## Minimizing the Number of Tardy Jobs

$1\left|\mid \Sigma U_{j}\right.$
Example

| $j$ | $p_{j}$ | $d_{j}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 10 | 10 |
| 2 | 2 | 11 |
| 3 | 7 | 13 |
| 4 | 4 | 15 |
| 5 | 8 | 20 |

## Ideas:

- Need to choose a subset of jobs $S$ that meet their deadlines.
- Schedule the jobs that meet their deadlines in EDD order (Why?)
- Schedule the remaining jobs in an arbitrary order.

Question: How do you choose the subset?

## Algorithm for $1\left|\mid \Sigma U_{j}\right.$

- Give an incremental algorithm
- Consider jobs in deadline order
- Invariant: Maintain a maximum cardinality set of jobs that meet their deadlines, among such sets, choose the one with with the smallest total amount of processing time.


## Algorithm for $1\left|\mid \Sigma U_{j}\right.$

- Give an incremental algorithm
- Consider jobs in deadline order
- Invariant: Maintain a maximum cardinality set of jobs that meet their deadlines, among such sets, choose the one with with the smallest total amount of processing time.


## Algorithm

- Sort jobs by deadlines; $S=\emptyset$
- For each job $j$ in deadline order
$-S=S \cup\{j\}$
- if $j$ doesn't meet it's deadline when $S$ is scheduled $* S=S-\{$ job in $S$ with largest processing time $\}$


## Analysis

- Run time
- Need to sort - $O(n \log n)$
- Need to maintain the schedule for $S$ and delete the job with largest processing time. (Maintain a set of numbers doing insert, delete and delete max operations).


## Analysis

- Run time
- Need to sort - $O(n \log n)$
- Need to maintain the schedule for $S$ and delete the job with largest processing time. (Maintain a set of numbers doing insert, delete and delete max operations). - Use a priority queue, each operations is $O(\log n)$ time.

Analysis: Proof by Induction. After each step $k$, let $S_{k}$ denote $S$.

- $S_{k}$ schedules a maximum sized subset of $\{1, \ldots, \mathbf{k}\}$
- Among all such subsets $S_{k}$ is the one with the minimum total processing time.

Another Example

| $j$ | $p_{j}$ | $d_{j}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 3 | 2 | 8 |
| 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 5 | 6 | 11 |
| 6 | 1 | 14 |
| 7 | 5 | 15 |

## Special Case of a common deadline

- $1 \| \Sigma U_{j}$ is easy.
- What about $1 \| \Sigma w_{j} U_{j}$

Example

| $j$ | $p_{j}$ | $w_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 10 |
| 2 | 20 | 50 |
| 3 | 30 | 20 |

$D$ is 40.

- We are choosing a minimum weight subset of jobs that miss their deadline
- Equivalently: we are choosing a maximum weight subset of jobs that make their dealines.
- Equivalently: Choosing a maximum weight set of jobs that fit in a "bin" of certain size.


## Knapsack

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad \max \sum_{j} w_{j} x_{j} \\
\text { s.t. } \sum_{j} p_{j} x_{j} \leq D
\end{gathered}
$$

A one constraint lp, a knapsack problem.

- If you can take objects fractionally, then the greedy algorithm ( $w_{j} / p_{j}$ ) is optimal.
- What about the integral (non-preemptive case).

Example

| $j$ | $p_{j}$ | $w_{j}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 9}$ |

$D$ is 100 .

## Solving Knapack Via Dynamic Programming

1. Non-polynomial. We will explicitly solve the problem for all possible values of either time or weight (in this example time.)
2. Polynomial would be polynomial in $n, m, \log W, \log D$, where $W=\max _{j} w_{j}$
3. Running time will be polynomial in $n, m, W, D$. Called pseudopolynomial.
4. Reasonable approach when $W$ and/or $D$ is not too large.

## Main Ideas:

- Parameterize solution, and define optimal solutions of a certain size in terms of solutions with smaller parameter values.
- Build up a table of solutions, eventually obtaining the solution for the desired parameter value.


## DP for Knapsack: maximum weight competing by deadlir

- $f(j, t)$ will be the best way to schedule jobs $1, \ldots, j$ with $t$ or less total processing time.
- Best means maximum total weight.
- What is $f(n, D)$ ?
- Maximum weight way to schedule all the jobs using at most $D$ total processing time.
- This is the problem we want to solve.


## DP

To schedule jobs $1, \ldots, j$ using $t$ total processing time there are two cases:

- job $j$ is not scheduled.
- job $j$ is scheduled


## DP

To schedule jobs $1, \ldots, j$ using $t$ total processing time there are two cases:

- job $j$ is not scheduled.
- job $j$ is scheduled
- If $j$ is not scheduled, then the optimal solution for $1, \ldots, j$ is the same as the optimal solution for $1, \ldots, j-1$, hence $f(j, t)=f(j-1, t)$
- If $j$ is scheduled, then we need to add $j$ to the schedule, hence we have to look at the optimal schedule using $t-p_{j}$ units of processing, hence: $\quad f(j, t)=f\left(j-1, t-p_{j}\right)+w_{j}$.

We don't know which case happens, so we try all and take the maximum

$$
f(j, t)=\max \left\{f(j-1, t), f\left(j-1, t-p_{j}\right)+w_{j}\right\}
$$

We initialize with $f(0, \cdot)=0, f(\cdot, 0)=0$, and anything with a negative index has a value of $-\infty$.

## Example

$$
f(j, t)=\max \left\{f(j-1, t), f\left(j-1, t-p_{j}\right)+w_{j}\right\}
$$

| $j$ | $p_{j}$ | $w^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11 | 12 |
| 2 | 9 | 9 |
| 3 | 90 | 89 |

