## NP-complete Partitioning Problems

Subset Sum: Given a list of $t$ positive integers $S=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}\right\}$ and an integer $B$, is there a subset $S^{\prime} \subseteq S$ s.t. $\sum_{x_{i} \in S^{\prime}} x_{i}=B$.

- Yes instance: $S=\{1,2,5,7,8,10,11\}, B=22$.
- No instance: $S=\{4,10,11,12,15\}, B=28$.

Note: It is still NP-complete if $B=\sum_{i} x_{i} / 2$

3-Partition Given a list of $3 t$ positive integers $S=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{3 t}\right\}$ with $\Sigma_{x_{i} \in S} x_{i}=t B$, and each $x_{i}$ satisfying $B / 4<x_{i}<B / 2$, can you partition $S$ into $t$ groups of size 3 , such that each group sums to exactly B.

- Yes instance: $S=\{26,26,27,28,29,29,31,33,39,40,45,47\}$
- No instance: $S=\{26,26,27,28,29,29,31,33,38,40,45,48\}$ (I think)

Problem: Given $n$ jobs with processing times $p_{j}$, schedule them on $m$ machines so as to minimize the makespan.

Decision version: Given $n$ jobs with processing times $p_{j}$ and a number $D$, can you schedule them on $m$ machines so as to complete by time $D$.

Sample inputs:

- Jobs are $\{1,2,5,7,8,10,11\}, 2$ machines, $D=22$.
- Jobs are $S=\{4,4,10,11,12,15\}, 3$ machines $D=20$.

Reduction: Subset sum reduces to $P \| C_{\max }$.

Idea of reduction: Given a subset sum instance, create a 2-machine instance of $P \| C_{\max }$, with $p_{j}=x_{j}$ and $D=B$. Now there is a feasible schedule iff there is a subset summing to B.

$$
1\left|r_{j}\right| L_{\max }
$$

Reduction: Reduce 3-partition to $1\left|r_{j}\right| L_{\text {max }}$.
3-Partition Given a list of $3 t$ positive integers $S=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{3 t}\right\}$ with $\Sigma_{x_{i} \in S} x_{i}=t B$, can you partition $S$ into $t$ groups of size 3, such that each group sums to exactly B.

Given a 3-partition instance, we will creat a $1\left|r_{j}\right| L_{\text {max }}$ instance in the following way:

Jobs: $n=4 t-1$ jobs, $t-1$ of which are dummy jobs

|  | $j$ | $r_{j}$ | $p_{j}$ | $d_{j}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{1}$ |
| Dummy Jobs: | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 B}+\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 B + 2}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3 B}+\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3 B + 3}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |
|  | $t-1$ | $(t-1) B+(t-2)$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $(t-1) B+(t-1)$ |

Real Jobs:

- indexed $t$ through $4 t-1$.
- All have $r_{j}=0$
- All have $d_{j}=t b+(t-1)$
- $p_{j}=x_{j-(t-1)}$


## Proof

|  | $j$ | $r_{j}$ | $p_{j}$ | $d_{j}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{1}$ |
| Dummy Jobs: | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 B}+\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 B + 2}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3 B}+\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3 B + 3}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |
|  | $t-1$ | $(t-1) B+(t-2)$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $(t-1) B+(t-1)$ |

Real Jobs:

- indexed $t$ through $4 t-1$.
- All have $r_{j}=0$
- All have $d_{j}=t b+(t-1)$
- $p_{j}=x_{j-(t-1)}$

Idea of Proof: Argue that there is a schedule with $L_{\max }=0$ iff the partition instance is yes.

