## **Shop Scheduling**

### **Applications**

- Model Factory-like Settings
- Also models packet routing

• ...

Basic Model: Multiple machines. A jobs consist of operations, each operations has a

- processing time  $p_{ij}$
- Machine on which to run  $M_{ij}$

## Variants of Shop Scheduling

#### Basic Types

- Job shop. Each job consist of operations in a linear order
- Flow shop. Job shop, but the linear order is the same for each job. (assembly line)
- Open shop. Each job consists of unordered operations.

#### Other Constraints

- time between operations
  - minimum time (e.g. cooling)
  - maximum time (e.g. hot potatoe)
- setup at machines (e.g. paint color)
- limited storage between machines

# $F||C_{\max}|$

First Question: Is it optimal to have each job go through the machines in the same order? (permutation schedule)

2 machines. Permutation schedule is optimal.

### Example

j	$p_{1j}$	$p_{2j}$
1	3	6
2	10	1
3	3	2
4	2	4
5	8	8

What is the right algorithm?

# SPT(I)- LPT(II)

#### Example

#### Algorithm:

• Partition into two sets:

```
- Set I has p_{1j} \le p_{2j} (1,4,5)
- Set II has p_{1j} > p_{2j} (3,2)
```

- Run Set I in SPT order by  $p_{1j}$
- Run Set II in LPT order by  $p_{2j}$

For this problem: 4,1,5,2,3,

Can use interchange arguments to show that this is optimal

- Set I before Set II
- Set I in SPT order
- Set II in LPT order.

# More general flow shop

- ullet 3 machines. There is an optimal permutations schedule.
- 4 machines. Optimal schedule may not be a permutation schedule.

# $F|\mathbf{perm}|C_{\max}$ as a mixed integer program

**Decision variables:**  $x_{jk} = 1$  if job j is k th in sequence

#### Extra Variables:

- $I_{ik}$ : idle time on machine *i* between jobs in positions *k* and k+1.
- $W_{ik}$ : waiting time of job in position k between machines i and i+1.

#### Ideas

- Makespan is sum of
  - Processing time of first job on all machines
  - processing time of all jobs on machine m
  - Idle time on machine m
- Matching constraints to ensure that each job is in one position and each position has one job
- Relationship between idle time and waiting time constraints.
- Way to map variables so you can talk about k th job to run, rather than job indexed by j.

### MIP

Processing time of k th job to run on machine i:

$$p_{i(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{jk} p_{ij}$$

Objective

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} p_{i(1)} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} p_{mj} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I_{mj}$$

**Matching Constraints** 

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{jk} = 1 \quad k = 1 \dots n$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{jk} = 1 \quad j = 1 \dots n$$

Constraints relating idle and waiting time

$$I_{ik} + p_{i(k+1)} + W_{i,k+1} = W_{ik} + p_{i+1(k)} + I_{i+1,k} \quad \forall k, i$$

$$W_{i1} = 0 \forall i, \quad I_{1k} = 0 \forall k$$

## **Other Facts**

- $F3||C_{\max}|$  is NP-complete.
- $F3|\mathbf{perm}|C_{\max}$  is NP-complete.
- Easy case: all operations are the same size. Then flowshop with many objectives is easy.

# Slope Heuristic

Motivation: Think about SPT(I)-LPT(II).

- ullet Early jobs should be small on  $M_1$  and large on  $M_2$ .
- Late jobs should be large on  $M_1$  and small on  $M_2$ .
- Generalize to "slope". Larger slope should go earlier.
- Slope  $A_j = -\sum_{i=1}^m (m (2i 1))p_{ij}$

### Example

	$J_1$	$J_2$	$J_3$	$J_4$	$J_5$
$\overline{M_1}$	5	5	3	6	3
$M_1$ $M_2$ $M_3$	4	4	2	4	4
$M_3$	4	4	3	4	1
$M_4$	3	6	3	2	<b>5</b>

# Example

### Example

	$J_1$	$J_2$	$J_3$	$J_4$	$J_5$
$M_1$ $M_2$ $M_3$	5	5	3	6	3
$M_2$	4	4	2	4	4
$M_3$		4	3	4	1
$M_4$	3	6	3	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>

### **Example:Compute Slopes**

•	$J_1$	$J_2$	$J_3$	$J_4$	$J_5$
$\overline{M_1}$				6	3
_	4			4	4
$M_3$			3	4	1
$M_4$	3	6	3	2	<b>5</b>
$\overline{A_j}$	-6	3	1	-12	3