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INTRODUCTION

Recent evidence suggests that oxidative damage to proteins may play a more important role in radiation-induced cell death than previously thought (1–4). There are at least two mechanisms by which protein oxidation can contribute to cell death: (1) by reducing the efficiency/fidelity of DNA repair and (2) by reducing cell viability directly. The first mechanism causes synergistic interactions between damage to DNA and proteins: as radiation dose increases, DNA damage accumulates, while the ability to repair it correctly decreases due to oxidation of sensitive sites on DNA repair-related proteins and consequent alteration/reduction of their functionality. In two previous studies (5, 6) we explored this scenario by developing a simple mechanistic mathematical model of radiation-induced oxidative stress and DNA repair and applied it to data on cell survival of the radiation-resistant bacterium *Deinococcus radiodurans* exposed to gamma and high-LET radiations. The second mechanism involves radiation-induced oxidation of proteins needed for DNA replication, cell cycle progression, and essential metabolic reactions, which can affect cell survival directly.

Here, we extend our model formalism to include both mechanisms, and use it to analyze a data set on several bacterial strains (*Deinococcus radiodurans*, wild-type and DNA repair-deficient mutants, and *Escherichia coli*, wild-type and radioresistant mutants) exposed to ionizing gamma radiation (IR) and ultraviolet radiation (UV), recently published by Krisko and Radman (4). This data set is very useful for distinguishing between the contributions of DNA and protein damage to radiogenic cell death because it contains measurements of oxidative damage to proteins (protein carbonylation) at each radiation dose/type for each studied organism. In addition, IR or UV irradiated *E. coli* cells were infected with unirradiated λ bacteriophages, and the surviving fraction of bacteriophage infective centers (IC) was recorded as a function of radiation dose to the host cells (4). This set of experiments was specifically designed to clarify the effects of radiogenic protein damage on cell function: because bacteriophage DNA was not irradiated and, therefore, not damaged directly by radiation, infective centers survival presumably depended mainly on the functioning of irradiated host *E. coli* proteins.

Applying a simple mechanistic mathematical model, such as the one presented here, to these data can provide enhanced understanding of the major causes of radiation-induced cell death. The contributions of DNA damage, interactions of DNA damage with protein damage, and the
direct effects of protein damage on cell survival can be quantified for each organism, and the dependences of these processes on radiation type and dose can be assessed. Interpretation of the results of this analysis may serve as guidance for future experiments, involving not only the bacteria studied in the data set used here, but also other organisms. Such experiments could potentially lead to the discovery of novel radioprotection strategies.

**METHODS**

Data Set

In the data set published by Krisko and Radman (4), which we chose to analyze here, two strains of *D. radiodurans* were used: wild-type (R1), which is known for its resistance to ionizing radiation and many other cytotoxic agents (1–3, 7–18), and a mutant lacking recA function (recA-), which is deficient in DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair (8, 19). Three strains of *E. coli* were used: wild-type (MG1655), and radioresistant mutants (CB1000 and CB2000) that were generated by selection in the laboratory after multiple exposures to ionizing radiation (20). The data for CB1000 and CB2000 are quite similar, so we combined them for convenience and labeled them by the abbreviation Res. As mentioned previously, both wild-type and Res *E. coli* cells were infected with unirradiated λ bacteriophages, which generated surviving fractions of bacteriophage infective centers (4).

Model Used

A simplified expression for cell surviving fraction (*S*) following an acute radiation dose *D* was previously derived [Eq. (3) in ref. (6)] based on our model of radiation-induced oxidative stress and DNA damage in *D. radiodurans* [Eqs. (7) and (9) in ref. (5)], which is repeated below:

\[ S = \exp[-c_3D\exp[-K_{dam}D]] \]  

Here, *c*₃ is the number of DNA DSBs induced per unit of radiation dose (*D*). It is assumed that within the biologically relevant dose range (up to several kilogram per kilogram for bacteria) the dose dependence of DSB induction is linear (13). The parameter *K*₃ is the cellular DSB repair capacity. It is dependent on the time available for repair and on other factors such as culture conditions and stage of growth (e.g., exponential phase compared to stationary phase), and represents the maximum efficiency/fidelity of DSB repair under the given set of conditions.

The constant *k* is the parameter for DSB-repair-related protein inactivation by radiation. The term \( \exp[-kD] \) in Eq. (1) represents the fraction of DSB-repair-related protein function remaining after irradiation. Reduction of this fraction with increasing dose can represent complete or partial inactivation of the relevant proteins as well as modification of their activity so that they can no longer mediate correct DSB repair. These processes can occur as a result of oxidation (e.g., carbonylation) of sensitive sites in the protein structure by radiation-induced reactive oxygen species (ROS).

For simplicity, to limit the number of adjustable parameters in the model, we consider DSBs to be the main type of radiation-induced DNA damage responsible for cell death. Of course, it is known that other processes, such as radiation-induced activation of dormant viruses and mobile DNA sequences (21), and non-DSB clustered DNA lesions (22), also contribute.

We assume that the measured protein carbonylation values *F(D)* from the data set analyzed here (4) are good predictors of damage to proteins important for DSB repair and cell survival. The relationship between *F(D)* and the fraction (*P*) of important proteins remaining undamaged after irradiation can be described by the following simple expression:

\[ P = 1 - \frac{|F(D) - F(0)|}{F_{max} - F(0)} \]  

*F(0)* is the carbonylation level in unirradiated samples and *F*ₘₐₓ represents the predicted carbonylation level at which all sensitive sites on the target proteins have been damaged, so that when *F(D) = F*ₘₐₓ, *P = 0*. *F*_ₘₐₓ, is a rough measure of the sensitivity of the target cell’s proteome to radiation-induced damage.

Equation (2) allows experimentally measured data on radiogenic protein damage to be directly incorporated into our cell survival model in a simple way. Therefore, we replace the approximation \( \exp[-k, D] \) in Eq. (1) with *P*. After making this substitution into Eq. (1) and changing the notation to a more convenient one where *c*₃ is renamed *K*₆₃ and *k* is renamed *K*₄₆, we derive the following expression for the effects of protein carbonylation on cell survival through reduction in DSB repair fidelity (*Q*₁):

\[ Q_1 = \exp[-K_{dam}D\exp[-K_{rep}P]] \]  

At low radiation doses *F(D) ~ F(0)*, so *P ~ 1*, that is DSBs are repaired with maximum fidelity for the given cell type and culture conditions, and so *Q₁ decreases with dose with an exponential slope of \( -K_{dam}\exp[-K_{rep}] \). At high radiation doses *F(D) ~ F*ₘₐₓ, so *P ~ 0*, that is DSB repair is strongly compromised, and so *Q₁ decreases with dose with a steeper exponential slope of \( -K_{dam}\).

As mentioned above, in addition to reducing DSB repair fidelity, protein carbonylation can affect cell survival directly, for example by damaging proteins needed for DNA replication, cell cycle progression, and essential metabolic reactions. These effects are represented by the following expression:

\[ Q_2 = X^P \]  

Here the parameter *X* is a measure of the sensitivity of cell survival to alteration/loss of protein function that occurs due to radiogenic protein carbonylation.

Combining the effects of protein carbonylation on DNA repair (*Q*₂) with direct effects on cell survival (*Q*₁) generates the following equation for cell surviving fraction (*S*):

\[ S = Q_1Q_2 \]  

Model Fitting Procedure and Parameter Estimation

Equation (5) which predicts cell survival (*S*) based on radiation dose *D* and measured protein carbonylation *F(D)*, was fitted to cell survival data from Krisko and Radman (4) for each cell type described above (i.e., *D. radiodurans* R1 and recA-, *E. coli* wild-type and Res) exposed to ionizing gamma radiation and ultraviolet radiation.

The data on λ bacteriophage infective centers in *E. coli* were fitted by the expression for *Q₁* only, from Eq. (4) (i.e., assuming *Q₁ = 1*). The rationale for this simplification was that the infective centers data were generated by infecting irradiated *E. coli* cells with unirradiated bacteriophages as described above, and the surviving fraction of bacteriophage infective centers in irradiated compared to unirradiated hosts was assumed to depend only on host protein integrity after irradiation. Of course, the possibility of some indirect radiation-induced damage to bacteriophage DNA cannot be excluded in these experiments. For example, a high concentration of ROS may still be present in irradiated bacterial cells at the time of bacteriophage infection, and these ROS can damage bacteriophage DNA. More experiments would be needed to investigate how extensive and important such indirect DNA damage could be. Before such information is available, we believe that modeling this effect would be premature and unnecessarily complicate the model without enhancing its predictive potential.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Survival endpoint</th>
<th>(F_{\text{max}})</th>
<th>(\gamma) radiation</th>
<th>UV radiation</th>
<th>(K_{\text{rep}})</th>
<th>(X)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(D.\ radiodurans) recA-</td>
<td>Cells</td>
<td>8.50 ± nmol/mg</td>
<td>10.0 kGy (^{-1})</td>
<td>3.99 (3.7, 4.2) (m^2/kJ)</td>
<td>0(^{\circ})</td>
<td>3.88 (3.4, 5.3)(^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D.\ radiodurans) R1</td>
<td>Cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E.\ coli) MG1655</td>
<td>Cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB1000, CB2000</td>
<td>Infective centers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The organisms are those studied in ref. (4) and described in the main text. The parameter interpretations are: \(F_{\text{max}}\) = cellular proteome sensitivity to radiation-induced carbonylation; \(K_{\text{dam}}\) = yield of DNA DSBs per unit of radiation dose for each radiation type (\(\gamma\) radiation or ultraviolet); \(K_{\text{rep}}\) = cellular DSB repair capacity; \(X\) = cell (or infective centers) survival sensitivity to protein carbonylation. Details are described in the main text.

\(^{\circ}\)Parameter fixed at a value based on the data or on literature sources.

\(^{\circ}\)95% confidence intervals are listed in parentheses.

The bacterial strains studied by Krisko and Radman (4) differ greatly in radiosensitivity and survival curve shape (Fig. 1). Wild-type \(D.\ radiodurans\) R1 is well-known for its resistance to ionizing radiation (IR) and ultraviolet radiation (UV), and its survival curve after high-dose-rate exposure is characterized by a broad shoulder (7–9, 12, 17, 19, 21). The mutant \(D.\ radiodurans\) recA- strain, which is severely compromised in its ability to correctly repair DNA DSBs, is killed by much lower radiation doses than the wild-type, and its survival curve generally lacks a shoulder (8, 19).

Wild-type \(E.\ coli\) is almost as sensitive to ionizing radiation as \(D.\ radiodurans\) recA-, and is even more sensitive than \(D.\ radiodurans\) recA- to ultraviolet radiation (4). The radioresistant strains of \(E.\ coli\) (labeled Res here), which were isolated following multiple cycles of ionizing radiation exposure (20), survive higher ionizing radiation doses than wild-type \(E.\ coli\), but are not as resistant as \(D.\ radiodurans\) R1. Both wild-type and radioresistant \(E.\ coli\) strains have survival curves without substantial shoulders.

The model predictions of cell survival based on radiation dose and protein carbonylation are consistent with the data for all analyzed bacterial strains exposed to ionizing and ultraviolet radiation (Fig. 1). The best-fit parameters are shown in Table 1. Model-based interpretations of the data are discussed below.
Protein Oxidation

Analysis of protein carbonylation data reported by Krisko and Radman (4) confirms that in *D. radiodurans* cellular proteins are well protected from IR- and UV-induced oxidation (Fig. 2). In the wild-type R1 strain, the fraction of undamaged proteins important for DNA repair fidelity and cell survival ($P$) remains high up to 10 kGy of ionizing radiation and 2 kJ/m$^2$ of ultraviolet radiation. In the mutant recA- strain, the degree of protein protection is essentially the same as for the R1 strain after ultraviolet radiation, and most likely is the same for ionizing radiation (a direct comparison here was not possible because protein carbonylation was measured only up to 1.6 kGy for the recA-strain). These findings are consistent with the intuitive expectation that the recA- strain is deficient only in DNA DSB repair, but has the normal set of antioxidant mechanisms that protect *D. radiodurans* proteins from oxidation.

In *E. coli*, $P$ declines rapidly (essentially exponentially) with dose at much lower doses of ionizing radiation and ultraviolet radiation than in *D. radiodurans* (Fig. 2). This suggests that antioxidant protective mechanisms are much less efficient in *E. coli* than in *D. radiodurans*. In the radioresistant strains of *E. coli*, these mechanisms have apparently been enhanced compared with the wild-type strain. Therefore, the decline in $P$ with dose is slower for the Res strains than for the wild-type strain.

Model-Based Mechanistic Interpretations

The model fit to the Krisko and Radman (4) data suggests that the maximum DSB repair capacity (parameter $K_{rep}$) may be similar in *D. radiodurans* R1 and in all *E. coli* strains (Table 1). The major differences in radiosensitivity between these bacteria (Fig. 1) can be attributed to two factors: (1) differences in sensitivity to protein carbonylation (parameter $X$, Table 1), and (2) to differences in the ability to protect cellular proteins from carbonylation (i.e., the dose dependence of $P$, Fig. 2).

These seemingly counterintuitive results imply that when proteins are mainly undamaged (i.e., $P \sim 1$), DSBs are repaired with high fidelity in all analyzed bacteria, except for the DSB repair-deficient *D. radiodurans* recA- strain. However, as protein damage accumulates and $P$ is reduced,
DSB repair fidelity declines and the fraction of incorrectly repaired DSBs increases. In wild-type *E. coli* this occurs at comparatively low doses of IR or UV. For radioresistant *E. coli*, larger doses are needed to produce similar effects, and even larger doses are needed for *D. radiodurans* R1 (Fig. 3).

Importantly, the model fit allows the contributions of the following effects to cell killing to be quantified: (1) interactions between DNA and protein damage \([Q_1, \text{Eq. (3)}]\), and (2) direct effects of protein damage \([Q_2, \text{Eq. (4)}]\). The results for all analyzed bacterial strains (and \(\lambda\) bacteriophage infective centers) are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 4. In *D. radiodurans* R1, cell death up to 15 kGy of IR and for all tested UV doses is mainly due to direct effects of protein carbonylation \([Q_2]\). Only at the highest IR dose of 20 kGy does the synergistic interaction between protein and DNA damage \([Q_1]\) begin to play a strong role in cell death. In contrast, in the DSB repair-deficient *D. radiodurans* recA- strain, cell death is dominated by DNA damage \([Q_1]\) at all IR and UV doses studied. In both wild-type and radioresistant *E. coli* strains, and in unirradiated \(\lambda\) bacteriophages grown in irradiated *E. coli* cells, radiation-induced death occurs mainly through the direct effects of protein carbonylation \([Q_2]\). These conclusions can also be visualized when survival is plotted as a function of \(P\) (Fig. 5), rather than as a function of radiation dose.

**DISCUSSION**

Our analysis suggests that the major causes of radiation-induced cell death differ among the organisms studied and depend on both radiation type and radiation dose (Table 2). Wild-type *D. radiodurans* R1 appears to correctly repair DNA damage and survive as long as its proteins are well protected from oxidation. However, when the radiation dose becomes sufficient to overwhelm antioxidant protective mechanisms and proteins become damaged, DNA repair fidelity declines and the fraction of incorrectly repaired DSBs increases. In wild-type *E. coli* this occurs at comparatively low doses of IR or UV. For radioresistant *E. coli*, larger doses are needed to produce similar effects, and even larger doses are needed for *D. radiodurans* R1 (Fig. 3).
fidelity and cell survival decline. The DNA repair-deficient recA- strain protects its proteins as well as the R1 strain, but begins to die at radiation doses that are not large enough to cause heavy protein damage because it is unable to repair the accumulating DNA damage.

For *E. coli*, a different interpretation is suggested: DNA repair in this organism may, in principle, be as good as in *D. radiodurans*, but important proteins are poorly protected from radiogenic oxidation. Consequently, severe protein oxidation kills *E. coli* cells (and prevents replication of unirradiated bacteriophages that infect such cells) at relatively low radiation doses before accumulation of DNA damage has a chance to play a substantial role.

Genetic analysis of the radioresistant strains of *E. coli*, which were selected in the laboratory by repeated cycles of irradiation and exponential growth of the survivors, revealed multiple mutations in genes involved in DNA repair, protein turnover, and various other pathways, as well as prophage deletion (20). The experiments of Krisko and Radman (4) with the same strains show a marked difference in radiation-induced protein carbonylation between the radioresistant and wild-type *E. coli* [Fig. S3 in Supplementary Information of ref. (4)]. Future research is needed to clarify which genetic mechanisms cause these effects. Our model suggests that the radioresistant *E. coli* strains are able to protect their proteins from oxidation better than the wild-type strain, and that this difference in protein protection is a sufficient explanation for the inter-strain differences in radiosensitivity. Of course, this finding does not exclude smaller contributions of other effects, such as some enhancement of DNA repair in the radioresistant strains.

It is important to note that *P*, which is a measure of the percentage of cellular proteins damaged by irradiation, is not the only factor that may contribute to cell death resulting from protein damage. Cellular radiosensitivity may also depend on the total amount of DNA repair proteins present in the cell. For example, a cell that has a large excess of DNA repair proteins may have enough functional proteins left available for correct DNA repair even if a large percentage of the proteins present before exposure have been inactivated by radiation. In contrast, in a cell that has a bare minimum of DNA repair proteins, repair would be strongly compromised if a substantial percentage of these proteins are inactivated by radiation. However, radiation-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Doses</th>
<th>Cell survival</th>
<th>Major cause of cell death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>D. radiodurans</em> R1</td>
<td>Cell survival</td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>0–15 kGy</td>
<td>1.0–0.019</td>
<td>Direct effects of protein damage (Qγ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UV</td>
<td>0–4 kJ/m²</td>
<td>1.0–1.7 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>Interactions between DNA and protein damage (Qγ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>20 kGy</td>
<td>1.5 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>DNA damage (Qγ)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. radiodurans</em> recA-</td>
<td></td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>0–1.6 kGy</td>
<td>1.0–1.2 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>DNA damage (Qγ)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UV</td>
<td>0–3 kJ/m²</td>
<td>1.0–1.0 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em> MG1655, CB1000,</td>
<td>Cell survival, infective centers</td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>0–4 kGy</td>
<td>1.0–1.0 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>Direct effects of protein damage (Qγ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB2000</td>
<td></td>
<td>UV</td>
<td>0–0.36 kJ/m²</td>
<td>1.0–1.6 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. A “major cause of cell death” was defined as a contribution of 50% or more to the logarithm of cell survival (i.e., log[Qγ]/log[S] or log[Qγ]/log[S] ≥ 0.5).

*For the DNA repair-deficient *D. radiodurans* recA- strain the parameter for cellular DSB repair capacity *K*_rep = 0, and so the term Qγ is determined exclusively by accumulation of DNA damage and not by protein damage [Eq. (3)].

**FIG. 4.** Model predictions for the contribution of interactions between DNA and protein damage (Qγ) to cell death caused by ionizing radiation (panel A) and UV radiation (panel B) in different strains of *D. radiodurans* and *E. coli*. The abbreviations used are: IC = λ bacteriophage infective centers. D. r. R1 = *D. radiodurans* R1 (wild-type). D. r. recA- = *D. radiodurans* strain lacking recA function (deficient in DNA DSB repair). E. c. WT = *E. coli* MG1655 (wild-type). E. c. Res = *E. coli* radioresistant strains CB1000 and CB2000 (pooled data).
induced protein oxidation may produce proteins that have not been completely inactivated, but have acquired abnormal function. These abnormal proteins can compete with normal proteins and carry out incorrect DNA repair. Consequently, even a cell with an excess of repair proteins may suffer death from the effects of malfunctioning oxidized proteins. The same arguments may apply to proteins involved in other crucial cell functions. Until the specific proteins most sensitive to radiogenic damage have been identified, a general measure of protein damage such as \( P \) is the most useful predictor of the protein damage-related component of cell death.

Our analysis shows that although \( D. \ radiodurans \) recA- and \( E. \ coli \) strains have similar-looking exponential survival curves, the predicted underlying mechanisms of cell death are very different: DNA damage in \( D. \ radiodurans \) recA- and protein damage in \( E. \ coli \) (Table 2). This suggests that neither the shape of the survival curve (e.g., exponential or shouldered), nor the radiation dose at which cell death occurs (e.g., high or low \( D_{50} \)), are sufficiently good predictors of the major causes of cell death.

It is premature to extrapolate our results, or the model formalism in its current form, to organisms other than the bacteria discussed here. To our knowledge, there are, unfortunately, no data sets on mammalian cells, which would be as powerful as the Krisko and Radman (4) data for differentiating between the contributions of DNA and protein damage to cell death. There are plenty of good studies of radiation-induced DNA damage in mammalian cells at doses of several grays (22), but studies of protein carbonylation in mammalian cells at such doses are scarce and limited. However, there is evidence that substantial protein carbonylation compared with baseline levels occurs in mammalian cells at radiation doses <10 Gy (23, 24). Also, at relatively low radiation doses, when the majority of cellular proteins remain undamaged, some specific sensitive proteins, which are important for DNA repair or other functions may be damaged considerably. More research on radiogenic protein damage in mammalian systems is needed to clarify its role in cell death. Once better data sets on mammalian cells (which contain information on both cell survival and protein damage at each radiation dose) become available, mathematical models constructed by further development of the concepts described here can assist in the task of quantifying the contribution of protein damage in cell death. If the contribution is found to be substantial, development of new strategies for radioprotection/radiosensitization by modulation of radiogenic protein damage could be possible.
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