

Bruce Robbins
Draft

Commodity Histories

One striking characteristic of commodity histories, a suddenly ubiquitous genre of popular non-fiction, is a certain overkill in their subtitles. A representative sample might include, say, Corn and Capitalism: How a Botanical Bastard Grew to Global Dominance; Tobacco: A Cultural History of How an Exotic Plant Seduced Civilization; The Potato: How the Humble Spud Rescued the Western World; The World of Caffeine: The Science and Culture of the World's Most Popular Drug; Cod: A Biography of the Fish that Changed the World; and Mauve: How One Man Invented a Color That Changed the World. Only slightly less over-the-top than the “changed the world” clause, which also appears in recent histories of vanilla, house cats, ping pong balls, dishwashing liquid, and pocket lint, is the vogue for two-word titles in which an adjective, usually a commodity-identifying color, is paired with the most coveted of precious metals. Some examples are Blue Gold (water), White Gold (rubber), Black Gold (oil), and Green Gold (tea and marijuana).¹ Such titles suggest that all of these commodities, even the humblest, have the power to get continents discovered, dynasties toppled, mountains moved. We take some of these commodities for granted, but all of them have changed the world.

Changing the world in the strong sense that Marx contrasted with merely interpreting it – changing the configuration of class and global power – is arguably more demanding than the invention of a new flavor or cleansing fluid, impressive as those accomplishments are. The overreaching in these titles might be thought of, therefore, as a humorous re-enactment of what Marx called the fetishism of the commodity: ascribing to economically-valued objects powers they do not in fact possess. But state-of-the-art academic opinion these days displays some

¹ A diabolical sub-motif is also worth noting: Stewart Lee Allen's The Devil's Cup: Coffee, the Driving Force in History and Leo Drollas and Jon Greenman, Oil: The Devil's Gold.

uncertainty as to what it means to fetishize a commodity, or for that matter to de-fetishize one.² Commodity fetishism, Thomas Richards writes, “is only an analogy, and Marx does not mean to imply that people in market societies venerate objects in the same way that people once worshipped things as deities” (129). The anthropologist Marshall Sahlins, writing of “that god Tea to whom the British were prepared to sacrifice ‘everything else,’” recognizes no difference between the two styles of object-worship, though his facetiousness—an interesting stylistic parallel to that of the popular histories—makes it hard to be sure.³ Some functional equivalent of the sacred seems ineradicable from the topic, but how far should this sense of the sacred be allowed to extend? Not all commodities become narratable simply because – as in the case of water– we are shocked that a substance which should be sacrosanct is being treated as a

² I use here a restricted definition: neither objects in themselves (as in the work of Bill Brown) nor *anything* that is bought and sold (including, say, currencies and futures options) but only physical substances that are bought and sold. On commodities and fetishism, see Pietz/Apter, Frow, Appadurai. Althusser’s disbelief in commodity fetishism.

³ Sahlins is arguing against a functionalist interpretation of the European demand for tea, whose “rituals were touted in the eighteenth century as domesticating and its virtues as non-intoxicating, properties that contrasted with its more masculine rivals for popular consumption: beer, ale and gin. One readily grasps the function of tea as delivering a docile and effective working class into the maws of the developing capitalism. But if the spread of the tea habit were to be studied seriously,” Sahlins says drily, one must attend to the native cosmology of the Europeans. The European desire for tea is just as much a matter of religion and ritual as the Hawaiian desire for European manufactures. Fetishism in the strong, original sense-- the worship of an object as a deity– is just as characteristic of the West as of the non-West. It’s turtles of religion all the way down. Hence the project of de-fetishization no longer makes any sense– unless by his example Sahlins is exemplifying a revised, self-limiting version of it.

commodity at all.⁴ Richards's point is that commodities "have derived their power from other sources" (129). He doesn't say how much power commodities actually possess if considered from a hypothetically secular perspective, or which "other sources" they derive it from. Looking through a commodity to the human relations behind it, what exactly does one see? Capitalism? Class? Culture? The state? After all, what *is* the right way to describe a commodity?

These remain live questions. My aim here will be to apply them both to popular and to academic writing about commodities, which has flourished alongside these popular narratives and, though often very different, sometimes overlaps intriguingly with them.

The popular histories are of course themselves commodities. Their flagrant after-the-colon excesses, which loudly advertise both the commodity and the book about the commodity, might be considered a sly acknowledgment that their fate depends on their ability to compete in the market. For this reason and others, one would not expect them to be strongly critical of the market.⁵ At least in the humanities, academic texts (perhaps because they are sold on a protected niche market) tend on the contrary to assume a critical stance toward commodities. Sidney Mintz, the anthropologist ancestor of commodity history, demonstrated in his Sweetness and Power: The Place of Sugar in Modern History (1985) how the British working-class habit of drinking sweetened tea was linked to the institution of slavery in the Caribbean, where the sugar

⁴ Maude Barlow and Tony Clarke's Blue Gold: The Fight to Stop the Corporate Theft of the World's Water begins in 2000, when it was decided by the World Bank and large corporations meeting at the Hague that water would be "treated like any other tradable good" (xiii). The book proposes "that the Earth's fresh water belongs to the Earth and all species, and therefore must not be treated as a private commodity" (xvii). See also Shiva.

⁵ On the issue of incompleteness: in his book Oranges, which probably had some influence on popular commodity writing, John McPhee mentions finding, in the library of the University of Florida's Citrus Experiment Station, 6000 books devoted to citrus.

was produced, without either the Caribbean slaves or the British workers showing any awareness of the links between them.⁶ His academic successors have rarely been able to achieve the same balance of sympathy between two such distant collectivities, but they have repeatedly forced consumers to pay attention to producers. Steven Topik, writing about coffee, strikes the characteristic note: “strong backs and sweat in poor countries have produced it for the delicate china and refined palates of rich countries” (which, 37). Piya Chatterjee’s A Time for Tea begins with a scene in which the author shows pictures of women gathering tea, taken from the covers of tea packets sold in the US, to two Indian women who actually do the tea picking, and who giggle derisively at how the sorry state of their hands, for example, doesn’t make it into the idealized exotic image.

Popular versions of commodity narrative, on the other hand, tend to leave out anything that might make the consumer feel guilty. If they refer to the producers at all, they, like the tea packets, carefully omit any suggestion of work-related suffering or deformity.⁷ Indeed, much of

⁶ Compare the modesty of his subtitle: “The *Place* of Sugar in Modern History.” Other influential early texts include Schivelbusch and Braudel, both of them exceptional in their popular as well as academic appeal.

⁷ The “Anatomy of an Industry” series put out by the New Press, a trade publisher, would seem to be an exception here. The Coffee Book: Anatomy of an Industry from Crop to Last Drop, by Gregory Dicum and Nina Luttinger offers a final chapter called “Conscious Coffee” that again brings the scene of production into the spotlight: “The experience of enjoying a leisurely cup of fragrant java over a Sunday morning newspaper seems to belong in a different universe from the experience of picking your ten-thousandth red coffee cherry, throwing it into a heavy sack with the rest as the tropical sun beats down on your back and you wheeze with pesticide-scarred lungs. Yet, they’re part of the same product” (165). Note how the “you” here shifts from the consumer to the producer. See also Parker-Pope. Mark Kurlansky’s Cod is another exception.

what the popular narratives say would not be out of place as an advertising supplement attached to the commodity's packaging. Many titles, like Michael J. Vickers's Ivory: A History and Collector's Guide, are clearly intended to enhance the connoisseur's pleasure in expert acquisition.⁸ Among the reasons for the popularity of these books must be counted the extra gusto an exotic place of origin and a pre-modern pedigree, if possible sacred, can confer on an item of consumption. It is not unpleasant for a European smoker, however distant from religious observance, to be told that Mayans considered tobacco "to be not only a form of pleasure, but also a ritual of immense significance" (11). In the same effort to embellish the everyday, The World of Caffeine notes: "With every cup of coffee you drink, you partake of one of the great mysteries of cultural history" (3). In books about foods, which make up a substantial proportion of the genre, the inclusion of recipes offers the reader a satisfying and unthreatening outlet. The information typically delivered about these commodities would not be inappropriate if offered to someone taking up a job or thinking of investing in the relevant business.

These books do not merely take the existence of global capitalism for granted. They also tend to make a forceful argument on capitalism's behalf. One central story line might be described as commodity democratization. You begin with a commodity like chocolate or coffee or tobacco which, when first imported into Europe, was restricted to courtly or aristocratic circles either by its price and scarcity or because it was blocked in its circulation by other antiquated and elitist vestiges of traditional society. Then you tell the story of how this protagonist, usually an underdog though also touched with a mysterious hint of natural distinction, managed to spread across the social spectrum, dropping dramatically in price as taxes and prohibitions were lifted and becoming triumphantly accessible to the eager masses. In a recent history of chocolate, for example, the trajectory leads from the first chapter title, "The Tree of the Food of the Gods," to the last chapter title, "Chocolate for the Masses."⁹ The villains of this narrative, numerous and

⁸ See also Saffron on caviar.

⁹ On chocolate, see also Clarence-Smith 2000 and Szogyi.

colorful though also bumbling and ineffectual, are the kings, priests, moralists, and would-be experts who declaimed quaintly against the new products, whether in the name of loyalty to national tradition (beer or wine against coffee or tea) or fiscal greed or perhaps warning of dire effects on public health and apocalyptic scenarios of moral chaos to follow. But with rare national exceptions, these enemies of the consumer are always vanquished. The commodity always arrives at its proper, mass destination.

This is capitalist propaganda of a very effective kind. What a wondrous system this is, you are told, that has brought to your doorstep or breakfast table all these things you never would have known existed, yet things without which you would not, you suddenly realize, be yourself. With so much resistance to overcome from superstitious, self-interested, and intrusive authorities, you must be grateful that the commodity, or the system for which it stands, had an intrinsic power to overcome all obstacles of distance and dogma.

The vanquishing of resistance to the commodity, which is the essential event in most of these narratives (even oil had to overcome entrenched loyalty to coal), is made comprehensible by the channeling of the system's enormous scope and power into a personification. In effect, each commodity takes its turn as the star of capitalism's story. One chapter title speaks for many: "Chocolate Conquers Europe."¹⁰ Over and over, Europe is invaded, penetrated, conquered, rescued, seduced, and dominated. Actual scenes of seduction, a "first time" from which there is no going back, repeatedly accompany the birth of European desire, that is, the birth of demand. However jokingly, the authors attribute to their chosen commodities an

¹⁰ One thing to note here is the element of comic reversal. The actual vectors of power linking the places these products come from and the places where they are consumed is exactly the opposite of the "chocolate conquers Europe" model. The joke seems to get its force in part from this man-bites-dog quality: in other words, from making possible a sudden and not unpleasant forgetting, or a combination of forgetting and remembering, that the shoe of global dominance is actually on the other foot.

exaggerated, mysterious, almost god-like power. In this sense the commodity histories invite comparison with the “great man” stories of corporate founders like John D. Rockefeller and Minor Keith.¹¹ In the rites of capitalist self-celebration, individual commodities have certainly not replaced great individuals.¹² But they now seem to be doing some of the same narrative work, and indeed have taken over some of the impetuous, all-conquering character type once attributed to tycoons and discoverers.¹³ The advantage is clear. It’s harder to blame a commodity.

Rather than presenting the commodity as a self-sufficient protagonist, academic narratives largely focus on the concealed social relations behind it. Sidney Mintz writes that the creation of “a radically new diet cannot possibly be explained by reference to . . . some single, narrowly defined ‘cause’” (178-79).¹⁴ Capitalism, the cause to which he quietly attributes both

¹¹ Examples include Yergin and Wagner.

¹² A hybrid of the two forms is Simon Garfield’s Mauve: How One Man Invented a Color That Changed the World. The history of the color mauve in fact (almost) belongs to a much more interesting variant, centered neither in the commodity nor in the great man or men but in [one way in which these stories are *not* told] in terms of chains of commodities. Gas light in nineteenth-century London produced huge quantities of coal tar, which was seen as poisonous waste until chemists like William Perkin began to find uses for it: waterproofing for the first raincoat, then dyes like mauve—which fed back into the rapidly growing textile industry. The textile industry gives rise to cottonseed oil, in which the English will eventually decide to deep-fry sliced potatoes to accompany fried fish. And so on.

¹³ There is perhaps an occult fit between capitalism in its current stage, whatever that might be, and its embodiment in a series of unimposing, apparently frivolous commodities whose biographers frequently feel impelled to defend the enterprise of writing about them at all.

¹⁴ The new commodity history in the academy tends to locate agency neither in

slavery and the new working-class diet, can scarcely be defined as either single or narrow.¹⁵

And yet this antithesis between academic and popular versions doesn't quite work. For one thing, re-attaching the lives of the producers to the commodity they produce is by no means a guarantee that the commodity will be either criticized or shorn of its power. The newest scholarship on coffee, as in Clarence-Smith and Topik, emphasizes "the extent of the freedom of action enjoyed by local producers" (4) and in many cases the surprisingly positive value for women and children of participating in the process. "Smallholder agency has thus become the

commodities nor great men, nor for that matter in systems like capitalism or imperialism, but in an unpredictable multiplicity of factors. A good example is Striffler and Moberg, Banana Wars. The book argues that even the most powerful and infamous representatives of foreign capital, like United Fruit Company, could rarely simply dictate what went on. Among the factors cited as putting United Fruit on the defensive are plant pathogens, feisty labor unions, resistant peasants, opportunistic local politicians, anxious Wall Street investors, and North American antitrust courts (Allen Wells, "Conclusions: Dialectical Bananas," 319). Much the same multi-factor emphasis can be found in Ahluwalia, et al and Clarence-Smith and Topik.

¹⁵ Mintz writes: "The substances transformed by British capitalism from upper-class luxuries into working-class necessities are of a certain type. Like alcohol or tobacco, they provide respite from reality, and deaden hunger pangs. Like coffee or chocolate or tea, they provide stimulus to greater effort without providing nutrition. Like sugar they provide calories, while increasing the attractiveness of these other substances when combined with them. There was no conspiracy at work to wreck the nutrition of the British working class, to turn them into addicts, or to ruin their teeth. But the ever-rising consumption of sugar was an artifact of intraclass struggles for profit— struggles that eventuated in a world-market solution for drug foods, as industrial capitalism cut its protectionist losses and expanded a mass market to satisfy proletarian consumers once regarded as sinful or indolent" (186).

new watchword, contrasting with earlier visions of backwardness, repression, and victimization” (15). “Coffee was not an all-powerful master that demanded that its subjects follow a specific life-style and mindset” (385). Yet coffee remains a powerful metaphor for a set of social relations, and the authors remain a bit in awe of it: “The cup of coffee you sip at the breakfast table, desk, or café comes from far away . . . For five hundred years coffee has been grown in tropical countries for consumption in temperate regions, linking peoples of different lands and continents by trade, investment, immigration, conquest, and cultural and religious diffusion. There is a world of history in your cup” (1). You can understand why a disclaimer appears, a page later, against any intention of “cash[ing] in on the Starbucks Revolution.” The familiar-sounding line about the world of history in your cup would make passable Starbucks advertising copy. It all depends on what’s *in* that cup: what particular history is understood to fill it.

Richard Cobbett, touring Ireland in the early nineteenth century, blamed the poverty and misery he saw on the potato. In another state-of-the-art expression of commodity consciousness in the academy, Catherine Gallagher and Stephen Greenblatt see the materialist who makes such arguments as worshipping his own deity. “Just as the Host, by *being* rather than *meaning* the literal presence of Christ’s body and blood, is placed beyond artistic representation, the potato conducts us to the nineteenth-century materialists’ beyond, where the Real is the physical ground of our existence, as harsh and unremitting in its determinism as it is generally indifferent to constructions of its import” (112-113). But if the potato can be considered a fetish for the materialists, one might say that culture itself can be a fetish for the academics. “When English people refused to eat potato stews because they were ‘swill’—not human food— . . . it seems extremely likely that they were increasing their hunger. But it is also possible that they remained hungry, as they claimed they did, even when they grudgingly ate such alternatives to bread” (125). If culture tells us we are still hungry after a meal of potatoes, then perhaps, according to academic common sense, we will still *be* hungry. Culture, like religion, can work miracles.

The idea that the edifice of globalization might be built on nothing firmer than subjectivity gets a chillier reception from the popular historians. They do not shy away from

cultural explanation—on the contrary— but they tend to mix cultural and material factors freely.¹⁶ Larry Zuckerman’s popular history of the potato reminds the reader that though “entire populations [were ready] to risk starvation rather than grow a vegetable,” this culturally-defined hunger did not win out or indeed survive (xiv). The baking of bread, Zuckerman says, is “one of life’s great miracles,” whereas boiling potatoes “demanded no skill, possessed no mystery, no richness, no link to the past.” Nonetheless, traditionalists and doubters ended up assimilating potatoes to their diet. The last line of his book goes: “however ugly and prosaic the potato might be, hunger is far worse” (271).¹⁷ For Zuckerman, the overcoming of cultural resistance is no less

¹⁶ The chemical and biological properties of commodities are of course not ignored by academic historians, who sometimes complain (see Topik and Wells) about excesses of culturalism. But popular histories tend to make larger, even miraculous claims for them. Martin Booth: “In short, society is undermined—some would say underpinned—by opium” (xii). Mark Kurlansky in Cod describes the salting of cod in Middle Ages as “a miracle comparable to the discovery of fast-freezing process in the twentieth century” (22). It explains trans-oceanic exploration: “The Basques, unlike the Vikings, had salt, and because fish that was salted before drying lasted longer, the Basques could travel even farther than the Vikings” (21-22). Cod also explains the rise of New England, which it “lifted . . . from a distant colony of starving settlers to an international commercial power” (78). Yet no explanation is given for why the English of various regions should accept certain fish and reject others for their fish-and-chips. Some cultural mysteries remain unviolated.

¹⁷ Like a complex human character, the commodity in popular narratives tends to combine elements of both material and cultural explanation. Zuckerman’s reasons for resistance to the potato, for example include socio-cultural ones. Potatoes were pushed onto a reluctant population from above. The rich “didn’t advertise it as a worthy food, only as a substitute for bread. The king did not announce that his household would eat potatoes instead of bread” (65). “Potatoes were hardship fare, the food of misery” (67). And they include cultural-biological

worthy of his respect and attention than the resistance itself. Indeed, it too will become a part of culture. Culture seems to figure here only as a provisional summing up of historical experiences, always open to change in the light of new ones. Gallagher and Greenblatt, on the other hand, stop their story short before resistance to the potato has been overcome, as if to freeze culture artificially in a moment of its pure and heroic self-expression.¹⁸

ones: “The potato’s more infamous siblings were poisons, narcotics, and witches’ spells” (12). It was thus associated with sexuality and ill health. Modern science was to confirm some of the prejudices by finding poisons in the potato as well as its botanical kin and using them medicinally.

¹⁸ The freezing of culture in a moment of pure and heroic self-expression is one possible description of what the humanities do for a living. One finds something similar in Jeffrey Knapp’s essay on Elizabethan tobacco. Knapp attributes the triumph of “divine” tobacco, even at a time when the Spanish monopoly meant that English smokers were enriching the coffers of the enemy, to the paradoxical fact that it was “the ultimate superfluity” (289). Advocates for tobacco like Beaumont, Knapp says, argued that “with less persuasive claims to inherent value than gold, tobacco bespeaks the mind’s power to create value, and so continually alerts the English mind . . . to its own abilities” (275). This nod to the Romantic imagination deepens into a bow: “Tobacco was supposed to dramatize that . . . something like what we would now call ideology was true power. While the Spanish enslaved themselves to gold, tobacco taught the English to limit their ambitions to nothing—or, at least, to nothing but smoke” (276). When Knapp speaks of tobacco’s “paradoxical combination of triviality and power” (273)—a phrase that would apply to most of these commodities— he is also pointing to triviality *as* power, which is to say an allegory of vocation of the literary critic, devoted to showing the miraculous power of a subject-matter, literature, that is forever in danger of being dismissed as trivial. Contrast this with Iain Gately’s more popular account of tobacco’s “strange compulsion, which causes experiment to lead to slavery” (2). Gately makes much of his product’s associations with

Yet the academic writers have no monopoly over piety toward culture and cultures. This is one of the oddest features of the popular histories: seemingly uncritical deference to universalizing materialist explanation often goes hand in hand with a degree of respect for non-Western customs that is not merely eccentric, but frankly heretical. “Prior to legislation against them,” Dominic Streatfeild writes in his history of cocaine, “it was common knowledge that coca and cocaine cured various ailments . . . Coca chewing keeps the Andes on the go the way coffee keeps the rest of the world on the go (6).¹⁹ There is nothing on which popular and academic writers are more likely to agree. James Mills notes that cannabis in India, which like tobacco was used by the natives as a hunger suppressant, was only criminalized when the British state, for economic motives, began to crack down on the Indian hemp trade.²⁰ Jeffrey Knapp notes that

divinity, but he also speaks at length of tobacco’s utility as an insecticide, a medicine, and a hunger suppressant. For him, as for other popular writers, there appears to be no need to argue for cultural factors at the expense of material, biological, functionalist ones.

¹⁹ The book’s first scene shows the author’s experiment with chewing coca leaves in the native fashion. At first he feels nothing. “Could 40 centuries of South American Indians really be wrong?” (ix). One paragraph later his tongue is numb, and other, pleasanter effects follow. The authority of native experience is confirmed.

²⁰ In other words, the criminality of cannabis did not result from its inherent properties as a substance, but from the policies of the British state. “In India, colonial officials began to associate cannabis with criminality at about the same time that they began trying to tax the trade in Indian hemp products more efficiently. Once a product becomes the subject of a state levy, and once the traditional producers and suppliers of that article act to protect their profits by evading that levy, that product and those traders become suspicious to administrators seeking to maximize the state’s revenues. Cannabis assumed an air of illegality because the colonial state in India imposed duties on it and branded as criminal all who sought to preserve their income

while so-called “savages” were supposed to prefer worthless trinkets, in the case of Elizabethan tobacco “Indian tastes assume a kind of authority” (282).

On the evidence of these books, the authority of non-Western taste is one subject on which popular and academic opinion have converged.²¹ Instead of the civilizational self-flattery one might have expected, the commodity histories again and again offer occasions for an energetic relativizing of Western civilization.²² Streatfeild underlines the parallel between coca and tobacco: “Admittedly, the idea of putting dried leaves in your mouth and adding caustic compounds to break them down is kind of left-field, but then the Indians who came up with it are cousins of the jokers who decided it would be a neat idea to put dried leaves in their mouths and set fire to them. They have a history of slightly off-the-wall uses for plants” (6) And he mocks those gringos who debark in South America demanding an end to coca chewing as comparable to a foreigner arriving in England and suggesting everyone stop drinking tea (7). You are made to

from trade in the substance by trying to dodge payment of the duties” (218) Mills notes that the British didn’t use cannabis for medicinal or recreational purposes for something like 200 years despite knowing a great deal about its properties— as this book shows, much of the knowledge more or less accurate. Lack of demand should perhaps be more of an issue for Mills than it is.

²¹ Academic and popular versions share, for example, a tendency to conflate physiological addiction with metaphorical (socio-economic) addiction. See Goodman (academic, on tobacco) and Moxham (popular, on tea).

²² The idea of native tastes assuming “authority” for European consumers makes the circulation of commodities into a sort of dog-that-didn’t-bark argument against cultural interpretations of racism. After all, despite strongly-held beliefs held about “savages,” there was little if any prolonged contempt or disgust for the substances they used. This is a sense in which the indisputable racism of European culture did not appear to matter, and we can be at least moderately glad that it did not.

feel the utter artificiality of, say, the line between substances like cannabis or cocaine that the West considers “criminal” and therefore requiring regulation, yet are incomprehensibly not seen as evil in the areas where they are grown, and substances like chocolate and coffee, which no one would ever consider criminalizing—but which have in fact been criminalized in the past, with many or most of the West’s religious and scientific authorities fully behind the criminalization.

As I’ve noted, the commodity histories devote much attention to these authorities, who are repeatedly shown to have had no clue about the genuine properties or social effects of what they were trying to prohibit or regulate. In this light, “Western culture” reveals itself as a pageant of human silliness. The other side of the wonderfully refreshing spectacle of self-anthropologization thus offered is a strong suggestion that nothing much has changed— that today’s efforts to keep nicotine out of the lungs of children, for example, are absolutely continuous with priestly warnings against coffee, government crackdowns on chocolate, the puritanism of earlier temperance and prohibition movements, or the nationalism behind conspicuous acts of non-consumption like the Boston Tea Party. Control, censorship, regulation: these targets appear so regularly as to seem, perhaps, the whole point of the exercise. By flattering consumer desire as true, anarchic democracy at the global scale, in other words, the narrative can be seen as inviting the reader-consumer to intervene on behalf of the commodity-protagonist (who is all the more irresistible for needing a bit of assistance) in an effort to overthrow regulation once and for all.²³

Less often but more intriguingly, the reader is also invited to join that regulation, seen now as collective self-protection against both measureless demand and the capital that flows through that demand. The World of Caffeine opens on a note of alarmism that is not merely

²³ By their distinctive “half-facetious” tone, these narratives have hinted all along that the commodities may not in fact be all-powerful. The phrase is Gallagher and Greenblatt’s (124), but it can be compared with any number of others from the popular books. For an explicit defense of commodity-based culture against government regulation, see Daniel.

self-serving: “Caffeine, by any measure, is the world’s most popular drug, easily surpassing nicotine and alcohol. Caffeine is the only addictive psychoactive substance that has overcome resistance and disapproval around the world to the extent that it is freely available almost everywhere, unregulated, sold without license, offered over the counter in tablet and capsule form, and even added to beverages intended for children” (xi). Kurlansky’s “biography” of the cod, exceptional in the attention it pays to the fishermen or producers, allows neither the producers nor the consumers any absolute moral rights or epistemological privileges. The Gloucester fishermen blithely and incorrectly blame the cod’s disappearance on the fishermen of other nations. Left to their own devices, fishermen and consumers would clearly conspire to render the cod extinct. It follows, then, that the state was right to step in and close the fishing grounds. Barbara Freese’s Coal: A Human History takes King Edward I’s banning of coal in 1306, the opening scene of the book, as an enlightened precedent for today’s anti-pollution laws.

Popular commodity histories are interesting, among other reasons, as answers to the question of how much sense ordinary Americans are making of the US’s economic ties with the rest of the world. Hearing of bashed Toyotas and countries (rather than corporations) blamed for “stealing American jobs,” one is sometimes tempted to say: not much. The commodity histories offer a somewhat more encouraging picture. They offer a necessary impulse to cultural relativism, and at their best they counterbalance it with an equally necessary impulse to state regulation, thus helping to re-channel a national solidarity that might otherwise be painfully misdirected. Regulation also and increasingly exists of course at the trans-national scale, where national and trans-national loyalties can therefore mingle.

More reliable support for regulation might have been expected from academic writing, which often exposes how consumer demand has been at least partially constructed by state policies and could thus be constructed differently. If such support has not always been forthcoming, one reason is perhaps a commitment to culture that could arguably be described, in the humanities at least, as fetishistic. How else to explain an instinctive anti-statism that translates legitimate demands for scrutiny and accountability into complaints about the extension

of surveillance? As the anti-sweatshop movement has shown, however, the particularity of culture can also become a source of unpredictable political strength. The secret of which commodities get their histories written and which do not lies in the everyday experience of the average book-buyer. That experience privileges certain commodities over others— it's hard to imagine a bestseller about kapoc or karaya gum, tropical imports used, respectively, in upholstery and colostomy bags, or about annatto seed, balsam, or camphor. The formula works only because, in the cases of cod, corn, coal, and coffee, objective economic dependence is supplemented by an instant and indisputable tug on the attention, and this depends in turn on a culturally-specific mix: norms of intimacy and violation, empowered ambitions to refashion the self, susceptibility to guilt and renunciation, and so on. New and more politically dynamic syntheses of these volatile elements seem possible. Perhaps this is where a contribution can be solicited from academic writers, who have shown themselves more conscious that the history of the commodity is the history of global injustice but who can always be asked for more effort in translating this consciousness into popular narratives and popular movements.

Works Cited

Ahluwalia, Pal, Bill Ashcroft and Roger Knight, eds., White and Deadly: Sugar and Colonialism (Commack, NY: Nova Science, 1999).

Allen, Stewart Lee, The Devil's Cup: Coffee, the Driving Force in History (NY: Soho Press, 1999).

Appadurai, Arjun, ed., The Social Life of Things: Commodities in Cultural Perspective (Cambridge UP, 1986).

Barlow, Maude and Tony Clarke, Blue Gold: The Fight to Stop the Corporate Theft of the World's Water (NY: New Press, 2002);

Bennet, Chris, Green Gold in the Tree of Life: Marijuana in Magic and Religion (Access, 1995)

Booth, Martin, Opium: A History (NY: St Martin's, 1996).

Braudel, Fernand, The Structures of Everyday Life (NY: Harper and Row, 1981) and The Wheels of Commerce (NY: Harper and Row, 1982).

Chatterjee, Piya, A Time for Tea: Women, Labor, and Post-Colonial Politics on an Indian Tea Plantation (Duke, 2001).

Clarence-Smith, William Gervase, Cocoa and Chocolate, 1765-1914 (London and NY: Routledge, 2000).

Clarence-Smith, William Gervase and Steven Topik, eds., The Global Coffee Economy in Africa, Asia, and Latin America, 1500-1989 (Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 2003).

Coe, Sophie D. and Michael D. Coe, The True History of Chocolate (London: Thames and Hudson, 1996).

Daniel, Pete, Breaking the Land: The Transformation of Cotton, Tobacco, and Rice Cultures since 1880 (Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 1985).

Dicum, Gregory and Nina Luttinger The Coffee Book: Anatomy of an Industry from Crop to Last Drop, (NY: New Press, 1999).

Drollas, Leo and Jon Greenman, Oil: The Devil's Gold (London: Duckworth, 1989).

Freese, Barbara, Coal: A Human History (Cambridge, MA: Perseus Books, 2003).

Gallagher, Catherine and Stephen Greenblatt, Practicing New Historicism (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2000).

Garfield, Simon, Mauve: How One Man Invented a Color That Changed the World (NY: Norton, 2001).

Gately, Iain, Tobacco: A Cultural History of How an Exotic Plant Seduced Civilization (NY: Grove Press, 2001)

Goodman, Jordan, Tobacco in History: The Cultures of Dependence (London and NY: Routledge, 1993).

Jungjohann, Ghillean, White Gold: The Diary of a Rubber Cutter in the Amazon, 1906-1916 (Synergetic Press, 1989)

Knapp, Jeffrey. "Elizabethan Tobacco," Representations

Kurlansky, Mark, Cod: A Biography of the Fish that Changed the World (Penguin, 1997);

Macfarlane, Alan and Iris Macfarlane, Green Gold: The Empire of Tea (London: Ebury Press, 2003).

McPhee, John, Oranges (NY: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 1967).

Mills, James H., Cannabis Britannicus: Empire, trade, and prohibition, 1800-1928 (Oxford, 2003). Mintz, Sidney W., Sweetness and Power: The Place of Sugar in Modern History (NY: Viking Penguin, 1985).

Moxham, Roy, Tea: Addiction, Exploitation, and Empire (NY: Carroll and Graf, 2003).

Parker-Pope, Tara , Cigarettes: Anatomy of an Industry From Seed to Smoke (NY: New Press, 2001).

Richards, Thomas, The Commodity Culture of Victorian England: Advertising and Spectacle, 1851-1914 (Stanford, 1990).

Saffron, Inga, Caviar: The Strange History and Uncertain Future of the World's Most Coveted Delicacy (NY: Broadway Books, 2002).

Sahlins, Marshall, "Cosmologies of Capitalism: The Trans-Pacific sector of 'The World System,'" in Nicholas Dirks, Geoffrey Eley, and Sherry Ortner, eds., Culture/Power/History: A Reader in Contemporary Social Theory (Princeton: Princeton UP,).

Schivelbusch, Wolfgang, Tastes of Paradise: A Social History of Spices, Stimulants, and Intoxicants (NY: Vintage, 1993; first published in German in 1980).

Shiva, Vandana, Water Wars: Privatization, Pollution, and Profit (Cambridge, MA: South End Press, 2002).

Streatfeild, Dominic, Cocaine: An Unauthorized Biography Picador 2001.

Striffler, Steve and Mark Moberg, eds., Banana Wars: Power, Production and History in the Americas (Duke, 2003).

Szogyi, Alex, ed., Chocolate: Food of the Gods (Wesport, CT: Greenwood Press, 1997).

Topik, Steven C., "Coffee," in Steven C. Topik and Allen Wells, ed., The Second Conquest of Latin America: Coffee, Henequen, and Oil during the Export Boom, 1850-1930 (Austin:

University of Texas Press, 1998), 37-84.

Vickers, Michael J., Ivory: A History and Collector's Guide (London: Thames and Hudson, 1987).

Wagner, Gillian, The Chocolate Conscience (London: Chatto and Windus, 1987).

Warman, Arturo, Corn and Capitalism: How a Botanical Bastard Grew to Global Dominance, trans Nancy L. Westrate (Chapel Hill and London: U of NC Press, 2003 [1988]);

Weinberg, Bennett Alan and Bonnie K. Bealer, The World of Caffeine: The Science and Culture of the World's Most Popular Drug (NY and London: Routledge, 2001)

Woodward, Don, Black Diamonds! Black Gold! The Saga of the Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company (Texas Tech UP, 1998)

Yergin, Daniel, The Prize: The Epic Quest for Oil, Money, and Power (NY: Free Press, 1991, 1992).

Zuckerman, Larry, The Potato: How the Humble Spud Rescued the Western World (NY: North Point Press, 1998)

Notes