### TA Graph Report for: Andrew Kosenko

1. **TA's ability to raise challenging questions**
   - Poor: 0 (0%)
   - Fair: 0 (0%)
   - Good: 3 (16%)
   - Very good: 3 (16%)
   - Excellent: 7 (37%)
   - N/A: 6 (32%)
   - Median: 5
   - Interpolated Median: 4.57
   - Mean: 4.31
   - Std Dev: 0.85

2. **TA's ability to help clarify course material**
   - Poor: 0 (0%)
   - Fair: 1 (5%)
   - Good: 0 (0%)
   - Very good: 5 (26%)
   - Excellent: 11 (58%)
   - N/A: 2 (11%)
   - Median: 5
   - Interpolated Median: 4.73
   - Mean: 4.53
   - Std Dev: 0.80

3. **TA's ability to encourage student participation effectively**
   - Poor: 0 (0%)
   - Fair: 0 (0%)
   - Good: 2 (11%)
   - Very good: 5 (26%)
   - Excellent: 7 (37%)
   - N/A: 5 (26%)
   - Median: 4.5
   - Interpolated Median: 4.50
   - Mean: 4.36
   - Std Dev: 0.74

4. **TA's responsiveness to student questions, opinions and criticisms**
   - Poor: 0 (0%)
   - Fair: 1 (5%)
   - Good: 1 (5%)
   - Very good: 6 (32%)
   - Excellent: 9 (47%)
   - N/A: 2 (11%)
   - Median: 5
   - Interpolated Median: 4.56
   - Mean: 4.35
   - Std Dev: 0.86

5. **TA's feedback on assignments and examinations**
   - Poor: 1 (5%)
   - Fair: 0 (0%)
   - Good: 1 (5%)
   - Very good: 6 (32%)
   - Excellent: 10 (53%)
   - N/A: 1 (5%)
   - Median: 5
   - Interpolated Median: 4.60
   - Mean: 4.33
   - Std Dev: 1.03

6. **TA's ability to communicate effectively with students**
   - Poor: 0 (0%)
   - Fair: 1 (5%)
   - Good: 3 (16%)
   - Very good: 4 (21%)
   - Excellent: 10 (53%)
   - N/A: 1 (5%)
   - Median: 5
   - Interpolated Median: 4.60
   - Mean: 4.22
   - Std Dev: 1.11

7. **Overall effectiveness of the TA**
   - Poor: 0 (0%)
   - Fair: 1 (5%)
   - Good: 3 (16%)
   - Very good: 5 (26%)
   - Excellent: 9 (47%)
   - N/A: 1 (5%)
   - Median: 5
   - Interpolated Median: 4.50
   - Mean: 4.22
   - Std Dev: 0.94
### TA Concise Report for: Andrew Kosenko

#### TA Effectiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th># of Responses</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>StDev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 TA's ability to raise challenging questions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 TA's ability to help clarify course material</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 TA's ability to encourage student participation effectively</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 TA's responsiveness to student questions, opinions and criticisms</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 TA's feedback on assignments and examinations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 TA's ability to communicate effectively with students</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Overall effectiveness of the TA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scores Calculated:**

- 5  4.56
- 4.73  1.02
**Title:** A and S DEFAULT Course Evaluation Instructor/TA Form  
**Course:** ECONW4700_001_2014_3 / FINANCIAL CRISES  

**Dates:** 12/01/2014 - 12/10/2014  
**Responses:** 23/46 - 50.00%  
**Enrollment of Registered Students:** 46  
**Enrollment of All Students:** 46

**TA Comments Report for: Andrew Kosenko**

### Q1 Comments on TA effectiveness

- Could not do the homework assignments without his help and clarification! overall very helpful.

- TA was available any time and very clear in his explanations

- Office hours: single students ask questions while the rest needs to wait for the questions to be answered --> not effective. Solution: either do a weekly tutorial in a CLASSROOM so that all students can join. TA should then review course material, especially in terms of the given problem sets. Or: INDIVIDUAL appointments. This would allow a student to get answers to his/her questions. No need to wait for other students who have different questions.

- He needs to be more confident in this answers. There was a point in the class where he was reviewing a problem set and wrote the answer correctly, but then changed it to the wrong answer because of argument from a student. Just know your stuff and be more confident speaking.

- TA comments on psets were minimal. Grading varied widely across the two TAs.

- Very capable of helping with course contents and homework

- Pleasure working with Andrew. Friendly and knowledgable. Fair grader.

- Good TA