Kinase- and phosphatase-anchoring proteins: harnessing the dynamic duo

Andrea L. Bauman and John D. Scott*

Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Vollum Institute, Oregon Health & Sciences University, 3181 S.W. Sam Jackson Park Road, Portland, OR 97201-3098, USA *e-mail: scott@ohsu.edu

Protein-protein interactions contribute to the spatial and temporal resolution of many signal transduction cascades. Here, we discuss recent advances in our understanding of phosphatase-targeting subunits, kinase-anchoring proteins and the multiprotein signalling networks that they assemble.

ellular equilibrium is maintained by the continual adjustment of catabolic, anabolic and signal transduction events. The ebb and flow of these cellular processes is often mediated through the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of proteins. Two enzyme groups directly control protein phosphorylation: protein kinases, which catalyse the phosphotransfer reaction, and protein phosphatases, which catalyse the hydrolysis reaction. As there are more than 500 kinases and approximately 150 phosphatases encoded by the human genome, sophisticated regulatory mechanisms have evolved to control their activation state, substrate specificity and subcellular location. Signalling networks of kinases and phosphatases frequently orchestrate the synchronization of phosphorylation/dephosphorylation events. Core components of these networks are kinase-anchoring proteins and phosphatase-targeting subunits that co-ordinate the bound enzymes and orient them towards specific substrates^{1,2}. Advances in proteomic and interaction screening strategies have revealed a high level of complexity in these molecular scaffolds^{3,4}. This review will discuss the evolving field of anchoring proteins and targeting subunits, with particular emphasis on the biological role of signalling networks that include serine/threonine phosphatases and second-messenger-regulated protein kinases.

Protein-phosphatase-targeting subunits

The concept of phosphatase targeting was proposed as a mechanism to generate substrate specificity well before the notion of kinase anchoring became popular⁵. Since then, the targeting proteins that localize the catalytic subunits of most serine/threonine protein phosphatases have been identified. As recent reviews have comprehensively discussed targeting mechanisms for protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) and novel protein phosphatases^{6,7}, we will primarily focus on compartmentalization of the type 1 phosphatase, PP1.

In the mid-1980's, the first PP1-targeting subunit, glycogen-particle-associated protein (GM), was identified⁸. Subsequent analyses have demonstrated that GM and a functionally related molecule called PTG (protein targeted to glycogen) co-ordinate signalling complexes that influence glycogen metabolism9,10. However, GM is the predominant glycogen-targeting subunit, as it is present at significantly higher concentrations in membranes and the glycogen particles of sarcovesicular structures9. Association of PP1 with the GM protein network results in up to an eightfold enhancement of the dephosphorylation of glycogen synthase, glycogen phosphorylase or glycogen phosphorylase kinase9. Modulation of targeted PP1 activity involves a Lys/Arg-Val/Ile-X-Phe motif (the RVXF motif, where X is any amino acid) in GM that inserts into a groove distal to the active site of the enzyme¹¹. Peptides encompassing this region are sufficient to displace the PP1 catalytic subunit from GM and abolish the preferential dephosphorylation of glycogen-associated substrates⁹. This resulted in the proposal that GM not only targets PP1, but also allosterically regulates phosphatase activity. Similarly, the muscle-specific phosphatase holoenzyme (PP1-M) contains a targeting subunit, M110/MBS, which contains an RVXF motif¹². This targeting subunit has two roles: it directs phosphatase activity towards a select group of muscle proteins, including myosin and possibly moesin, and it nucleates a signalling complex with the cGMP-dependent kinase (PKG) and the low-molecular-weight GTPase Rho¹³⁻¹⁵ (Fig. 1a). Elevated concentrations of the second messenger cGMP activate anchored PKG which, in turn, phosphorylates M110/MBS to trigger events that result in relaxation of smooth muscle¹⁵. In addition, Rho-GTP activates a Rho-dependent protein kinase that phosphorylates M110/MBS to inhibit the tethered PP1 activity, which favours contraction of smooth muscle (Fig. 1a)¹⁶. Thus, the M110/MBS-targeting subunit co-ordinates the activities of several signalling enzymes that contribute to the regulation of smooth muscle signalling events.

The RVXF motif is now a recognized hallmark of most PP1-targeting subunits. A recent review has identified this motif in over 50 known and potential PP1-targeting subunits in a variety of organisms¹⁷. However, several targeting subunits, including PNUTS and AKAP220, interact with PP1 through sites outwith their RVXF-like motifs^{18,19}. Interestingly, the competitive inhibitors of PP1, Inhibitor-1 and DARPP-32, are mechanistically related to the targeting subunits²⁰. They contain a RVXF motif and a second structurally similar sequence that docks with the active site of the enzyme²⁰. Hence, PP1 modulatory proteins contain a variety of



Figure 1 **Type-1 phosphatase-targeting subunits**. **a**, A schematic diagram of the PP1M signalling complex that is maintained by M110/MBS. Activation of a Rhodependent kinase results in phosphorylation of M110/MBS, resulting in an inhibition of PP1 activity. Associated enzymes and signalling proteins are indicated. **b**, Yotiao directs a phosphatase/kinase signalling complex towards its substrate, the NMDA receptor. The foreground shows a schematic diagram of the Yotiao signalling complex. Associated enzymes and signalling proteins are indicated. The background shows the cellular distribution of Yotiao (green), PKA (blue) and PP1 (red) in cultured hippocampal neurons.

NATURE CELL BIOLOGY | VOL 4 | AUGUST 2002 | http://cellbio.nature.com

review



Figure 2 **PKC-interacting proteins. a**, A schematic diagram of RACK-1-binding partners. Associated enzymes and signalling proteins are indicated. **b**, The foreground shows a schematic diagram of the mPAR3–mPAR6 signalling complex, which co-ordinates the location of atypical PKCs and Rho family GTPases. Associated enzymes and signalling proteins are indicated. The background shows the cellular distribution of mPAR3 (green) in CHP-100 neuro-epithelial cells. Nuclei are shown in blue. This image was kindly provided by D. Linn and T. Pawson (Simon Lunenfeld Research Institute Toronto, Canada).

protein phosphatase interaction sites that regulate phosphatase activity and maintain the enzyme at defined subcellular locations.

Several PP1-targeting subunits also interact with protein kinases. The proximity of both enzymes provides a mechanism to precisely regulate the phosphorylation status and activity of substrate proteins²¹. Under basal conditions, these substrates are predominantly dephosphorylated because the targeted phosphatase is active. Therefore, pulses of substrate phosphorylation occur only when the activated kinase overwhelms the phosphatase activity. For example, yotiao, an N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-type glutamate-receptorassociated protein, binds PP1 and the protein kinase A (PKA) holoenzyme²² (Fig. 1b). The tethered phosphatase is active, limiting channel activity, whereas activation of PKA overcomes the constitutive PP1 activity to enhance NMDA receptor currents²². Similarly, spinophilin/neurabin 2, another PP1-targeting subunit, may regulate striatal AMPA (α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid)-type glutamate receptors in a similar manner^{23,24}. This targeting subunit assembles a phosphatase/kinase signalling complex that includes PP1 and the p70 S6 kinase25. Although a direct association with AMPA receptors has yet to be demonstrated, spinophilin knockout mice fail to exhibit PP1-mediated down-regulation of channel activity. This suggests that spinophilin may anchor constitutively active PP1 to maintain the AMPA receptor in a dephosphorylated 'low activity' state. These examples demonstrate the utility of phosphatase-targeting subunits to assemble signalling complexes that work in a co-ordinated manner.

Protein-kinase-C-interacting proteins

Signalling pathways that emanate from G-protein-coupled receptors, growth factor receptors and tyrosine kinases often converge on the activation of protein kinase C (PKC)²⁶. This family of calcium/ phospholipid-dependent isozymes is divided into the classical, novel and atypical subtypes on the basis of sequence homology and sensitivity to activators²⁶. Although most cell types express a selection of PKC subtypes, discrete activation signals can promote the translocation of individual isoforms to distinct subcellular locations²⁷. Compartmentalization of PKC isoforms often involves an association with a variety of interacting proteins, including RACKs (receptors for activated C kinase), PICKs (proteins that interact with C kinase) or STICKs (substrates that interact with C kinase). Although each class of interacting protein binds the enzyme through a slightly different mechanism, common functions include influencing the substrate specificity of PKC and recruiting the enzyme into signalling networks.

RACKs are not substrates for the enzyme, but bind activated PKC isozymes in a selective and saturable manner^{28,29}. Although at

least ten PKC isozymes are known, only two RACKs have been identified: RACK1, which binds to PKC- β , and RACK- $2/\beta'$ -COP, which interacts with PKC-E. Several unrelated reports have implicated RACK-1 as a promiscuous scaffolding protein that could possibly bring PKC- β together with a variety of signalling molecules, including PLC- $\gamma \dot{l}$, the integrin β -subunit, p120 GAP, the cAMPspecific phosphodiesterase PDE4D5, p65 synaptotagmin and the tyrosine phosphatase PTP- μ (Fig. 2a). A β -propeller-like structure, formed by seven WD-repeat sequences, seems to be required for the interaction of RACK1 with many of its binding partners. For example, the src-homology 2 (SH2) domain of the Src tyrosine kinase recognizes a phosphotyrosine motif in the sixth WD repeat³⁰. Activation of PKC increases the amount of Src associated with RACK-1 and provides an example of crosstalk between tyrosine kinase and serine/threonine kinase signalling cascades³¹. However, the physiological relevance of the RACK-1 interaction with other putative binding partners has yet to be determined.

Unlike RACKs, STICKs associate with a variety of PKC isoforms³¹. Most STICKs, such as β -adducin, MARCKs and gravin/sseCKs/clone72, are cytoskeletal substrates for PKC that target the enzyme to the cortical cytoskeleton^{32,33}. Another protein that could be placed in this class of interacting proteins is PAR3, a scaffolding protein that interacts with atypical PKCs. Caenorhabditis elegans PAR3 and its Drosophila melanogaster homologue, Bazooka, are important in asymmetric division and the establishment of epithelial cell polarity^{34,35}. The mammalian form, mPAR3, also called ASIP, contains three PDZ domains and a region that binds to the catalytic domain of atypical PKCs³⁶. Each PDZ domain interacts with a variety of binding partners. These include the junctional adhesion protein (JAM), which recruits the complex to tight junctions in epithelial cells and mPAR6, a protein that couples to the low-molecular-weight GTPases Cdc42 or Rac37-40. Thus, the mPAR3-mPAR6 interaction generates a cellular locus for the efficient transmission of signals through Rho family GTPases and atypical PKCs (Fig. 2b). Currently, it is unclear whether these distinct signalling pathways behave synergistically or function independently in response to developmental cues.

A-kinase-anchoring proteins

Most effects of the second messenger cAMP are mediated through activation of PKA. The PKA holoenzyme is a tetramer, consisting of a regulatory (R) subunit dimer and two catalytic (C) subunits. The spatial organization of this enzyme is achieved through the interaction of the R subunits with AKAPs (A-kinase-anchoring proteins)⁴¹⁻⁴³. High-affinity interaction with the type 1 or type II PKA isozymes is mediated by an amphipathic helix on the AKAP, which inserts into a hydrophobic pocket formed by the R subunit dimer^{44,45}. Targeting determinants within the anchoring protein confers the targeting of PKA–AKAP complexes to specific organelles⁴².

Over the past decade, numerous AKAPs have been identified, and represent a functionally related group of proteins that bind to PKA. This resulted in the hypothesis that AKAPs direct PKA to certain substrates and position the kinase, where it can efficiently respond to elevations in cAMP46. Two recent studies using fluorescent imaging techniques lend support to this hypothesis. The first study involves the use of a cAMP sensor protein. A chimeric protein has been constructed that contains a consensus PKA substrate site and a phosphopeptide-binding module fused to the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) and cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) moieties. PKA-mediated phosphorylation of the sensor generates intramolecular changes that increase the rate of fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET). Introduction of an AKAP sequence enhances the rate of cAMP-induced FRET in HeLa cells, because PKA is recruited to the sensor protein⁴⁷. In a second study, intermolecular FRET was used to demonstrate that microgradients of cAMP emanate from sites of synthesis at the plasma

NATURE CELL BIOLOGY | VOL 4 | AUGUST 2002 | http://cellbio.nature.com



Figure 3 **A-kinase-anchoring proteins. a**, A schematic diagram of the CG-NAP/AKAP350 signalling complex. Associated enzymes and signalling proteins are indicated. **b**, Scar/WAVE-1 maintains an actin-associated signalling complex. The foreground shows a schematic diagram of the Scar/WAVE-1 signalling complex. Associated enzymes and signalling proteins are indicated. The background shows the cellular distribution of WAVE-1 (green) and actin (red) in Swiss 3T3 fibroblasts. Nuclei are shown in blue. **c**, mAKAP co-ordinates a cAMP signalling module. The foreground shows a schematic diagram of the mAKAP signalling complex, showing associated PKA and PDE4D3. The background shows the cellular distribution of mAKAP (green), PDE4 (red) and actin (blue) in rat neonatal cardiomyocytes.

membrane. Hormonal stimulation of cardiomyocytes induces changes in the rate and magnitude of local cAMP gradients, with concomitant effects on the activation of anchored PKA pools⁴⁸. Both studies implicate AKAPs as the physiological mediators of PKA localization.

In 1995, it was shown that AKAPs simultaneously associate with multiple signalling enzymes⁴⁹. Since then, numerous AKAP signalling complexes have been identified⁵⁰⁻⁵². For example, the centrosomal-anchoring protein CG-NAP/AKAP350 interacts with PKA, PKC- ε , the Rho-activated kinase PKN and the protein phosphatases PP1 and PP2A⁵³⁻⁵⁵. This multi-enzyme scaffold integrates a variety of second messenger signals to alter the phosphorylation status of proteins that synchronize cell division (Fig. 3a). Other AKAP signalling complexes mediate a variety of cytoskeletal events⁵⁶. Scar/WAVE-1 is a member of the Wiskott-Aldrichsyndrome family of scaffolding proteins that binds to PKA, Abl tyrosine kinase and the Arp2/3 complex, a group of seven proteins that control actin remodelling⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸. The dynamic assembly of this complex at sites of lamellapodial extension occurs in response to growth factor signals that activate Rac⁵⁷. Therefore, Scar/WAVE probably directs PKA and Abl towards cytoskeletal substrates, and probably controls cell movement and shape by ensuring efficient transmission of Rac-mediated signals to the actin remodelling machinery (Fig. 3b). However, Abl also induces formation of Factin through other mechanisms⁵⁹. Analogous signalling networks participate in the formation of actin stress fibres. AKAP-lbc, a splice variant of the Lbc oncogene, encodes a chimeric molecule that anchors PKA and functions as a Rho-selective guanine nucleotide exchange factor⁶⁰. Application of lysophosphatidic acid or selective expression of $G\alpha 12$ enhances the cellular activation of AKAP-lbc and results in the formation of actin stress fibres in fibroblasts60. Each of the examples cited above suggest that AKAP provides a molecular platform to permit the convergence or segregation of signalling pathways. In the case of CG-NAP/AKAP350, there is a convergence of second messenger signalling events, as PKA and PKC often phosphorylate the same substrate (Fig. 3a). By contrast, Scar/WAVE and AKAP-lbc assemble separate signalling pathways at the same cellular locus. The segregation of these enzyme activities permits the parallel processing of information.

A novel role for AKAPs is to anchor signal transduction enzymes with signal termination enzymes. A prototypic example is the muscle-specific anchoring protein mAKAP, which synchronizes the activities of cAMP-dependent and cAMP-metabolizing enzymes to maintain a hormonally regulated negative feedback loop⁶¹. mAKAP associates with PKA and an active cAMP-specific phosphodiesterase, PDE4D3, and targets them to the perinuclear regions of cardiomyocytes (Fig. 3c). This configuration helps to limit activation of PKA under basal conditions by hydrolysing cAMP within the immediate vicinity of the kinase⁶¹. After hormonal stimulation, the cAMP levels rise and overcome the local PDE activity, allowing for activation of the kinase. The relationship between both anchored enzymes involves several layers of regulation, as PKA-mediated phosphorylation of Ser 54 on PDE4D3 increases the rate of cAMP metabolism⁶². Recently, PDE4D3 has also been reported to associate with CG-NAP/AKAP350, suggesting that cAMP-responsive events may be regulated in a similar manner at other sites in the cell⁶³.

Conclusions

As we learn more about the proteins that target PP1, PKC or PKA, some common themes begin to emerge. Clearly, the principal function of these proteins is to influence the location and substrate specificity of the broad-spectrum enzymes that they anchor. This can be achieved in different ways. Phosphatase-targeting subunits, such as GM or M110, not only target PP1, but also function as allosteric modulators of phosphatase activity. This implies that although a localized PP1 holoenzyme may have access to a range of substrates, its specificity is constrained by an interaction with the targeting subunit. By contrast, true anchoring proteins, such as CG-NAP/AKAP350 and mAKAP, do not alter enzyme activity, but rely solely on protein proximity to direct their bound enzymes towards individual substrates. This latter model suggests that the intracellular terrain may be composed of discrete pools of anchored kinases and phosphatases that are surrounded by select substrates. If this is the case, each constellation of enzymes must be positioned to efficiently receive activation signals from other parts of the cell.

Another common property of anchoring proteins is to position signalling enzymes in microenvironments, where they can easily access effector proteins. For example, AKAP-lbc, WAVE-1 and mPAR3 anchor kinases and recruit Rho family GTPases to initiate distinct actin remodelling events mediated by Rho, Rac and Cdc42, respectively⁵⁶. Furthermore, unconventional modes of signalling to PKA may also be governed by AKAPs, as the testis-specific anchoring protein AKAP110 has been reported to interact with the heterotrimeric G protein subunit G α 13, which activates AKAP110associated PKA through a cAMP-independent mechanism⁶⁴. However, the majority of AKAPs co-ordinate signals from G-protein-coupled receptors through cAMP-dependent mechanisms. Gravin and AKAP79/150 maintain kinase complexes that respond to signals emanating from plasma membrane β2-adrenergic receptors through direct protein-protein interactions with these receptors^{65,66}. A later report suggests that another AKAP, MAP2c, participates in a larger signalling complex that includes the β2AR, adenylyl cyclase, PKA and a substrate for the kinase, the class C L-type calcium channel67. This finding not only underscores the view that receptors, effector proteins, kinases and their substrates are spatially co-ordinated, but also emphasizes that cAMP may not have to diffuse very far from its site of synthesis to activate the PKA holoenzyme. However, the question of how far the active C subunit diffuses from it site of activation remains unanswered. Two factors are important in this equation, the cellular excess of R subunit that drives holoenzyme reformation and the influence of localized phosphodiesterase activities that metabolize cAMP. Certainly, the mAKAP and AKAP350 signalling complexes may factor into this equation by clustering PKA, PDE and phosphatase activities to ensure transient activation of PKA and the bidirectional control of protein phosphorylation events. All of the above examples highlight the sophisticated degree of spatial organization achieved by these anchoring proteins and emphasize a wider role for 'signal organizing molecules' as focal points for the reception, propagation and integration of distinct cellular signals.

review

- Pawson, T. & Scott, J. D. Signaling through scaffold, anchoring, and adaptor proteins. Science 278, 2075–2080 (1997).
- 2. Hunter, T. Signaling 2000 and beyond. Cell 100, 113-127 (2000).
- Ho, Y. et al. Systematic identification of protein complexes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by mass spectrometry. Nature 415, 180–183 (2002).
- Gavin, A. C. et al. Functional organization of the yeast proteome by systematic analysis of protein complexes. Nature 415, 141–147 (2002).
- Cohen, P. & Cohen, T. W. Protein phosphatases come of age. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 21435–21438 (1989).
- Virshup, D. M. Protein phosphatase 2A: a panoply of enzymes. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 12, 180–185 (2000).
- Cohen, P. T. Novel protein serine/threonine phosphatases: variety is the spice of life. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 22, 245–251 (1997).
- Stralfors, P., Hiraga, A. & Cohen, P. The protein phosphatases involved in cellular regulation. Purification and characterisation of the glycogen-bound form of protein phosphatase-1 from rab bit skeletal muscle. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 149, 295–303 (1985).
- Hubbard, M. J., Dent, P., Smythe, C. & Cohen, P. Targeting of protein phosphatase 1 to the sarcoplasmic reticulum of rabbit skeletal muscle by a protein that is very similar or identical to the G subunit that directs the enzyme to glycogen. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 189, 243–249 (1990).
- Printen, J. A., Brady, M. J. & Saltiel, A. R. PTG, a protein phosphatase 1-binding protein with a role in glycogen metabolism. *Science* 275, 1475–1478 (1997).
- Egloff, M. P. et al. Structural basis for the recognition of regulatory subunits by the catalytic subunit of protein phosphatase 1. EMBO J. 16, 1876–1887 (1997).
- Johnson, D., Cohen, P., Chen, M. X., Chen, Y. H. & Cohen, P. T. W. Identification of the regions on the M₁₁₀ subunit of protein phosphatase 1M that interact with the M₂₁ subunit and with myosin. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 244, 931–939 (1997).
- Hartshorne, D. J. & Hirano, K. Interactions of protein phosphatase type 1, with a focus on myosin phosphatase. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 190, 79–84 (1999).
- Kimura, K. et al. Regulation of myosin phosphatase by Rho and Rho-associated kinase (Rhokinase). Science 273, 245–248 (1996).
- Surks, H. K. et al. Regulation of myosin phosphatase by a specific interaction with cGMP-dependent protein kinase Ια. Science 286, 1583–1587 (1999).
- Kawano, Y. *et al.* Phosphorylation of myosin-binding subunit (MBS) of myosin phosphatase by Rho-kinase *in vivo. J. Cell Biol.* 147, 1023–1038 (1999).
- Bollen, M. Combinatorial control of protein phosphatase-1. Trends Biochem. Sci. 26, 426–431 (2001).
- Allen, P. B., Kwon, Y. G., Nairn, A. C. & Greengard, P. Isolation and characterization of PNUTS, a putative protein phosphatase 1 nuclear targeting subunit. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 4089–4095 (1998).
- Schillace, R. V., Voltz, J. W., Sim, A. T., Shenolikar, S. & Scott, J. D. Multiple interactions within the AKAP220 signaling complex contribute to protein phosphatase 1 regulation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 12128–12134 (2001).
- Greengard, P., Allen, P. B. & Nairn, A. C. Beyond the dopamine receptor: the DARPP-32/protein phosphatase-1 cascade. *Neuron* 23, 435–447 (1999).
- Smith, F. D. & Scott, J. D. Signaling complexes: junctions on the intracellular information super highway. *Curr. Biol.* 12, R32–R40 (2002).
- Westphal, R. S. et al. Regulation of NMDA receptors by an associated phosphatase-kinase signaling complex. Science 285, 93–96 (1999).
- Feng, J. et al. Spinophilin regulates the formation and function of dendritic spines. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 97, 9287–9292 (2000).
- MacMillan, L. B. et al. Brain actin-associated protein phosphatase 1 holoenzymes containing spinophilin, neurabin, and selected catalytic subunit isoforms. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 35845–35854 (1999).
- Burnett, P. E. et al. Neurabin is a synaptic protein linking p70 S6 kinase and the neuronal cytoskeleton. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 95, 8351–8356 (1998).
- Newton, A. C. Protein kinase C: structural and spatial regulation by phosphorylation, cofactors, and macromolecular interactions. *Chem. Rev.* 101, 2353–2364 (2001).
- Goodnight, J., Mischak, H., Kolch, W. & Mushinski, J. Immunocytochemical localization of eight protein kinase C isozymes overexpressed in NIH 3T3 fibroblasts. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 9991–10001 (1995).
- Schechtman, D. & Mochly-Rosen, D. Adaptor proteins in protein kinase C-mediated signal transduction. Oncogene 20, 6339–6347 (2001).
- Mochly-Rosen, D., Khaner, H. & Lopez, J. Identification of intracellular receptor proteins for activated protein kinase C. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 88, 3997–4000 (1991).
- Chang, B. Y., Conroy, K. B., Machleder, E. M. & Cartwright, C. A. RACK1, a receptor for activated C kinase and a homolog of the beta subunit of G proteins, inhibits activity of src tyrosine kinases and growth of NIH 3T3 cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 3245–3256 (1998).
- Chang, B. Y., Chiang, M. & Cartwright, C. A. The interaction of Src and RACK1 is enhanced by activation of protein kinase C and tyrosine phosphorylation of RACK1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 20346–20356 (2001).
- Chapline, C. et al. Identification of a major protein kinase C-binding protein and substrate in rat embryo fibroblasts. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 6417–6422 (1996).
- Chapline, C., Ramsay, K., Klauck, T. & Jaken, S. Interaction cloning of PKC substrates. J. Biol. Chem. 268, 6858–6861 (1993).
- Kemphues, K. J., Priess, J. R., Morton, D. G. & Cheng, N. S. Identification of genes required for cytoplasmic localization in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Cell* 52, 311–320 (1988).
- Kuchinke, U., Grawe, F. & Knust, E. Control of spindle orientation in *Drosophila* by the Par-3-related PDZ-domain protein Bazooka. *Curr. Biol.* 8, 1357–1365 (1998).

- Izumi, Y. et al. An atypical PKC directly associates and colocalizes at the epithelial tight junction with ASIP, a mammalian homologue of *Caenorhabditis elegans* polarity protein PAR-3. J. Cell Biol. 143, 95–106 (1998).
- Qiu, R. G., Abo, A. & Steven Martin, G. A human homolog of the *C. elegans* polarity determinant Par-6 links Rac and Cdc42 to PKCζ signaling and cell transformation. *Curr. Biol.* 10, 697–707 (2000).
- Joberty, G., Petersen, C., Gao, L. & Macara, I. G. The cell-polarity protein Par6 links Par3 and atypical protein kinase C to Cdc42. *Nature Cell Biol.* 2, 531–539 (2000).
- Lin, D. et al. A mammalian PAR-3–PAR-6 complex implicated in Cdc42/Rac1 and aPKC signalling and cell polarity. Nature Cell Biol. 2, 540–547 (2000).
- Itoh, M. *et al.* Junctional adhesion molecule (JAM) binds to PAR-3: a possible mechanism for the recruitment of PAR-3 to tight junctions. *J. Cell Biol.* 154, 491–497 (2001).
- Rubin, C. S. A-kinase anchor proteins and the intracellular targeting of signals carried by cAMP. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1224, 467–479 (1994).
- Colledge, M. & Scott, J. D. AKAPs: from structure to function. *Trends Cell Biol.* 9, 216–221 (1999).
 Feliciello, A., Gottesman, M. E. & Avvedimento, E. V. The biological functions of A-kinase anchor proteins. *J. Mol. Biol.* 308, 99–114 (2001).
- Carr, D. W. *et al.* Interaction of the regulatory subunit (RII) of cAMP-dependent protein kinase with RII-anchoring proteins occurs through an amphipathic helix binding motif. *J. Biol. Chem.* 266, 14188–14192 (1991).
- Newlon, M. G. et al. A novel mechanism of PKA anchoring revealed by solution structures of anchoring complexes. EMBO J. 20, 1651–1662 (2001).
- Faux, M. C. & Scott, J. D. More on target with protein phosphorylation: conferring specificity by location. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 21, 312–315 (1996).
- Zhang, J., Ma, Y., Taylor, S. S. & Tsien, R. Y. Genetically encoded reporters of protein kinase A activity reveal impact of substrate tethering. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 14997–15002 (2001).
- Zaccolo, M. & Pozzan, T. Discrete microdomains with high concentration of cAMP in stimulated rat neonatal cardiac myocytes. *Science* 295, 1711–1715 (2002).
- Coghlan, V. M. et al. Association of protein kinase A and protein phosphatase 2B with a common anchoring protein. Science 267, 108–112 (1995).
- Nauert, J. B., Klauck, T. M., Langeberg, L. K. & Scott, J. D. Gravin, an autoantigen recognized by serum from myasthenia gravis patients, is a kinase scaffold protein. *Curr. Biol.* 7, 52–62 (1997).
- Schillace, R. V. & Scott, J. D. Association of the type 1 protein phosphatase PP1 with the A-kinase anchoring protein AKAP220. *Curr. Biol.* 9, 321–324 (1999).
- Steen, R. L., Martins, S. B., Tasken, K. & Collas, P. Recruitment of protein phosphatase 1 to the nuclear envelope by A-kinase anchoring protein AKAP149 is a prerequisite for nuclear lamina assembly. J. Cell Biol. 150, 1251–1262 (2000).
- Takahashi, M. et al. Characterization of a novel giant scaffolding protein, CG-NAP, that anchors multiple signaling enzymes to centrosome and the Golgi apparatus. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 17267–17274 (1999).
- Takahashi, M., Mukai, H., Oishi, K., Isagawa, T. & Ono, Y. Association of immature hypo-phosphorylated protein kinase Cε with an anchoring protein CG-NAP. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 36585–36591 (2000).
- Witczak, O. et al. Cloning and characterization of a cDNA encoding an A-kinase anchoring protein located in the centrosome, AKAP450. EMBO J. 18, 1858–1868 (1999).
- Diviani, D. & Scott, J. D. AKAP signaling complexes at the cytoskeleton. J. Cell Sci. 114, 1431–1437 (2001).
- Westphal, R. S., Soderling, S. H., Alto, N. M., Langeberg, L. K. & Scott, J. D. Scar/WAVE-1, a Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein, assembles an actin-associated multi-kinase scaffold. *EMBO J.* 19, 4589–4600 (2000).
- Machesky, L. M. & Insall, R. H. Scar1 and the related Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein, WASP, regulate the actin cytoskeleton through the Arp2/3 complex. *Curr. Biol.* 8, 1347–1356 (1998).
- Woodring, P. J. et al. Modulation of the F-actin cytoskeleton by c-Abl tyrosine kinase in cell spreading and neurite extension. J. Cell Biol. 156, 879–892 (2002).
- 60. Diviani, D., Soderling, J. & Scott, J. D. AKAP-Lbc anchors protein kinase A and nucleates Gα12selective Rho-mediated stress fiber formation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 44247–44257 (2001).
- Dodge, K. L. et al. mAKAP assembles a protein kinase A/PDE4 phosphodiesterase cAMP signaling module. EMBO J. 20, 1921–1930 (2001).
- Oki, N., Takahashi, S. I., Hidaka, H. & Conti, M. Short term feedback regulation of cAMP in FRTL-5 thyroid cells. Role of PDE4D3 phosphodiesterase activation. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 10831–10837 (2000).
- Tasken, K. A. et al. Phosphodiesterase 4D and protein kinase A type II constitute a signaling unit in the centrosomal area. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 21999–22002 (2001).
- 64. Niu, J. et al. Interaction of heterotrimeric G13 protein with an A-kinase-anchoring protein 110 (AKAP110) mediates cAMP-independent PKA activation. Curr. Biol. 11, 1686–1690 (2001).
- 65. Shih, M., Lin, F., Scott, J. D., Wang, H. Y. & Malbon, C. C. Dynamic complexes of β2-adrenergic receptors with protein kinases and phosphatases and the role of gravin. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 1588–1595 (1999).
- 66. Fraser, I. et al. Assembly of an AKAP/β2-adrenergic receptor signaling complex facilitates receptor phosphorylation and signaling. Curr. Biol. 10, 409–412 (2000).
- 67. Davare, M. A. et al. A β 2 adrenergic receptor signaling complex assembled with the Ca²⁺ channel Cav1.2. Science 293, 98–101 (2001).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank T. Hunter, T. Pawson and members of the Scott lab for critical evaluation of this article, and D. Lin and T. Pawson for kindly providing the image used as the background to Fig. 2b. J.D.S. is supported in part by the National Institutes of Health, grant GM48231. Correspondence should be addressed to J.D.S.

NATURE CELL BIOLOGY |VOL 4 | AUGUST 2002 |http://cellbio.nature.com