## Sorting restricted ranges of numbers

- If the range is restricted, we can sort using more than comparisons and swaps.
- Assume each of the $n$ input elements is an integer in the range $1 \ldots k$.


## Sorting restricted ranges of numbers

- If the range is restricted, we can sort using more than comparisons and swaps.
- Assume each of the $n$ input elements is an integer in the range $1 \ldots k$.

Idea For each $A[i]$ compute the number of elements less than or equal to $A[i]$ use that to compute position.

## Sorting restricted ranges of numbers

- If the range is restricted, we can sort using more than comparisons and swaps.
- Assume each of the $n$ input elements is an integer in the range $1 \ldots k$.

Idea For each $A[i]$ compute the number of elements less than or equal to $A[i]$, and use that to compute position.

- Array $A[1 \ldots n]$ - holds input
- Array $C[1 \ldots k]-C[j]$ holds number of elements of A less than or equal to $j$

Example:

$$
\begin{array}{rlllllllll}
\text { index } 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline A: 2 & 9 & 1 & 8 & 6 & 5 & &
\end{array}
$$

## Sorting restricted ranges of numbers

- If the range is restricted, we can sort using more than comparisons and swaps.
- Assume each of the $n$ input elements is an integer in the range $1 \ldots k$.

Idea For each $A[i]$ compute the number of elements less than or equal to $A[i]$ and use that to compute position.

- Array $A[1 \ldots n]$ - holds input
- Array $C[1 \ldots k]-C[j]$ holds number of elements of A less than or equal to $j$

Example:

$$
\begin{array}{rlllllllll}
\text { index } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline A: & 2 & 9 & 1 & 8 & 6 & 5 & & & \\
C: & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 6
\end{array}
$$

Questions

- How do we compute C?


## Counting Sort

```
Counting - Sort \((A, B, k)\)
1 for \(i \leftarrow 0\) to \(k\)
2 do \(C[i] \leftarrow 0\)
for \(j \leftarrow 1\) to length \([A]\)
    do \(C[A[j]] \leftarrow C[A[j]]+1\)
\(\triangleright C[i]\) now contains the number of elements equal to \(i\).
for \(i \leftarrow 1\) to \(k\)
    do \(C[i] \leftarrow C[i]+C[i-1]\)
    \(\triangleright C[i]\) now contains the number of elements less than or equal to \(i\).
    for \(j \leftarrow\) length \([A]\) downto 1
        do \(B[C[A[j]]] \leftarrow A[j]\)
            \(C[A[j]] \leftarrow C[A[j]]-1\)
```


## Analysis

- Running Time $O(n+k)$
- No Comparisons
- Doesn't work on all data
- Good when $k$ is small
- When $k=O(n)$ we have run-time $O(n+k)=O(n)$
- Examples?
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## Improving Counting Sort

Question: Should we use counting sort to sort everyone in this class by initials?

- $n=150$
- $k=27^{2}>700$
- Running time is $150+700=850$

Improvement: Radix Sort

- Sort second initial
- Then stable sort by first initial.

Analysis

- Sorting a single letter: $150+27<200$
- Total running time: $2(150+27)<400$


## Radix Sort

| Radix - Sort ( $A, d$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $d$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 do use a stable sort to sort array $A$ on digit $i$ |  |  |  |  |
| Example |  |  |  |  |
| 379 STABLE SORT | 912 | STABLE SORT 802 | STABLE SORT | 258 |
| $912 \quad \Rightarrow$ | 802 | $\Rightarrow \quad 803$ | $\Rightarrow$ | 259 |
| 258 | 823 | 804 |  | 269 |
| 269 | 803 | 912 |  | 279 |
| 823 | 804 | 823 |  | 379 |
| 259 | 258 | 258 |  | 802 |
| 803 | 379 | 259 |  | 803 |
| 279 | 269 | 269 |  | 804 |
| 804 | 359 | 379 |  | 823 |
| 802 | 279 | 279 |  | 912 |

## Radix Sort Correctness

Radix - $\operatorname{Sort}(A, d)$
$1 \quad$ for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $d$
2 do use a stable sort to sort array $A$ on digit $i$

Loop Invariant: After the ith iteration of the loop, the elements are sorted by their last i digits.

## Radix Sort Correctness

Radix - Sort $(A, d)$
$1 \quad$ for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $d$
2 do use a stable sort to sort array $A$ on digit $i$

Loop Invariant: After the ith iteration of the loop, the elements are sorted by their last i digits.

Inductive Step:

- Assume the invariant holds after $i-1$ iterations
- Need to prove that it holds after $i$ iterations

Radix Sort Analysis

- $n$ elements
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- $n$ elements
- All elements have digits
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$-\mathbf{S S N}$ : $d=9$
- Dictionary Words: $d=30$
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- Numbers: $b=10$
- Words: $b=27$
- UNI (letter/number): $b=37$

Radix Sort Running Time: $\quad O(d(n+b))$
Counting Sort Running Time: $\quad O(n+k)=O\left(n+b^{d}\right)$
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## Example

Setup : Sort everyone in columbia by UNI. Say $n=40,000$
Radix Sort:

- $d=7$
- $b=37$
- Running Time: $d(n+b)=7(40,000+37) \sim 280,000$

Counting Sort:

- UNI $=7$-digit number in base 37 .
- $k=b^{d}=37^{7} \sim 10^{11}$
- Running Time: $n+k=40,000+37^{7} \sim 10^{11}$

Merge Sort

- Running Time: $n \log (n)=40,000 \cdot \log (40,000) \sim 600,000$

