## Survivor Game

Let $f(x)$ be the outcome, if you start at $x$.
Let $m(x)$ be the optimal, move if you start at $x$.

| number left | $f(x)$ | $m(x)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | W | 1 |
| 2 | W | 2 |
| 3 | W | 3 |
| 4 | L | anything |
| 5 | W | 1 |
| 6 | W | 2 |
| 7 | W | 3 |
| 8 | L | anything |
| 9 | W | 1 |
| 10 | W | 2 |
| 11 | W | 3 |
| 12 | L | anything |
| 13 | W | 1 |
| 14 | W | 2 |
| 15 | W | 3 |
| 16 | L | anything |
| 17 | W | 1 |
| 18 | W | 2 |
| 19 | W | 3 |
| 20 | L | anything |
| 21 | W | 1 |

We can develop a recurrence for the win/loss function.

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}W & \text { if } x=1,2,3 \\ L & \text { if } x=4 \\ f(x-4) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$m(x)$ will be the move that takes you to the place described by the $f$ function.

## Scheduling Problem

Producing DVD's of the TV show survivor is a four step process. Probstco has two assembly lines that perform the process. The times are listed below. (We use $c_{t}(x)$ to denote the processing time of line $x$ at step $t$.

| Step | Time on Line 1 | Time on Line 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 60 | 65 |
| 2 | 65 | 45 |
| 3 | 45 | 40 |
| 4 | 30 | 35 |

A DVD can switch between the two assembly lines, but it takes 10 minutes to switch between lines. It takes no time to progress along the same line.

Figure out a sequence which minimizes the total time.

## Solution

It's not clear how to work from the beginning, but it's easier to think about working backwards from the end.

Let $f_{t}(x)$ be the fastest time from step $t$ to the end, starting on line $x$.
$f_{4}(1)=30$
$f_{4}(2)=35$

How do we compute the other values? We use the already computed values of $f$ for larger values of $t$.

Think about $f_{3}(1)$. There are two possibilities for the fastest time to the end. You either stay on machine 1 next, or switch to machine 2 . If you stay on 1 , the total time is $45+30=75$, if you switch, the time is $45+10+35=85$. So you would choose to stay on line 1.

In symbols

$$
f_{3}(1)=\min \left\{c_{3}(1)+f_{4}(1), c_{3}(1)+10+f_{4}(2)\right\} .
$$

Symmetrically,

$$
f_{3}(2)=\min \left\{c_{3}(2)+f_{4}(2), c_{3}(2)+10+f_{4}(1)\right\} .
$$

In general,

$$
f_{t}(x)=\min \left\{c_{t}(x)+f_{t+1}(x), c_{t}(x)+10+f_{t+1}(3-x)\right\} .
$$

We can fill in a table, using this formula.

| Step | $f_{t}(1)$ | $f_{t}(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 190 | 185 |
| 2 | 140 | 120 |
| 3 | 75 | 75 |
| 4 | 30 | 35 |

So the shortest time starting on line 1 is 190 , and the shortest starting on line 2 is 185 . One can trace back and figure out how the decisions where made.

## Dynamic Programming

Dynamic Programming is a technique for solving problems with certain features. These are:

- The problem has a series of stages, each with an associated decision.
- Each stage has a number of states associated with it.
- The decision at each stage descirbes which state to choose next.
- Given a particular state, future decisions do not depend on anything except that state. They do not depend on how the state was reached, or previous states along the path. (optimal substructure)
- The number of states and stages is limited.


## Inventory Problem

A state can be defined by the inventory and the period.
Let $f_{t}(i)$ be the minimum cost of meeting demands for months $t, t+1, \ldots, 4$ starting with inventory $i$ at the beginning of month $t$.

We work backwards, starting with period 4 . The demand for the this period is 4 .
If we have zero inventory, we have to pay a fixed cost of 3 plus the cost of producing 4 units.

$$
f_{4}(0)=3+4=7
$$

For larger inventories we have smaller costs:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{4}(0)=3+4=7 \\
f_{4}(1)=3+3=6 \\
f_{4}(2)=3+2=5 \\
f_{4}(3)=3+1=4 \\
f_{4}(4)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that for an inventory of 4 we don't have any costs. This makes the problem non-linear.

We can start to fill in a table:

| $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{t}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |  |  | 7 |
| 1 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 2 |  |  |  | 5 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 4 |  |  |  | 0 |

Now let's think about $f_{3}(0)$. We must satisfy the demand of 2 , but we now have choices, because we can end up with different inventory amounts. How do we evaluate these different inventory amounts? We use the entries $f_{4}()$, which tell us the cost, in period 4 starting with a particular inventory amount.

We make a table:

| left over | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| number produced | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | impossible |
| production cost | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| inventory cost | 0 | .5 | 1 | 1.5 |  |
| $f_{4}$ (inventory) | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 |  |
| total cost | 12 | 12.5 | 13 | 13.5 |  |

Thus the best strategy is to produce 2 and end the period with no inventory.
Now let's think about $f_{3}(1)$. We must satisfy the demand of 2 , but we now have choices, because we can end up with different inventory amounts. How do we evaluate these different inventory amounts? We use the entries $f_{4}()$, which tell us the cost, in period 4 starting with a particular inventory amount.

We make a table:

| left over | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| number produced | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| production cost | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| inventory cost | 0 | .5 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 |
| $f_{4}$ (inventory) | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| total cost | 11 | 11.5 | 12 | 12.5 | 10 |

Notice that now the optimal policy is to produce 5 and end the period with an inventory of 4 .

Consider now $f_{3}(2)$. Let's make a similar table.

| left over | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| number produced | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| production cost | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| inventory cost | 0 | .5 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 |
| $f_{4}$ (inventory) | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| total cost | 7 | 10.5 | 11 | 11.5 | 9 |

Notice that now the optimal policy is to produce 0 and end the period with an inventory of 0 .

We can compute $f_{3}(3)$ and $f_{3}(4)$ similarly and fill in the master table more.

| i/t | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |  | 12 | 7 |
| 1 |  |  | 10 | 6 |
| 2 |  |  | 7 | 5 |
| 3 |  |  | 6.5 | 4 |
| 4 |  |  | 6 | 0 |

We now continue similarly for $f_{2}()$. We'll do $f_{2}(0)$.

| left over | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| number produced | 3 | 4 | 5 | impossible | impossible |
| production cost | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |
| inventory cost | 0 | .5 | 1 |  |  |
| $f_{3}$ (inventory) | 12 | 10 | 7 |  |  |
| total cost | 18 | 17.5 | 16 |  |  |

So the optimal policy is to manufacture 5 and end with an inventory of 2 .
Notice that to compute $f_{2}()$, we used $f_{3}()$ but not $f_{4}()$. This is why DP is so efficient!
In general, we have a recurrence:

$$
f_{t}(t)=\min _{x}\left\{\frac{1}{2}(i+x-\operatorname{demand}(t))+\operatorname{prod}-\operatorname{cost}(x)+f_{t+1}(i+x-\operatorname{demand}(t))\right\}
$$

With appropriate boundary conditions. We can continue and fill in the entire master table.

| $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{t}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 12 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| 1 | 16 | 15 | 10 | 6 |
| 2 | 15.5 | 14 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 5 |
| 3 | 15 | 12 | 6.5 | 4 |
| 4 | 13.5 | 10.5 | 6 | 0 |

The bold entry represent the optimal choices. These correspond to producing 1,5, 0, 4 .

