchically organized
 - b. tombs: mortuary groupings recognized [Tschopik]
 1. may reflect social groups
4. settlements: basic settlement trends [Hyslop]
 - a. significant population expansion
 - b. well-defined settlement hierarchies
 1. largest site: 150 ha
 2. several others quite large: 4 >30 ha
 3. several >10 ha
 4. others <10 ha
 - c. settlement hierarchies appear to have emerged in LIP
 - d. post MH shift from lakeside dwelling: to walls hilltop communities
 1. over 4,000 m
 - e. appearance of elite cemeteries
 1. chullpas: large burial towers
 2. may have replaced temples and shrines as primary ceremonial locations after MH
5. economy
 - a. mixed pastoral and tuber
 - b. may have controlled distant colonies
 1. south coast
 2. eastern lowlands

The Cuzco Region

1. late pre-imperial era in southern Peruvian sierra: referred to as Killke period
 - a. after ceramic style: distinguished by Rowe
 1. An Introduction to the Archaeology of Cuzco
 - b. corresponds to Late Intermediate Period
 - c. following collapse of Wari and Tiwanaku polities

2. current radiocarbon evidence: Bauer's review
 - a. still scant
 - b. AD 1000-1400

3. Cuzco region summary: oral accounts
 - a. consonant with dynamics of pre-state formations
 1. alliance and warfare
 2. forging of regional unions through marriage
 3. localized scope of conflicts through first seven paramounts
 4. booty: key rationale for warfare
 5. followed by shift toward establishing relationship of dominance
 - a. without acquisition of territory or direct administration
 - b. abilities of the elites to remain in power: mix of
 1. inducements
 - a. ability to deliver or at least offer goods through combat
 - b. peacetime leadership mediated by ceremonial exchanges
 2. ideological reinforcement
 - a. privileged leadership deriving from genealogical relationships
 - b. consolidation of power through marital alliances
 3. outright coercion
 - c. i.e., social ranking
 1. but little in narratives to suggest formation of social classes

4. sites identified almost exclusively by Killke pottery
 - a. found in significant quantities up to 60 km or so from Cuzco basin
 - b. nature of society poorly understood
 - c. regional surveys beginning to fill in picture
 1. Cuzco Valley: Dwyer
 2. Cusichaca: Kendall
 3. Paruro: Muelle's and Bauer
 4. Limatambo: Heffernan
 5. Chinchero: Rivera Dorado

5. to date, Killke archaeology has yielded few of the kinds of material remains we might expect in emergent state society
 - a. recorded settlement hierarchy is less well-developed than that of the contemporaneous Lake Titicaca Basin
 1. where largest coeval sites were much bigger
 - b. known Killke sites
 1. more comparable in scale to Upper Mantaro or Huamachuco regions
 2. LIP societies: less complex than we would expect from a state
 - c. key missing features
 1. monumental constructions
 2. economic specialization
 3. significant residential differentiation
 4. mortuary differentiation

- d. aggregate scale of described Killke sites
 - 1. only hints at formation of powerful expansive polity
 - e. maybe massive Inka remodelling in imperial era wiped out most architectural traces of Killke era
 - 1. but lack of published information on the scale or nature of Killke-era Cuzco is special concern for explanations of state formation
 - 2. elsewhere in valley: lack of description of other material remains troublesome for efforts to interpret formation of Inka polity
6. Killke settlement: Cuzco
- a. Cuzco basin
 - 1. Kencha-Kencha: Rowe calls very large town
 - a. no details
 - 2. 12-60 ha site range
 - a. Dwyer [see Parsons and Hastings]
 - 3. implies site hierarchy
 - 4. Choquepuquio and Minas Pata: notable as only sites Dwyer thought to be more than small town
 - 5. only two sites in topographically defensible locations
 - a. Saqsayhuaman
 - b. Old Choqo
 - b. Cuzco itself
 - 1. nature of pre-imperial Cuzco remains puzzle
 - 2. Guaman Poma: settlement called Acamama, before renamed Cuzco
 - 3. four sectors: Sarmiento's informants
 - a. Quinti Cancha (hummingbird sector)
 - b. Chumbi Cancha (weaver sector)
 - c. Sairi Cancha (tobacco sector)
 - d. Yarumbay Cancha (a mixed sector)
 - 4. early settlement: situated in swampy area at foot of prominence of Saqsaywaman
 - 5. Saqsayhuaman
 - a. Valcárcel: large quantities of excavated ceramics
 - b. Rowe found to contain 1/4-1/3 Killke pottery
 - c. ergo, likely substantial pre-imperial occupation
7. outside Cuzco basin
- a. Killke-era settlement patterns paralleled core area in important ways
 - 1. but differed in scale and hierarchy
 - b. Cuzco basin likely becoming center of regional settlement system
 - 1. may have formed core of emergent polity in late pre-imperial era
 - c. Limatambo: to west
 - 1. unfortified settlements
 - 2. usually situated on low rises along valley flanks
 - d. Paruro region: to south
 - 1. similar pattern
 - 2. wide topographic distribution of sites

- a. parallels Cuzco area: 3,100-3,750 m
 - 3. larger sites
 - a. situated on small knolls or lower valley slopes
 - b. near rich bottom lands: suitable for maize farming
 - 4. smaller sites
 - a. possibly only occupied temporarily
 - b. higher elevations: potatoes or herding
 - c. lower elevations: 2,850 m
 - 5. 87 Killke sites
 - a. none >3.5 ha
 - b. 5-6% size of largest Cuzco Killke site
 - d. Cusichaca Valley: Kendall
 - 1. numerous Killke sites on high ridgetops
 - 2. Huata and Pantilliclla
 - a. fortified with surrounding walls
 - b. Huata: evidence of having been burned
 - 3. by late LIP
 - a. populace took greater advantage of lower elevation locations
 - b. continued to fortify and occupy the higher elevations sites
8. architecture at pre-imperial settlements
- a. forms that led into imperial Inka architecture may have been fairly widely associated with Killke pottery
 - 1. circular and rectilinear floor plans
 - b. pattern contrasts with imperial era
 - 1. rectilinear plans favored in residential settlements
 - c. Pumamarca architecture
 - 1. early imperial antecedents
 - 2. C-14 dates: AD 1282, 1371, and 1368
9. artifacts: mostly defined on basis of ceramics
- a. plates
 - b. bowls: straight, curved-sided, and incurving
 - c. variety of jars
 - 1. jars with high-arching handles
 - 2. face-neck jars
 - 3. jars with conical necks
 - d. keros
 - e. motifs
 - 1. largely geometric
 - 2. most often in black
 - 3. less frequently in red
 - 4. rarely in white
 - f. Killke pottery appears in Cuzco region apparently without local antecedents: Rowe
 - 1. maybe Lake Titicaca basin
 - 2. accords with Inka origin myths of a migration from the south
 - g. contains apparent forerunners to imperial Inka style

1. motifs: nested triangles, pendant rows of solid triangles
 2. vessel shapes: e.g., keros
- h. much stylistic variation over fairly limited space

Central Peruvian Highlands

1. Upper Mantaro Valley: Xauxa and Wanka
 - a. area in which UMARP has done research since 1977
 - b. one of the larger ethnic groups in the central Andes
 - c. important logistical position
 1. contact with the coast
 2. main route north-south through intermontane valleys
 3. direct routes to montaña

3. background to UMARP research
 - a. Matos: settlement pattern study, since 1958
 - b. Browman: 1969-70 dissertation work
 1. settlement pattern survey
 2. refined chronology
 3. pastoralism and settlement changes
 - c. Parsons and Hastings
 1. broader scale research
 2. settlement changes in a variety of environmental zones throughout ceramic periods
 - a. evolutionary synthesis for Junín
 - b. changes in population density
 - c. resource use
 - d. settlement pattern
 3. research area chosen: included significant expanses of all four kinds of principal highland environments
 - a. Huaricolca puna: 3900-4700m
 - b. Upper Mantaro: broad valley floor and slopes: 3300-3800m
 - c. Tarma: deep, narrow valleys and intervening ridges on eastern side of sierra: Río Tarma drainage, 2900-4200m
 - d. uppermost montaña around Huasahuasi: 1900-4700m
 - d. UMARP: Upper Mantaro
 1. Earle et al., since 1977
 2. settlement patterns
 3. relationship between political and economic change

UMARP: LIP settlement pattern research

1. focus now on major trends in area
 - a. settlement patterns
 1. implications for social organization
 2. warfare
 - b. agricultural systems
 - c. organization of production, exchange and consumption
 1. variety of commodities
 2. e.g., ceramics, food, lithics, metals
2. geographic and environmental setting
 - a. lower valley: 3150-3400m
 - a. temperate mild climate
 - b. 600mm precipitation/yr
 - c. crops: maize, beans, garden vegetables
tubers (potatoes, ulluco, mashua, oca)
 - d. until 1954: irrigation only by simple canals from
tributary valleys
 - b. hillslopes and tributary valleys: 3400-3800m
 - a. glacial lakes in upland valleys
 - b. more humid than valley bottom
 - c. mostly agriculture
 1. Andean tubers and European frost-resistant grains
 - d. fallowed fields grazed by livestock
 - c. puna: 3800-4800m
 - a. high, rolling grassland
 - b. primarily used for pasturage: llamas, alpacas
 - c. occasional fields of tubers: macca
3. Wanka I settlement patterns: Yanamarca Valley
 - a. Early LIP: c. 1000-1250; maybe 1000-1350
 1. 13 Early LIP components in valley
 2. 3.4 ha average size
 - a. 0.4-4.8 ha range
 - b. mean population: 504
 3. relatively little variation in size
 4. well-spaced throughout valley
 - a. several on valley floor: 3450m on edge of
Laguna Tragadero
 - b. others on puna to the north of valley up to 3900m
 - c. fairly evenly distributed throughout the valley
 5. variety of topographic settings
 - a. valley floor
 - b. low hills and ridges

- c. about half on higher ridges and hills: 100-400m above the surrounding terrain
 - 1. possible indication of security considerations
 - 2. not surprising considering Late LIP warfare
 - d. dichotomy in location may reflect
 - 1. shift in settlement location
 - 2. differential perception of threat
 - 6. no major population centers
 - a. no modality in site size distributions
 - b. no civic-ceremonial architecture
 - *c. implies that communities were autonomous units
 - *d. regional organization integrating several communities had not yet developed
- 4. Wanka II (Late LIP): ca. A.D. 1250 (1350?) - 1460
 - a. general trends
 - 1. population growth
 - 2. nucleation of population
 - 3. emergence of settlement hierarchies
 - 4. emergence of civic-ceremonial architecture and public space
 - 5. shift to defensive organization and location of communities
 - b. 8 communities: Yanamarca
 - 1. 19.5 ha average size: 8x Early LIP
 - a. range: 2.6 - 73.7 ha
 - c. in region: 38 settlements
 - 1. 1,602 per settlement
 - 2. 3.2 x Wanka I in Yanamarca
 - d. 5 of Wanka II communities are larger than the largest Wanka I community
 - e. considerably more variability in site size
 - 1. modality in size distributions
 - 2. Hatunmarca: 73.7 ha of habitation
 - 3. Tunanmarca: 25.4 of habitation
 - f. shift in settlement location to northwest side of valley
 - g. increasing concern with warfare
 - 1. population agglutination into major settlements
 - 2. shift to more defensible locations: hilltops
 - 3. construction of fortification walls
- 5. population trends
 - a. five settlement types discerned
 - 1. hamlet: <100 people, dispersed
 - 2. small village: 100-500; no public or ceremonial architecture
 - 3. large village: 500-2,000; generally no public or ceremonial architecture

4. town: 2,000-10,000
 - a. Wanka II: public or civic-ceremonial space not present in Wanka II
 - b. Wanka III: c-c space present
 - c. differentiated into areas of high and low quality residential architecture
5. center: 10,000+
 - a. c-c architecture and public space differentiated from residential architecture
 - b. Wanka II only
 - c. Wanka III: not present
 - a. political functions taken over by towns
- b. population ranges given in UMARP II report
- c. LeBlanc
 1. given a reasonable population growth rate: there must have been a large influx of population into the valley in Late LIP
 2. 20-40%
 3. but no apparent discontinuity in cultural remains
 - a. e.g., no abrupt changes in architectural style
 - b. or in material culture
 - c. implies that immigrants were neighboring Wankas
6. social stratification within communities
 - a. focus on Late LIP: first time period where real differentiation shows up
 - b. internal organization of larger communities shows architectural differentiation
 1. and differences in use of space
 - c. variation in size of buildings
 1. differences in quality of masonry
 - d. development of settlement hierarchies
 1. histograms of site size show multimodality
 2. breaks interpreted as levels within a settlement hierarchy
 3. different functions seen for different levels
 4. population centers are focus of political power
 - a. higher order services to regional population
7. agriculture
 - a. fertile expanses of lower main Mantaro Valley: were essentially uncultivated
 - b. rolling uplands 10 km away: intensified
 1. construction of irrigation systems
 2. associated with large nucleated settlements: esp. Tunanmarca
 - c. heavy emphasis on highland diet in Wanka II
 1. consistent recovery of high-elevation food crops: in residential compounds
 2. relative lack of maize-complex crops

- 3. elites had preferred access to maize in compounds
 - 4. and to camelid and deer meat
 - d. Hastorf and Earle: intensification of production during Wanka II
 - 1. consequence of demand set by political economy
 - 2. did not result from requirements of feeding rapidly increasing population
 - e. ergo, warfare over resources: may be explained
 - 1. more reasonably as a political process
 - 2. than as consequence of subsistence pressure
8. craft production
- a. organizational divisions occurred along community and hierarchical lines
 - b. generally accessible materials: community specialization
 - c. restricted consumption: attached specialists
9. example: lithics
- a. Pomacancha chert quarry: principal reduction activities
 - b. flake tools: by-products found at all households
 - c. blade tool preparation: Umpamalca and Hatunmarca
 - 1. i.e., communities nearest the source
 - 2. suggests efficiency played a role in organization
 - a. i.e., transport costs of unusable materials
 - b. and local control over access to isolated resources
 - 3. and extensive intercommunity exchange: before and under Inka rule
 - d. elite and commoner households participated
 - 1. slight proportional increase in elite production under Inka rule
9. metals: probably elite-controlled
- a. production probably occurred off-site
 - b. arsenic bronze
 - c. lead production

Parsons and Hastings' Survey

Tarma Region

- 1. 90 sites defined
 - a. great majority <2.5 ha
 - b. largest = 7 ha
 - c. very difficult to distinguish separate site-size categories
 - 1. nearly continuous distribution between largest and smallest sites
 - 2. maybe three site-size categories could be distinguished
 - a. 0.1-2.5 ha: 74 sites
 - b. 2.6-4.5 ha: 11 sites
 - c. 5.1-7.0 ha: 5 sites
- 2. most small sites (<0.5 ha): limited purpose occupations

3. >0.5ha: clearly residential
 - a. ridge top sites
 - b. surrounded by massive stone walls: often reinforced by buttresses and gateways
 - c. only a few low-lying residential sites without walls: all in Palcamayo district
 1. where valley is unusually wide
4. major sites clustered in upper reaches of Leticia and Palcamayo subvalleys
 - a. along the juncture of these valleys with the main Junín puna to the north and west
 - b. weak occupation along eastern margin of Tarma region survey
 1. where sierra valleys do not abut against the puna
 2. similar situation in Huasahuasi area: where adjacent puna is very rough with few large expanses of continuous, rolling grassland
5. region is stylistically linked to Huasahuasi and Junín areas
 - a. ceramics and architecture
 - b. quite distinct from Jauja region

Junín region

1. single most outstanding feature of LIP occupation on puna is association of a large cluster of corrals with practically every one of the 50 residential sites located
 - a. not present at any sites outside the puna
 - b. convincing evidence for strong puna specialization in camelid herding
 - c. also widely scattered corrals throughout entire area: generally LIP
 - d. sites are usually clusters of circular houses on ridge top
 1. with corrals on the slopes below
2. one highly unusual site
 - a. no circular buildings
 - b. over 70 two-storey rectangular structures
 1. many joined in groupings of two to four units
3. sites are small
 - a. do not readily segregate into discrete size groupings
 - b. continuum from 0.5-8.0 ha
 1. distinct break at about 2.5 ha
 2. 41 smaller than this size
 3. one other small break from 5.5-6.5 ha
4. comparability of Junín and Tarma settlement patterns suggests that both areas were similarly organized during LIP
5. largest sites situated along the puna-valley juncture

- a. three of the four sites with areas of 5.0+ ha were only a few km NW of the upper reaches of the Leticia and Palcamayo subvalleys
 - 1. well within main puna
 - 2. but also within easy reach of the valleys
- b. easier to get to valley ridge-top sites from puna than from valley floor below
- c. ceramically and architecturally linked to Tarma

Huasahuasi region

- 1. 44 sites with major LIP components
 - a. an additional 17 sites with insufficient ceramic material for dating also probably belonged to this period
 - b. ceramics similar to those from Tarma and Lake Junín
- 2. sites range from 0.1-9.0 ha
 - a. most <3.0 ha
 - b. preponderance of sites about 1.0 ha
 - c. relatively steady decrease in the frequency of large sites
- 3. no clear site-size hierarchy
 - a. but one 9 ha site stands out as considerably larger than all the others: Paraupunta
- 4. building counts taken for 28 exceptionally well-preserved sites definitely LIP
 - a. no clear hierarchy for numbers of buildings
 - b. 6 sites with 48-63 buildings: stand out as large
 - c. Paraupunta: 120 buildings makes it a separate class
 - d. density ranges from 5-47 buildings/ha
 - 1. site-size categories are not particularly apparent on the basis of differential building density
 - 2. building density tends to decrease with increasing site size
- 5. predominant LIP site type
 - a. nucleated, walled settlement situated on high ridges
 - b. between 3800-4100m
 - 1. somewhat lower than the highest ridge crests
 - 2. about 1000 above main valley floors
 - 3. most lie within 100m of the modern transition from primarily agricultural to primarily pastoral land
 - c. three LIP sites in upper Huasahuasi are at lower elevations: only a few hundred meters above the river
 - d. several sites on steep NW side of the deep Tarma valley are below 3100 m: still 600-1000m above the river
 - 1. all near or within dense forest: may be determining factor
- 6. most are in highly defensible locations: narrow, steep-sided ridges

- a. access impeded by deep trenches and/or massive, high walls
 - 1. sometimes with towers, battlements, and parapets
- b. surface area in walls 0.5-9.0 ha
- c. most structures are circular: 3-5m diameter
- d. two-storey rectangular buildings are also present at two sites
- 7. about 20 small sites midvalley on spurs of main ridges
 - a. all have fewer than 15 structures
 - b. some have substantial fortifications
 - c. well within prime potato land
- 8. two large and more complex sites
 - a. Chupas: just west of modern Huasahuasi
 - 1. 61 buildings on 2.2 ha
 - 2. basically San Blas Red-on-Buff pottery
 - 3. about 25% of buildings were 2-storey rectangulars otherwise infrequent in region
 - 4. large detached cemetery and two large reservoirs at the lower end of the site
 - b. Paraupunta: 14 km NE of Chupas
 - 1. 120 well preserved buildings within a walled area of about 9.0 ha
 - 2. stands out as large LIP residential focus in an otherwise sparsely occupied area
 - 3. lies at sierra-ceja de montaña juncture
 - 4. size may in part be due to position on major sierra-montaña route
- 9. western edge of Huasahuasi region abuts on a very rugged, highly dissected section of puna
 - a. quite different from open, rolling grassland that adjoins the Tarma region further SW
 - b. reflected in occupation: virtually empty area
 - c. evidence for specialized herding is confined to five small herding camps along high, narrow ridges
 - 1. well above limits of modern cultivation

Summary: LIP in Central Sierra

[Parsons and Hastings]

- 1. general outlines of a major cultural florescence are fairly apparent
 - a. still do not understand
 - 1. how population growth begins to occur
 - 2. at what point the essential elements of the settlement configuration were attained
- 2. general element #1
 - a. pronounced tendency for settlements to occur on tops of high steep-sided hills and ridges
 - b. fortification
 - c. settlement away from best agricultural and herding land
 - 1. especially pronounced in Tarma, Huasahuasi and Junín
 - d. probably indicative of hostility radically different from any earlier kind of conflict or unsettled conditions

3. #2: very great population increase relative to the antecedent EIP/MH everywhere in the survey area
4. #3: close linkages between puna and valley populations
 - a. particularly noticeable in Tarma-Junín regions
 1. maximum population buildups and largest sites occur along puna-valley juncture
 2. single ceramic assemblage in both puna and valley sites
 - b. similar pattern in Jauja region: less well-defined
 1. maximum population is at a similar puna-valley juncture
 2. puna and valley sites share a common ceramic assemblage
 - c. EIP/MH puna-valley dichotomy
 1. LIP integration may have served as major element in population growth
 - a. and in increasingly hierarchical organization of society
 - d. importance of relatively large-scale herding in LIP
 1. emphasized by scarcity of occupation where access to substantial puna grassland is absent or restricted
 - a. e.g., upper reaches of Huasahuasi
 - b. e.g., along E and SE sites of Tarma region
5. #4: major cultural division
 - a. main Mantaro and adjacent Huaricolca puna in SE separated from
 - b. main Junín puna and adjacent eastern valleys around Tarma and Huasahuasi
 - c. marked by distinctive differences in
 1. ceramics, architecture, settlement pattern
 - d. conforms to ethnohistoric distinction between Huanca and Tarma ethnic groups
 - e. implies major differences in sociopolitical organization
 1. Tarma-Junín: more fragments, less centralized
 - a. no clear indication of dominant centers
 2. Jauja: settlement configuration conforms to notion of hierarchical, centralized polity
 - a. enough authority to control hostility and monopolize force so that many people were able to settle in undefended, easily accessible locations
 - b. low sites may belong to a late Pax Huancaína
 - f. relations between Tarma and Jauja are unclear
 1. almost no Tarma pottery in Jauja
 2. but little bits of Jauja pottery through other region: may be significant difference
6. #5: first reasonable evidence for sierra-montaña links
 - a. Huasahuasi region key to understanding this problem
 1. site of Paraupunta near the upper end of a probably LIP route to the lowlands
 2. may be analogous to large sites along puna-valley juncture in Tarma area
 - b. both types of sites may have had comparable functions in supplying communities in the adjacent areas with outside products
 1. e.g., coca grown at Chanchamayo: 20 km from Paraupunta