Externalit Property,	ies, Common and Public Goods
Intermediate	e Microeconomics
12/18/2001	A. Dye











Private ar Benefits	nd Social Costs and
Externalities between "p (benefits).	s are often described by distinguishing rivate" benefits (costs) and "social" costs
 Social cost pecuniary 	s (benefits) incorporate all opportunity costs, or nonpecuniary.
Private cost the individ	sts (benefits) are all social costs born privately by lual/firm responsible for them.
Externalities	s exist when:
 Social cost 	s (benefits) > Private costs (benefits)
12/18/2001	A. Dye



















,	
Set direct lega	al emissions standards.
 This fixes the 	e emissions quantity for each polluter.
Set effluent fe	es.
 This fixes the 	e emissions price.
Distribute mai	rketable emissions permits.
 This fixes tot for each pollu 	al the emissions quantity but not the emission uter.



What is emissior	What is the intent of marketable emissions permits?		
 Minimiza uniform Supp MC o 	ation of abatement costs. It is not optimal to require standards of all polluters. Why? ose an old (dirtier) and new (cleaner) plant emit pollutants. f abating emissions by 1 unit is higher in the older plant.		
\$	MC abatement in old plant MC abatement in new plant		
12/18/2001	4 5 6 A. Dye		



1-	
*	Those damaged by the pollutants have an incentive to contract with emitter to reduce emissions.
	damaged party could purchase those rights (and not use them).
۲	Under what circumstance would this happen?
	 An upstream polluter dumps contaminants into water used exclusively by a single downstream user (say NYC water supply).
	 NVC has the incentive to buy the rights to control emissions if the price of reducing emissions by one unit is lower than the marginal cost of treating the contaminated water.
	 The emitter would accept an offer from NVC as long as the price offered is higher than the marginal cost of reducing the level of contamination by on unit.
	 MC(abatement) ≤ P ≤ MC(treatment)
۲	Would dumping pollutants into the Hudson be so easily resolved? Why or Why not?

 Example Water Stress Water Stress Water Stress Water Stress 	amples: coastal or ocean fisheries, underground irrigation ater, common pastures, unregulated air waves clean air o ater.
♦ " <u>C</u> pe ex de	<u>ommon property</u> " is an asset or resource in which multiple ople have some implicit or explicit right to it because clusive property rights are either poorly defined or not fined at all.
	owners have exclusive rights over the output from using the common resource. If so, they reap the full gains from a marginal increase in its use without incurring the full marginal costs.
	This, if unmitigated, results in "the tragedy of the commons." Common property tends to be overused. If the resource is depletable, it tends to be depleted.
Co	nsequence: The market produces the "Tragedy of the



The "Trag	jedy of the Commons"
A Classic Ex	ample: fishery
 U.S. coas their fishe 	tal waters are public. Commercial fishermen cannot own ery or exclude competitors from using it.
The logic	of the tragedy of the commons:
If ther suppo are all fish po	e are N commercial fishing companies. (Hypothetically, let's se that initially they are behaving ideally. That is, suppose they catching the maximum amount possible without depleting the pulation, y.*.
Does If the but it	any fishing co. have an incentive to fish more intensively than y*? p th company increases the catch by 1 ton, it will obtain the full MR will incur only 1/N of the MC to the common resource.
In a free companie depletion It is e A coop	market using a common fishery, commercial fishing s have an incentive to over fish the waters, resulting in and long-run decline in the fish population. ffectively an N-person Prisoners' Dilemma. perative (monopoly) outcome would not result in depletion.
12/18/2001	A. Dye







