

Scacco, Alex

Had a good understanding of subject matter	4.92
Gave clear, well-structured presentations	4.92
Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well	4.45
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly	5.00
Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving	4.83
Answered questions well	5.00
Encouraged participation	4.92
Was receptive to answering questions outside class	5.00
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter	4.83
Was effective overall	5.00

Number of responses: 12

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) _____ Instructor (B) Alex Scacco

- 11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
- 13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
- 14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
- 15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
- 16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
- 17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
- 18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
- 19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

NA

INSTRUCTOR A

1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

INSTRUCTOR B

1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)

*She was easy to reach and call to about questions.
Also student friendly. Great!*

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

None

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

*The professors should give lecture one or twice a semester
to give more coherence to the topic of IR*

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

*Yes, because of the readings and openness to discuss
current issues*

What did you gain from this course?

*a better understanding of IR and knowledge of current
and past theories of IR*

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) _____

Instructor (B) _____

SB Alex Saucedo

NA

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

INSTRUCTOR A

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|----|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

LO

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

HI

INSTRUCTOR B

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|----|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

LO

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

HI

- 11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
- 13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
- 14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
- 15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
- 16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
- 17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
- 18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
- 19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)

Alex was great. She is not overly strict which is essential in a class to this much reading. She made its focus on what was important in the class to get the most out of it. Alex is always open to being contacted and is really helpful when you visit.

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

none

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Weakness: too much reading
~~choices~~ for some weeks the readings were awfully boring & didn't seem to fit in to the course.
Strengths - our TF was great

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Soph concentrators have to take it so that is irrelevant

What did you gain from this course?

I know a lot more about the major players in IR,

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) _____ Instructor (B) Alex Sance

- 11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
- 13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
- 14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
- 15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
- 16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
- 17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
- 18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
- 19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

NA

INSTRUCTOR A

1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10

LO HI

1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

INSTRUCTOR B

1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10

LO HI

1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)

best T.F i have had thus far - really, clearly explained difficult concepts - generated so much enthusiasm for the material - really inspired me

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

ability to think critically - ~~not~~ make connections

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

- interesting concepts - structure of course was terrible - grouping things into units - more harmful than helpful, too much material to cover in too little time - w/o chance to get a whole understanding of the themes

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

i guess i would recommend knowing these authors & theories -> but the course needs to present them better -

What did you gain from this course?

an understanding of international relations theory

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) _____ Instructor (B) Alex Scacco

- 11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
- 13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
- 14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
- 15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
- 16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
- 17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
- 18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
- 19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

NA

INSTRUCTOR A
 1 2 3 4 5
 6 7 8 9 10
 LO HI
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5

INSTRUCTOR B
 1 2 3 4 5
 6 7 8 9 10
 LO HI
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5
 1 2 3 4 5

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)

Alex was the best I've had while at Harvard. She made us feel comfortable about talking critically about difficult subjects and never talked down to us. She facilitated my greater interest in the class and made me decide to stay in the Govt. dept.

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

No.

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Elimination of wifs!

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes. The juxtaposition of history, IR and comparative politics was really useful.

What did you gain from this course?

Basic IR knowledge and an appreciation for Alex Scacco - give her tenure now!!

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) _____ Instructor (B) Alex Scucco

11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

NA

INSTRUCTOR A
1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

INSTRUCTOR B
1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)

Alex was very knowledgeable about the subject matter but more importantly seemed genuinely intrigued by it - this made all the topics more engaging

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Some knowledge of modern world history helped, as did IR theory.

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Occasional lectures would have given us a different perspective on the issues we discussed. I would have the lectures after we discuss a particular topic, to ~~assist~~ allow ~~the~~ students to form their own opinions first.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes. Although it doesn't delve too deeply into any one topic, it is a good sampler of ^{modern} political theory.

What did you gain from this course?

A notion of what are the key issues in IR and political science in general - reading of many classic works - linkages between issues. Happiness ☺

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) _____ Instructor (B) Alex Scacco

- 11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
- 13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
- 14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
- 15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
- 16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
- 17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
- 18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
- 19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

NA

INSTRUCTOR A
1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

INSTRUCTOR B
1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)

Alex was amazing! She clearly cared about students, was receptive to our concerns, interested in our opinions, and she was a thoughtful & friendly person to work with. She is a great instructor. She deserves very high praise.

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

None really.

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

There's a lot of reading. The units were annoying to try to shape the papers.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes-if you get a TF like Alex- however, it's a requirement for concentrators.

What did you gain from this course?

Better understanding of IRE Comp Politics- ideas, I'm glad we didn't focus on definitions, but talked about ideas ~~rather~~ applications instead.

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) Alex Scacco Instructor (B) 

- 11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
- 13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
- 14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
- 15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
- 16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
- 17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
- 18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
- 19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

NA

INSTRUCTOR A
1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

INSTRUCTOR B
1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)
Alex was awesome! Her energy helped move the course along.

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?
None

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?
1 fewer paper and abit less reading

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?
Yes - with some modifications

What did you gain from this course?

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) Alex Scarco Instructor (B) _____

- 11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
- 13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
- 14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
- 15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
- 16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
- 17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
- 18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
- 19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

NA

INSTRUCTOR A

1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10

LO

1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●

HI

INSTRUCTOR B

1 2 3 4 5
6 7 ● 9 10

LO

1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●

HI

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)

Alex was awesome.

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Reading + writing.

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Too many papers.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes.

What did you gain from this course?

Knowledge about international relations.

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) Alex Sudo Instructor (B) _____

- 11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
- 13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
- 14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
- 15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
- 16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
- 17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
- 18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
- 19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

NA

INSTRUCTOR A

① ② ③ ④ ⑤
⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩

LO

① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ● ⑤
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●

HI

① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ● ⑤
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●

INSTRUCTOR B

① ② ③ ④ ⑤
⑥ ⑦ ● ⑧ ⑩

LO

① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ● ⑤
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●

HI

① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ● ⑤
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●
① ② ③ ④ ●

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)

ALEX WAS GREAT!

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

None.

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

COURSE READINGS could be made more palatable.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

IT'S MANDATORY.

What did you gain from this course?

Lots of IR knowledge

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) A. Scacco Instructor (B) _____

- 11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
- 13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
- 14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
- 15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
- 16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
- 17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
- 18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
- 19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

NA

INSTRUCTOR A
1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●

INSTRUCTOR B
1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●
1 2 3 4 ●

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)

Very cool, very cool. → Knew her stuff.

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

None

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Definitely!

What did you gain from this course?

I am a better writer & thinker. I can talk
gor w/ the best of them now.

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) Alex Scacco Instructor (B) _____

NA

INSTRUCTOR A

1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

INSTRUCTOR B

1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

- 11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
- 13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
- 14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
- 15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
- 16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
- 17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
- 18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
- 19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
- 20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)

very enthusiastic, organized

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Needs to be more related books, less reading

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Mandatory

What did you gain from this course?

INSTRUCTORS: See blackboard for names and numbers

Instructor (A) Alex SULLO Instructor (B) _____

11. Had a good understanding of subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
12. Gave clear, well-structured presentations (5 = strongly agree)
13. Used blackboards, visual aids, handouts and problem set keys well (5 = strongly agree)
14. Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (5 = strongly agree)
15. Was able to guide discussions and keep them moving (5 = strongly agree)
16. Answered questions well (5 = strongly agree)
17. Encouraged participation (5 = strongly agree)
18. Was receptive to answering questions outside class (5 = strongly agree)
19. Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (5 = strongly agree)
20. Was effective overall (5 = strongly agree)

NA

INSTRUCTOR A
1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

INSTRUCTOR B
1 2 3 4 5
6 7 8 9 10
LO HI
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5

Comment on the INSTRUCTOR(S)

Alex was a great, enthusiastic instructor.
One of the best I have had.

What preparation or background is necessary to take this course?

None

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

Course Prek is needed.

Would you recommend this course? Why or why not?

Yes it was great

What did you gain from this course?

A lot