

Basics of Algorithm Analysis

Problem vs. Instance vs. Algorithm vs. Solution

- Problem : minimize makespan on 2 machines $P||C_{\max}$
- Instance: 5 jobs with processing times (4, 1, 8, 5, 6)
- Algorithm: Alternate putting the jobs on machine 1 and machines 2.
- Solution: Machine 1 has jobs J_1, J_3, J_5 with total processing time 18, machine 2 has jobs J_2, J_4 with total processing time 6. Makespan is 18.

Goals:

- We want to develop algorithms that, on “any” instance, will produce good solutions.
- We want to understand how our algorithms perform, so that, given a new instance, we can predict how long they will take and what kind of solution they return.

Basics of Algorithm Analysis

Running Time: Given an algorithm, and an input of size n , we wish to know the running time as a function of n .

- We measure running time as a function of n , the size of the input (in bytes assuming a reasonable encoding).
- We work in the RAM model of computation. All “reasonable” operations take “1” unit of time. (e.g. $+$, $*$, $-$, $/$, array access, pointer following, writing a value, one byte of I/O...)

What is the running time of an algorithm

- Best case (seldom used)
- Average case (used if we understand the average)
- Worst case (used most often)

We measure as a function of n , and ignore low order terms.

- $5n^3 + n - 6$ becomes n^3
- $8n \log n - 60n$ becomes $n \log n$
- $2^n + 3n^4$ becomes 2^n

Asymptotic notation

big-O

$O(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exist positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f(n) \leq cg(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$.

Alternatively, we say

$f(n) = O(g(n))$ if there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \leq f(n) \leq cg(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$

Informally, $f(n) = O(g(n))$ means that $f(n)$ is asymptotically less than or equal to $g(n)$.

Classification We use these to **classify** algorithms into classes, e.g. n , n^2 , $n \log n$, 2^n .

Simple Rules

- Nested loops multiply (even when the inner loop is from 1 to the outer loop value).
- Sequential loops add
- Repeated halving is linear.

Examples

FindMax (A, n)

```
1 //  $A$  is an array of length  $n$ 
2  $maxval = A[1]$ 
3 for  $i = 2$  to  $n$ 
4     if ( $A[i] > maxval$ )
5          $maxval = A[i]$ 
6 return  $maxval$ 
```

Running time is $O(n)$

Examples

MatMult (A, B, C, p, q, r)

```
1 // A is  $p \times q$ ; B is  $q \times r$ ; C is  $p \times r$ 
2 for  $i = 1$  to  $p$ 
3     for  $j = 1$  to  $r$ 
4          $C[i, j] = 0$ 
5         for  $k = 1$  to  $q$ 
6              $C[i, j] = C[i, j] + A[i, k] * B[k, j]$ 
```

Running Time is $O(pqr)$. If matrices are $n \times n$, then $O(n^3)$.

Some Things to Know

- Sorting n numbers takes $O(n \log n)$ time.
- Finding a shortest path with non-negative weights in a graph with n nodes and m edges takes $O(m \log n)$ time.
- You can use a Priority Queue Data Structure to maintain a set of n numbers, and insert and delete the maximum in $O(\log n)$ time per operation.