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Abstract

The radiation-induced bystander effect represents a paradigm shift in our understanding of the radiobiological effects of ionizing radiation, in that extranuclear and extracellular events may also contribute to the final biological consequences of exposure to low doses of radiation. Although radiation-induced bystander effects have been well documented in a variety of biological systems, the mechanism is not known. It is likely that multiple pathways are involved in the bystander phenomenon, and different cell types respond differently to bystander signalling. Using cDNA microarrays, a number of cellular signalling genes, including cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), have been shown to be causally linked to the bystander phenomenon. The observation that inhibition of the phosphorylation of extracellular signal-related kinase (ERK) suppressed the bystander response further confirmed the important role of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling cascade in the bystander process. Furthermore, cells deficient in mitochondrial DNA showed a significantly reduced response to bystander signalling, suggesting a functional role of mitochondria in the signalling process. Inhibitors of nitric oxide (NO) synthase (NOS) and mitochondrial calcium uptake provided evidence that NO and calcium signalling are part of the signalling cascade.

The bystander observations imply that the relevant target for various radiobiological endpoints is larger than an individual cell. A better understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms of the bystander phenomenon, together with evidence of their occurrence in-vivo, will allow us to formulate a more accurate model for assessing the health effects of low doses of ionizing radiation.

Introduction

Radiation is a double-edged sword: on the one hand it is an effective therapeutic modality for the treatment of many types of human cancers; on the other hand, it is a well-known human carcinogen. The lifetime cancer mortality risk from radiation, based on epidemiological data from survivors of the Japanese atomic bombs, is estimated to be 0.05 per sievert (ICRP 2005). However, direct characterization of risk at lower doses is at or beyond the limits of epidemiology. Cancer risks from exposure to ionizing radiation clearly increase at doses above 10cGy, and no obvious threshold dose is detectable (Preston 2005).

At doses below 10cGy, the radiobiological effects are rather complex and are subject to modulations by various competing forces, including bystander effects.

The radiation-induced bystander effect is defined as the induction of biological effects in cells that are not directly traversed by a charged particle, but are in close proximity to cells that are. Interest in this effect was sparked by earlier reports demonstrating that, following a low dose of α-particles, a larger proportion of cells showed biological damage than was estimated to have been hit by an α-particle based on the microdosimetric principle (Nagasawa & Little 1992). Specifically, 30% of the cells showed an increase in sister chromatid exchanges, even though fewer than 1% were calculated to have undergone a nuclear traversal. It is reasonable to assume that the non-hit cells in the vicinity of a hit cell contributed to the induction of biological damage, or a bystander effect. However, the number of cells hit was estimated by a calculation, based on the fluence of α-particles and the cross-sectional area of the cell nucleus. The conclusion was thus based on a statistical argument,
since it was not possible to know which cells were hit on an individual basis and which were not.

The development of single-particle microbeams, which allow a single cell and/or a subcellular compartment to be irradiated selectively with one or multiple particles, has greatly facilitated our understanding of the bystander phenomenon. To demonstrate the induction of a radiation-induced bystander effect unequivocally, studies were conducted using a microbeam where a defined proportion of cells in a confluent monolayer were irradiated individually with a lethal dose of 20 α-particles (Zhou et al 2000). Since dead cells could not produce mutants, the progeny of the irradiated population, in the absence of any interaction between the hit and non-hit cells, should result in a mutant fraction that was comparable to the non-hit cells (i.e. background levels). In actuality, when the experiments were completed it was clear that the non-clonogenically viable cells had influenced the mutant fraction of the non-hit cells, since the incidence among the progeny was 3–4 times higher than expected (Figure 1). This study provided the first clear-cut indication of a radiation-induced bystander phenomenon.

The bystander effect clearly illustrates that direct damage to the DNA of a neighbouring cell is not necessary for radiation-induced heritable effects. In retrospect, evidence for this non-targeted effect has been available for more than six decades. As early as the 1940s, there were reports that the inactivation of biological entities could be brought about equally by ionizations produced within the entity or by ionization of the surrounding medium (Dale 1940; Lea et al 1944). By 1947, Kotval and Gray had shown that α-particles that pass close to the chromatid thread, as well as those that pass through it, have a significant probability of producing chromatid and isochromatid breaks or chromatid exchanges.

**Radiation-induced bystander studies using microbeams**

With the availability of charged-particle microbeams, studies have been conducted with both confluent as well as sparsely populated human and other mammalian cells using a variety of biological endpoints. Both α-particles and protons had been used where a bystander effect was observed among non-hit cells in which either a single or a defined proportion of cells was targeted. Furthermore, increasing the number of particle traversals per cell (Zhou et al 2001; Shao et al 2003) or the total dose delivered to the irradiated fraction (Hu et al 2006) did not increase the intensity of the bystander response. Thus, there is no evidence of a dose response in the bystander effects. Furthermore, addition of the calcium blocker calcio-cludine (Shao et al 2006) or NS-398, an inhibitor of cyclo-oxygenase (COX)-2, significantly reduce the bystander response in human lung fibroblasts (Zhou et al 2005).

**Medium-transfer-mediated bystander effects**

Earlier studies have shown that transfer of medium from an irradiated culture to non-irradiated cells can induce increased biological effects in the latter. Mothersill and Seymour (1997) first demonstrated a highly significant reduction in cloning efficiency in both non-irradiated normal cells as well as malignant epithelial cell lines that had received medium from 60Co-gamma-ray irradiated cultures. These results suggested that irradiated cells secreted a cytotoxic factor into the culture medium that was capable of killing non-irradiated cells. Furthermore, transferring medium from cultures irradiated with low linear energy transfer to un-irradiated cells increases the levels of various bystander effects, such as cell killing (Lyng et al 2000; Nagar et al 2003), neoplastic transformation (Lewis et al 2001) and genomic instability (Mothersill & Seymour 1997). As shown in Figure 2, several adaptations of the medium-transfer approach have been used over the years, utilizing a variety of biological endpoints.

To ascertain whether irradiated medium, with or without accompanying cell cultures, can induce bystander genotoxic endpoints in a human hamster hybrid (A51) cell line, custom-designed double mylar dishes were used. One side (with or without cells, Figure 2) was irradiated with α-particles using a...
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Endpoints:
- Clonogenic survival
- Mutation
- Neoplastic transformation
- γH2AX foci induction
- Apoptosis
- Chromosomal aberrations
- Micronucleus
- Intracellular oxidant levels
- DNA damage signalling

Figure 2  The various approaches used to demonstrate bystander endpoints using medium-transfer experiments. The top panel illustrates track segment irradiation at the Radiological Research Accelerator Facilities of Columbia University (www.raraf.org). Cells plated on stainless steel rings with a 6 μm mylar bottom were exposed to a parallel broad-area beam of monoenergetic helium-3 ions. The ions deposited a fraction of their energy in the target cells and the average linear energy transfer over the segment of the track that penetrated the target was around 90 keV/μm. The middle panel shows a ‘double mylar’ design (Geard et al 2002; Zhou et al 2002) in which irradiated cells plated on the lower mylar bottom secreted soluble mediators into the medium, which modulate the biological behaviour of the non-irradiated cells plated on the upper panel, α-particles having little penetrance. The bottom panel shows the classic medium-transfer experiment as described by Mothersill & Seymour (1997). γH2AX, phosphorylated histone H2AX (a protein often associated with DNA double-strand breaks).

broad beam from the track segment mode of a 4 MeV Van de Graaff accelerator (Zhou et al 2002). Since α-particles can traverse only a very limited distance, cells plated on the other side of a medium-filled mylar dish would not be irradiated by the particles. Non-irradiated target cells attached to the top mylar layer were found to have a much higher number of chromatid-type aberrations when there was a bottom layer of cells in the medium-filled chambers than when just medium alone was present (Suzuki et al 2004). In fact, very few chromatid fragments were induced in the non-hit bystander cells in the top layer when only medium were irradiated. This increase in bystander chromatid breaks showed a time-dependent factor, since the incidence increased with increasing incubation period (Suzuki et al 2004). Furthermore, when transferring the medium from these cell-irradiated dishes to fresh A549 cultures, chromatid-type aberrations were produced in the unirradiated cells. Using the same experimental set up, Zhou et al found no induction of CD59" mutations but an increase in cytotoxicity under similar experimental conditions (Zhou et al 2002). These results suggest that certain, as-yet-unidentified, excreted factor(s) from the irradiated cells on the bottom mylar layer induce some non-repairable chromatid breaks. However, there was no increase in mutagenesis, presumably as a consequence of increased cell death among the putatively mutated bystander cells.

Mechanism of the bystander response

Previous studies using the Columbia microbeam showed that irradiating 5% of a confluent monolayer of cells with a single α-particle resulted in a bystander mutagenic yield that was 58% of the yield when all the cells were irradiated. It is of interest to note that there was no difference in the yield of mutants when the fraction of irradiated cells increased from 10% to 100%. This could be because the fraction of non-irradiated cells in the population that were in direct contact with, and affected by, an irradiated cell had reached a plateau at 10% and suggests that cell density is important in bystander mutagenesis.

Since cell-density dependence of the bystander effect implies cell-to-cell contact in the process, the relationship between gap junctional activity and α-particle-induced bystander mutagenicity was investigated in two ways: (1) the use of drugs such as lindane and octanol to inhibit gap-junction-mediated intercellular communication; (2) using genetically engineered cells that lack gap junctions. In the first approach, several laboratories, including our own, have shown that treatment of cells with non-toxic doses of either lindane or octanol suppressed the bystander response for a variety of endpoints (Azzam et al 1998, 2000; Zhou et al 2000; Lyng et al 2000; Shao et al 2003; Hu et al 2005). Although these results indicate a role of gap junctions in the bystander response, octanol and lindane are non-specific inhibitors of gap junctions, and can have wide-ranging effects on other cellular structures and functions, including membrane fluidity (Dowling-Warriner & Trosko 2000). Therefore, to investigate more specifically the role of gap-junction-mediated cell-to-cell communication in α-particle-induced bystander mutagenicity, it is necessary to use cells in which gap junctional activity is suppressed by a dominant negative connexin construct.
Dominant negative connexin 43 cells show no bystander genotoxic responses

Connexin 43 is the principal protein component of gap junctions and there is good evidence that connexin itself (assembled in a lipid bilayer) is sufficient and necessary for the generation of gap junctional activity (El-Fouly et al 1987), it was found that migration of Lucifer yellow was completely blocked in A549 cells carrying the dominant negative connexin 43 construct (Zhou et al 2001). In contrast, the dye was found to migrate among many cell layers in distance among wild-type A549 cells, as well as in cells carrying a connexin 43 overexpressing construct. In contrast, there was little, if any, bystander effect among cells carrying the dominant negative vector. These data clearly show that the connexin 43 construct is working well in the transfected cells and that gap junction intercellular communication is critical in mediating the bystander mutagenic process.

Cyclooxygenase-2 as a central component of the bystander signalling scheme

A novel mylar design consisting of two concentric stainless rings fitted with mylar bottoms of different thickness has been used to study signalling cascades in bystander cells. The outer ring is fitted with a thin (6 μm) mylar bottom and the inner ring has thicker (38 μm) strips of mylar, thereby creating a bystander population of cells located next to directly hit cells in a confluent culture. Experiments have been conducted with normal human lung fibroblasts (NHLF) to identify genes that are expressed differently in directly irradiated and bystander cells (Zhou et al 2005). Among the 96 genes represented on the platform, the abundance of one message, COX-2, was found to be consistently higher by more than three-fold, while the RNA level of insulin growth factor binding protein-3 (IGFBP3) was found to be consistently lower by more than seven-fold in several analyses of multiple bystander samples (Figure 3). Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was used to confirm the expression levels of these two genes, by comparing expression levels with that of the glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene as an internal control. Levels of the COX-2 protein in the non-irradiated bystander cells were further confirmed by Western blotting. Addition of the COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 (50 μM) suppressed COX-2 activity in NHLF cells and bystander mutagenesis at the hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) locus (Zhou et al 2005). These results indicated that expression of COX-2 is associated with the bystander effect.

Elucidation of the nature of the signalling molecule

Effect of COX-2 inhibitor on bystander mutagenesis

Figure 3 The use of a novel ‘double mylar’ design in which both directly irradiated and bystander cells were cultured on the same vessel. A pathway-specific array was used to identify differentially expressed genes among either non-irradiated or bystander fractions of the double mylar cultures. Two genes were found to be differentially expressed in the bystander cells: a three-fold increase in cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 and a seven-fold decrease in insulin growth factor binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3). To illustrate the functional correlation of COX-2 over-expression with bystander mutagenesis, cultures were concurrently exposed to NS398, a COX-2 inhibitor, which suppressed the bystander mutagenic response (refer to Zhou et al 2005 for detail).

HPRT, hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase.
A decrease in IGFBP3 level increases the binding of insulin growth factor to cell surface receptors, which activate the downstream signalling events, including, among others, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK). Activation of extracellular signal-related kinase (ERK) by phosphorylation is a critical upstream event preceding COX-2 expression. Previous studies have shown a strong upregulation of phospho-ERK levels in bystander NHLF cells 4 h after treatment, and that the ratio of phosphorlated ERK relative to native ERK increased from 2 to 13 among bystander cells (Zhou et al 2008). The observations that addition of PD98059 (50 μM), a specific inhibitor of MEK–ERK (MEK is the immediate upstream activator of ERK), to the culture medium suppressed bystander effects provided further evidence of the role of ERK in the signalling scheme. Using the medium-transfer approach, similar findings on the role of ERK signalling pathways have also been documented with immortalized human keratinocytes (Lyng et al 2006).

**Role of nuclear factor (NF)-κB in the bystander response**

Since NF-κB is an important transcription factor for many signalling genes, including COX-2, it is likely that NF-κB participates in the bystander response. There is clear evidence that α-particle irradiation upregulates NF-κB binding activity in both directly irradiated and bystander cells, while Bay 11-7082, a pharmacological inhibitor of IκB kinase (IKK)/NF-κB, efficiently suppresses this up-regulation and also reduces levels below the basal amount (Zhou et al 2008). This inhibitor of NF-κB activity also efficiently down-regulates expression of COX-2 and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) in both directly irradiated and bystander fibroblasts. Earlier studies using confluent human skin fibroblasts exposed to low fluences of α-particles showed a rapid up-regulation of NF-κB, c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and ERK in the exposed population (Azzam et al 2002) and suggests activation of these stress-inducible signalling pathways in bystander cells. Furthermore, addition of the antioxidant superoxide dismutase (SOD) was found to suppress the induction. Since induction of NF-κB binding activity can be found in both directly irradiated and bystander cells, its role in the bystander response in this study is equivocal.

**Effects of cytokines on the bystander response**

There is recent evidence that exogenous tumour necrosis factor (TNF)α in concert with interleukin (IL)-1β directly controls COX-2 expression in NHLF (Zhou et al 2008). Both TNFα and IL-1β were found to be induced following α-irradiation of NHLF. Introduction of inhibitory monoclonal antibodies (mAb) against TNFα into the cell media substantially decreased levels of NF-κB and JNK, which was accompanied by a pronounced decrease in COX-2 expression in both irradiated and, especially, bystander NHLF (Zhou et al 2008). Furthermore, there is evidence that addition of anti-TNF mAb has a suppressive role on ERK activity among bystander cells (Zhou et al 2008). These studies provide a clear link between the binding of cell surface receptors for the various cytokines and the downstream activation of NF-κB and MAPKs.

**Role of reactive radical species in the bystander response**

Reactive oxygen species, including hydroxyl radicals and superoxide anions, have been implicated in various medium-mediated bystander responses using a variety of endpoints (Azzam et al 1998, 2002; Yang et al 2005; Hu et al 2006; Lyng et al 2006). Since almost all reactive oxygen species have relatively short half-lives, in order for them to be relevant it is likely that they are generated either very close to the target sites or are produced through a continuous cascade of events. There is evidence that the radical-generating scheme of NADPH oxidase is involved in the bystander response (Narayanan et al 1997; Azzam et al 2002). Furthermore, these short-lived, highly reactive radical species have been postulated to be important in the secondary generation of long-lived organic radicals that cause mutations and transformation in human cells (Azzam et al 1998).

The role of reactive nitrogen species, particularly NO, in the bystander response has been investigated extensively using a variety of endpoints. Studies by Matsumoto and colleagues have shown that X-irradiation activates iNOS as early as 3 h post-irradiation and results in an increase in radioresistance among bystander cells (Matsumoto et al 2001). In bystander cells treated with the NO scavenger 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4, 4, 5, 5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide (c-PTIO), the induction of micronuclei (Shao et al 2003, 2004) and γ H2AX foci (Han et al 2007) was significantly reduced, suggesting the role of NO, particularly the constitutive form, in mediating bystander effects.

**Role of mitochondria in the bystander response**

The observations that extracellularly applied antioxidant enzymes such as SOD (Yang et al 2005) and catalase (Lyng et al 2006) can inhibit the medium-mediated bystander response suggests a role for reactive radical species in the bystander process. Since mitochondria are the main source of energy production, as well as generators of free radicals in cells, particularly in pathological and stressful conditions, they are the prime target for the source of these radical species. There is recent evidence that point mutations in the mitochondrial genome (Murphy et al 2005), as well as an increase in mitochondrial mass (Nugent et al 2007), are induced in directly irradiated human papillomavirus-immortalized human keratinocytes exposed to a 5 Gy dose of gamma-rays or by exposure to bystander factor(s) obtained from such cells. Studies using human fibroblasts that are devoid of mitochondrial DNA and therefore have reduced mitochondrial DNA function have provided evidence that mitochondria play an important role in the regulation of radiation-induced bystander effects (Zhou et al 2008).

ρα Cells show a higher bystander response than wild-type ρα cells

To explore the role of mitochondria in the radiation-induced bystander effect, a microbeam was used to lethally irradiate either wild-type (ρα) or mitochondrial-DNA-depleted (ρα) cells with 20 α-particles each in a mixed confluent culture,
and the bystander response was determined in the non-irradiated fraction. When compared with $\rho^+$ cells, $\rho^-$ cells showed a higher bystander $HPRT$ mutagenic response in a confluent monolayer when 10% of the same population was lethally irradiated (Zhou et al 2008). However, using mixed cultures of $\rho^+$ and $\rho^-$ cells and targeting only one population of cells with a lethal dose of $\alpha$-particles, a decreased bystander mutagenesis was uniformly found with both cell types, indicating that mitochondrial-deficient cells cannot effectively communicate the bystander signals to wild-type cells. Alternatively, signals from one cell type may modulate expression of the bystander response in another cell type.

**The unifying model of bystander effect**

The mechanism of the radiation-induced bystander effect, whether involving cell-to-cell contact or mediated by soluble factors, is not clear and is likely to be complex, involving multiple pathways. It is clear, however, that $p53$ gene function is not necessary for the effect, since cells without normal $p53$ function (such as CHO cells) show a large bystander response in either bystander pathway. It is likely that multiple signalling cascades involving both an initiating event and downstream signalling steps are necessary to mediate the bystander process. Previous studies have shown that COX-2 is critically linked to the radiation-induced bystander effect in normal human fibroblasts (Zhou et al 2005). There is evidence that NO can induce expression of COX-2 in mouse skin and cultured human airway epithelial cells, and that the NF-κB pathway is involved in the process (Watkins et al 1997; Chun et al 2004). The recent finding that Bay 11-7082, a specific IKK/NF-κB inhibitor, can eliminate bystander mutagenesis in both wild-type and $\rho^-$ cells highlights the important role of this transcription factor in the bystander phenomenon.

As illustrated in the proposed bystander signalling scheme (Figure 4), the bindings of various cytokines to their respective surface receptors are excellent candidates in mediating bystander effects. Ionizing radiation is a strong inducer of the ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM)–IKK–NF-κB signalling pathway (Hacker & Karin 2006), which is further involved in the up-regulation of $TNF_\alpha$ gene expression (Karin 2006). As illustrated, NF-κB directly controls gene expression of COX-2 and iNOS. Secreted or membrane-associated forms of TNF$\alpha$ could induce bystander effects in non-irradiated cells via activation of COX-2 gene expression, as reported recently (Zhou et al 2008). COX-2 is a member of the COX family of genes,
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which have important functions in mediating the cellular immune response. Of the two known isoforms, COX-2 is inducible following treatment with different growth factors and cytokines such as transforming growth factor (TGF)\(\beta\), TNF\(\alpha\), IL1\(\beta\) and different stress factors (Picot & Garavito 1994). All of these stimuli induce Ras–Raf–MEK–ERK–activation protein (AP)-1 and IKK–NF-\(\kappa\)B pathways and finally target COX-2 gene transcription. COX-2 is the initial and rate-limiting enzymatic step in the metabolism of arachidonic acid into a complex group of signalling lipid mediators called prosta-glandins (Marnett 1992), which play important roles in modu-lating cellular inflammation, carcinogenesis and genomic instability. Since the inflammatory response ultimately involves reactive radical species, this provides a positive-feedback mechanism to target mitochondrial and other membranous structures to perpetuate the induction of reactive radical species.

As shown previously in cells with functional mitochondria, up-regulation of COX-2 was detected in both directly irradiated and bystander cells (Zhou et al 2008). Thus, the observation that Bay 11-7082, an inhibitor of IKK–NF-\(\kappa\)B activation, can inhibit the bystander response provides clear evidence that the NF-\(\kappa\)B–COX-2–PGE\(_2\) and NF-\(\kappa\)B–iNOS–NO pathways are critical for the radiation-induced bystander effect in cells with functional mitochondria. However, in \(\beta^+\) cells, the contribution of COX-2 to the bystander process is less pronounced, while the NF-\(\kappa\)B–iNOS–NO pathway actively operates, although at lower level compared with normal cells.

Elevated expression of iNOS and COX-2 induces production of NO and reactive oxygen species, which destroy normal mitochondrial function. It is likely that mitochondrial damage results in leakage of mitochondrial membranes, allowing release of superoxide anions into the cytosol and increasing oxidative stress on the cells (Agarwall & Sohal 1994; Wei 1998). The observation that SOD and catalase, both large molecules that do not enter the cells freely, are able to reduce the bystander responses is consistent with the following sequence of events in the generation of oxyradicals: superoxide \(\rightarrow\) hydrogen peroxide \(\rightarrow\) hydroxyl radicals. Hydrogen peroxide can move freely between intracellular and extracellular compartments, and its removal in the presence of extracellularly applied catalase and SOD results in a decrease in intracellular oxidative stress. This decrease in oxidant level may reduce mitochondrial membrane damage and a corresponding decrease in the bystander response.

**Conclusion**

The radiation-induced bystander effect is strictly a low-dose phenomenon since at high doses the bystander effect observed at low doses will be largely overshadowed by direct damage to cells. The bystander effect contributes to the debate as to the validity of the linear no-threshold model for low-dose radiation risk assessment by implying that the biological effects of low doses, where not all cells are traversed by a charged particle, are amplified by the transfer of factors to non-irradiated neighbours. Previous findings that mutations in the nuclei of hit cells can be induced by targeted cytoplasmic irradiation (Wu et al 1999), which can further result in a bystander effect in mammalian cells (Shao et al 2006), suggest that the radiation-sensitive target is more than just the nucleus. The abscopal or out-of-field phenomenon would imply that bystander effects coordinate a complex interplay involving organs, tissues and cells. Although many of the bystander responses reported thus far have been detrimental in nature (e.g. oncogenic transformation, mutations and chromosomal aberrations) (see Hall & Hei 2003, Morgan 2003 for reviews), protective effects have also been reported (e.g induction of terminal differentiation) (Belyakov et al 2006) and apoptosis of potentially damaged cells (Coates et al 2004). On the other hand, there is evidence that bystander cells also show increased genomic instability, a predisposing factor for carcinogenesis. Hence the contribution of bystander effects in radiation risk assessment has to be evaluated in terms of tissue context, the phenotypic behaviour of their progeny and the presence of other competing low-dose effects, which include adaptive response, genomic instability and individual genetic susceptibility.

Thus far, most of the published data on bystander effects have been largely phenomenological in nature. In the future, mechanistic-based studies that can provide insight into the nature of the signalling molecule(s), the clinical relevance of the bystander effects and ways in which the bystander phenomenon can be manipulated to increase therapeutic gain in radiotherapy should be considered as priorities for investigators in the field.
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