# **Elevator Scheduling**

James Dong Qasim Zafar

### Why is elevator scheduling important?

- Used multiple times by millions of people every day
- Exist in every building
- Waiting for elevators can be frustrating and wasteful
- Average elevator rider takes 4 trips per day, 250 days per year.
- In New York City, office workers spent a cumulative amount of 16.6 years waiting for elevator and 5.9 years elevators in 2010.

## Basics about Elevators

Non-homogeneous stochastic arrival of customers

• Two types of calls: internal and external

- Has a speed and direction at any point in time
- Doors open and close
- Stationary on a floor until doors close
- Customers can abandon call

## Points of Interest

- Expected wait time of users in the system
- Maximum wait time
- Expected number of people whose wait time is substantially greater than the expected wait time (Quality of Service)
- Expected length of queue
- Energy used (Cost)

## An NP Hard Problem

 Proved by Seckinger and Koehler for 1 elevator without capacity constraints

• Very large state space for solution

• Large number of constraints

 Reduces to a time dependent traveling salesman problem (TDTSP)

## Model Formulation

#### • Arrivals

- State and time-dependent arrival rates
  - Exogenous arrival rates on ground floor
  - Arrival rates on floors 2-8 are time dependent and floor occupancy dependent

#### • Elevator System

- 8 floors and 3 elevators Doors remain open for 3 sec after last passenger enters Elevators take 5 sec to traverse 1 floor
- Elevator has a capacity of 8 passenger



### Model Assumptions

#### Arrivals

- Passengers arrive according to non-homogeneous, time-varying Poisson process
- Passengers are very patient and do not abandon.
  - In fact, when passengers are blocked, they simply push the button again after the elevator departs.

#### Elevator System

- Passenger requests to go up or down
- Western elevator system

Passenger assignments may not be changed
Destination floor distribution is time dependent
Beginning of day vs. lunch time & end of day

## Simulation Results



## Simulation Results



Time (Seconds)

## Algorithms

#### Sectors

 Each elevator has its own sector, a subset of floors, and only services calls that originate from that sector

#### Nearest Elevator

Each passenger is assigned the nearest elevator as determined by elevator position, direction of call, and elevator direction

Nearest Elevator with Capacity Considerations
 Similar to Nearest Elevator, but also takes into account the load in each elevator



## Sectors

- Elevator 1: {1, 2, 3}
  Elevator 2: {1, 4, 5}
  Elevator 3: {1, 6, 7, 8}
- Each elevator can service ground floor since the ground floor generally has the highest arrival rate

	Waiting Time	Sojourn Time
Mean	53.08 seconds	95.92 seconds
Median	38.45 seconds	84.29 seconds
Max	334·39 seconds	464.82 seconds

Metrics	Percentages
Pr(Blocking)	7.30%
Pr(Wait = o)	5.90%

## Nearest Elevator

- Compute suitability score for each elevator when new passenger arrives
- (1) Towards a call, same direction
  FS = (N + 2) d
- (2) Towards the call, opposite direction
  - FS = (N + 1) d
- (3) Away from call
   FS = 1
- N = # Floors 1;
  d = distance between elevator and call

	Waiting Time	Sojourn Time
Mean	24.76 seconds	68.21 seconds
Median	14.87 seconds	58.98 seconds
Max	219.54 seconds	316.54 seconds

Metrics	Percentages
Pr(Blocking)	11.74%
Pr(Wait = 0)	15.55%

## Nearest Elevator with Capacity Considerations

- Compute suitability score for each elevator when new passenger arrives
- (1) Towards a call, same direction
  FS = (N + 2) d + C
  - (2) Towards the call, opposite direction
- FS = (N + 1) d + C
  (3) Away from call
  FS = 1 + C
- N = # Floors 1;
  d = distance between elevator and call
- C = excess capacity of elevator

	Waiting Time	Sojourn Time
Mean	24.68 seconds	74.48 seconds
Median	14.40 seconds	65.74 seconds
Max	236.00 seconds	292.97 seconds

Metrics	Percentages
Pr(Blocking)	3.48%
Pr(Wait = o)	12.70%

# Results

	Sector		Nearest Car		Nearest Car Capacity	
	Waiting	Sojourn	Waiting	Sojourn	Waiting	Sojourn
	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time
Mean	53.08	95.92	24.76	68.21	24.68	74.48
	seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds
Median	38.45	84.29	14.87	58.98	14.40	65.74
	seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds
Max	334·39	464.82	219.54	316.54	236.00	292.97
	seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds
Metrics	Percentages		Percentages		Percentages	
Pr(Blocking)	7.30%		11.7	74%	3.4	8%
Pr(Wait = o)	5.90%		15.5	55%	12.7	70%

## Conclusions + Remaining Questions

- There is no best algorithm!
- Designing effective algorithms is very difficult

Can we do better?
Context Scheduling
Ant Colony Optimization
Forecasting

## References

- G.C. Barney and S.M. dos Santos, *Elevator Traffic Analysis Design and Control*, Peter Peregrinus Ltd, London, UK, Second Edition.
- M. Brand and D. Nikovski, "Optimal Parking in Group Elevator Control," Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE International Conference on Robotics & Automation (2004) 1002-1008.
- D. Nikovski and M. Brand, "Marginalizing Out Future Passengers in Group Elevator Control," Proceedings of the Nineteenth Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (2003) 443-450.
- D. Nikovski and M. Brand, "Decision-theoretic group elevator scheduling," 13<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (2003).
- D. Nikovski and M. Brand, "Exact Calculation of Expected Waiting Times for Group Elevator Control," IEEE Transportation Automation Control 49(10) pp. 1820-1823.
- IBM, "Smarter Buildings Survey," Apr. 29 2010.
- T. Strang anad C. Bauer, "Context-Aware Elevator Scheduling," 21<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops (2007) vol. 2 pp. 276-281.
- National Elevator Industry, Inc. <u>A "Step by Step" Guide</u>.