Global warming in the pipeline

- 2 James E. Hansen,¹ Makiko Sato,¹ Leon Simons,² Larissa S. Nazarenko,³,⁴ Isabelle Sangha,¹
- 3 Karina von Schuckmann,⁵ Norman G. Loeb,⁶ Matthew B. Osman,⁷ Qinjian Jin,⁸ Pushker
- 4 Kharecha,¹ George Tselioudis,³ Eunbi Jeong,⁹ Andrew Lacis,³ Reto Ruedy,^{3,10} Gary
- 5 Russell,³ Junji Cao,¹¹ Jing Li¹²
- *Correspondence: James E. Hansen <jeh1@columbia.edu>
- 8

9 **ABSTRACT**

- 10 Improved knowledge of glacial-to-interglacial global temperature change implies that fast-
- 11 feedback equilibrium climate sensitivity (ECS) is 1.2 ± 0.3 °C (2 σ) per W/m², which is 4.8 °C \pm
- 12 1.2°C for doubled CO₂. Consistent analysis of temperature over the full Cenozoic era including
- 13 "slow" feedbacks by ice sheets and trace gases supports this ECS and implies that CO₂ was
- 14 300-350 ppm in the Pliocene and about 450 ppm at transition to a nearly ice-free planet, thus
- 15 exposing unrealistic lethargy of ice sheet models. Equilibrium global warming including slow
- 16 feedbacks for today's human-made greenhouse gas (GHG) climate forcing (4.1 W/m^2) is 10° C,
- 17 reduced to 8°C by today's aerosols. Decline of aerosol emissions since 2010 should increase the
- 18 1970-2010 global warming rate of 0.18°C per decade to a post-2010 rate of at least 0.27°C per
- 19 decade. Under the current geopolitical approach to GHG emissions, global warming will likely
- 20 pierce the 1.5°C ceiling in the 2020s and 2°C before 2050. Impacts on people and nature will
- 21 accelerate as global warming pumps up hydrologic extremes. The enormity of consequences
- demands a return to Holocene-level global temperature. Required actions include: 1) a global
- increasing price on GHG emissions, 2) East-West cooperation in a way that accommodates
 developing world needs, and 3) intervention with Earth's radiation imbalance to phase down
- developing world needs, and 3) intervention with Earth's radiation imbalance to phase down
 today's massive human-made "geo-transformation" of Earth's climate. These changes will not
- 26 happen with the current geopolitical approach, but current political crises present an opportunity
- 27 for reset, especially if young people can grasp their situation.

¹ Climate Science, Awareness and Solutions, Columbia University Earth Institute, New York, NY, USA

² The Club of Rome Netherlands, 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands

³ NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, NY, USA

⁴ Center for Climate Systems Research, Columbia University Earth Institute, New York, NY, USA

⁵ Mercator Ocean International, Ramonville St.-Agne, France

⁶ NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA

⁷ Department of Geosciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

⁸ Department of Geography and Atmospheric Science, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA

⁹ CSAS KOREA, Goyang, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea

¹⁰ Business Integra, Inc., New York, NY, USA

¹¹ Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

¹² Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing, China

1. BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND STRUCTURE OF PAPER

29 It has been known since the 1800s that infrared-absorbing (greenhouse) gases (GHGs) warm

30 Earth's surface and that the abundance of GHGs changes naturally as well as from human

- 31 actions.^{1,2} Roger Revelle wrote in 1965 that we are conducting a "vast geophysical experiment"
- 32 by burning fossil fuels that accumulated in Earth's crust over hundreds of millions of years.³
- 33 Carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the air is now increasing and already has reached levels that have not
- 34 existed for millions of years, with consequences that have yet to be determined. Jule Charney led
- a study in 1979 by the United States National Academy of Sciences that concluded that doubling
- 36 of atmospheric CO₂ was likely to cause global warming of $3 \pm 1.5^{\circ}$ C.⁴ Charney added:
- 37 "However, we believe it is quite possible that the capacity of the intermediate waters of the
- 38 ocean to absorb heat could delay the estimated warming by several decades."
- 39 After U.S. President Jimmy Carter signed the 1980 Energy Security Act, which included a focus
- 40 on unconventional fossil fuels such as coal gasification and rock fracturing ("fracking") to
- 41 extract shale oil and tight gas, the U.S. Congress asked the National Academy of Sciences again
- 42 to assess potential climate effects. Their massive *Changing Climate* report had a measured tone
- 43 on energy policy amounting to a call for research.⁵ Was not enough known to caution
- 44 lawmakers against taxpayer subsidy of the most carbon-intensive fossil fuels? Perhaps the
- 45 equanimity was due in part to a major error: the report assumed that the delay of global warming
- 46 caused by the ocean's thermal inertia is 15 years, independent of climate sensitivity. With that
- 47 assumption, they concluded that climate sensitivity for $2 \times CO_2$ is near or below the low end of
- 48 Charney's 1.5-4.5°C range. If climate sensitivity was low and the lag between emissions and
- 49 climate response was only 15 years, climate change would not be nearly the threat that it is.
- 50 Simultaneous with preparation of *Changing Climate*, climate sensitivity was addressed at the
- 51 1982 Ewing Symposium at the Lamont Doherty Geophysical Observatory of Columbia
- 52 University on 25-27 October, with papers published in January 1984 as a monograph of the
- 53 American Geophysical Union.⁶ Paleoclimate data and global climate modeling together led to an
- 54 inference that climate sensitivity is in the range 2.5-5°C for $2 \times CO_2$ and that climate response
- 55 time to a forcing is of the order of a century, not 15 years.⁷ Thus, the concept that a large amount
- of additional human-made warming is already "in the pipeline" was introduced. E.E. David, Jr.,
- 57 President of Exxon Research and Engineering, in his keynote talk at the symposium insightfully
- noted⁸: "The critical problem is that the environmental impacts of the CO_2 buildup may be so long delayed. A look at the theory of feedback systems shows that where there is such a long
- 59 long delayed. A look at the theory of feedback systems shows that where there is such a lon
- 60 delay, the system breaks down, unless there is anticipation built into the loop."
- 61 Thus, the danger caused by climate's delayed response and the need for anticipatory action to
- 62 alter the course of fossil fuel development was apparent to scientists and the fossil fuel industry
- 63 40 years ago.⁹ Yet industry chose to long deny the need to change energy course,¹⁰ and now,
- 64 while governments and financial interests connive, most industry adopts a "greenwash" approach
- 65 that threatens to lock in perilous consequences for humanity. Scientists will share responsibility,
- 66 if we allow governments to rely on goals for future global GHG levels, as if targets had meaning
- 67 in the absence of policies required to achieve them.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was established in 1988 to provide

- 69 scientific assessments on the state of knowledge about climate change¹¹ and almost all nations
- agreed to the 1992 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change¹² with the
- objective to avert "dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system." The current
- 72 IPCC Working Group 1 report¹³ provides a best estimate of 3°C for equilibrium global climate
- respectively to $2 \times CO_2$ and describes shutdown of the overturning ocean circulations and large sea
- 74 level rise on the century time scale as "high impact, low probability" even under extreme GHG
- 75 growth scenarios. This contrasts with "high impact, high probability" assessments reached in a $\frac{76}{1000}$
- paper¹⁴ hereafter abbreviated *Ice Melt* that several of us published in 2016. Recently, our paper's first author (JEH) described a long-time effort to understand the effect of ocean mixing
- 77 paper's first aution (JEEF) described a long-time effort to understand the effect of ocean mixing 78 and aerosols on observed and projected climate change, which led to a conclusion that most
- real actosols on observed and projected chinate change, which led to a conclusion that most real climate models are unrealistically insensitive to freshwater injected by melting ice and that ice
- 80 sheet models are unrealistically lethargic in the face of rapid, large climate change.¹⁵
- 81 Eelco Rohling, editor of Oxford Open Climate Change, invited a perspective article on these
- 82 issues. Our principal motivation in this paper is concern that IPCC has underestimated climate
- 83 sensitivity and understated the threat of large sea level rise and shutdown of ocean overturning
- 84 circulations, but these issues, because of their complexity, must be addressed in two steps. Our
- 85 present paper addresses climate sensitivity and warming in the pipeline, concluding that these
- 86 exceed IPCC's best estimates. Response of ocean circulation and ice sheet dynamics to global
- 87 warming– already outlined in the *Ice Melt* paper will be addressed further in a later paper.¹⁶
- 88 The structure of our present paper is as follows. Section 2 (Climate Sensitivity) makes a fresh
- 89 evaluation of Charney's equilibrium climate sensitivity (ECS) based on improved paleoclimate
- 90 data and introduces Earth system sensitivity (ESS), which includes the feedbacks that Charney
- 91 held fixed. Section 3 (Climate Response Time) explores the fast-feedback response time of
- 92 Earth's temperature and energy imbalance to an imposed forcing, concluding that cloud
- 93 feedbacks buffer heat uptake by the ocean, thus increasing the delay in surface warming and 94 making Earth's energy imbalance an underestimate of the forcing reduction required to stabilize
- making Earth's energy imbalance an underestimate of the forcing reduction required to stabilize
 climate. Section 4 (Cenozoic Era) analyzes temperature change of the past 66 million years,
- 95 chinate. Section 4 (Cenozoic Era) analyzes temperature change of the past 66 million years,
 96 tightens evaluation of climate sensitivity, and assesses the history of CO₂, thus providing insights
- about climate change. Section 5 (Aerosols) addresses the absence of aerosol forcing data via
- 98 inferences from paleo data and modern global temperature change, and we point out potential
- 99 information in "the great inadvertent aerosol experiment" provided by recent restrictions on fuels
- 100 in international shipping. Section 6 (Summary) discusses policy implications of high climate
- 101 sensitivity and the delayed response of the climate system. Warming in the pipeline need not
- 102 appear. We can take actions that slow and reverse global warming; indeed, we suggest that such
- 103 actions are needed to avoid disastrous consequences for humanity and nature. Reduction of
- 104 greenhouse gas emissions as rapidly as practical has highest priority, but that policy alone is now
- 105 inadequate and must be complemented by additional actions to affect Earth's energy balance.
- 106 The world is still early in this "vast geophysical experiment" as far as consequences are
- 107 concerned but time has run short for the "anticipation" that E.E. David recommended.

108 2. CLIMATE SENSITIVITY (ECS AND ESS)

109 This section gives a brief overview of the history of ECS estimates since the Charney report and

110 uses glacial-to-interglacial climate change to infer an improved estimate of ECS. We discuss

111 how ECS and the more general Earth system sensitivity (ESS) depend upon the climate state.

112 Charney defined ECS as the eventual global temperature change caused by doubled CO₂ if ice

sheets, vegetation and long-lived GHGs are fixed (except the specified CO₂ doubling). Other

114 quantities affecting Earth's energy balance – clouds, aerosols, water vapor, snow cover and sea

115 ice – change rapidly in response to climate change. Thus, Charney's ECS is also called the "fast

116 feedback" climate sensitivity. Feedbacks interact in many ways, so their changes are calculated

117 in global climate models (GCMs) that simulate such interactions. Charney implicitly assumed

118 that change of the ice sheets on Greenland and Antarctica – which we categorize as a "slow

119 feedback" – was not important on time scales of most public interest.

120 ECS defined by Charney is a gedanken concept that helps us study the effect of human-made and

121 natural climate forcings. If knowledge of ECS were based only on models, it would be difficult

122 to narrow the range of estimated climate sensitivity – or have confidence in any range – because

123 we do not know how well feedbacks are modeled or if the models include all significant real-

124 world feedbacks. Cloud and aerosol interactions are complex, e.g., and even small cloud changes

125 can have a large effect. Thus, data on Earth's paleoclimate history are essential, allowing us to

126 compare different climate states, knowing that all feedbacks operated.

127 2.1. Climate sensitivity estimated at the 1982 Ewing Symposium

128 Climate sensitivity was addressed in our paper⁷ for the Ewing Symposium monograph using the 129 feedback framework implied by E.E. David and employed by electrical engineers.¹⁷ The climate 130 forcing caused by $2 \times CO_2$ – the imposed perturbation of Earth's energy balance – is ~ 4 W/m². If

131 there were no climate feedbacks and Earth radiated energy to space as a perfect black surface,

132 Earth's temperature would need to increase ~ 1.2° C to increase radiation to space 4 W/m² and

133 restore energy balance. However, feedbacks occur in the real world and in GCMs. In our GCM

134 the equilibrium response to $2 \times CO_2$ was $4^{\circ}C$ warming of Earth's surface. Thus, the fraction of

equilibrium warming due directly to the CO_2 change was 0.3 (1.2°C/4°C) and the feedback

136 "gain," g, was 0.7 (2.8°C/4°C). Algebraically, ECS and feedback gain are related by

137 $ECS = 1.2^{\circ}C/(1-g).$

138 We evaluated contributions of individual feedback processes to g by inserting changes of water

(1)

139 vapor, clouds, and surface albedo (reflectivity, literally whiteness, due to sea ice and snow

140 changes) from the $2 \times CO_2$ GCM simulation one-by-one into a one-dimensional radiative-

141 convective model,¹⁸ finding $g_{wv} = 0.4$, $g_{cl} = 0.2$, $g_{sa} = 0.1$, where g_{wv} , g_{cl} , and g_{sa} are the water

142 vapor, cloud and surface albedo gains. The 0.2 cloud gain was about equally from a small

143 increase in cloud top height and a small decrease in cloud cover. These feedbacks all seemed

reasonable, but how could we verify their magnitudes or the net ECS due to all feedbacks?

145 We recognized the potential of emerging paleoclimate data. Early data from polar ice cores 146 revealed that atmospheric CO_2 was much less during glacial periods and the CLIMAP project¹⁹

- 147 used proxy data to reconstruct global surface conditions during the Last Glacial Maximum
- 148 (LGM), which peaked about 20,000 years ago. A powerful constraint was the fact that Earth had
- to be in energy balance averaged over the several millennia of the LGM. However, when we
- 150 employed CLIMAP boundary conditions including sea surface temperatures (SSTs), Earth was
- 151 out of energy balance, radiating 2.1 W/m^2 to space., i.e., Earth was trying to cool off with an
- enormous energy imbalance, equivalent to half of $2 \times CO_2$ forcing.
- 153 Something was wrong with either assumed LGM conditions or our climate model. We tried
- 154 CLIMAP's maximal land ice this only reduced the energy imbalance from 2.1 to 1.6 W/m^2 .
- 155 Moreover, we had taken LGM CO_2 as 200 ppm and did not know that CH_4 and N_2O were less in
- the LGM than in the present interglacial period; accurate GHGs and CLIMAP SSTs produce a
- 157 planetary energy imbalance close to 3 W/m^2 . Most feedbacks in our model were set by CLIMAP.
- 158 Sea ice is set by CLIMAP. Water vapor depends on surface temperature, which is set by
- 159 CLIMAP SSTs. Cloud feedback is uncertain, but ECS smaller than 2.4° C for $2\times$ CO₂ would 160 require a negative cloud gain. $g_{cl} \sim 0.2$ from our GCM increases ECS from 2.4° C to 4° C (eq. 1)
- and accounts for almost the entire difference of sensitivities of our model ($4^{\circ}C$ for $2 \times CO_2$) and
- the Manabe and Stouffer model²⁰ (2° C for $2 \times CO_2$) that had fixed cloud cover and cloud height.
- 162 the Manabe and Stourier model $(2 \text{ C for } 2 \times \text{CO}_2)$ that had fixed cloud cover and cloud height. 163 Manabe suggested²¹ that our higher ECS was due to a too-large sea ice and snow feedback, but
- 164 we noted⁷ that sea ice in our control run was less than observed, so we likely understated sea ice
- 165 feedback. Amplifying feedback due to high clouds increasing in height with warming is expected
- and is found in observations, large-eddy simulations and GCMs.²² Sherwood *et al.*²³ conclude
- 167 that negative low-cloud feedback is "neither credibly suggested by any model, nor by physical
- 168 principles, nor by observations." Despite a wide spread among models, GCMs today show an
- amplifying cloud feedback due to increases in cloud height and decreases in cloud amount,
- 170 despite increases in cloud albedo.²⁴ These cloud changes are found in all observed cloud regimes
- 171 and locations, implying robust thermodynamic control.²⁵
- 172 CLIMAP SSTs were a more likely cause of the planetary energy imbalance. Co-author D. Peteet
- used pollen data to infer LGM tropical and subtropical cooling 2-3°C greater than in a GCM
- 174 forced by CLIMAP SSTs. D. Rind and Peteet found that montane LGM snowlines in the tropics
- 175 descended 1 km in the LGM, inconsistent with climate constrained by CLIMAP SSTs. CLIMAP
- assumed that tiny shelled marine species migrate to stay in a temperature zone they inhabit
- today. But what if these species partly adapt over millennia to changing temperature? Based on
- 178 the work of Rind and Peteet, later published,²⁶ we suspected but could not prove that CLIMAP
- 179 SSTs were too warm.
- 180 Based on GCM simulations for 2×CO₂, on our feedback analysis for the LGM, and on observed
- 181 global warming in the past century, we estimated that ECS was in the range $2.5-5^{\circ}$ C for $2 \times CO_2$.
- 182 If CLIMAP SSTs were accurate, ECS was near the low end of that range. In contrast, our
- analysis implied that ECS for $2 \times CO_2$ was in the upper half of the 2.5-5°C range, but our analysis
- 184 depended in part on our GCM, which had sensitivity 4°C for 2×CO₂. To resolve the matter, a
- paleo thermometer independent of biologic adaptation was needed. Several decades later, such a
- 186 paleo thermometer and advanced analysis techniques exist. We will use recent studies to infer
- 187 our present best estimates for ECS and ESS. First, however, we will comment on other estimates
- 188 of climate sensitivity and clarify the definition of climate forcings that we employ.

189 2.2. IPCC and independent climate sensitivity estimates

- 190 Reviews of climate sensitivity are available, e.g., Rohling *et al.*,²⁷ which focuses on the physics
- 191 of the climate system, and Sherwood *et al.*,²³ which adds emphasis on probabilistic combination
- 192 of multiple uncertainties. Progress in narrowing the uncertainty in climate sensitivity was slow in
- 193 the first five IPCC assessment reports. The fifth assessment report²⁸ (AR5) in 2014 concluded
- 194 only with 66% probability that ECS was in the range 1.5-4.5°C, the same as Charney's report
- 195 35 years earlier. The broad spectrum of information on climate change especially constraints
- imposed by paleoclimate data at last affected AR6,¹³ which concluded with 66% probability
- 197 that ECS is $2.5-4^{\circ}$ C, with 3° C as their best estimate (AR6 Fig. TS.6).
- 198 Sherwood *et al.*²³ combine three lines of evidence: climate feedback studies, historical climate
- 199 change, and paleoclimate data, inferring $S = 2.6-3.9^{\circ}$ C with 66% probability for 2×CO₂, where S
- 200 is an "effective sensitivity" relevant to a 150-year time scale. They find ECS only slightly larger:
- 201 2.6-4.1°C with 66% probability. Climate feedback studies, inherently, cannot yield a sharp
- 202 definition of ECS, as we showed in the cloud feedback discussion above. Earth's climate system
- 203 includes amplifying feedbacks that push the gain, g, closer to unity than zero, thus making ECS
- sensitive to uncertainty in any feedback; the resulting sensitivity of ECS to g prohibits precise
- 205 evaluation from feedback analysis. Similarly, historical climate change cannot define ECS well
- because the aerosol climate forcing is unmeasured. Also, forced and unforced ocean dynamics
 give rise to a pattern effect:²⁹ the geographic pattern of transient and equilibrium temperature
- 207 give fise to a pattern effect. The geographic pattern of transient and equilibrium temperature 208 changes differ, which affects ECS inferred from transient climate change. These difficulties help
- explain how Sherwood *et al.*²³ could estimate ECS as only 6% larger than *S*, an implausible
- result in view of the ocean's great thermal inertia. An intercomparison of GCMs run for
- 211 millennial time scales, LongRunMIP,³⁰ includes 14 simulations of 9 GCMs with runs of 5,000
- 212 years (or close enough for extrapolation to 5,000 years). Their global warmings at 5,000 years
- 213 range from 30% to 80% larger than their 150-year responses.
- Our approach is to compare glacial and interglacial equilibrium climate states. The change of
- atmospheric and surface forcings can be defined accurately, thus leading to a sharp evaluation of ECS for cases in which equilibrium response is assured. With this knowledge in hand, additional
- 217 information can be extracted from historical and paleo climate changes.

218 **2.3. Climate forcing definitions**

- 219 Attention to climate forcing definitions is essential for quantitative analysis of climate change.
- However, readers uninterested in radiative forcings may skip this section with little penalty. We
- describe our climate forcing definition and compare our forcings with those of IPCC. Our total
- 222 GHG forcing matches that of IPCC within a few percent, but this close fit hides larger
- 223 differences in individual forcings that deserve attention.
- 224 Equilibrium global surface temperature change is related to ECS by

225
$$\Delta T_s \sim F \times ECS = F \times \lambda$$
,

- 226 where λ is a widely used abbreviation of ECS, ΔT_S is the global mean equilibrium surface
- 227 temperature change in response to climate forcing F, which is measured in W/m^2 averaged over
- 228 the entire planetary surface. There are alternative ways to define F, as discussed in Chapter 8^{31} of

(2)

- AR5 and in a paper³² hereafter called *Efficacy*. Objectives are to find a definition of F such that
- 230 different forcing mechanisms of the same magnitude yield a similar global temperature change,
- but also a definition that can be computed easily and reliably. The first four IPCC reports used
- adjusted forcing, F_a, which is Earth's energy imbalance after stratospheric temperature adjusts to
- 233 presence of the forcing agent. F_a usually yields a consistent response among different forcing
- agents, but there are exceptions such as black carbon aerosols; F_a exaggerates their impact. Also,
- F_a is awkward to compute and depends on definition of the tropopause, which varies among
- 236 models. F_s , the fixed SST forcing (including fixed sea ice), is more robust than F_a as a predictor
- of climate response,^{32,33} but a GCM is required to compute F_s . In *Efficacy*, F_s is defined as

 $238 \qquad F_s = F_o + \delta T_o / \lambda$

(3)

239 where F_0 is Earth's energy imbalance after atmosphere and land surface adjust to the presence of 240 the forcing agent with SST fixed. F_{0} is not a full measure of the strength of a forcing, because a 241 portion (δT_0) of the equilibrium warming is already present as F_0 is computed. A GCM run of 242 about 100 years is needed to accurately define F_0 because of unforced atmospheric variability. 243 That GCM run also defines δT_0 , the global mean surface air temperature change caused by the 244 forcing with SST fixed. λ is the model's ECS in °C per W/m². $\delta T_0/\lambda$ is the portion of the total 245 forcing (F_s) that is "used up" in causing the δT_0 warming; radiative flux to space increases by 246 $\delta T_0/\lambda$ due to warming of the land surface and global air. The term $\delta T_0/\lambda$ is usually, but not 247 always, less than 10% of F_o. Thus, it is better not to neglect $\delta T_o/\lambda$. IPCC AR5 and AR6 define 248 effective radiative forcing as ERF = F_0 . Omission of $\delta T_0 / \lambda$ was intentional³¹ and is not an issue if 249 the practice is followed consistently. However, when the forcing is used to calculate global 250 surface temperature response, the forcing to use is F_{s} , not F_{o} . It would be useful if both F_{o} and 251 δT_{o} were reported for all climate models.

252 A further refinement of climate forcing is suggested in *Efficacy*: effective forcing (Fe) defined by 253 a long GCM run with calculated ocean temperature. The resulting global surface temperature 254 change, relative to that for equal CO₂ forcing, defines the forcing's efficacy. Effective forcings, 255 F_e , were found to be within a few percent of F_s for most forcing agents, i.e., the results confirm 256 that F_s is a robust forcing. This support is for F_s , not for $F_o = ERF$, which is systematically smaller than F_s. The Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) GCM^{34,35} used for CMIP6³⁶ 257 258 studies, which we label the GISS (2020) model,³⁷ has higher resolution ($2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ and 40 atmospheric layers) and other changes that yield a moister upper troposphere and lower 259 260 stratosphere, relative to the GISS model used in Efficacy. GHG forcings reported for the GISS (2020) model^{34,35} are smaller than in prior GISS models, a change attributed³⁵ to blanketing by 261 high level water vapor. However, part of the change is from comparison of F_o in GISS (2020) to 262 F_{s} in earlier models. The 2×CO₂ fixed SST simulation with the GISS (2020) model yields F_{0} = 263 3.59 W/m^2 , $\delta T_0 = 0.27^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $\lambda = 0.9 ^{\circ}\text{C}$ per W/m². Thus $F_S = 3.59 + 0.30 = 3.89 \text{ W/m}^2$, which is 264

265 only 5.4% smaller than the $F_s = 4.11 \text{ W/m}^2$ for the GISS model used in *Efficacy*.

 $266 \qquad \text{Our GHG effective forcing, } F_e, \text{ was obtained in two steps. Adjusted forcings, } F_a, \text{ were calculated}$

267 for each gas for a large range of gas amount with a global-mean radiative-convective model that $\frac{1}{29}$

incorporated the GISS GCM radiation code, which uses the correlated k-distribution method³⁸

and high spectral resolution laboratory data.³⁹ The F_a are converted to effective forcings (F_e) via

efficacy factors (E_a; Table 1 of *Efficacy*) based on GCM simulations that include the 3-D

271 distribution of each gas. The total GHG forcing is



272

Fig. 1. IPCC AR6 Annex III greenhouse gas forcing,¹³ which employs F_a for O_3 and F_o for other GHGs, compared with the effective forcing, F_e , from Eq. (4). See discussion in text.

275 $F_e = F_a(CO_2) + 1.45 F_a(CH_4) + 1.04 F_a(N_2O) + 1.32 F_a(MPTGs + OTGs) + 0.45 F_a(O_3).$ (4)

276 The CH₄ coefficient (1.45) includes the effect of CH₄ on O_3 and stratospheric H₂O, as well as the

efficacy (1.10) of CH₄ per se. We assume that CH₄ is responsible for 45% of the O_3 change.⁴⁰

Forcing caused by the remaining 55% of the O_3 change is based on IPCC AR6 O_3 forcing ($F_a =$

279 0.47 W/m² in 2019); we multiply this AR6 O₃ forcing by $0.55 \times 0.82 = 0.45$, where 0.82 is the

280 efficacy of O₃ forcing from Table 1 of *Efficacy*. Thus, the non-CH₄ portion of the O₃ forcing is

281 0.21 W/m² in 2019. MPTGs and OTGs are Montreal Protocol Trace Gases and Other Trace

282 Gases.⁴¹ A list of these gases and a table of annual forcings since 1992 are <u>available</u> as well as

the <u>earlier data</u>.⁴²

284 The climate forcing from our formulae is slightly larger than IPCC AR6 forcings (Fig. 1). In

- 285 2019, the final year of AR6 data, our GHG forcing is 4.00 W/m^2 ; the AR6 forcing is 3.84 W/m^2 .
- Our forcing should be larger, because IPCC forcings are F_0 for all gases except O_3 , for which
- they provide F_a (AR6 section 7.3.2.5). Table 1 in *Efficacy* allows accurate comparison: δT_o for
- 288 2×CO₂ for the GISS model used in *Efficacy* is 0.22°C, λ is 0.67°C per W/m², so $\delta T_0/\lambda = 0.33$
- 289 W/m². Thus, the conversion factor from F_0 to F_e (or F_s) is 4.11/(4.11–0.33). The non-O₃ portion
- 290 of AR6 2019 forcing (3.84 0.47 = 3.37) W/m² increases to 3.664 W/m². The O₃ portion of the
- AR6 2019 forcing (0.47 W/m²) decreases to 0.385 W/m² because the efficacy of $F_a(O_3)$ is 0.82.
- 292 The AR6 GHG forcing in 2019 is thus ~ 4.05 W/m², expressed as $F_e \sim F_s$, which is ~1% larger
- than follows from our formulae. This precise agreement is not indicative of the true uncertainty
- in the GHG forcing, which IPCC AR6 estimates as 10%, thus about 0.4 W/m^2 . We concur with
- their error estimate and employ it in our ECS uncertainty analysis (Section 6.1).
- 296 We conclude that the GHG increase since 1750 already produces a climate forcing equivalent to
- that of $2 \times CO_2$ (our formulae yield $F_e \sim F_s = 4.08 \text{ W/m}^2$ for 2021 and 4.13 W/m² for 2022; IPCC
- AR6 has $F_s = 4.14 \text{ W/m}^2$ for 2021). The human-made 2×CO₂ climate forcing imagined by
- 299 Charney, Tyndall and other greenhouse giants is no longer imaginary. Humanity is now taking
- 300 its first steps into the period of consequences. Earth's paleoclimate history helps us assess the
- 301 potential outcomes.



302

Fig. 2. Antarctic Dome C temperature for past 800 ky from Jouzel *et al.*⁴³ relative to the mean of the last 10 ky and Dome C CO₂ amount from Luthi *et al.*⁴⁴ (kyBP is kiloyears before present).

305 2.4. Glacial-to-interglacial climate oscillations

In this section we describe how ice core data help us assess ECS for climate states from glacial
 conditions to interglacial periods such as the Holocene, the interglacial period of the past 12,000
 years. We discuss climate sensitivity in warmer climates in Section 4 (Cenozoic Era).

309 Air bubbles in Antarctic ice cores – trapped as snow piled up and compressed into ice – preserve

- a record of long-lived GHGs for at least 800,000 years. Isotopic composition of the ice provides
- a measure of temperature in and near Antarctica.⁴³ Changes of temperature and CO₂ are highly
- 312 correlated (Fig. 2). This does not mean that CO_2 is the primal cause of the climate oscillations.
- Hays *et al.*⁴⁵ showed that small changes of Earth's orbit and the tilt of Earth's spin axis are
- 314 pacemakers of the ice ages. Orbital changes alter the seasonal and geographical distribution of
- insolation, which affects ice sheet size and GHG amount. Long-term climate is sensitive because ice sheets and GHGs act as amplifying feedbacks:⁴⁶ as Earth warms, ice sheets shrink, expose a
- darker surface, and absorb more sunlight; also, as Earth warms, the ocean and continents release
- 317 darket sufface, and absorb fibre suffight, also, as Earth warms, the ocean and continents release 318 GHGs to the air. These amplifying feedbacks work in the opposite sense as Earth cools. Orbital
- forcings oscillate slowly over tens and hundreds of thousands of years.⁴⁷ The picture of how
- 320 Earth orbital changes drive millennial climate change was painted in the 1920s by Milutin
- 321 Milankovitch, who built on 19th century hypotheses of James Croll and Joseph Adhémar.
- 322 Paleoclimate changes of ice sheets and GHGs are sometimes described as slow feedbacks,⁴⁸ but
- 323 their slow change is paced by the Earth orbital forcing; their slow change does not mean that
- 324 these feedbacks cannot operate more rapidly in response to a rapid climate forcing.

We evaluate ECS by comparing stable climate states before and after a glacial-to-interglacial

- 326 climate transition. GHG amounts are known from ice cores and ice sheet sizes are known from
- 327 geologic data. This empirical ECS applies to the range of global temperature covered by ice 328 cores, which we will conclude is about -7° C to $+1^{\circ}$ C relative to the Holocene. The Holocene is
- an unusual interglacial. Maximum melt rate was at 13.2 kyBP, as expected,⁴⁹ and GHG amounts
- began to decline after peaking early in the Holocene, as in most interglacials. However, several
- 331 ky later, CO₂ and CH₄ increased, raising a question of whether humans were affecting GHGs.
- Ruddiman⁵⁰ suggests that deforestation began to affect CO₂ 6500 years ago and rice irrigation
- began to affect CH₄ 5,000 years ago. Those possibilities complicate use of LGM-Holocene
- 334 warming to estimate ECS. However, sea level, and thus the size of the ice sheets, had stabilized
- by 7,000 years ago (Section 5.1). Thus, the millennium centered on 7 kyBP provides a good
- period to compare with the LGM. Comparison of the Eemian interglacial (Fig. 2) with the prior
- 337 glacial maximum (PGM) has potential for independent assessment.



338

Fig. 3. Dome C temperature (Jouzel *et al.*⁴³) and multi-ice core GHG amounts (Schilt *et al.*).⁵¹

340 Green bars (1-5, 6.5-7.5, 18-21, 120-126, 137-144 kyBP) are periods of calculations.

341 2.5. LGM-Holocene and PGM-Eemian evaluation of ECS

- 342 In this section we evaluate ECS by comparing neighboring glacial and interglacial periods when
- Earth was in energy balance within less than 0.1 W/m^2 averaged over a millennium. Larger
- 344 imbalance would cause temperature or sea level change that did not occur.⁵² Thus, we can assess
- 345 ECS from knowledge of atmospheric and surface forcings that maintained these climates.
- 346 Recent advanced analysis techniques allow improved estimate of paleo temperatures. Tierney *et*
- $al.^{53}$ exclude micro biology fossils whose potential to adapt makes them dubious thermometers.
- 348 Instead, they use a large collection of geochemical (isotope) proxies for SST in an analysis
- 349 constrained by climate change patterns defined by GCMs. They find cooling of 6.1°C (95%
- 350 confidence: 5.7-6.5°C) for the interval 23-19 kyBP. A similarly constrained global analysis by
- 351 Osman *et al.*⁵⁴ finds LGM cooling at 21-18 kyBP of $7.0 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C (95% confidence).⁵⁵ Tierney
- 352 (priv. comm.) attributes the difference between the two studies to the broader time interval of the
- 353 former study, and suggests that peak LGM cooling was near 7°C.
- 354 Seltzer *et al.*⁵⁶ use the temperature-dependent solubility of dissolved noble gases in ancient
- 355 groundwater to show that land areas between 45°S and 35°N cooled 5.8 ± 0.6 °C in the LGM.
- 356 This cooling is consistent with 1 km lowering of alpine snowlines found by Rind and Peteet.²⁶
- 357 Land response to a forcing exceeds ocean response, but polar amplification makes the global
- 358 response as large as the low latitude land response in GCM simulations with fixed ice sheets (SM
- Fig. S3). When ice sheet growth is added, cooling amplification at mid and high latitudes is
- 360 greater,⁷ making 5.8° C cooling of low latitude land consistent with global cooling of ~7°C.
- 361 LGM CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O amounts are known accurately with the exception of N₂O in the PGM
- 362 when N_2O reactions with dust in the ice core corrupt the data. We take PGM N_2O as the mean of
- the smallest reported PGM amount and the LGM amount; potential error in the N₂O forcing is
- $\sim 0.01 \text{ W/m}^2$. We calculate CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O forcings using Eq. (4) and formulae for each gas
- in Supp. Material for the periods shown by green bars in Fig. 3. The Eemian period avoids early
- CO_2 and temperature spikes, assuring that Earth was in energy balance. Between the LGM (19-
- 367 21 kyBP) and Holocene (6.5-7.5 kyBP), GHG forcing increased 2.25 W/m² with 77% from CO_2 .
- 368 Between the PGM and Eemian, GHG forcing increased 2.30 W/m^2 with 79% from CO₂.
- 369 Glacial-interglacial aerosol changes are not included as a forcing. Natural aerosol changes, like
- 370 clouds, are fast feedbacks. Indeed, aerosols and clouds form a continuum and distinction is
- arbitrary as humidity approaches 100 percent. There are many aerosol types, including VOCs
- 372 (volatile organic compounds) produced by trees, sea salt produced by wind and waves, black and
- 373 organic carbon produced by forest and grass fires, dust produced by wind and drought, and

- 374 marine biologic dimethyl sulfide and its secondary aerosol products, all varying geographically
- and in response to climate change. We do not know, or need to know, natural aerosol properties
- in prior eras because their changes are feedbacks included in the climate response. However,
- human-made aerosols are a climate forcing (an imposed perturbation of Earth's energy balance).
 Humans may have begun to affect gases and aerosols by the mid-Holocene (Section 5), but we
- 378 Fullians may have begun to affect gases and aerosols by the find-holocene (Section 3) 379 minimize that issue by using the 6.5-7.5 kyBP window to evaluate climate sensitivity.
- 379 Infinitize that issue by using the 0.5-7.5 KyBF window to evaluate chinate sensitivity.
 380 Earth's surface change is the other forcing needed to evaluate ECS: (1) change of surface albedo
- 381 (reflectivity) and topography by ice sheets, (2) vegetation change, e.g., boreal forests replaced by
- 382 brighter tundra, and (3) continental shelves exposed by lower sea level. Forcing by all three can
- be evaluated at once with a GCM. Accuracy requires realistic clouds, which shield the surface.
 Clouds are the most uncertain feedback.⁵⁷ Evaluation is ideal for CMIP⁵⁸ (Coupled Model
- Clouds are the most uncertain feedback.⁵⁷ Evaluation is ideal for CMIP⁵⁸ (Coupled Model
 Intercomparison Project) collaboration with PMIP⁵⁹ (Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison
- 386 Project); a study of LGM surface forcing could aid GCM development and assessment of climate
- 387 sensitivity. Sherwood *et al.*²³ review studies of LGM ice sheet forcing and settle on 3.2 ± 0.7
- W/m^2 , the same as IPCC AR4.⁶⁰ However, some GCMs yield efficacies as low as ~0.75⁶¹ or
- even ~0.5,⁶² likely due to cloud shielding. We found⁷ a forcing of -0.9 W/m^2 for LGM
- 390 vegetation by using the Koppen⁶³ scheme to relate vegetation to local climate, but we thought the
- 391 model effect was exaggerated as real-world forests tends to shake off snow albedo effects.
- 392 Kohler *et al.*⁶⁴ estimate a continental shelf forcing of -0.6 W/m^2 . Based on an earlier study⁶⁵
- (hereafter *Target CO*₂), our estimate of LGM-Holocene surface forcing is 3.5 ± 1 W/m². Thus,
- LGM (18-21 kyBP) cooling of 7°C relative to mid-Holocene (7 kyBP), GHG forcing of 2.25
- 395 W/m², and surface forcing of 3.5 W/m² yield an initial ECS estimate $7/(2.25 + 3.5) = 1.22^{\circ}$ C per
- W/m^2 . We discuss uncertainties in Section 6.1.
- 397 PGM-Eemian global warming provides a second assessment of ECS, one that avoids concern
- about human influence. PGM-Eemian GHG forcing is 2.3 W/m^2 . We estimate surface albedo
- forcing as 0.3 W/m² less than in the LGM because sea level was about 10 m higher during the
 PGM.⁶⁶ North American and Eurasian ice sheet sizes differed between the LGM and PGM,⁶⁷ but
- PGM.⁶⁶ North American and Eurasian ice sheet sizes differed between the LGM and PGM,⁶⁷ but
 division of mass between them has little effect on the net forcing (Fig. S4⁶⁵). Thus, our central
- 401 division of mass between them has fittle effect on the net forcing (Fig. 54). Thus, our central 402 estimate of PGM-Eemian forcing is 5.5 W/m^2 . Eemian temperature reached about $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C warmer
- than the Holocene,⁶⁸ based on Eemian SSTs of $+0.5 \pm 0.3$ °C relative to 1870-1889,⁶⁹ or $+0.65 \pm$
- 404 0.3°C SST and +1°C global (land plus ocean) relative to 1880-1920. However, the PGM was
- 405 probably warmer than the LGM; it was warmer at Dome C (Fig.2), but cooler at Dronning Maud
- 406 Land.⁷⁰ Based on deep ocean temperatures (Section 4), we estimate PGM-Eemian warming as
- 407 0.5° C greater than LGM-Holocene warming, i.e., 7.5°C. The resulting ECS is 7.5/5.5 = 1.36°C
- 408 per W/m². Although PGM temperature lacks quantification comparable to that of Seltzer *et al.*⁵⁶
- and Tierney *et al.*⁵³ for the LGM, the PGM-Eemian warming provides support for the high ECS
- 410 inferred from LGM-Holocene warming.
- 411 We conclude that ECS for climate in the Holocene-LGM range is $1.2^{\circ}C \pm 0.3^{\circ}C$ per W/m²,
- 412 where the uncertainty is the 95% confidence range. The uncertainty estimate is inherently
- 413 subjective, as it depends mainly on the ice age surface albedo forcing. The GHG forcing and
- 414 glacial-interglacial temperature change are well-defined, but the efficacy of ice age surface
- 415 forcing varies among GCMs. This variability is likely related to cloud shielding of surface
- 416 albedo, which reaffirms the need for a focus on precise cloud observations and modeling.

417 **2.6 State dependence of climate sensitivity**

- 418 ECS based on glacial-interglacial climate is an average for global temperatures $-7^{\circ}C$ to $+1^{\circ}C$
- 419 relative to the Holocene and in general differs for other climate states because water vapor,
- 420 aerosol-cloud and sea ice feedbacks depend on the initial climate. However, ECS is rather flat
- between today's climate and warmer climate, based on a study⁷¹ covering a range of 15 CO₂ 421
- doublings using an efficient GCM developed by Gary Russell.⁷² Toward colder climate, ice-422
- 423 snow albedo feedback increases nonlinearly, reaching snowball Earth conditions – with snow 424 and ice on land reaching sea level in the tropics – when CO₂ declines to a quarter to an eighth of
- 425
- its 1950 abundance (Fig. 7 of the study).⁷¹ Snowball Earth occurred several times in Earth's history, most recently about 600 million years ago⁷³ when the Sun was 6% dimmer⁷⁴ than today, 426
- a forcing of about -12 W/m^2 . Toward warmer climate, the water vapor feedback increases as the 427
- tropopause rises.⁷⁵ the tropopause cold trap disappearing at $32 \times CO_2$ (Fig. 7).⁷¹ However, for the 428
- 429 range of ECS of practical interest – say from half preindustrial CO_2 to $4 \times CO_2$ – state dependence
- 430 of ECS is small compared to state dependence of ESS.
- 431 Earth system sensitivity (ESS) includes amplifying feedbacks of GHGs and ice sheets. When we
- 432 consider CO₂ change as a known forcing, other GHGs provide a feedback that is smaller than the
- ice sheet feedback, but not negligible. Ice core data on GHG amounts show that non-CO₂ GHGs 433
- 434 - including O₃ and stratospheric H₂O produced by changing CH₄ - provide about 20% of the
- 435 total GHG forcing, not only on average for the full glacial-interglacial change, but as a function
- of global temperature right up to +1°C global temperature relative to the Holocene (Fig. S5). 436
- 437 Atmospheric chemistry modeling suggests that non-CO₂ GHG amplification of CO₂ forcing by
- about a quarter continues into warmer climate states.⁷⁶ Thus, for climate change in the Cenozoic 438
- era, we approximate non- CO_2 GHG forcing by increasing the CO_2 forcing by one-quarter. 439
- 440 Ice sheet feedback, in contrast to non-CO₂ GHG feedback, is highly nonlinear. Preindustrial
- 441 climate was at most a few halvings of CO₂ from runaway snowball Earth and LGM climate was
- 442 even closer to that climate state. The ice sheet feedback is reduced as Earth heads toward warmer
- 443 climate today because already two-thirds of LGM ice has been lost. Yet remaining ice on
- 444 Antarctica and Greenland constitutes a powerful feedback, which humanity is about to bring into
- 445 play. We can illuminate that feedback and the climate path Earth is now on by examining data on
- 446 the Cenozoic era – which includes CO₂ levels comparable to today's amount – but first we must
- 447 consider climate response time.

448 **3. CLIMATE RESPONSE TIME**

449 In this section we define response functions for global temperature and Earth's energy imbalance

450 that help explain the physics of climate change. Response functions help reveal the role of cloud

451 feedbacks in amplifying climate sensitivity and the fact that cloud feedbacks buffer the rate at

452 which the ocean can take up heat.

453 Climate response time was surprisingly long in our climate simulations⁷ for the 1982 Ewing

454 Symposium. The e-folding time – the time for surface temperature to reach 63% of its

455 equilibrium response – was about a century. The only published atmosphere-ocean GCM – that

456 of Bryan and Manabe⁷⁷ – had a response time of 25 years, while several simplified climate

457 models referenced in our Ewing paper had even faster responses. The longer response time of

458 our climate model was largely a result of high climate sensitivity – our model had an ECS of 4° C

459 for $2 \times CO_2$ while the Bryan and Manabe model had an ECS of $2^{\circ}C$.

460 The physics is straightforward. If the delay were a result of a fixed source of thermal inertia, say

the ocean's well-mixed upper layer, response time would increase linearly with ECS because

462 most climate feedbacks come into play in response to temperature change driven by the forcing,

463 not in direct response to the forcing. Thus, a model with ECS of 4°C takes twice as long to reach

464 full response as a model with ECS of 2°C, if the mixed layer provides the only heat capacity.

- 465 However, while the mixed layer is warming, there is exchange of water with the deeper ocean,
- 466 which slows the mixed layer warming. The longer response time with high ECS allows more of
- 467 the ocean to come into play. If mixing into the deeper ocean is approximated as diffusive, surface $\frac{78}{78}$
- temperature response time is proportional to the square of climate sensitivity.⁷⁸

469 Slow climate response accentuates need for the "anticipation" that E.E. David, Jr. spoke about. If

470 ECS is 4° C (1° C per W/m²), more warming is in the pipeline than widely assumed. GHG forcing

471 today already exceeds 4 W/m². Aerosols reduce the net forcing to about 3 W/m², based on IPCC

estimates (Section 5), but warming still in the pipeline for 3 W/m^2 forcing is 1.8°C, exceeding

- warming realized to date (1.2°C). Slow feedbacks increase the equilibrium response even further
 (Section 6). Large warmings can be avoided via a reasoned policy response, but definition of
- 475 effective policies will be aided by an understanding of climate response time.

476 **3.1. Temperature response function**

477 In the Bjerknes lecture⁷⁹ at the 2008 American Geophysical Union meeting, JEH argued that the

478 ocean in many⁸⁰ GCMs had excessive mixing, and he suggested that GCM groups all report the
 479 response function of their models – the global temperature change versus time in response to

480 instant CO₂ doubling with the model run long enough to approach equilibrium. The response

481 function characterizes a climate model and enables a rapid estimate of the global mean surface

482 temperature change in response to any climate forcing scenario:

483
$$T_G(t) = \int [dT_G(t)/dt] dt = \int \lambda \times R(t) [dF_e/dt] dt.$$
 (5)

484 T_G is the Green's function estimate of global temperature at time t, λ (°C per W/m²) the model's 485 equilibrium sensitivity, R the dimensionless temperature response function (% of equilibrium





Fig. 4. (a) Global mean surface temperature response to instant CO_2 doubling and (b) normalized response function (percent of final change). Thick lines in Figs. 4 and 5 are smoothed⁸¹ results.

489 response), and dF_e the forcing change per unit time, dt. Integration over time begins when Earth

490 is in near energy balance, e.g., in preindustrial time. The response function yields an accurate

491 estimate of global temperature change for a forcing that does not cause reorganization of ocean

492 circulation. Accuracy of this approximation for temperature for one climate model is shown in

493 Chart 15 in the Bjerknes presentation and wider applicability has been demonstrated.⁸²

- 494 We study ocean mixing effects by comparing two GCMs: GISS (2014)⁸³ and GISS (2020),³⁵
- 495 both models⁸⁴ described by Kelley *et al.* (2020).³⁴ Ocean mixing is improved in GISS (2020) by
- 496 use of a high-order advection scheme,⁸⁵ finer upper-ocean vertical resolution (40 layers), updated
- 497 mesoscale eddy parameterization, and correction of errors in the ocean modeling code.³⁴ The
- 498 GISS (2020) model has improved variability, including the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO), El
- 499 Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), but the spectrum of
- 500 ENSO-like variability is unrealistic and its amplitude is excessive, as shown by the magnitude of
- 501 oscillations in Fig. 4a. Ocean mixing in GISS (2020) may still be excessive in the North Atlantic,
- 502 where the model's simulated penetration of CFCs is greater than observed.⁸⁶
- 503 Despite reduced ocean mixing, the GISS (2020) model surface temperature response is no faster
- 504 than in the GISS (2014) model (Fig. 4b): it takes 100 years to reach within 1/e of the equilibrium
- response. Slow response is partly explained by the larger ECS of the GISS (2020) model, which
- 506 is 3.5°C versus 2.7°C for the GISS (2014) model, but something more is going on in the newer
- 507 model, as exposed by the response function of Earth's energy imbalance.

508 **3.2. Earth's energy imbalance (EEI)**

- 509 When a forcing perturbs Earth's energy balance, the imbalance drives warming or cooling to
- 510 restore balance. Observed EEI is now about $+1 \text{ W/m}^2$ (more energy coming in than going out)
- 511 averaged over several years.⁸⁷ High accuracy of EEI is obtained by tracking ocean warming the
- 512 primary repository for excess energy and adding heat stored in warming continents and heat
- 513 used in net melting of ice.⁸⁷ Heat storage in air adds an almost negligible amount. Radiation
- 514 balance measured from Earth-orbiting satellites cannot by itself define the absolute imbalance,



515 Year Year 516 Fig. 5. (a) Earth's energy imbalance (EEI) for 2×CO₂, and (b) EEI normalized response function.

517 but, when calibrated with the *in situ* data, satellite Earth radiation budget observations provide

- 518 invaluable EEI data on finer temporal and spatial scales than the *in situ* data.⁸⁸
- 519 After a step-function forcing is imposed, EEI and global surface temperature must each approach
- a new equilibrium, but EEI does so more rapidly, especially for the GISS (2020) model (Fig. 5).
- 521 EEI in GISS (2020) needs only a decade to reach within 1/e of full response (Fig. 5b), but global
- 522 surface temperature requires a century (Fig. 4b). Rapid decline of EEI to half the forcing in 5
- 523 years (Fig. 5a) has practical implications. First, EEI defines the rate heat is pumped into the
- 524 ocean, so if EEI is reduced, ocean warming is slowed. Second, rapid EEI decline implies that it is
- wrong to assume that global warming can be stopped by a reduction of climate forcing by the
- amount of EEI. Instead, the required reduction of forcing is larger than EEI. The difficulty in finding additional reduction in climate forcing of even a few tenths of a W/m^2 is substantial.⁶⁸
- 527 Finding additional reduction in climate forcing of even a few tenths of a w/m is substan 528 Calculations that help quantify this matter are discussed in Supp. Material Sec. SM8.
- e a carearations that help quantify this matter are diseassed in supply material see. Sinoi
- 529 What is the physics behind the fast response of EEI? The $2 \times CO_2$ forcing and initial EEI are both
- 530 nominally 4 W/m^2 . In the GISS (2014) model, the decline of EEI averaged over the first year is
- 531 0.5 W/m^2 (Fig. 5a), a moderate decline that might be largely caused by warming continents and
- 532 increased heat radiation to space. In contrast, EEI declines 1.3 W/m^2 in the GISS (2020) model
- 533 (Fig. 5a). Such a huge, immediate decline of EEI implies existence of an ultrafast climate
- 534 feedback. Climate feedbacks are the heart of climate change and warrant discussion.

535 **3.3. Slow, fast and ultrafast feedbacks**

- 536 Charney *et al.*⁴ described climate feedbacks without discussing time scales. At the 1982 Ewing
- 537 Symposium, water vapor, clouds and sea ice were described as "fast" feedbacks⁷ presumed to
- 538 change promptly in response to global temperature change, as opposed to "slow" feedbacks or
- 539 specified boundary conditions such as ice sheet size, vegetation cover, and atmospheric CO₂
- 540 amount, although it was noted that some specified boundary conditions, e.g., vegetation, in
- 541 reality may be capable of relatively rapid change.⁷
- 542 The immediate EEI response (Fig. 5a) implies a third feedback time scale: ultrafast. Ultrafast
- 543 feedbacks are not a new concept. When CO₂ is doubled, the added infrared opacity causes the

- 544 stratosphere to cool. Instant EEI upon CO₂ doubling is only $F_i = +2.5 \text{ W/m}^2$, but stratospheric
- 545 cooling quickly increases EEI to $+4 \text{ W/m}^2$.⁸⁹ All models calculate a similar radiative effect, so it
- 546 is useful to define an adjusted forcing, Fa, which is superior to Fi as a measure of climate forcing.
- 547 In contrast, if cloud change the likely cause of the present ultrafast change is lumped into the
- 548 adjusted forcing, each climate model has its own forcing, losing the merit of a common forcing.

Kamae et al.⁹⁰ review rapid cloud adjustment distinct from surface temperature-mediated 549 change. Clouds respond to radiative forcing, e.g., via effects on cloud particle phase, cloud 550 cover, cloud albedo and precipitation.⁹¹ The GISS (2020) model alters glaciation in stratiform 551 mixed-phase clouds, which increases supercooled water in stratus clouds, especially over the 552 Southern Ocean [Fig. 1 in the GCM description³⁴]. The portion of supercooled cloud water drops 553 554 goes from too little in GISS (2014) to too much in GISS (2020). Neither model simulates well 555 stratocumulus clouds, yet the models help expose real-world physics that affects climate 556 sensitivity and climate response time. Several models in CMIP6 comparisons find high ECS.⁹¹ 557 For the sake of revealing the physics, it would be useful if the models defined their temperature 558 and EEI response functions. Model runs of even a decade can define the important part of Figs. 559 4a and 5a. Many short (e.g., 2-year) $2 \times CO_2$ climate simulations with each run beginning at a 560 different point in the model's control run, could define cloud changes to an arbitrary accuracy. If 561 the EEI response is faster than the temperature response, it implies that the climate forcing 562 reduction required to stabilize climate is greater than EEI, as discussed in Supporting Material. 563 The need for better understanding of ultrafast feedbacks does not alter the high ECS inferred 564 from paleoclimate data. The main role of GCMs in the paleoclimate analyses that we use to 565 assess climate sensitivity is to define climate patterns, which allows more accurate assessment of global temperature change from limited paleo data samples.^{53,54,56} 566

567 **4. CENOZOIC ERA**

568 In this section, we use data from ocean sediment cores to explore causes of climate change in the

- past 66 million years. Based on theory and on knowledge of climate change in the past 800,000 years, we anticipate that CO_2 is the principal control knob on global temperature; with that
- 571 assumption, we quantify the CO₂ history required to account for Cenozoic temperature change.

572 Cenozoic climate allows us to investigate implications of high climate sensitivity and the danger

- 573 that climate models are less sensitive than the real world to a forcing such as CO₂. We refer to
- 574 GCMs, in general, and ice sheet modeling, in particular. Some proxy-based assessments of
- 575 Cenozoic CO_2 may be affected by a coupled GCM/ice sheet model finding that transition
- between unglaciated and glaciated Antarctica occurs at 700-840 ppm CO_2 .⁹² In addition, GCMs
- 577 have a long-standing difficulty in producing Pliocene warmth, 93 especially in the Arctic, without
- 578 large, probably unrealistic, GHG forcing. Our conclusion in Section 2 that (fast feedback) ECS is 579 high, $1.2^{\circ}C \pm 0.3^{\circ}C$ per W/m², and our inference in Section 3 that amplifying cloud feedbacks
- 579 high, $1.2^{\circ}C \pm 0.3^{\circ}C$ per W/m², and our inference in Section 3 that amplifying cloud feedbacks 580 cause the ECS increase from 0.6°C to 1.2°C per W/m², suggest that GCMs must simulate clouds
- well to reproduce Cenozoic climate change. While we cannot develop cloud modeling here, we
- 582 can examine the effect of high ECS on interpretation of Cenozoic climate change.
- 583 Atmospheric CO_2 is a control knob⁹⁴ on Earth's temperature. CO_2 on glacial-interglacial time 584 scales is largely a feedback spurred by weak astronomical forcing, but Fig. 2 shows the tight

- 585 control that CO₂ maintains on those time scales. We obtain a more complete picture of CO₂ as a
- 586 forcing and feedback with aid of consistent calculations over the entire Cenozoic era.
- 587 Specifically, we use our derived ECS and a proxy (oxygen isotope) measure of deep ocean
- 588 temperature to infer a history of Earth's surface temperature and atmospheric CO₂ throughout the
- 589 Cenozoic era. Progress has been made in proxy measurement of CO₂ via carbon isotopes in
- alkenones and boron isotopes in planktic foraminifera,⁹⁵ yet there is still a wide scatter among
- 591 the results and fossil plant stomata tend to suggest smaller CO_2 amounts.⁹⁶

592 Proxy measures of CO₂ and indirect constraints on CO₂ based on oxygen isotopes need to work

- in concert because of shortcomings in understanding of the physics of both the oxygen isotope
- temperature proxy⁹⁷ and CO_2 proxies.⁹⁵ Merits of the oxygen isotope approach include high
- temporal resolution and precision. We aim to show that deep ocean temperature change provides
- a useful measure of surface temperature change and that the oxygen isotope proxy provides a
- 597 check on CO₂ proxies, as well as better understanding of Cenozoic climate change.

598 **4.1. Deep ocean temperature and sea level from** δ^{18} **O**

- 599 Glacial-interglacial CO₂ oscillations (Fig. 2) involve exchange of carbon among surface carbon
- 600 reservoirs: the ocean, atmosphere, soil and biosphere. Total CO₂ in the reservoirs also can vary,
- mainly on longer time scales, as carbon is exchanged with the solid Earth. CO_2 then becomes a
- 602 primary agent of long-term climate change, leaving orbital effects as "noise" on larger climate
- 603 swings. Oxygen isotopic composition of benthic (deep ocean dwelling) foraminifera shells
- 604 provides a starting point for analysis of Cenozoic temperature. Fig. 6 includes the recent high-605 resolution record of Westerhold *et al.*⁹⁸ and data of Zachos *et al.*⁴⁷ that have been used for many
- studies in the past quarter century. When Earth has negligible ice sheets, $\delta^{18}O$ (¹⁸O amount
- relative to a standard), provides an estimate of deep ocean temperature (right scale in Fig. $6)^{47}$

608
$$T_{do}(^{\circ}C) = -4 \, \delta^{18}O + 12.$$

(5)

- 609 This equation is used for the early Cenozoic, up to the large-scale glaciation of Antarctica at ~34
- 610 MyBP (Oi-1in Fig. 6). At larger δ^{18} O (colder climate), lighter ¹⁶O evaporates preferentially from
- the ocean and accumulates in ice sheets. In Zachos data, δ^{18} O increases by 3 between Oi-1 and
- 612 the LGM. Half of this δ^{18} O change is due to the 6°C change of deep ocean temperature between 613 Oi-1 (5°C) and the LGM (-1°C).⁹⁹ The other 1.5 of δ^{18} O change is presumed to be due to the
- $\sim 13^{\circ}$ OI-1 (5°C) and the LGM (-1°C). The other 1.5 of $\sim 0^{\circ}$ C change is presumed to be due to the ~ 180 m sea level (SL) change between ice-free Earth and the LGM, with ~ 60 m from Antarctic
- 615 ice and 120 m from Northern Hemisphere ice. Thus, as an approximation to extract both SL and
- 616 T_{do} from δ^{18} O, Hansen *et al.*⁷¹ assumed that SL rose linearly by 60 m as δ^{18} O increased from
- 617 1.75 to 3.25 and linearly by 120 m as δ^{18} O increased from 3.25 to 4.75.
- 618 As with most climate proxies, δ^{18} O is fraught with complexities that affect interpretation.^{97,100}
- 619 Complications in the Cenozoic record are revealed by differences between the Zachos (Z) and
- 620 Westerhold (W) δ^{18} O time series (Fig. 6). Despite complications, δ^{18} O records carry a great
- 621 amount of information on climate change, and a simple linear analysis provides a useful
- beginning. We modify prior equations⁷¹ because of differences between the Z and W data. For
- 623 example, the mid-Holocene (6-8 kyBP) values of δ^{18} O in the Z and W data sets are δ^{18} O_H^Z = 3.32
- and $\delta^{18}O_H^W = 3.88$. Thus, sea level (SL) equations, relative to SL = 0 in the mid-Holocene, are:





Fig. 6. Global deep ocean δ^{18} O. Black line: Westerhold *et al.* (2020)⁹⁸ data in 5 kyr bins until 34 MyBP and subsequently 2 kyr bins. Green line: Zachos *et al.* (2001)⁴⁷ data at 1 Myr resolution.

628 Lower left: velocity¹⁰¹ of Indian tectonic plate. PETM = Paleocene Eocene Thermal Maximum;

629 EECO = Early Eocene Climatic Optimum; Oi-1 marks the transition to glaciated Antarctica;

630 MCO = Miocene Climatic Optimum; NAIP = North Atlantic Igneous Province.

631 $SL^{Z}(m) = 60 - 38.2 (\delta^{18}O - 1.75)$ ($\delta^{18}O < 3.32$, maximum SL = +60 m), (6)

632 $SL^{W}(m) = 60 - 25.2 (\delta^{18}O - 1.5)$ ($\delta^{18}O < 3.88$, maximum SL = +60 m), (7)

633
$$SL^{Z}(m) = -120 (\delta^{18}O - 3.32)/1.58 (\delta^{18}O > 3.32),$$
 (8)

634
$$SL^{W}(m) = -120 (\delta^{18}O - 3.88)/1.42 (\delta^{18}O > 3.88).$$

635 The latter two equations are based on LGM δ^{18} O values δ^{18} O_{LGM}^Z = 4.9 and δ^{18} O_{LGM}^W = 5.3.

636 Holocene and LGM deep ocean temperatures are specified as $1^{\circ}C^{102}$ and $-1^{\circ}C^{.99}$ Coefficients in 637 the equations are calculated as shown by the equation (11) example.

(9)

638
$$T_{do}^{Z}(^{\circ}C) = 5 - 2.55 (\delta^{18}O - 1.75)$$
 (1.75 < $\delta^{18}O < 3.32$), (10)

639
$$T_{do}^{Z}(^{\circ}C) = 1 - 2 (\delta^{18}O - 3.32)/(4.9 - 3.32) = 1 - 1.27 (\delta^{18}O - 3.32)$$
 (3.32 < $\delta^{18}O$), (11)

640
$$T_{do}^{W}(^{\circ}C) = 6 - 2.10 (\delta^{18}O - 1.5)$$
 (1.5 < $\delta^{18}O$ < 3.88), (12)

641
$$T_{do}^{W}(^{\circ}C) = 1 - 1.41 (\delta^{18}O - 3.88) \quad (3.88 \le \delta^{18}O),$$
 (13)

 $\label{eq:constraint} 642 \qquad \mbox{Zachos and Westerhold δ^{18}O, SL and T_{do} for the full Cenozoic, Pleistocene, and past $800,000$ \\$

- 643 years are graphed in Supp. Material and sea level is compared to data of Rohling *et al.*¹⁰³. We
- 644 will focus on the W data, which has finer temporal resolution. We discuss differences between
- 645 the W and Z data and interpretations of those differences at the end of Section 4.6.



646

Fig. 7. (a) Ratio of Δ SST (latitude) to global T_s change for all ocean and the Atlantic Ocean,

based on equilibrium response (years 4001-4500) in 2×CO₂ simulations of GISS (2020) model.

(b) ΔT , the amount by which T_s change exceeds T_{do} change, based on an exponential fit to the

two data points provided by the Holocene and LGM (see text).

651 **4.2. Cenozoic T**s

In this section we combine the rich detail in T_{do} provided by benthic δ^{18} O with constraints on the

 $_{653}$ range of Cenozoic T_S from surface proxies to produce an estimated history of Cenozoic T_S.

654 We expect T_{do} change, which derives from sea surface temperature (SST) at high latitudes where

deepwater forms, to approximate T_s change when T_{do} is not near the freezing point. Global SST

 $change understates global T_{S}$ (land plus ocean) change because land temperature response to a

657 forcing exceeds SST response,¹⁰⁴ e.g., the equilibrium global SST response of the GISS (2020)

GCM to $2 \times CO_2$ is 70.6% of the global (land plus ocean) response. However, polar amplification

- 659 of the SST response tends to compensate for SST undershoot of global T_S change. Compensation
- 660 is nearly exact at latitudes of North Atlantic deepwater formation for $2 \times CO_2$ climate change in
- the GISS (2020) climate model (Fig. 7a), but Southern Hemisphere polar amplification does not
- fully cover the 60-75°S latitudes where Antarctic bottom water forms.

663 As T_{do} nears the freezing point, ice forms, adhering to the Antarctic continent, extending today to

a depth of about 2 km, and also forming floating ice shelves. From the Holocene toward colder

climate, the effect on temperature change is large: T_s declines 7°C between the Holocene and

666 LGM, but T_{do} declines only 2°C (from 1°C to -1°C). From the Holocene toward hotter climate,

667 we expect a smaller effect that we can quantify by first neglecting the effect and finding how far

668 we underestimate EECO temperature. Thus, as an initial approximation we assume $\Delta T_s = \Delta T_{do}$:

669
$$T_{S} \sim T_{do} - T_{doH} + 14^{\circ}C = T_{do} + 13^{\circ}C, \ (\delta^{18}O < \delta^{18}O_{H})$$
 (14)

670 where we take Holocene T_s as 14°C and T_{doH} as 1°C. In this initial approximation, we interpolate

671 linearly for climate colder than the Holocene, the LGM being ~7°C cooler than the Holocene:

672
$$T_{\rm S} = 14^{\circ}{\rm C} - 7^{\circ}{\rm C} \times (\delta^{18}{\rm O} - \delta^{18}{\rm O}_{\rm H})/(\delta^{18}{\rm O}_{\rm LGM} - \delta^{18}{\rm O}_{\rm H}).$$
 (15)

673 Resulting EECO (Early Eocene Climatic Optimum) T_S is ~27°C for Westerhold δ^{18} O data (Fig.

674 8a) and ~25°C for Zachos data (Fig. S9).



675

Fig. 8. Cenozoic temperature based on linear (equations 14 and 15) and nonlinear (equation 16)
analyses. Antarctic Dome C data⁴³ (red) relative to last 1,000 years is multiplied by 0.6 to
account for polar amplification and 14°C is added for absolute scale.

679 As expected, this initial (linear) approximation undershoots EECO T_S, which Zhu *et al.*¹⁰⁵ infer 680 to be 29°C from a proxy-constrained full-field analysis using a GCM to account for the pattern 681 of global temperature change. The moderate undershoot ($\Delta T = 2$ °C) of EECO T_S based on 682 Westerhold data is consistent with the expectation that global warming of a few degrees would 683 largely remove Antarctic ice shelves and allow polar amplification to fully cover regions of

deepwater formation. Moreover, ΔT of 2°C at the Holocene and an additional 5°C between the

- 685 Holocene and LGM are fit well by an exponential function between Antarctic glaciation and the
- LGM, as needed for ΔT to asymptote at the freezing point (Fig. 7b). Thus, we take T_S as

687
$$T_S = T_{do} - \Delta T + 15^{\circ}C = T_{do} - 0.35(e^{0.8X} - 1) + 15^{\circ}C,$$
 (16)

688 where $X = \delta^{18}O - \delta^{18}O_{Oi-1}$ and T_S is normalized to 14°C in the Holocene.

689 The result is a consistent analysis of global T_s for the entire Cenozoic (Fig. 8b). Oxygen isotope

 δ^{18} O of deep ocean foraminifera reproduces glacial-interglacial temperature change well; more

691 detailed agreement is not expected as Antarctic ice core data are for a location that moves,

- 692 especially in its altitude. Our interest is in warmer global climate and its relevance to upcoming
- human-caused climate change. For that purpose, we need to know the forcing that drove
- 694 Cenozoic climate change. With the assumption that non-CO₂ GHG forcings provide 20% of the
- total GHG forcing, it is not difficult to infer the CO₂ abundance required to cause the Cenozoic
- temperature history in Fig. 8b. Considering the large disagreement among proxy CO₂ measures,
- 697 this indirect measure of CO_2 via global T_S may provide the most accurate Cenozoic CO_2 history.

698 **4.3. Cenozoic CO**₂

699 We obtain the CO_2 history required to yield the Cenozoic T_S history from the relation



700

Fig. 9. Cenozoic CO₂ estimated from δ^{18} O of Westerhold *et al.* (see text). Black lines are for

ECS = 1.2° C per W/m²; red and green curves (ECS = 1.0 and 1.4° C per W/m²) are 1 My smoothed. Blue curves (last 800,000 years) are Antarctica ice core data.⁴⁴

704
$$\Delta F(t) = (T_S(t) - 14^{\circ}C)/ECS,$$
 (17)

where $\Delta F(t)$ (0 at 7 kyBP) includes changing solar irradiance and amplification of CO₂ forcing by non-CO₂ GHGs and ice sheets. The GHG amplification factor is taken as 1.25 throughout the Cenozoic (Section 2.6). The amplification applies to solar forcing as well as CO₂ forcing because it is caused by temperature change, not by CO₂. Solar irradiance is increasing 10% per billion years;⁷⁴ thus solar forcing (240 W/m² today) increases 2.4 W/m² per 100 million years. Thus,

710
$$\Delta F(t) = 1.25 \times [\Delta F_{CO2}(t) + \Delta F_{Sol}(t)] \times A_S. \quad (\delta^{18}O > \delta^{18}O_H)$$
(18)

- 711 As, surface albedo amplification, is smaller in moving from the Holocene to warmer climate –
- 712 when the main effect is shrinking of Antarctic ice than toward colder climate. For δ^{18} O >
- $\delta^{18}O_{\rm H}$, we take A_S as its average value over the period from the Holocene to the LGM:

714
$$A_S = (F_{Ice} + F_{GHG})/F_{GHG} = (3.5 \text{ W/m}^2 + 2.25 \text{ W/m}^2)/(2.25 \text{ W/m}^2) = 2.55. (\delta^{18}\text{O} > \delta^{18}\text{O}_H)$$
 (19)

715 Thus, for climate colder than the Holocene,

716
$$\Delta F(t) = 3.19 \times [\Delta F_{CO2}(t) + \Delta F_{Sol}(t)].$$
 $(\delta^{18}O > \delta^{18}O_H)$ (20)

For climate warmer than the Holocene up to Oi-1, i.e., for $\delta^{18}O_{Oi-1} < \delta^{18}O < \delta^{18}O_{H}$,

718
$$\Delta F(t) = 1.25 \times [\Delta F_{CO2}(t) + \Delta F_{SOL}(t) + F_{IceH} \times (\delta^{18}O_H - \delta^{18}O)/(\delta^{18}O_H - \delta^{18}O_{Oi-1})].$$
(21)

- 719 F_{IceH}, the (Antarctic plus Greenland) ice sheet forcing between the Holocene and Oi-1, is
- estimated to be 2 W/m² (Fig. S4, *Target CO*₂). For climate warmer than Oi-1

721
$$\Delta F(t) = 1.25 \times [\Delta F_{CO2} + \Delta F_{Sol}(t) + \Delta F_{IceH}].$$
(22)

- All quantities are known except $\Delta F_{CO2}(t)$, which is thus defined. Cenozoic CO₂ (t) for specified
- ECS is obtained from $T_S(t)$ using the CO₂ radiative forcing equation (Table 1, Supp. Material).
- We use the Westerhold T_s history, Fig. 8b. Resulting CO₂ (Fig. 9) is about 1,200 ppm in the
- EECO, 450 ppm at Oi-1, and 325 ppm in the Pliocene for the most probable ECS (1.2°C per
- W/m^2). These values depend on ECS and the assumption that non-CO₂ gases provide 20% of the
- GHG forcing, but our lowest value for ECS (1° C per W/m²) leaves Pliocene CO₂ near 350 ppm,
- rising only to ~ 500 ppm at Oi-1 and ~ 1500 ppm at EECO.
- Assumed Holocene CO₂ amount is also a minor factor. We tested two cases: 260 and 278 ppm
- 730 (Fig. 9). These were implemented as the CO₂ values at 7 kyBP, but Holocene-mean values are
- similar a few ppm less than CO_2 at 7 kyBP. Holocene = 278 ppm increases CO_2 about 20 ppm
- between today and Oi-1, and about 50 ppm at the EECO. However, Holocene CO₂ 278 ppm
- causes the amplitude of inferred glacial-interglacial CO₂ oscillations to be less than reality (Fig.
- 734 9b), providing support for the Holocene 260 ppm level and for the interpretation that high late-
- Holocene CO_2 was due to human influence. Proxy measures of Cenozoic CO_2 yield a notoriously
- 736large range. A recent review 95 constructs a CO2 history with Loess-smoothed CO2 ~ 700-1100
- 737 ppm at Oi-1. That high Oi-1 CO_2 amount is not plausible without overthrowing the concept that
- 738 global temperature is a response to climate forcings. More generally, we conclude that actual
- CO_2 during the Cenozoic was near the low end of the range of proxy measurements.

740 4.4. Interpretation of Cenozoic T_s and CO₂

741 In this section we consider Cenozoic T_S and CO_2 histories, which are rich in insights about

r42 climate change with implications for future climate.

In *Target CO* $_{2}^{65}$ and elsewhere¹⁰⁶ we argue that the broad sweep of Cenozoic temperature is a 743 result of plate tectonic (popularly "continental drift") effects on CO2. Solid Earth sources and 744 sinks of CO_2 are not balanced at any given time. CO_2 is removed from surface reservoirs by: (1) 745 746 chemical weathering of rocks with deposition of carbonates on the ocean floor, and (2) burial of organic matter.^{107,108} CO₂ returns via metamorphism and volcanic outgassing at locations where 747 748 oceanic crust is subducted beneath moving continental plates. The interpretation in Target CO₂ was that the main Cenozoic source of CO₂ was associated with the Indian plate (Fig. 10), which 749 separated from Pangea in the Cretaceous^{109,110} and moved through the Tethys (now Indian) 750 751 Ocean at a rate exceeding 10 cm/year until collision with the Eurasian plate at circa 50 MyBP. Associated CO₂ emissions include those from formation of the Deccan Traps¹¹¹ in western India. 752 a large igneous province (LIP) formed by repeated deposition of large-scale flood basalts, the 753 smaller Rajahmundry Traps¹¹² in eastern India, and metamorphism and vulcanism associated 754 755 with the moving Indian plate. The Indian plate slowed circa 60 Mya (inset, Fig. 6) before resuming high speed, ¹⁰¹ leaving an indelible signature in the Cenozoic δ^{18} O history (Fig. 6) that 756 757 supports our interpretation of the CO₂ source. Since the continental collision, subduction and 758 CO₂ emissions continue at a diminishing rate as the India plate underthrusts the Asian continent and pushes up the Himalayan mountains.¹¹³ We interpret the decline of CO₂ over the past 50 759 760 million years as, at least in part, a decline of the metamorphic source from continued subduction 761 of the Indian plate, but burial of organic matter and increased weathering due to exposure of fresh rock by Himalayan uplift¹¹⁴ may contribute to CO₂ drawdown. Quantitative understanding 762 of these processes is limited,¹¹⁵ e.g., weathering is both a source and sink of CO₂.¹¹⁶ 763





764

767 This picture for the broad sweep of Cenozoic CO₂ is consistent with current understanding of the long-term carbon cycle,¹¹⁸ but relative contributions of metamorphism¹¹⁵ and volcanism¹¹⁹ are 768 uncertain. Also, emissions from rift-induced Large Igneous Provinces (LIPs)^{120,121} contribute to 769 long-term change of atmospheric CO₂, with two cases prominent in Fig. 6. The Columbia River 770 Flood Basalt at ca. 17-15 MyBP was a principal cause of the Miocene Climatic Optimum,¹²² but 771 the processes are poorly understood.¹²³ A more dramatic event occurred as Greenland separated 772 from Europe, causing a rift in the sea floor; flood basalt covered more than a million square 773 774 kilometers with magma volume 6-7 million cubic kilometers¹²¹ – the North Atlantic Igneous 775 Province (NAIP). Flood basalt volcanism occurred during 60.5-54.5 MyBP, but at 56.1 ± 0.5 776 MyBP melt production increased by more than a factor of 10, continued at a high level for about a million years, and then subsided (Fig. 5 of Storey et al.).¹²⁴ The striking Paleocene-Eocene 777 Thermal Maximum (PETM) δ^{18} O spike (Fig. 6) occurs early in this million-year bump-up of 778 779 δ^{18} O. Svensen *et al.*¹²⁵ proposed that the PETM was initiated by the massive flood basalt into carbon-rich sedimentary strata. Gutjahr et al.¹²⁶ developed an isotope analysis, concluding that 780 most of PETM carbon emissions were volcanic, with climate-driven carbon feedbacks playing a 781 lesser role. Yet other evidence,¹²⁷ while consistent with volcanism as a trigger for the PETM, 782 783 suggests that climate feedback – perhaps methane hydrate release – may have caused more than 784 half of the PETM warming. We discuss PETM warming and CO₂ levels below, but first we must 785 quantify the mechanisms that drove Cenozoic climate change and consider where Earth's climate was headed before humanity intervened. 786

- 787 The sum of climate forcings (CO₂ and solar) and slow feedbacks (ice sheets and non-CO₂ GHGs)
- that maintained EECO warmth was 12.5 W/m² (Fig. 11). CO₂ forcing of 9.1 W/m² combined
- 789 with solar forcing of -1.2 W/m^2 to yield a total forcing¹²⁸ 8 W/m². Slow feedbacks were 4.5
- 790 W/m^2 forcing (ice albedo = 2 W/m^2 and non-CO₂ GHGs = 2.5 W/m^2). With today's solar
- rradiance, human-made GHG forcing required for Earth to return to EECO warmth is 8 W/m².
- 792 Present human-made GHG forcing is 4.6 W/m² relative to 7 kyBP.¹²⁹ Equilibrium response to
- this forcing includes the 2 W/m² ice sheet feedback and 25% amplification (of 6.6 W/m²) by





Fig. 11. Climate forcings and slow feedbacks relative to 7 kyBP from terms in equations (20-22).

non-CO₂ GHGs, yielding a total forcing plus slow feedbacks of 8.25 W/m^2 . Thus, equilibrium

global warming for today's GHGs is 10° C.¹³⁰ If human-made aerosol forcing is -1.5 W/m² and

remains at that level indefinitely, equilibrium warming for today's atmosphere is reduced to 8°C.

Either 10°C or 8°C dwarfs observed global warming of 1.2°C to date. Most of the equilibrium

800 warming for today's atmosphere has not yet occurred, and need not occur (Section 6.5).

801 **4.5 Prospects for another Snowball Earth**

802 We would be remiss if we did not comment on the precipitous decline of Earth's temperature 803 over the last several million years. Was Earth falling off the table into another Snowball Earth?

804 Global temperature plummeted in the past 50 million years, with growing, violent, oscillations

- 805 (Figs. 6 and 7). Glacial-interglacial average CO₂ declined from about 325 ppm to 225 ppm in the
- past five million years in an accelerating decline (Fig. 9a). As CO₂ fell to 180 ppm in recent
- 807 glacial maxima, an ice sheet covered most of Canada and reached midlatitudes in the U.S.
- 808 Continents in the current supercontinent cycle¹⁰⁹ are now dispersed, with movement slowing to
- 809 2-3 cm/year. Emissions from the last high-speed high-impact tectonic event collision of the
- 810 Indian plate with Eurasia are fizzling out. The most recent large igneous province (LIP) event –
- 811 the Columbia River Flood Basalt about 15 million years ago (Fig. 6) is no longer a factor, and
- there is no evidence of another impending LIP. Snowball conditions are possible, even though
- the Sun's brightness is increasing and is now almost 6% greater⁷⁴ than it was at the last snowball
- Earth, almost 600 million years ago.⁷³ Runaway snowball likely requires only 1-2 halvings⁷¹ of
- 815 CO₂ from the LGM 180 ppm level, i.e., to 45-90 ppm. Although the weathering rate declines in 131
- 816 colder climate,¹³¹ weathering and burial of organic matter continue, so decrease of atmospheric
- 817 CO_2 could have continued over millions of years, if the source of CO_2 from metamorphism and
- 818 vulcanism continued to decline.
- 819 Thus, in the absence of human activity, Earth may have been headed for snowball Earth
- 820 conditions within the next 10 or 20 million years. However, chance of future snowball Earth is
- 821 now academic. Human-made GHG emissions remove that possibility on any time scale of
- 822 practical interest. Instead, GHG emissions are now driving Earth toward much warmer climate.



Fig. 12. Temperature and CO₂ implied by δ^{18} O, if surface warming equaled deep ocean warming.

825 However, PETM surface warming of 5.6°C based on proxy surface temperature data yields peak

826 PETM $CO_2 = 1630$ ppm (see text).

823

827 **4.6. Paleocene Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM)**

The PETM event provides an invaluable benchmark for assessing the impact of the human-made climate perturbation, as well as the time scale for natural recovery of the climate system.

830 Westerhold data have 10°C deep ocean warming at the PETM, which exceeds warming in proxy

831 surface temperature data. Low latitude SST data have 3-4°C PETM warming.¹³² GCM-assisted

832 data assimilation accounting for patterns of climate change yields PETM global surface warming

5.6°C (5.4-5.9°C, 95% confidence)¹³³. The simplest interpretation is that both results are correct,
i.e., deep ocean warming at the sampled sites exceeded surface warming during the singular

835 PETM event. Nunes and Norris¹³⁴ conclude that ocean circulation changed at the start of the

836 PETM with a shift in location of deep-water formation that delivered warmer waters to the deep

sea, a circulation change that persisted at least 40,000 years. The PETM was triggered by a rift in

the sea floor with massive lave injection into the North Atlantic, so it is not surprising that deep

839 ocean temperature was elevated and circulation disrupted during the PETM.

- 840 We use the 5.6°C global surface warming estimate of Tierney *et al.*¹³³ and the pre-PETM T_s and
- 841 CO₂ from our analysis (Fig. 12) to obtain peak PETM CO₂. With the most likely ECS (1.2°C per
- 842 W/m²), pre-PETM (56-56.4 MyBP) CO₂ is 910 ppm and peak PETM CO₂ is 1630 ppm if CO₂
- provides 80% of the GHG forcing, thus less than a doubling of CO_2 . (In the unlikely case that
- 844 CO₂ caused 100% of the GHG forcing, required CO₂ is 1780, still not quite a doubling.) CO₂
- amounts for ECS = 1.0 and 1.4 °C per W/m² are 1165 and 760 ppm in the pre-PETM and 2260 and 1.4 °C per W/m² are 1165 and 760 ppm in the pre-PETM and 2260 pp
- and 1270 ppm at peak PETM, respectively. In all these ECS cases, the CO_2 forcing of the PETM
- is less than or approximately a CO_2 doubling. Our assumed 20% contribution by non- CO_2 GHGs
- 848 (amplification factor 1.25, Section 2), is nominal; indeed, Hopcroft *et al.*, e.g., estimate a 30%
- 849 contribution from non-CO₂ GHGs,¹³⁵ thus an amplification factor 1.43.

850 GHG forcing that drove PETM warming, therefore, was less than or about that for CO₂ doubling

 $(\sim 4 \text{ W/m}^2)$, less than today's estimated GHG climate forcing (4.6 W/m²) that is still growing 0.5

- W/m^2 per decade. The PETM is relevant to policy considerations, but we must bear in mind two
- differences between the PETM and human-made climate change. First, there were no large ice
- sheets on Earth in the PETM era. Ice sheets on Antarctica and Greenland today make Earth
- system sensitivity (ESS) greater than it was during the PETM. Equilibrium response to today's
- 856 human-made climate forcing would include deglaciation of Antarctica and Greenland, sea level

- rise of 60 m (about 200 feet), and surface albedo forcing of 2 W/m^2 . The second difference
- 858 between the PETM and today is the rate of change of the climate forcing. Most of today's
- 859 climate forcing was introduced in a century, which is 10 times or more faster than the PETM
- 860 forcing growth. Although a bolide impact¹³⁶ has been proposed as a trigger for the PETM, the
- 861 issue is the time scale on which the climate forcing increased GHGs occurred. Despite
- 862 uncertainty in the carbon source(s), data and modeling point to duration of a millennium or more
- 863 for PETM emissions.^{132,137}
- Better understanding of the PETM could inform us on climate feedbacks. Gutjahr et al.¹²⁶ argue 864 persuasively that PETM emissions were mostly volcanic, yet we know of no other large igneous 865 866 province that produced such great, temporally-isolated emissions. Further, numerous Cenozoic hyperthermal events¹³⁸ testify to important contributions of feedbacks to CO₂ amount. Northern 867 peatlands today contain more than 1000 Gt carbon,139 much of which could be mobilized at 868 PETM warming levels.¹⁴⁰ The double peak in deep ocean δ^{18} O (thus in inferred temperatures, cf. 869 Fig. 12, where each square is a binning interval of 5,000 years) is also found in terrestrial data.¹⁴¹ 870 Perhaps the sea floor rift occurred in two bursts, or the rift was followed tens of thousands of 871 872 vears later by methane hydrate release as a feedback to the ocean warming; much of today's 873 methane hydrate is in stratigraphic deposits hundreds of meters below the sea floor, where millennia may pass before a thermal wave from the surface reaches the deposits.¹⁴² Emissions 874
- from such feedbacks, including permafrost, seem to be more chronic than catastrophic on the
- short-term, but if policies are not designed to terminate growth of these feedbacks (Section 6), it
- short-term, but in policies are not designed to terminate growth of these feedbacks (Section 0), 1
- 877 may become impossible to avoid climate catastrophe.
- 878 The PETM draws attention to differences between the Westerhold and Zachos δ^{18} O data. The 879 PETM warming of 10°C in W data is twice as large as that in Z data. Zachos attributes the larger 880 PETM response in W data to the shallow (less than 1 km) depth of the Walvis Ridge core that 881 covers the PETM period in the W data, while Westerhold points out the affect of modern 882 analytical techniques that affect the amplitude of Cenozoic temperature change (see Supp. 883 Material SM9). Differences between the W and Z data sets have limited effect on conclusions of 884 our paper, as we reduce differences via scaling (equations 6-13) for agreement at the LGM, mid-885 Holocene, and Oi-1 points. This approach addresses, e.g., the cumulative effect in combining 886 data spices noted by Zachos in SM9. Further, we set the EECO global temperature relative to the Holocene and the PETM temperature relative to pre-PETM based on proxy-constrained, full-887 field, GCM analyses of Tierney et al.¹³³ and Zhu et al.¹⁰⁵ Nevertheless, improved understanding 888 889 of the differences between the W and Z data is needed. Potential insights from the PETM are 890 especially important, given the comparable magnitude of human-made and PETM climate forcings. The PETM provides perhaps the best empirical check on understanding of the 891 atmospheric lifetime of fossil fuel CO₂,¹⁴³ but for that purpose we must untangle as well as 892 possible the time dependence of the PETM CO₂ source and feedbacks. If a continuing magma 893 894 flow is a substantial portion of PETM CO₂, it may lead to exaggeration of CO₂ lifetime.
- 895 Policy discussion requires also an understanding of the role of aerosols in climate change.
- 896



Fig. 13. Observed global surface temperature (black line) and expected GHG warming with two
 choices for ECS. The blue area is the estimated aerosol cooling effect. The temperature peak in
 the World War II era is in part an artifact of inhomogeneous ocean data in that period.⁶⁸

901 **5. AEROSOLS**

897

902 The role of aerosols in climate change is uncertain because aerosol properties are not measured

903 well enough to define their climate forcing. In this section we find ways to estimate the climate 904 forcing via aerosol effects on Earth's temperature and Earth's energy imbalance.

905 Aerosol impact is suggested by the gap between observed global warming and expected warming

906 due to GHGs based on ECS inferred from paleoclimate (Fig. 13). Expected warming is from Eq.

4 with the normalized response function of the GISS (2020) model. Our best estimate for ECS,

908 1.2°C per W/m², yields a gap of 1.5°C between expected and actual warming in 2022. Aerosols

are the likely cooling source. The other negative forcing discussed by IPCC – surface albedo

910 change – is estimated by IPCC (Chapter 7, Table 7.8) to be $-0.12 \pm 0.1 \text{ W/m}^2$, an order of

911 magnitude smaller than aerosol forcing.¹³ Thus, for clarity, we focus on GHGs and aerosols.

Absence of global warming over the 70-year period 1850-1920 (Fig. SPM.1 of IPCC AR6 WG1

913 report¹³) is a clue about aerosol forcing. GHG forcing increased 0.54 W/m² in 1850-1920, which

- 914 causes an expected warming ~ 0.4° C by 1920 for ECS = 1°C per W/m². Natural forcings solar
- 915 irradiance and volcanic aerosols might contribute to lack of warming, but no persuasive case
- 916 has been made for the required downward trends of those forcings. Human-made aerosols are the
- 917 likely offset of GHG warming. Such aerosol cooling is a Faustian bargain¹⁰⁶ because payment in
- 918 enhanced global warming will come due once we can no longer tolerate the air pollution.
- 919 Ambient air pollution causes millions of deaths per year, with particulates most responsible.¹⁴⁴

920 **5.1. Evidence of aerosol forcing in the Holocene**

921 In this section we infer evidence of human-made aerosols in the last half of the Holocene from

- the absence of global warming. Some proxy-based analyses^{,145} report cooling in the last half of
- 923 the Holocene, but a recent analysis⁵⁴ that uses GCMs to overcome spatial and temporal biases in
- 924 proxy data finds rising global temperature in the first half of the Holocene followed by nearly



925

Fig. 14. Global mean surface temperature change over the past 24 ky, reproduced from Fig. 2 of
Osman et al.⁵⁴ including Last Millennium reanalysis of Tardif *et al.*¹⁴⁶

constant temperature in the last 6,000 years until the last few centuries (Fig. 14). Antarctic, deep
ocean, and tropical sea surface data all show stable temperature in the last 6,000 years (Fig. S6 of
reference⁶⁵). GHG forcing increased 0.5 W/m² during those 6,000 years (Fig. 15), yet Earth did
not warm. Fast feedbacks alone should yield at least +0.5°C warming and 6,000 years is long
enough for slow feedbacks to also contribute. How can we interpret the absence of warming?

933 Humanity's growing footprint deserves scrutiny. Ruddiman's suggestion that deforestation and

agriculture began to affect CO_2 6500 year ago and rice agriculture began to affect CH_4 5,000

935 years ago has been criticized⁵⁰ mainly because of the size of proposed sources. Ruddiman sought

936 sources sufficient to offset declines of CO₂ and CH₄ in prior interglacial periods, but such large

937 sources are not needed to account for Holocene GHG levels. Paleoclimate GHG decreases are

slow feedbacks that occur in concert with global cooling. However, if global cooling did not

939 occur in the past 6,000 years, feedbacks did not occur. Earth orbital parameters 6,000 years ago

940 kept the Southern Ocean warm, as needed to maintain strong overturning ocean circulation¹⁴⁷

and minimize carbon sequestration in the deep ocean. Maximum insolation at 60°S was in late-



942



right. GHG amounts are from Schilt *et al.*⁵¹ and formulae for forcing are in Supporting Material.



945

946 Fig. 16. Sea level since the last glacial period relative to present. Credit: Robert Rohde¹⁴⁸

947 spring (mid-November); since then, maximum insolation at 60°S slowly advanced through the

948 year, recently reaching mid-summer (mid-January, Fig. 26b of *Ice Melt*¹⁴). Maximum insolation

949 from late-spring through mid-summer is optimum to warm the Southern Ocean and promote

950 early warm-season ice melt, which reduces surface albedo and magnifies regional warming.⁴⁸

951 GHG forcing of -0.2 W/m^2 in 10-6 kyBP (Fig. 15) was exceeded by forcing of $+1 \text{ W/m}^2$ due to

952 ice sheet shrinkage (Supp. Material in *Target CO* $_2^{65}$) for a 40 m sea level rise (Fig. 16). Net 0.8

953 W/m² forcing produced expected 1°C global warming (Fig. 14). The mystery is the absence of

954 warming in the past 6,000 years. Hansen *et al.*⁴⁸ suggested that aerosol cooling offset GHG

955 warming. Growing population, agriculture and land clearance produced aerosols and CO₂; wood

956 was the main fuel for cooking and heating. Nonlinear aerosol forcing is largest in a pristine

- atmosphere, so it is unsurprising that aerosols tended to offset CO₂ warming as civilization
- 958 developed. Hemispheric differences could provide a check. GHG forcing is global, while aerosol
- forcing is mainly in the Northern Hemisphere. Global offset implies a net negative Northern
- 960 Hemisphere forcing and positive Southern Hemisphere forcing. Thus, data and modeling studies
- 961 (including orbital effects) of regional response are warranted but beyond the scope of this paper.

962 **5.2. Industrial era aerosols**

963 Scientific advances often face early resistance from other scientists.¹⁴⁹ Examples are the

snowball Earth hypothesis¹⁵⁰ and the role of an asteroid impact in extinction of non-avian

dinosaurs,¹⁵¹ which initially were highly controversial but are now more widely accepted.

966 Ruddiman's hypothesis, right or wrong, is still controversial. Thus, we minimize this issue by

967 showing aerosol effects with and without preindustrial human-made aerosols.

968 Global aerosols are not monitored with detail needed to define aerosol climate forcing.^{152,153}

969 IPCC¹³ estimates forcing (Fig. 17a) from assumed precursor emissions, a herculean task due to

970 many aerosol types and complex cloud effects. Aerosol forcing uncertainty is comparable to its

971 estimated value (Fig. 17a), which is constrained more by observed global temperature change

than by aerosol measurements.¹⁵⁴ IPCC's best estimate of aerosol forcing (Fig. 107) and GHG



Fig. 17. (a) Estimated greenhouse gas and aerosol forcings relative to 1750 values. (b) Aerosol forcing as
 percent of GHG forcing. Forcings for dark blue area are relative to 1750. Light blue area adds 0.5 W/m²
 forcing estimated for human-caused aerosols from fires, biofuels and land use.

- history define the percent of GHG forcing offset by aerosol cooling the dark blue area in Fig.
- 17b. However, if human-made aerosol forcing was -0.5 W/m^2 by 1750, offsetting $+0.5 \text{ W/m}^2$
- 979 GHG forcing, this forcing should be included. Such aerosol forcing largely via effects of land
- 980 use and biomass fuels on clouds continues today. Thirty million people in the United States use
- 981 wood for heating.¹⁵⁵ Such fuels are also common in Europe^{156,157} and much of the world.
- 982 Fig. 17b encapsulates two alternative views of aerosol history. IPCC aerosol forcing slowly
- 983 becomes important relative to GHG forcing. In our view, civilization always produced aerosols
- as well as GHGs. As sea level stabilized, organized societies and population grew as coastal
- biologic productivity increased¹⁵⁸ and agriculture developed. Wood was the main fuel. Aerosols
- 986 travel great distances, as shown by Asian aerosols in North America.¹⁵⁹ Humans contributed to
- both rising GHG and aerosol climate forcings in the past 6,000 years. One result is that human-
- 988 caused aerosol climate forcing is at least 0.5 W/m^2 more than usually assumed. Thus, the
- 989 Faustian payment that will eventually come due is also larger, as discussed in Section 6.

990 **5.3. Ambiguity in aerosol climate forcing**

973

- In this section we discuss uncertainty in the aerosol forcing. We discuss why global warming in
- 992 the past century often used to infer climate sensitivity is ill-suited for that purpose.
- 993 Recent global warming does not yield a unique ECS because warming depends on three major
- unknowns with only two basic constraints. Unknowns are ECS, net climate forcing (aerosol
- 995 forcing is unmeasured), and ocean mixing (many ocean models are too diffusive). Constraints
- are observed global temperature change and Earth's energy imbalance (EEI).⁸⁷ Knutti¹⁶⁰ and
- Hansen⁷⁹ suggest that many climate models compensate for excessive ocean mixing (which
- reduces surface warming) by using aerosol forcing less negative than the real world, thus
- achieving realistic surface warming. This issue is unresolved and complicated by the finding that
- 1000 cloud feedbacks can buffer ocean heat uptake (Section 3), affecting interpretation of EEI.
- 1001 IPCC AR6 WG1 best estimate of aerosol forcing (Table AIII.3)¹³ is near maximum (negative)
- 1002 value by 1975, then nearly constant until rising in the 21^{st} century to -1.09 W/m^2 in 2019 (Fig.
- 1003 18). We use this IPCC aerosol forcing in climate simulations here. We also use an alternative
- 1004 aerosol scenario¹⁶¹ that reaches -1.63 W/m^2 in 2010 relative to 1880 and -1.8 W/m^2 relative to
- 1005 1850 (Fig. 18) based on modeling of Koch¹⁶² that included changing technology factors defined
- 1006 by Novakov.¹⁶³ This alternative scenario¹⁶⁴ is comparable to the forcing in some current aerosol



1007185019001950200020501008Fig. 18. Aerosol forcing relative to 1850 from IPCC AR6, an alternative aerosol scenario161 and1009two aerosol model scenarios of Bauer et al. (2020).165

1010 models (Fig. 18). Human-made aerosol forcing relative to several millennia ago may be even

1011 more negative, by about -0.5 W/m^2 as discussed above, but the additional forcing was offset by

1012 increasing GHGs and thus those additional forcings are neglected, with climate assumed to be in

1013 approximate equilibrium in 1850.

1014 Many combinations of climate sensitivity and aerosol forcing can fit observed global warming.

1015 The GISS (2014) model (ECS = 2.6° C) with IPCC AR6 aerosol forcing can match observed

1016 warming (Fig. 19) in the last half century (when human-made climate forcing overwhelmed

1017 natural forcings, unforced climate variability, and flaws in observations). However, agreement

also can be achieved by climate models with high ECS. The GISS (2020) model (with ECS =

1019 3.5°C) yields greater warming than observed if IPCC aerosol forcing is used, but less than

1020 observed for the alternative aerosol scenario (Fig. 19). This latter aerosol scenario achieves

agreement with observed warming if ECS ~ 4° C (green curve in Fig. 19).¹⁶⁶ Agreement can be

1022 achieved with even higher ECS by use of a still more negative aerosol forcing.



Fig. 19. Global temperature change T_G due to aerosols + GHGs calculated with Green's function Eq (5) using GISS (2014) and GISS (2020) response functions (Fig. 4). Observed temperature is the NASA GISS analysis.^{167,168} Base period: 1951-1980 for observations and model.



1027	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2 5	5	10	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
1028	Fig. 20. Total	sulfa	ite (pa	rts pe	r trillio	n by	y volume) ar	nd p	erce	enta	ge c	of to	otal	sulf	fate	pro	ovided by
1029	shipping in si	mulat	tions c	of Jin	et al. ¹⁶⁹	, pri	or to IMO r	egu	latio	ons o	on s	ulfı	ur c	onte	ent	of f	uels.

1030 The issue we raise is the magnitude of the aerosol forcing, with implications for future warming

- 1031 when particulate air pollution is likely to be reduced. We suggest that IPCC reports may have
- 1032 gravitated toward climate sensitivity near 3° C for $2 \times CO_2$ in part because of difficulty that
- 1033 models have in realistically simulating amplifying cloud feedbacks and a climate model tendency
- 1034 for excessive mixing of heat into the deep ocean. Our finding from paleoclimate analysis that 1025
- 1035 ECS is $1.2^{\circ}C \pm 0.3^{\circ}C$ per W/m² ($4.8^{\circ}C \pm 1.2^{\circ}C$ for $2 \times CO_2$) implies that the (unmeasured) 1036 aerosol forcing must be more negative than IPCC's best estimate. In turn – because aerosol-
- 1037 cloud interactions are the main source of uncertainty in aerosol forcing this finding emphasizes
- 1038 the need to measure both global aerosol and cloud particle properties.
- 1039 The case for monitoring global aerosol climate forcing will grow as recognition of the need to
- 1040 slow and reverse climate change emerges. Aerosol and cloud particle microphysics must be
- 1041 measured with precision adequate to define the forcing.^{170,152} In the absence of such Keeling-like
- 1042 global monitoring, progress can be made via more limited satellite measurements of aerosol and
- 1043 cloud properties, field studies, and aerosol and cloud modeling. As described next, a great
- 1044 opportunity to study aerosol and cloud physics is provided by a recent change in the IMO
- 1045 (International Maritime Organization) regulations on ship emissions.

1046 **5.4. The great inadvertent aerosol experiment**

- 1047 Sulfate aerosols are cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), so sulfate emissions by ships result in a 1048 larger number of smaller cloud particles, thus affecting cloud albedo and cloud lifetime.¹⁷¹ Ships
- 1049 provide a large percentage of sulfates in the North Pacific and North Atlantic regions (Fig. 20). It
- 1050 has been suggested that cooling by these clouds is overestimated because of cloud liquid water
- adjustments,¹⁷² but Manshausen *et al.*¹⁷³ present evidence that liquid water path (LWP) effects
- 1052 are substantial even in regions without visible ship-tracks; they estimate a LWP forcing $-0.76 \pm$
- 1053 0.27 W/m², in stark contrast with the IPCC estimate of $+ 0.2 \pm 0.2$ W/m². Wall *et al.*¹⁷⁴ use
- 1054 satellite observations to quantify relationships between sulfates and low-level clouds; they
- estimate a sulfate indirect aerosol forcing of -1.11 ± 0.43 W/m² over the global ocean. The
- range of aerosol forcings used in CMIP6 and AR6 GCMs (small blue bar in Fig. 18) is not a
- 1057 measure of aerosol forcing uncertainty. The larger bar, from Chapter 7^{175} of AR6, has negative
- 1058 forcing as great as -2 W/m^2 , but even that does not measure the full uncertainty.



Fig. 21. Global absorbed solar radiation (W/m^2) relative to mean of the first 120 months of CERES data. CERES data are available at http://ceres.larc.nasa.gov/order data.php

1059

1062 Changes of IMO emission regulations provide a great opportunity for insight into aerosol climate

1063 forcing. Sulfur content of fuels was limited to 1% in 2010 near the coasts of North America and

1064 in the North Sea, Baltic Sea and English Channel, and further restricted there to 0.1% in 2015.¹⁷⁶

1065 In 2020 a limit of 0.5% was imposed worldwide. The 1% limit did not have a noticeable effect

1066 on ship-tracks, but a striking reduction of ship-tracks was found after the 2015 IMO regulations,

1067 especially in the regions near land where emissions were specifically limited.¹⁷⁷ Following the

additional 2020 regulations,¹⁷⁸ global ship-tracks were reduced more than 50%.¹⁷⁹

Earth's albedo (reflectivity) measured by CERES (Clouds and Earth's Radiant Energy System) 1069 1070 satellite-borne instruments⁸⁸ over the 22-years March 2000 to March 2022 reveal a decrease of albedo and thus an increase of absorbed solar energy coinciding with the 2015 change of IMO 1071 emission regulations. Global absorbed solar energy is $+1.05 \text{ W/m}^2$ in the period January 2015 1072 through December 2022 relative to the mean for the first 10 years of data (Fig. 21). This increase 1073 is 5 times greater than the standard deviation (0.21 W/m^2) of annual absorbed solar energy in the 1074 1075 first 10 years of data and 4.5 times greater than the standard deviation (0.23 W/m^2) of CERES data through December 2014. The increase of absorbed solar energy is notably larger than 1076 estimated potential CERES instrument drift, which is <0.085 W/m² per decade.⁸⁸ Increased solar 1077 energy absorption occurred despite 2015-2020 being the declining phase of the ~11-year solar 1078 irradiance cycle.¹⁸⁰ Nor can increased absorption be attributed to correlation of Earth's albedo 1079

1080 (and absorbed solar energy) with the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO): the PDO did shift to the

1081 positive phase in 2014-2017, but it returned to the negative phase in 2017-2022.¹⁸¹

Given the large increase of absorbed solar energy, cloud changes are likely the main cause.
 Quantitative analysis¹⁸¹ of contributions to the 20-year trend of absorbed solar energy show that

1084 clouds provide most of the change. Surface albedo decrease due to sea ice decline contributes to

1085 the 20-year trend in the Northern Hemisphere, but that sea ice decline occurred especially in

1086 2007, with minimum sea ice cover reached in 2012; over the past decade as global and

1087 hemispheric albedos declined, sea ice had little trend.¹⁸² Potential causes of the cloud changes 1088 include: 1) reduced aerosol forcing, 2) cloud feedbacks to global warming, 3) natural

1089 variability.¹⁸³ Absorbed solar energy was 0.80 W/m^2 greater in Jan2015-Feb2023 than in the first





Fig. 22. Absorbed solar radiation for indicated regions relative to first 120 months of CERES 1092 data. Southern Hemisphere 20-60°S is 89% ocean. North Atlantic is (20-60°N, 0-60°W) and

1093 North Pacific is (20-60°N, 120-220°W). Data source: http://ceres.larc.nasa.gov/order_data.php

1094 decade of CERES data at latitudes 20-60°S (Fig. 22), a region of relatively little ship traffic. This

1095 change is an order of magnitude larger than the estimate of potential detector degradation.⁸⁸

1096 Climate models predict a reduction of cloud albedo in this region as a feedback effect driven by

global warming.¹⁸⁴ Continued monitoring of absorbed energy can confirm the reality of the 1097

change, but without global monitoring of detailed physical properties of aerosols and clouds,¹⁵² it 1098

1099 will be difficult to apportion observed change among the candidate causes.

1100 The North Pacific and North Atlantic regions of heavy ship traffic are ripe for more detailed

1101 study of cloud changes and their causes, although unforced cloud variability is large in such sub-

1102 global regions. North Pacific and North Atlantic regions both have increased absorption of solar

1103 radiation after 2015 (Fig. 22). The 2014-2017 maximum absorption in the North Pacific is likely

enhanced by reduced cloud cover during the positive PDO, but the more recent high absorption 1104

1105 is during the negative PDO phase. In the North Atlantic, the persistence of increased absorption

1106 for the past several years exceeds prior variability, but longer records plus aerosol and cloud

1107 microphysical data are needed for full interpretation.

6. SUMMARY 1108

1109 Earth's climate is characterized – ominously – by amplifying feedbacks and delayed response.

Feedbacks and delayed response have been recognized for at least 40 years, but they are difficult 1110

to quantify. Feedbacks determine climate sensitivity to applied forcing. Delayed response makes 1111

1112 human-made climate forcing a threat to today's public and future generations because of the

1113 practical difficulty of reversing the forcing once consequences become apparent to the public.

- 1114 Thus, there is a premium on knowledge of climate sensitivity and response time, and the
- 1115 implications must be delivered to the public as soon as possible. This objective confronts the
- barrier of scientific reticence, which is illustrated by the following example: Richard Feynman 1116
- needled fellow physicists about their reticence to challenge authority,¹⁸⁵ using the famous oil 1117
- 1118 drop experiment in which Millikan derived the electron charge. Millikan's result was a bit off.
- 1119 Later researchers only moved his result in small increments – uncertainties and choices in
- 1120 experiments require judgment – and it thus required years for the community to achieve an
- 1121 accurate value. Their reticence to contradict Millikan was an embarrassment to the physics

- 1122 community, but it caused no harm to society. Scientific reticence,¹⁸⁶ in part, may be a
- 1123 consequence of the scientific method, which is fueled by objective skepticism. Another factor
- 1124 that contributes to irrational reticence among rational scientists is "delay discounting," a
- 1125 preference for immediate over delayed rewards.¹⁸⁷ The penalty for "crying wolf" is immediate,
- 1126 while the danger of being blamed for having "fiddled while Rome was burning" is distant. Also,
- 1127 one of us has noted¹⁸⁸ evidence that larding of papers and research proposals with caveats and
- 1128 uncertainties notably increases chances of obtaining research support. "Gradualism" that results
- 1129 from reticence seems to be comfortable and well-suited for maintaining long-term support.
- 1130 Reticence and gradualism reach a new level with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- 1131 Change (IPCC). The prime example is IPCC's history in evaluating climate sensitivity, the most
- basic measure of climate change, as summarized in our present paper. IPCC reports must be
- approved by UN-assembled governments, but that constraint should not dictate reticence and
- 1134 gradualism. Climate science clearly reveals the threat of being too late. "Being too late" refers
- 1135 not only to assessment of the climate threat, but also to technical advice on the implications of
- 1136 climate science for policy. Are not we as scientists complicit if we allow reticence and comfort
- 1137 to obfuscate our description of the climate situation and its implications? Does our training –
- 1138 years of graduate study and decades of experience not make us the best-equipped to advise the
- public on the climate situation and its implications for policy? As professionals with the deepest understanding of planetary change and as guardians of young people and their future, do we not
- 1140 have an obligation, analogous to the code of ethics of medical professionals, to render to the
- public our full and unencumbered diagnosis and its implications? That is our aim here.

1143 **6.1. Equilibrium climate sensitivity (ECS)**

- 1144 The 1979 Charney study⁴ considered an idealized climate sensitivity in which ice sheets and non-
- 1145 CO_2 GHGs are fixed. The Charney group estimated that the equilibrium response to $2 \times CO_2$, a
- 1146 forcing of 4 W/m², was 3°C, thus an ECS of 0.75°C per W/m², with one standard deviation
- 1147 uncertainty $\sigma = 0.375$ °C. Charney's estimate stood as the canonical ECS for more than 40 years.
- 1148 The current IPCC report¹³ concludes that 3° C for $2 \times CO_2$ is their best estimate for ECS.
- 1149 We compare recent glacial and interglacial climates to infer ECS with a precision not possible
- 1150 with climate models alone. Uncertainty about Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) temperature has
- been resolved independently with consistent results by Tierney *et al.*⁵³ and Seltzer *et al.*⁵⁶ The
- 1152 Tierney approach, using a collection of geochemical temperature indicators in a global analysis
- 1153 constrained by climate change patterns defined by a global climate model, is used by Osman *et* 1154 $al.^{54}$ to find peak LGM cooling 7.0 ± 1°C (2 σ , 95% confidence) at 21-18 kyBP. We show that,
- 1154 $al.^{54}$ to find peak LGM cooling 7.0 ± 1°C (2 σ , 95% confidence) at 21-18 kyBP. We show that, 1155 accounting for polar amplification, these analyses are consistent with the 5.8 ± 0.6°C LGM
- 1156 cooling of land areas between 45°S and 35°N found by Seltzer *et al.* using the temperature-
- 1157 dependent solubility of dissolved noble gases in ancient groundwater. The forcing that
- 1158 maintained the 7°C LGM cooling was the sum of 2.25 \pm 0.45 W/m² (2 σ) from GHGs and 3.5 \pm
- 1159 $1.0 \text{ W/m}^2 (2\sigma)$ from the LGM surface albedo, thus $5.75 \pm 1.1 \text{ W/m}^2 (2\sigma)$. ECS implied by the
- 1160 LGM is thus $1.22 \pm 0.29^{\circ}$ C (2 σ) per W/m², which, at this final step, we round to $1.2 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$ C per
- 1161 W/m^2 . For transparency, we have combined uncertainties via simple RMS (root-mean-square).
- 1162 ECS as low as 3° C for $2 \times CO_2$ is excluded at the 3σ level, i.e., with 99.7% confidence.

- 1163 More sophisticated mathematical analysis, which has merits but introduces opportunity for prior
- bias and obfuscation, is not essential; error assessment ultimately involves expert judgement.
- 1165 Instead, focus is needed on the largest source of error: LGM surface albedo change, which is
- 1166 uncertain because of the effect of cloud shielding on the efficacy of the forcing. As cloud
- 1167 modeling is advancing rapidly, the topic is ripe for collaboration of CMIP⁵⁸ (Coupled Model
- 1168 Intercomparison Project) with PMIP⁵⁹ (Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project).
- 1169 Simulations should include at the same time change of surface albedo and topography of ice
- 1170 sheets, vegetation change, and exposure of continental shelves due to lower sea level.
- 1171 Knowledge of climate sensitivity can be advanced further via analysis of the wide climate range
- 1172 in the Cenozoic era (Section 6.3). However, interpretation of data and models, and especially
- 1173 projections of climate change, depend on understanding of climate response time.

1174 **6.2. Climate response time**

- 1175 We expected climate response time the time for climate to approach a new equilibrium after
- 1176 imposition of a forcing to become faster as mixing of heat in ocean models improved.⁷⁹ That
- 1177 expectation was not met when we compared two generations of the GISS GCM. The GISS
- 1178 (2020) GCM is demonstrably improved^{34,35} in its ocean simulation over the GISS (2014) GCM
- as a result of higher vertical and horizontal resolution, more realistic parameterization of sub-grid
- scale motions, and correction of errors in the ocean computer program.³⁴ Yet the time required
- 1181 for the model to achieve 63% of its equilibrium response remains about 100 years. There are two
- 1182 reasons for this, one that is obvious and one that is more interesting and informative.
- 1183 The surface in the newer model warms as fast as in the older model, but it must achieve greater
- 1184 warming to reach 63% of equilibrium because its ECS is higher, which is the first reason that the
- response time remains long. The other reason is that Earth's energy imbalance (EEI) in the newer
- 1186 model decreases rapidly. EEI defines the rate that heat is pumped into the ocean, so a smaller
- 1187 EEI implies a longer time for the ocean to reach its new equilibrium temperature. Quick drop of
- 1188 EEI in the first year after introduction of the forcing implies existence of ultrafast feedback in 1189 the GISS (2020) model. For want of an alternative with such a large effect on Earth's energy
- budget, we infer a rapid cloud feedback and we suggest (Section 3.3) a set of brief GCM runs
- 1191 that could define cloud changes and other diagnostic quantities to an arbitrary accuracy.
- 1192 The Charney report⁴ recognized that clouds were a main cause of a wide range in ECS estimates.
- 1193 Today, clouds still cast uncertainty on climate predictions. Several CMIP6³⁶ GCMs have ECS of
- 1194 ~ $4-6^{\circ}$ C for 2×CO₂^{189,190} with the high sensitivity caused by cloud feedbacks.⁹¹ As cloud
- 1195 modeling progresses, it will aid understanding if climate models report their 2×CO₂ response
- 1196 functions for both temperature and EEI (Earth's energy imbalance).
- 1197 Fast EEI response faster than global temperature response has a practical effect: observed
- 1198 EEI understates the reduction of climate forcing required to stabilize climate. Although the
- 1199 magnitude of this effect is uncertain (see Supporting Material SM6), it makes the task of
- 1200 restoring a hospitable climate and saving coastal cities more challenging. On the other hand, long
- 1201 climate response time implies the potential for educated policies to affect the climate outcome
- 1202 before the most undesirable consequences occur.



Fig. 23. (a) Cenozoic surface temperature estimated from deep ocean oxygen isotope data of Westerhold *et al.*⁹⁸ and (b) implied CO₂ history for ECS = 1.2° C per W/m² (black curve); red and green curves for ECS = 1.0 and 1.4° C per W/m² are 1 My smoothed.

1207 The time required for climate to reach a new equilibrium is relevant to policy (Section 6.6), but 1208 there is another response time of practical importance. With climate in a state of disequilibrium, 1209 how much time do we have before we pass the point of no return, the point where major climate 1210 impacts are locked in, beyond our ability to control? That's a complex matter; it requires

1211 understanding of "slow" feedbacks, especially ice sheets. It also depends on how far climate is

1212 out of equilibrium. Thus, we first consider the full Earth system sensitivity.

1213 6.3. Earth system sensitivity (ESS)

1203

1214 The Cenozoic era – the past 66 million years – provides an opportunity to study Earth system

sensitivity via a consistent analysis for climate ranging from hothouse conditions with Earth
15°C warmer and sea level 60 m higher than preindustrial climate to glacial conditions with

1210 13 C wannel and sea level 00 in light than preindustrial clinitate to glacial conditions with 1217 Earth 7°C cooler and sea level 120 m lower than preindustrial. Atmospheric CO_2 amount in the

- 1217 Data 7 C cooler and sea level 120 in lower than prendustrial. Atmospheric CO₂ amount in the 1218 past 800,000 years, known from bubbles of air trapped in the Antarctic ice sheet, confirms
- 1219 expectation that CO_2 is the main control knob⁹⁴ on global temperature (Fig. 2). We can assume
- 1220 this control existed at earlier times when CO_2 amount was larger as a result of CO_2 emissions
- 1221 caused by plate tectonics (continental drift). The two-step¹⁰¹ that the Indian plate executed as it
- 1222 moved through the Tethys (now Indian) ocean left an indelible signature in atmospheric CO_2 and
- 1223 global temperature. CO₂ emissions from subduction of ocean crust were greatest when the Indian
- 1224 plate was moving fastest (inset, Fig. 6) and peaked at its hard collision with the Eurasian plate at
- 1225 50 MyBP. Diminishing metamorphic CO_2 emissions continue as the Indian plate is subducted 1226 beneath the Eurasian plate, pushing up the Himalayan Mountains, but carbon drawdown from
- weathering and burial of organic carbon exceeds emissions. Motion of the Indian Plate thus
- 1228 dominates the broad sweep of Cenozoic CO₂, but igneous provinces play a role. The North
- 1229 Atlantic Igneous Province (caused by a rift in the sea floor as Greenland pulled away from
- 1230 Europe) that triggered the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM) event about 56 MyBP
- 1231 and the Columbia River Flood Basalt about 15 MyBP (Fig. 6) are most notable.

1232 We infer the Cenozoic history of sea surface temperature (SST) at sites of deepwater formation

1233 from the oxygen isotope δ^{18} O in shells of deep-ocean-dwelling foraminifera preserved in ocean

1234 sediments.^{47,98} The high latitude SST change – including a correction term as SST approaches

1235 the freezing point – provides an accurate estimate of global surface temperature change. This

1236 Cenozoic temperature history and climate sensitivity inferred from the LGM cooling define the

1237 Cenozoic CO₂ history. We suggest that this whole-Cenozoic approach defines the CO₂ history

- 1238 (Fig. 23b) more accurately than CO_2 proxy measurements. We find CO_2 about 325 ppm in the
- 1239 early Pliocene and 450 ppm at transition to glaciated Antarctica. Global climate models (GCMs)



1240



12 12 white number indee of to following in 2022.

that isolate on the Pliocene tend to use CO₂ levels of order 400 ppm in attempts to match actual
Pliocene warmth and ice sheet models use CO₂ of order 700 ppm or greater to achieve ice sheet
disintegration on Antarctica, which suggests that the models are not realistically capturing
amplifying feedback processes (see Section 4.3).

1247 The Cenozoic provides a perspective on present greenhouse gas (GHG) levels. The dashed line

1248 in Fig. 24 marks the "we are here" level of GHG climate forcing, which is more than half of the

1249 forcing that maintained EECO global temperature of +15°C relative to the Holocene. Today's

1250 GHG forcing of 4.6 W/m² is relative to mid-Holocene CO_2 of 260 ppm; we present evidence in

1251 Section 4.3 that 260 ppm is the natural Holocene CO₂ level. GHG forcing today already is well

above the level needed to deglaciate Antarctica, if the forcing is left in place long enough. We

1253 are not predicting deglaciation of Antarctica on a time scale that today's people would care about

1254 – rather we are drawing attention to how far today's climate is out of equilibrium with today's

1255 GHG level. The extent that the climate is out of equilibrium with atmospheric composition is one

measure of how strongly humanity is pushing the climate system. Hope of approximatelystabilizing climate requires removing the disequilibrium by reducing human-made climate

1257 stabilizing chinate requires removing the disequinormal by reducing numan-made chinate 1258 forcing. The danger is that – if deglaciation is allowed to get well underway – it will become

1259 difficult if not impossible to prevent large sea level rise.

1260 GHGs are not the only large human-made climate forcing. Understanding of ongoing climate 1261 change requires that we also include the effect of aerosols (fine airborne particles).

1262



Fig. 25. Global temperature relative to 1880-1920. Edges of the predicted post-2010 accelerated warming rate (see text) are 0.36 and 0.27°C per decade.

1266 **6.4. Aerosols**

1263

1267 Aerosol climate forcing is larger than the IPCC AR6 estimate and has probably been significant

for millennia. We know of no other persuasive explanation for the absence of global warming in the last half of the Holocene (Fig. 14) as GHG forcing increased 0.5 W/m² (Fig. 15). Climate

1270 models that do not incorporate a growing negative aerosol forcing yield significant warming in

1271 that period,¹⁹¹ a warming that, in fact, did not occur. Negative aerosol forcing, increasing as

1272 civilization developed and population grew, is expected. As humans burned fuels at a growing

1273 rate – wood and other biomass for millennia and fossil fuels in the industrial era – aerosols as

1274 well as GHGs were an abundant, growing, biproduct. The aerosol source from wood-burning has

1275 continued in modern times.¹⁹² GHGs are long-lived and accumulate, so their forcing dominates

1276 eventually, unless aerosol emissions grow higher and higher – the Faustian bargain.¹⁰⁶

1277 We estimate peak (negative) aerosol forcing – in the first decade of this century – of at least 1.5-

- 1278 2 W/m^2 , but aerosol amount now seems to be in decline. We estimate that GHG plus aerosol
- 1279 forcing during 1970-2010 grew +0.3 W/m^2 per decade (+0.45 from GHG, -0.15 from aerosols),
- 1280 which produced warming of 0.18°C per decade. With current policies, we expect climate forcing
- for a few decades post-2010 to increase $0.5-0.6 \text{ W/m}^2$ per decade and produce global warming of
- 1282 at least +0.27°C per decade. In that case, global warming will reach 1.5°C by the end of the
- 1283 2020s and 2°C before 2050 (Fig. 25). Such acceleration is highly dangerous in a climate system
- 1284 that is already far out of equilibrium and dominated by multiple amplifying feedbacks.

1285 In the absence of global monitoring of aerosol microphysics, the sharp change of ship emissions

in 2015 and especially in 2020 (Section 5.4) may provide an indirect measure of aerosol effects.

1287 Diamond¹⁹³ finds evidence of a cloud brightness decrease amounting to a forcing of order 1

1288 W/m^2 in a shipping corridor. Satellite measurement of absorbed solar radiation (Fig. 22) that

- 1289 include the effect of cloud cover change suggest a somewhat larger effect. However, the single
- 1290 best sentinel for climate, our best measure of where global temperature is headed in the next
- 1291 decade, is Earth's energy imbalance.



Fig. 26. 12-month running-mean of Earth's energy imbalance from CERES satellite data⁸⁸
 normalized to 0.71 W/m² mean for July 2005 – June 2015 (light blue bar) from in situ data.⁸⁷

1274 normalized to 0.71 w/m mean for sury 2005 - sure 2015 (light blue bar) in

1295 **6.5. Earth's energy imbalance**

1292

1296 Earth's energy imbalance (EEI) is the net gain (or loss) of energy by the planet, the difference

- between absorbed solar energy and emitted thermal (heat) radiation. As long as EEI is positive,
- 1298 Earth will continue to get hotter. EEI is hard to measure, a small difference between two large
- 1299 quantities (Earth absorbs and emits about 240 W/m² averaged over the entire planetary surface),
- 1300 but change of EEI can be well-measured from space.⁸⁸ Absolute calibration is from the change of
- heat in the heat reservoirs, mainly the global ocean, over a period of at least a decade, as required
- 1302 to reduce error due to the finite number of places that the ocean is sampled.⁸⁷ EEI varies year-to-1303 year (Fig. 26), largely because global cloud amount varies with weather and ocean dynamics, but
- averaged over several years EEI helps inform us about what is needed to stabilize climate.
- 1305 The data suggest that EEI has doubled since the first decade of this century (Fig. 26). This
- 1306 increase is one basis for our prediction of post-2010 acceleration of the global warming rate. The

1307 EEI increase may be partly due to restrictions on maritime aerosol precursor emissions imposed

- 1308 in 2015 and 2020 (Section 5.4), but the growth rate of GHG climate forcing also increased in
- 1309 2015 and since has remained at the higher level (Section 6.6).
- 1310 The reduction of climate forcing required to reduce EEI to zero is greater than EEI. The added
- 1311 burden is a result of ultrafast cloud feedback (Section 3.3). Cloud feedbacks are only beginning
- 1312 to be simulated well, but climate sensitivity near 1.2° C per W/m² implies that the net cloud
- 1313 feedback is large, with clouds accounting for as much as half of equilibrium climate sensitivity.
- 1314 Continuation of precise monitoring of EEI is essential as a sentinel for future climate change and
- 1315 for the purpose of assessing efforts to stabilize climate and avoid undesirable consequences.
- 1316 Global satellite monitoring of geographical and temporal changes of the imbalance and ocean in
- 1317 situ monitoring (especially in polar regions of rapid change) are both needed for the sake of
- 1318 understanding ongoing climate change.

1319 **6.6. Global warming and sea level rise in the pipeline**

- 1320 Cenozoic CO_2 and climate histories reveal where climate is headed, if present human-made
- 1321 climate forcings remain in place. GHG climate forcing is now 4.6 W/m² relative to the mid-
- 1322 Holocene (7kyBP) or 4.1 W/m² relative to 1750. We argue that 4.6 W/m² is the human-made

- 1323 forcing, but there is little point to debate whether it should be 4.6 W/m^2 or 4.1 W/m^2 because the
- GHG forcing is increasing 0.5 W/m^2 per decade (Section 6.7). One merit of consistent analysis 1324
- 1325 for the full Cenozoic era is revelation that the human-made climate forcing exceeds the forcing at
- 1326 transition from a largely ice-free planet to glaciated Antarctica, even with inclusion of a large,
- 1327 negative, aerosol climate forcing. Equilibrium global warming for today's GHG level is 10°C for our central estimate ECS = 1.2° C $\pm 0.3^{\circ}$ C per W/m², including amplifications from disappearing 1328
- 1329 ice sheets and non-CO₂ GHGs (Sec. 4.4). Aerosols reduce equilibrium warming to about 8°C.
- 1330 Equilibrium sea level change is + 60 m (about 200 feet).
- Discussions¹⁹⁴ between the first author (JEH) and field glaciologists¹⁹⁵ 20 years ago revealed a
- 1331 frustration of the glaciologists with the conservative tone of IPCC's assessment of ice sheets and 1332
- sea level rise. One of the glaciologists said regarding a photo¹⁹⁶ of a moulin (a vertical shaft 1333
- that carries meltwater to the base of the ice sheet) on Greenland "the whole ice sheet is going 1334
- 1335 down that damned hole!" Their concern was based on observed ice sheet changes and
- 1336 paleoclimate evidence of sea level rise by several meters in a century, which suggest that ice
- sheet collapse is an exponential process. Thus, as an alternative to the IPCC approach that relies 1337
- on ice sheet models coupled to atmosphere-ocean GCMs (global climate models), a study was 1338
- 1339 made that avoided use of an ice sheet model, as described in the paper *Ice Melt*.¹⁴ In the GCM simulation, a growing amount of freshwater was added to the ocean surface mixed layer around 1340
- 1341 Greenland and Antarctica, with the flux in the early 21st century based on estimates from *in situ*
- glaciological studies¹⁹⁷ and satellite observations of sea level trends near Antarctica.¹⁹⁸ Doubling 1342
- times of 10 and 20 years were used for the growth of freshwater flux. One merit of the GCM 1343
- 1344 used in *Ice Melt* was its reduced, more realistic, small-scale ocean mixing, with a result that
- Antarctic Bottom Water in the model was formed close to the Antarctic coast¹⁴ as it is in the real 1345
- 1346 world. Continued growth of GHG emissions and meltwater led to shutdown of the North Atlantic
- 1347 and Southern Ocean overturning circulations, amplified warming at the foot of the ice shelves
- 1348 that buttress the ice sheets, and other feedbacks consistent with "nonlinearly growing sea level
- rise, reaching several meters over a time scale of 50-150 years."¹⁴ This paper exposed urgency to 1349 1350 understand the dynamical change and the climate chaos that would occur with ice sheet collapse,
- 1351 a situation that may have occurred during the Eemian period when it was about as warm as
- 1352 today, as discussed in the *Ice Melt* paper. That period has potential to help us understand how
- 1353 close we are to a point of no return and sea level rise of several meters.

Ice Melt was blackballed from IPCC's AR6 report, which is a form of censorship,¹⁵ as alternative 1354 1355 views normally are acknowledged in science. Science grants ultimate authority to nature. In the 1356 opinion of JEH, IPCC is comfortable with gradualism and does not want its authority challenged.

- Caution has merits, but with a climate system characterized by a delayed response and 1357
- 1358 amplifying feedbacks, excessive reticence is a danger, especially for young people. Concern
- 1359 about locking in nonlinearly growing sea level rise is amplified in our present paper by the
- revelation that the equilibrium response to current atmospheric composition is a nearly ice-free 1360
- 1361 Antarctica. Portions of the ice sheets well above sea level may be recalcitrant to rapid change,
- 1362 but enough ice is in contact with the ocean to provide of the order of 25 m (80 feet) of sea level
- 1363 rise. The implication is that if we allow a few meters of sea level rise, that may lock in a much
- 1364 larger sea level rise. Happily, we will suggest that it is still feasible to stabilize sea level.





1367 **6.7. Policy implications**

1368 This section is the first author's perspective based on more than 20 years of experience on policy

1369 issues beginning with workshops that he organized at the East-West Center in Hawaii, meetings

1370 and workshops with energy experts, and trips to more than a dozen nations for consultations with

1371 government officials, energy experts, and environmentalists.

1372 Global warming "in the pipeline" is not "committed warming" that necessarily will occur.

1373 Warming in the pipeline⁷⁸ is the difference between equilibrium temperature for current

1374 atmospheric composition and the current temperature, consistent with Charney's study;⁴ it thus

1375 depends on whether "slow" feedbacks are fixed (ECS) or allowed to vary (ESS). Committed

1376 warming is complex; it depends on assumed future emissions and other potential actions to affect

1377 Earth's energy balance. Committed warming depends on aerosol change as well as GHG change.

1378 Scenarios confined to plausible GHG emission reductions alone are unlikely to keep global

warming below 2°C, as shown below. The next several years are a crucial time to quantify the
threat of passing the point of no return that locks in sea level rise of many meters and to assess

1381 potential ways to avoid that outcome. Assessment should develop the full scientific toolbox

1382 including better understanding of climate change during the Eemian period that was moderately

1383 warmer than the Holocene, the effects of natural "experiments" such as the Pinatubo volcanic

1384 eruption, and analysis of the effects of ongoing changes of atmospheric gases and aerosols.

1385 The world's present energy and climate path has good reason. Fossil fuels powered the industrial

1386 revolution and raised living standards in much of the world. Fossil fuels still provide most of the

1387 world's energy (Fig. 27a) and produce most CO₂ emissions (Fig. 27b). Fossil fuel reserves and

recoverable resources could provide most of the world's energy for the rest of this century.²⁰¹
 Much of the world is still in early or middle stages of economic development. Energy is needed

and fossil fuels are a convenient, affordable source of energy. One gallon (3.6 liters) of gasoline

(petrol) provides the work equivalent of more than 400 hours labor by a healthy adult. These

1392 benefits are the basic reason for continued emissions.





1396 The United Nations employs targets for a global warming limit and for emission reductions as a 1397 tool to cajole progress in limiting climate change. IPCC has defined scenarios that help us judge progress toward meeting such targets. Among the RCP scenarios (Fig. 28) in the IPCC AR5 1398 1399 report, the RCP2.6 scenario defines rapid downward trend of greenhouse gas climate forcings 1400 needed to prevent global warming from exceeding 2°C relative to preindustrial climate. The gap between that scenario and reality continues to grow. In principle, the 0.03 W/m^2 gap in 2022 1401 could be closed by extraction of CO₂ from the air. However, the required negative emissions 1402 1403 (CO₂ extracted from the air and placed in permanent storage) must be larger than the desired atmospheric CO₂ reduction by a factor of about 1.7.⁶⁸ Thus, the required CO₂ extraction is 2.1 1404 ppm, which is 7.6 GtC. Based on a pilot carbon capture plant built in Canada, Keith²⁰² estimates 1405 an extraction cost of \$450-920 per tC, as clarified elsewhere.²⁰³ Keith's cost range yields an 1406 extraction cost of \$3.4-7.0 trillion. This is for excess emissions in 2022 only; it is an annual cost. 1407 1408 Given the difficulty the UN faced in raising \$0.1 trillion for climate purposes and the growing 1409 annual emissions gap (Fig. 28), this example shows both the need to reduce emissions as rapidly 1410 as practical and the fact that carbon capture cannot be viewed as the solution, although it may play a role in a portfolio of policies, if its cost is driven down. 1411





1412



1415**1800**182018401860188019001920194019601980200020201416Fig. 30. Fossil fuel CO2 emissions by nation or region as a fraction of global emissions. Data1417sources: Heffner *et al.*¹⁹⁹ for 1751-2017 and BP²⁰⁰ for 2018-2020.

1418 Climate policy under the Framework Convention demonstrably fails to curb and reverse growth

1419 of GHGs (Figs. 27-29). [The Covid pandemic dented emissions, but 2022 global emissions are at

1420 a record high level.] This is the "tragedy of the commons": as long as fossil fuel pollution can be

- 1421 dumped in the air free of charge, agreements such as the 1997 Kyoto Protocol²⁰⁴ and 2015 Paris
- 1422 Agreement have little effect on global emissions. Energy is needed to raise living standards and
- 1423 fossil fuels are still the most convenient, affordable source of that energy. Thus, growth of
- emissions is occurring in emerging economies (Figs. 29 and 30a), while mature economies are
- 1425 still the larger source of the cumulative emissions (Fig. 30b) that drive climate change.^{205,206}
- 1426 Thus, exhortations at UN meetings, imploring reduced emissions, have limited global effect.

1427 Meanwhile, climate science has exposed a crisis that the world is loath to appreciate. IPCC, the 1428 scientific body advising the world on climate, does not bluntly inform the world that the present

scientific body advising the world on climate, does not bluntly inform the world that the present

- 1429 "wishful thinking" geopolitical approach will be disastrous for today's young people and their1430 children. Political leaders profess ambitions for dubious net-zero emissions while fossil fuel
- 1430 extraction expands. The only IPCC scenarios that phase down human-made climate change
- 1432 amount to "a miracle will occur." The one IPCC scenario that moves rapidly to negative global
- 1433 emissions has biomass-burning powerplants that capture and sequester CO₂, a nature-ravaging
- 1434 proposition without scientific and engineering credibility and without a realistic chance of being
- 1435 deployed at scale and on time to address the climate threat.





Fig. 31. Cumulative per capita national fossil fuel emissions.²⁰⁷ 1437

1438 A new plan is essential. The plan must cool the planet to preserve our coastlines. Even today's

1439 temperature would cause eventual multimeter sea level rise, and a majority of the world's large

and historic cities are on coastlines. Cooling will also address other major problems caused by 1440

1441 global warming. We should aim to return to a climate close to that in which civilization

1442 developed, in which the nature that we know and love thrived. As far as is known, it is still

1443 feasible to do that without passing through an irreversible disaster such as many-meter sea level

1444 rise. Given the situation that we have allowed to develop, three actions are now essential.

1445 First, a rising global price on GHG emissions must underly energy and climate policies, with 1446 enforcement by border duties on products from countries that do not have an internal carbon fee 1447 or tax. Public buy-in and maximum effectiveness require that the collected funds be distributed to the public, an approach that helps address global wealth disparities. Economists in the U.S. 1448 overwhelmingly support carbon fee-and-dividend²⁰⁸; college and high school students, who have 1449 much at stake, join in advocacy.²⁰⁹ Science rationale for a rising carbon price with a level playing 1450 field for energy efficiency, renewable energies, nuclear power, and all innovations has long been 1451 understood, but not achieved. Instead, fossil fuels and renewable energy are heavily subsidized, 1452 1453 including use of "renewable portfolio standards" that let utilities pass added costs to consumers. 1454 Thus, nuclear energy has been disadvantaged and excluded as a "clean development mechanism" under the Kyoto Protocol, based in part on myths about damage caused by nuclear energy that 1455 1456 are not supported by scientific facts.²¹⁰ A rising carbon price is not a panacea – many other actions are needed – but it is the *sine qua non*. Without it, fossil fuels will continue to be used 1457 1458 extensively and global warming and climate impacts will continue to grow.

1459 Second, effective global cooperation is needed to achieve reduction of GHG climate forcing. High income countries, mainly in the West, are responsible for most of the cumulative fossil fuel 1460 CO₂ emissions (Fig. 30b and Fig. 31), which are the main drive for global warming.^{205,206} even 1461 1462 though the West is a small fraction of global population. De facto cooperation between the West 1463 and China drove down the price of renewable energy, but more cooperation is needed to develop 1464 emission-free technologies for the rest of the world, which will be the source of most future 1465 GHG emissions (Fig. 29a). A crucial need is carbon-free electricity, the essential, growing, 1466 clean-energy carrier. In the West, except for limited locations with large hydropower, the main 1467 source of clean electricity has been nuclear power, and nations with emerging economies are 1468 eager to have modern nuclear power because of its small environmental footprint. Thus, China-1469 U.S. cooperation in development of modern nuclear power was proposed, but then stymied by

- 1470 U.S. prohibition of technology transfer.²¹¹ Competition is normal, but it can be managed if there
- 1471 is a will, reaping benefits of cooperation over confrontation.²¹² Of late, priority has been given
- 1472 instead to economic and military hegemony, despite recognition of the climate threat, and
- 1473 without consultation with young people or seeming consideration of their aspirations. We must
- 1474 not foreclose the possibility of return to a more ecumenical perspective of our shared future.
- 1475 Scientists can improve global prospects by maintaining and expanding international cooperation.
- 1476 Awareness of the gathering climate storm will grow this decade, so we must increase scientific
- 1477 understanding worldwide as needed for climate restoration.
- 1478 Third, we must take actions to reduce and reverse Earth's energy imbalance to keep global 1479 climate within a habitable range. Highest priority must be on phasing down emissions, but, due 1480 to past failure to reduce GHG emissions, it is now implausible to achieve the needed timely 1481 change of Earth's energy balance solely via GHG emission reductions. Phasedown of emissions 1482 cannot restore Earth's energy balance within less than several decades, which is too slow to 1483 prevent grievous escalation of climate impacts and probably too slow to avoid locking in loss of the West Antarctic ice sheet and sea level rise of several meters. Given that several vears are 1484 1485 needed to forge a political approach for climate restoration, as discussed below, intense 1486 investigation of potential actions should proceed now. This will not deter action on mitigation of 1487 emissions; on the contrary, it will spur such action and allow search for "a miracle." A promising 1488 - and probably necessary - approach to overcome humanity's harmful geo-transformation of 1489 Earth is temporary solar radiation management (SRM). Risks of such intervention must be 1490 defined, as well as risks of no intervention; thus, the U.S. National Academy of Sciences recommends research on SRM.²¹³ An example of SRM is injection of atmospheric aerosols at 1491 high southern latitudes, which global simulations suggest would cool the Southern Ocean at 1492 depth and limit melting of Antarctic ice shelves.^{15,214} The most innocuous aerosols may be fine 1493 salty droplets extracted from the ocean and sprayed into the air by autonomous sailboats.²¹⁵ This 1494 approach has been discussed for potential use on a global scale,²¹⁶ but even use limited to 1495 1496 Southern Hemisphere high latitudes requires research and forethought to avoid unintended adverse effects.²¹⁷ The present decade is probably our last chance to develop the knowledge, 1497 technical capability, and political will for the actions needed to save global coastal regions from 1498 1499 long-term inundation.
- 1500 These three basic actions are feasible, but they are not happening. Did we scientists inform the 1501 public and policymakers well? Opportunities for progress often occur in conjunction with crises. 1502 Before describing today's crisis and opportunity, we should review prior cases. In 1992, it was 1503 the climate crisis per se, with the Framework Convention on Climate Change. William Clinton 1504 was elected President of the United States with his party in control of both houses of Congress. 1505 Clinton's most climate-consequential action was in his first State-of-the-Union address as he 1506 declared "We are eliminating programs that are no longer needed, such as nuclear power 1507 research and development." For 30 years since, renewable energy received unlimited subsidy via 1508 renewable portfolio standards, and renewable energies are now ready for prime time. However, 1509 nuclear power, the potential carbon-free complement to renewables for baseload electricity, was 1510 denied such support, so today most electricity worldwide is from fossil fuels. At the next global 1511 crisis, the financial crisis of 2008, Barack Obama was elected President of the United States, 1512 with his party in control of both houses of Congress. Obama had pledged to address "a planet in peril" in his campaign, but with Congress poised – indeed, forced – to pass economic legislation, 1513 1514 Obama did not attempt to include the most fundamental needed action: a price on carbon.

1515 Today, the world faces a crisis – extreme political polarization, especially in the United States – 1516 that threatens effective governance. Yet it is a great time to be a young person, because the crisis 1517 offers the opportunity to help shape the future – of the nation and the planet. The problem and 1518 solution are not hard to understand. Following World War II, the United States exercised 1519 leadership in the formation of the United Nations, the World Bank, the Marshall Plan, and the 1520 Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Centuries-long progress toward equal rights continued, albeit slowly. The "American dream" of economic opportunity was real, as most people willing 1521 1522 to work hard could afford college. Immigration policy welcomed the brightest; NASA in the 1523 1960s invited scientists from European countries, Japan, China, India, Canada - those wanting to 1524 stay found immigration to be straightforward. But the power of special interests in Washington 1525 grew, government became insular and inefficient, and Congress refused to police itself as their first priority became reelection and maintenance of elite status, supported by special interests. 1526 1527 Thousands of pages of giveaways to special interests lard every funding bill, including the climate bill titled "Inflation Reduction Act" – Orwellian double-speak – as every dollar is 1528 borrowed from young people via deficit spending. The public is fed up with the Washington 1529 1530 swamp but hamstrung by rigid two-party elections focused on a polarized cultural war, while the 1531 elite is satisfied with a system that allows them to accumulate wealth without paying taxes.

A political party that takes no money from special interests is needed to address political 1532 1533 polarization, which is essential if the West is to be capable of helping preserve the planet and a 1534 bright future for coming generations. Young people showed their ability to drive an election – via their support of Obama and later Bernie Sanders – without any funding from special interests. 1535 1536 Groundwork is being laid to allow third party candidates in 2026 and 2028 elections in the U.S. 1537 Ranked voting is being advocated in every state – to avoid the "spoiler" effect of a third party. It 1538 is asking a lot to expect young people to grasp the situation that they have been handed – but a 1539 lot is at stake for them. As they realize that they are being handed a planet in decline, the first 1540 reaction may be to stamp their feet and demand that governments do better, but the effect of that 1541 is limited. Nor is it sufficient to parrot the big environmental organizations, which have become 1542 part of the problem, as they are largely supported by the fossil fuel industry and wealthy donors 1543 who are comfortable with the status quo. Instead, young people have the opportunity to provide 1544 the drive for a revolution that restores the ideals of democracy while developing the technical 1545 knowledge that is needed to navigate the stormy sea that their world is setting out upon.

1546 Required political and scientific timings are consistent. Several years are needed to alter the

1547 political system such that the will of the majority has an opportunity to be realized. Several years

1548 of continued climate change will elevate the priority of climate change and confirm the

1549 inadequacy of the present policy approach. Several years will permit improved understanding of

1550 the climate science and thus help to assess risks and benefits of alternative actions.

1551 SUPPORTING MATERIAL





1556 SM1. GHG forcing formulae and comparison with IPCC forcings

Formulae²¹⁸ (Table 1) for adjusted forcing, F_a, were numerical fits to 1-D calculations with the 1557 GISS GCM radiation code using the correlated k-distribution method.³⁸ Gas absorption data 1558 were from high spectral resolution laboratory data.³⁹ These F_a were converted to F_e via GCM 1559 calculations that include 3-D effects, as summarized in Eq. (4), where the coefficients are from 1560 Table 1 of *Efficacy*.³² The factor 1.45 for CH₄ includes the effect of CH₄ change on stratospheric 1561 H_2O and tropospheric O_3 . We assume that CH_4 is responsible for 45% of the O_3 change.⁴⁰ The 1562 remaining 55% of the O₃ forcing is obtained by multiplying the IPCC AR6 O₃ forcing (0.47 1563 W/m^2 in 2019) by 0.55 and by 0.82, where the latter factor is the efficacy that converts F_a to F_e . 1564 The non-CH₄ portion of the O₃ forcing is thus 0.21 W/m² in 2019. The time-dependence of this 1565 portion of the O₃ forcing is from Table AIII.3 in IPCC AR6. MPTGs and OTGs are Montreal 1566 1567 Protocol Trace Gases and Other Trace Gases.41 An updated list of these gases and a table of their annual forcings since 1992 are available as are earlier data.⁴² 1568

Gas	Radiative forcing
CO ₂	$F = f(c) - f(c_0)$, where $f(c) = 4.996 \ln (c + 0.0005c^2)$
CH ₄	$F = 0.0406(\sqrt{m} - \sqrt{m_o}) - [g(m, n_o) - g(m_o, n_o)]$
N ₂ O	$F = 0.136(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n_o}) - [g(m_o, n) - g(m_o, n_o)],$
	where $g(m, n) = 0.5 \ln [1 + 2 \times 10^{-5} (mn)^{0.75}]$
CFC-11	$F = 0.264(x - x_0)$
CFC-12	$F = 0.323(y - y_{\rm o})$

Table 1. Greenhouse gas radiative forcings

c, CO₂ (ppm); m, CH₄ (ppb); n, N₂O (ppb); x/y, CFC-11/12 (ppb).

1569

1552





1571 Fig. S2. Test of accuracy of 2-term approximation for forcing by the three gases.

1572 SM2. Approximation for N₂O forcing

1573 CO₂ and CH₄ are well-preserved in ice cores. However, the N₂O record is corrupted in some time

1574 intervals by chemical reactions with dust particles in the ice core. For such intervals we

approximate the N₂O forcing by increasing the sum of CO₂ and CH₄ forcings by 12%, i.e., we

approximate the forcing for all three gases as $1.12 \times [F(CO_2) + F(CH_4)]$. The accuracy of this

approximation is checked in Fig. S2 via computations for the past 132 ky, when data are

1578 available for all three gases from the multi-core composite of Schilt et al.⁵¹





1581 human-made forcings, i.e., excluding only volcano and solar forcings.

1582 SM3. Comparison of GHG + Aerosol forcing with All Human-Made forcing

1583 IPCC all human-made forcings include land-use effects and contrails, which have large relative 1584 uncertainties. The forcings in Fig. S3 are those provided by IPCC (cf. Annex III of the current

1585 IPCC physical sciences report).¹³



1586Latitude (°)Latitude (°)1587Fig. S4. Surface temperature response to 2×CO2 of GISS (2020) GCM (Sections 3).

1588 SM4. Land warming vs. global warming: effect of polar amplification

1589 Land areas usually have a larger response to a forcing as shown by the response in Fig. S4 of the

1590 GISS (2020) GCM to $2 \times CO_2$ forcing. The warming over land at latitudes 45S to 35N (2.62°C)

1591 after 150 years (mean for years 101-200 is 18% larger than the global mean warming. However,

the equilibrium warming (3.52°C) of this low-latitude land is only 2% larger than global

1593 warming (3.44°C), as a result of the polar amplification of global warming. This result indicates

that – for a case in which ice sheets are held fixed – the measurement of Seltzer *et al.*⁵⁶ of LGM

1595 cooling of 5.8°C for land area 45°S-35°N is representative of the equilibrium temperature change

1596 for a planet in which the ice sheets are held fixed, as polar amplification of temperature change

1597 offsets the fact that land response to a forcing exceeds ocean response. Moreover, in the LGM in

the real world, ice sheets were not fixed. Polar amplification of temperature change in the LGM, compared to the Holocene, was substantially increased by the growth of ice sheets, as shown in

1599 Compared to the Holocene, was substantially increased by the growth of ice sheets, as shown in 1600 Fig. 0 of Hanson et al. (1084)⁷ Thus, the LCM slobel applies would be substantially groater

1600 Fig. 9 of Hansen *et al.* (1984).⁷ Thus, the LGM global cooling would be substantially greater

1601 than the 5.8° C cooling of land area 45° S- 35° N.

1602 SM5. CH4 and N2O forcings as percent of CO2 forcing in Antarctic ice cores.

1603 Based on the CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O amounts in the multi-ice core GHG tabulation of Schilt *et al.*)⁵¹

1604 for the past 140 ky, we calculated the ratio of CH₄ and N₂O forcings to the CO₂ forcing (Fig. S5).

1605 The data cover a range of global temperature from the LGM minimum to the Eemian maximum.





1608 SM6. Global warming in the pipeline: Green's function calculations

1609 Global warming in the pipeline (Δ Tpl) after a CO₂ doubling is the portion of the equilibrium 1610 response (Teq) that remains to occur at time t, i.e., Δ Tpl = Teq – T(t). If EEI were equivalent to a

1610 climate forcing, warming in the pipeline would be the product of EEI and climate sensitivity (°C

1612 per W/m²), i.e., warming in the pipeline would be EEI×ECS/4, where we have approximated the

1613 $2 \times CO_2$ forcing as 4 W/m^2 .

Fig. S6 shows the $2 \times CO_2$ results for the GISS (2014) and GISS (2020) GCMs. EEI is not a good measure of the warming in the pipeline, especially for the newer GISS model. The warming in the pipeline for the GISS (2014) model is typically ~30% larger than implied by EEI and ~90% larger in the GISS (2020) model. If these results are realistic, they suggest that reduction of the human-made climate forcing by an amount equal to EEI will leave a planet that is still pumping

- 1619 heat into the ocean at a substantial rate.
- 1620 Real-world climate forcing is added year-by-year with much of the GHG growth in recent years,
- 1621 which Fig. 4 suggests will limit the discrepancy between actual warming in the pipeline and that
- 1622 inferred from EEI. Thus, we also make Green's function calculations of global temperature and

1623 EEI for 1750-2019 for GHG plus IPCC aerosol forcings. Green's function calculations are

- 1624 useful, with a caveat noted below, for quantities for which the response is proportional to the
- 1625 forcing. We calculate T_G (t) using Eq. (4) and EEI_G (t) using

1626
$$\text{EEI}_{G}(t) = \int [1 - R_{\text{EEI}}(t)] \times [dF(t)/dt] dt,$$

where R_{EEI} (Fig. 5b) is the EEI response function (% of equilibrium response) and dF is forcing change per unit time. Integrations begin in 1750, when we assume Earth was in energy balance.

- 1629 The results (Fig. S7) show that the excess warming in the pipeline (excess over expectations
- based on EEI) is reduced to 15-20% for the GISS (2014) model, but it is still 70-80% for the
- 1631 GISS (2020) model. This topic thus seems to warrant further examination, but it is beyond the
- 1632 scope of our present paper.

(S1)





 $1055 \qquad \text{instant doubling of } CO_2 \text{ for } (a) \text{ Ciss}(2014) \text{ instant } (b) \text{ Ciss}(2020 \text{ instant})$

1636 The first matter to investigate is the cause of the ultrafast response of EEI (Fig. 5 of the main 1637 paper), which could be done via the model diagnostics discussed in that section of our paper. If 1638 the large difference between the EEI response functions of the two GISS models is related to 1639 supercooled cloud water, Fig. 1 of Kelley *et al.* $(2020)^{34}$ suggests that the real-world effect may 1640 fall between that of the two models. If the higher climate sensitivity of the GISS (2020) model is 1641 related to this cloud water phase problem, more realistic treatment of the latter may yield a 1642 climate sensitivity between that of the 2014 and 2020 models.



1643

1633

1644 Fig. S7. Ratio of warming in the pipeline to EEI, $(Teq - T_G)/EEI_G$, in response to GHG and

1645	IPCC aerosol forcing for the period 1750-2019 using the response functions for the GISS (2014)
1646	model (left) and (b) GISS (2020) model (right).

1647 If real world climate sensitivity for $2 \times CO_2$ is near 4°C or higher, as we have concluded, the total 1648 cloud feedback is likely to be even higher than that of the GISS (2020) model. We suggest that it 1649 would be useful to calculate response functions for other models, especially models with high 1650 climate sensitivity, to help analyze feedbacks and to allow inexpensive climate simulations for 1651 arbitrary forcing scenarios. One major caveat: we have used a single response function calculated 1652 for $2 \times CO_2$. Especially in view of cloud feedbacks, it seems likely that the response function for

- 1653 aerosol forcing is different from that for CO₂ forcing, because most tropospheric aerosols exist
- 1654 well below the clouds. Much might be learned from calculating response functions for GHGs,
- 1655 tropospheric aerosols, stratospheric aerosols, and solar irradiance, for example.
- 1656 The response functions for global temperature and EEI, for both the 2014 and 2020 models,
- 1657 smoothed and unsmoothed, are available at <u>http://www.columbia.edu/~mhs119/ResponseFunctionTables/</u>

1658 SM7. δ^{18} O data of Zachos and Westerhold and inferred sea level and T_{do}

- 1659 Zachos and Westerhold δ^{18} O for the full Cenozoic, the Pleistocene, and past 800 thousand years
- 1660 are shown in Fig. S8, as well as the inferred sea level and T_{do} (sea level is compared to data of
- 1661 Rohling *et al.*¹⁰³).



53











1667

1668 Fig. S9. Surface temperature inferred from Zachos δ^{18} O.

1669 SM8. Global warming in the pipeline: Green's function calculations

- 1670 Surface temperature (Fig. S9) from equations (14) and (15) using Zachos δ^{18} O. Antarctic Dome
- 1671 C temperatures⁴³ (red) relative to last 1,000 years are multiplied by 0.6 to account for polar
- 1672 amplification and 14°C is added for absolute scale.

1673 SM9. Communications from James Zachos and Thomas Westerhold

- 1674 Following is the 3 February 2023 response by Jim Zachos to a query by the first author (JEH) re 1675 Zachos' interpretation of the differences between the Westerhold and Zachos δ^{18} O data sets:
- 1676 There are two contributing factors that I am aware of. Because I was just stacking/averaging
- 1677 data across sites/basins, the only adjustment applied was for species vital effects (typically
- 1678 <0.5%), in order to adjust to the "equilibrium" calcite values.
- 1679 The Westerhold curve/splice required adjusting each splice to the one above based on the overlap
- 1680 offset (+/-) between records (from different basins). Because this would be repeated with each
- splice, the effect is cumulative further back in time (see the [Westerhold] paper for the overlap
- adjustments). In the end, the thought was that the overlap adjustments would balance out.
- 1683 The PETM signal is large because the splice used for that interval was that of Site 1263, Walvis
- 1684 Ridge, which has an unusually large d18O anomaly, almost double that of other pelagic sites.
- 1685 Why? Because it was relatively shallow (<1 km) and thus is capturing a shallow intermediate
- 1686 water signal which could be locally amplified with the introduction of warmer more saline
- 1687 waters (from a lower latitude source).
- 1688 The long-term T patterns and even with the orbital cycles are generally similar throughout the
- 1689 deep sea, but there are T gradients and thus regional differences in absolute T. This is the
- 1690 limitation of the mega splice for estimating mean ocean T.
- 1691 Following are relevant excerpts (lightly edited for clarity) of a 2 June 2023 response of Thomas
- 1692 Westerhold to questions by the first author (JEH). First question: whether the Zachos data are
- 1693 more globally distributed and thus reflect more Antarctic Bottom Water conditions, while
- 1694 Westerhold data put more weight on North Atlantic Deep Water:
- 1695 Please look at Sampling Biases in the supplement:⁹⁸ For the 66 to 45 Ma part, it is interesting to
- 1696 note that δ^{18} O records from the Pacific Shatsky Rise Site 12209 and the Atlantic Walvis Ridge
- 1697 Sites 1262/1263 show a consistent pattern. The benthic record is a good monitor for the higher
- 1698 latitude temperature development, assuming that most deep water is formed in the high latitudes.
- 1699 Thus, it will be biased towards "polar" changes.
- 1700 Figure S13⁹⁸ gives a good idea how the "raw" data look before adjusting. For stitching the curve
- 1701 together, we had to correct for the isotopic offsets from different ocean basins. The Pacific
- 1702 Ocean is the largest ocean and probably best resembles a global mean, therefore all data were
- 1703 offset with respect to the equatorial Pacific values (Sites 1218, U1337, U1338; Fig. S14). One
- has to realize that single, continuous, individual high-resolution records for each of the different ocean basins and spanning the entire Cenozoic are unrealistic due to local sedimentation effects
- 1705 ocean basins and spanning the entire Cenozoic are unrealistic due to local sedimentation effect
- 1706 (gaps and condensed intervals) in available deep-sea sections.
- 1707 We took the Ceara Rise benthic stack of Wilkens et al. (2017) that stacks available data and is on
- an age model independent from isotope tuning. To compensate, the Ceara record as given in
- 1709 Table S33 was corrected δ^{18} O +0.45 per mil; δ^{13} C -1.00 per mil, Fig. S15, to make it consistent
- 1710 with U1337 from the equatorial Pacific.

- 1711 The Zachos data from EECO are a mix of high latitude data (Kerguelen Plateau, Maude Rise),
- 1712 mid latitude South Atlantic Walvis Ridge data and equatorial Pacific data (865 and 577), and
- 1713 Indian Ocean. The EECO data for CENOGRID come from Walvis Ridge Southeast Atlantic and
- 1714 Equatorial Atlantic Demerara Rise. Compared to Equatorial Pacific, those δ^{18} O are very similar
- 1715 (graph provided). Thus, I think the CENOGRID is a good general deep sea temperature indicator
- 1716 for the EECO.
- 1717 Zachos data are generally isotopically heavier, which could be because it is "old" data. We know
- 1718 for example that using a common acid bath is not so good to have reliable data for δ^{18} O; those
- 1719 data are from Shackleton, for example. Since the use of Kiel devices, this issue is solved.
- 1720 Second question: whether a greater weight on North Atlantic Deep Water (which, more reliably
- 1721 than Antarctic Bottom Water, includes polar amplification of temperature change) may make the
- 1722 Westerhold data yield a more realistic estimate of Cenozoic temperature change?
- 1723 It is more realistic because the data are of much better quality using modern analytical
- techniques, however we do not know how much is ice volume and salinity effect, and pH change
- 1725 in the deep sea. Nele Meckler *et al.* (2022) just published a paper²¹⁹ suggesting that temperature
- 1726 could be even higher in the deep ocean than given by δ^{18} O.

1727 DATA AVAILABILITY

- 1728 "The data used to create the figures in this paper are available in the Zenodo repository,
- 1729 at <u>https://dx.doi.org/[doi]</u>."

1730 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Eelco Rohling for inviting JEH to describe our perspective on global climate response
to human-made forcing. JEH began to write a review of past work, but a paper on the LGM by
Jessica Tierney et al.⁵³ and data on changing ship emissions provided by Leon Simons led to the

- need for new analyses and division of the paper into two parts. We thank Jessica also for helpful
- advice on other related research papers and Ed Dlugokencky of the NOAA Earth System
- 1736 Research Laboratory for continually updated GHG data. JEH designed the study and carried out
- the research with help of Makiko Sato and Isabelle Sangha; Larissa Nazarenko provided data
 from GISS models and helped with analysis; Leon Simons provided ship emission information
- and aided interpretations; Norman Loeb and Karina von Schuckmann provided EEI data and
- 1740 insight about implications; Matthew Osman provided paleoclimate data and an insightful review
- 1741 of the entire paper; Qinjian Jin provided simulations of atmospheric sulfate and interpretations;
- Eunbi Jeong reviewed multiple drafts and advised on presentation; all authors contributed to our
- 1743 research summarized in the paper and reviewed and commented on the manuscript.
- 1744 All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest. Climate Science, Awareness and
- 1745 Solutions, which is directed by JEH and supports MS and PK is a 501(C3) non-profit supported
- 1746 100% by public donations. Principal supporters in the past few years have been the Grantham
- 1747 Foundation, Frank Batten, Carl Page, Eric Lemelson, James and Krisann Miller, Ian Cumming,
- 1748 Peter Joseph, Gary and Claire Russell, Donald and Jeanne Keith Ferris, Aleksandar Totic, Chris
- 1749 Arndt, Jeffrey Miller, Morris Bradley and about 150 more contributors to annual appeals.

Eunice Foote earlier did experiments to investigate the effect of individual gases on absorption of solar radiation and speculated on the role of CO_2 in altering Earth's temperature; Tyndall showed that the greenhouse effect is due to absorption of infrared radiation. Draft Chapters 10 (Runaway Greenhouse), 15, 16 (Farmers' Forecast vs End-of-Century) and 17 (Charney's Puzzle: How Sensitive is Earth?) are available <u>here</u>; criticisms are welcome.

³ Revelle R, Broecker W, Craig H *et al.* <u>Appendix Y4 Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide</u>. In: President's Science Advisory Committee. *Restoring the Quality of Our Environment*. Washington: The White House, 1965,111-33

⁴ Charney J, Arakawa A, Baker D *et al. Carbon Dioxide and Climate: A Scientific Assessment*. Washington: National Academy of Sciences Press, 1979

⁵ Nierenberg WA. <u>Changing Climate: Report of the Carbon Dioxide Assessment Committee</u>. Washington: National Academies Press, 1983

⁶ Hansen JE, Takahashi T (eds). <u>AGU Geophysical Monograph 29 Climate Processes and Climate Sensitivity</u>. Washington: American Geophysical Union, 1984

⁷ Hansen J, Lacis A, Rind D *et al.* <u>Climate sensitivity: analysis of feedback mechanisms</u>. In: Hansen JE, Takahashi T (eds). <u>AGU Geophysical Monograph 29 Climate Processes and Climate Sensitivity</u>. Washington: American Geophysical Union, 1984,130-63

⁸ David EE Jr. <u>Inventing the Future: Energy and the CO₂ "Greenhouse "Effect</u>. In: Hansen JE, Takahashi T (eds). <u>AGU Geophysical Monograph 29 Climate Processes and Climate Sensitivity</u>. Washington: American Geophysical Union, 1984, David1-5

⁹ David EE, Jr later became a global warming denier

¹⁰ Oreskes N, Conway E. *Merchants of Doubt: How a Handful of Scientists Obscured the Truth on Issues from Tobacco Smoke to Global Warming*. London: Bloomsbury, 2010.

¹¹ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *History of the IPCC*. <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/about/history</u> (last accessed 7 March 2023)

¹² United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. *What is the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change?* <u>https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/what-is-the-united-nations-framework-convention-on-climate-change</u>) (30 November 2022, date last accessed)

¹³ IPCC. *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis [Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Pirani A et al. (eds)].* Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 2021

¹⁴ Hansen J, Sato M, Hearty P *et al.* <u>Ice melt, sea level rise and superstorms: evidence from paleoclimate data, climate modeling, and modern observations that 2 C global warming could be dangerous.</u> *Atmos Chem Phys* 2016;**16**:3761-812

¹⁵ Hansen J. Foreword: uncensored science is crucial for global conservation. In: DellaSala DA (ed). *Conservation Science and Advocacy for a Planet in Peril*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2021,451

¹⁶ The working title of the paper is "Sea level rise in the pipeline."

¹⁷ Bode HW. Network Analysis and Feedback Amplifier Design. New York: Van Nostrand, 1945.

¹⁸ Lacis A, Hansen J, Lee P et al. Greenhouse effect of trace gases, 1970-1980. Geophys Res Lett 1981;8:1035-8

¹⁹ CLIMAP project members: <u>Seasonal reconstruction of the Earth's surface at the last glacial maximum</u>. Geol Soc Amer, Map and Chart Series, No. 36, 1981

²⁰ Manabe, S, Stouffer, RJ. <u>Sensitivity of a global climate model to an increase of CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere</u>. *J Geophys Res* 1980,**85**:5529-54

²¹ Manabe, S <u>Carbon dioxide and climate change</u>. *Adv Geophys* 1983, **25**:39-82

²² Klein SA, Hall A, Norris JR *et al.* Low-cloud feedbacks from cloud-controlling factors: A review. Surv Geophys 2017,**38**:1307-29

²³ Sherwood SC, Webb MJ, Annan JD *et al.* <u>An assessment of Earth's climate sensitivity using multiple lines of evidence</u>. *Rev Geophys* 2020;**58**:e2019RG000678

²⁴ Zelinka MD, Zhou C, Klein SA. <u>Insights from a refined decomposition of cloud feedbacks</u>. *Geophys Res Lett* 2016,**43**:9259-69

²⁵ Zelinka M, Tan I, Oreopoulos L *et al*. <u>Detailing cloud property feedbacks with a regime-based decomposition</u>. *Clim Dyn* 2022:on-line, doi:10.1007/s00382-022-06488-7

²⁶ Rind D, Peteet D. <u>Terrestrial conditions at the last glacial maximum and CLIMAP sea-surface temperature</u> estimates: Are they consistent?. *Quat Res* 1985;**24**:1-22

²⁷ Rohling EJ, Marino G, Foster GL *et al.* Comparing climate sensitivity, past and present. Ann Rev Mar Sci 2018;**10**:261-88

¹ Tyndall J. <u>On the absorption and radiation of heat by gases and vapours</u>. *Phil Mag* 1861;**22**:169-194, 273-285

² Hansen J. <u>Greenhouse giants</u>, Chapter 15 in *Sophie's Planet*. New York: Bloomsbury, 1-8, 2023. Tyndall and Svante Arrhenius in the 1890s made the greatest early contributions to understanding of the greenhouse effect.

²⁸ IPCC. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, Pachauri RK, Meyer LA (eds)]. Geneva, 2014

²⁹ Andrews T, Gregory JM, Paynter D *et al.* <u>Accounting for changing temperature patterns increases historical</u> <u>estimates of climate sensitivity</u>. *Geophys Res Lett* 2018;**45**:8490-9

³⁰ Rugenstein M, Bloch-Johnson J, Abe-Ouchi A *et al.* LongRunMIP: motivation and design for a large collection of millennial-length AOGCM simulations. *Bull Amer Meteorol Soc* 2019;**100**(**12**):2551-70

³¹ Myhre G, Shindell D, Bréon F-M *et al.* Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner G-K *et al.* (eds). *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 2013

³² Hansen J, Sato M, Ruedy R et al. Efficacy of climate forcings. J Geophys Res 2005;110:D18104

³³ Lohmann U, Rotstayn L, Storelvino T *et al.* <u>Total aerosol effect: radiative forcing or radiative flux perturbation?</u>. *Atmos Chem Phys* 2010;**10**:3235-46

³⁴ Kelley M, Schmidt GA, Nazarenko L *et al.* <u>GISS-E2.1: Configurations and climatology</u>. J Adv Model Earth Syst 2020;**12(8)**:e2019MS002025

³⁵ Miller RL, Schmidt GA, Nazarenko L *et al.* <u>CMIP6 historical simulations (1850-2014) with GISS-E2.1</u>. J Adv Model Earth Syst 2021;**13(1)**:e2019MS002034

³⁶ Eyring V, Bony S, Meehl GA *et al.* <u>Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6)</u> experimental design and organization. *Geoscientific Model Devel* 2016;**9(5)**:1937–58

³⁷ GISS (2020) model is described as GISS-E2.1-G-NINT in published papers; NINT (noninteractive) signifies that the models use specified GHG and aerosol amounts

³⁸ Lacis AA, Oinas V. <u>A description of the correlated k distributed method for modeling nongray gaseous</u> <u>absorption, thermal emission, and multiple scattering in vertically inhomogeneous atmospheres</u>. *J Geophys R* 1991;**96**:9027-63

³⁹ Rothman L, Rinsland C, Goldman A *et al*. <u>The HITRAN molecular spectroscopic database and HAWKS</u> (HITRAN Atmospheric Workshation) 1996 edition. J Quan Spec Rad Trans 1998;**60**:665–710

⁴⁰ Prather M, Ehhalt D. Chapter 4 Atmospheric chemistry and greenhouse gases. In: Houghton JT (ed). *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*. New York: Cambridge Univ, 2001;239-87

⁴¹ Hansen J, Sato M. Greenhouse gas growth rates. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2004;101:16109-14

⁴² Columbia University. *MPTG and OTG data*: <u>www.columbia.edu/~mhs119/GHGs/TG F.1900-1990.txt</u> and <u>www.columbia.edu/~mhs119/GHGs/TG F.1992-2020.txt 3 December 2022</u> (date last accessed)

⁴³ Jouzel J, Masson-Delmotte V, Cattani O *et al.* <u>Orbital and millennial Antarctic climate variability over the past</u> <u>800,000 years</u>. *Science* 2007;**317**:793-6

⁴⁴ Luthi D, Le Floch M, Bereiter B *et al.* <u>High-resolution carbon dioxide concentration record 650,000-800,000</u> years before present. *Nature* 2008;**453**:379-82

⁴⁵ Hays JD, Imbrie J, Shackleton NJ. <u>Variation in the Earth's orbit: pacemaker of the ice ages</u>, *Science* 1976;**194**:1121-32

⁴⁶ Lorius C, Jouzel J, Raynaud D *et al.* <u>The ice-core record: Climate sensitivity and future greenhouse</u> warming. *Nature* 1990;**347**:139-45

⁴⁷ Zachos J, Pagani M, Sloan L *et al.* <u>Trends, rhythms, and aberrations in global climate 65 Ma to present.</u> *Science* 2001;**292**:686-93

⁴⁸ Hansen J, Sato M, Kharecha P et al. Climate change and trace gases. Phil Trans Roy Soc A 2007;365:1925-54

⁴⁹ It is often said that glacial terminations (at intervals ~100,000 years in Fig. 2) occur when Earth orbital parameters produce maximum summer insolation at the latitudes of Northern Hemisphere ice sheets (e.g., Cheng H, Edwards RL, Broecker WS *et al.* Ice age terminations. *Science* 2009;**326**:248-52. However, close examination of termination dates shows that they occur at times of late Spring (mid-May) maximum radiation anomalies [55]. Maximum insolation anomaly in late Spring causes meltwater induced darkening of the ice to occur as early in the year as possible, thus lengthening the melt season.

⁵⁰ Ruddiman WF, Fuller DQ, Kutzbach JE *et al.* Late Holocene climate: natural or anthropogenic? *Rev Geophys* 2016;**54**:93-118

⁵¹ Schilt A, Baumgartner M, Schwander J *et al.* <u>Atmospheric nitrous oxide during the last 140,000 years</u>. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 2010;**300**:33-43

⁵² Hansen J, Nazarenko L, Ruedy R *et al.* <u>Earth's energy imbalance: Confirmation and implications</u>. *Science* 2005;**308**:1431-5 An imbalance of 1 W/m² for a millennium is enough energy to melt ice raising sea level 110 m or to raise the temperature of the ocean's upper kilometer by 11°C

⁵³ Tierney JE, Zhu J, King J et al. <u>Glacial cooling and climate sensitivity revisited</u>. Nature 2020;**584**:569-73

⁵⁴ Osman MB, Tierney JE, Zhu J *et al.* <u>Globally resolved surface temperatures since the Last Glacial Maximum</u>. *Nature* 2021;**599**:239-44

⁵⁵ At maximum LGM cooling, i.e., at 18 ky BP, the cooling is ~7°C (Osman et al.[ref 24]; Tierney, priv. comm.)
 ⁵⁶ Seltzer AM, Ng J, Aeschbach W *et al.* Widespread six degrees Celsius cooling on land during the Last Glacial Maximum. *Nature* 2021;**593**:228-32

⁵⁷ Schneider T, Teixeira J, Bretherton CS *et al.* <u>Climate goals and computing the future of clouds</u>. *Nature Clim Chan* 2017;**7**:3-5

⁵⁸ Pincus R, Forster PM, Stevens B. <u>The radiative forcing model intercomparison project (RFMIP): experimental</u> <u>protocol for CMIP6</u>. *Geoscientific Model Devel* 2016;**9**:3447-3460

⁵⁹ Kagiyama M, Braconnot P, Harrison SP *et al.* The PMIP4 contribution to CMIP6 – Part 1: overview and overarching analysis plan. *Geosci Model Dev* 2018;**11**:1033-1057

⁶⁰ Hegerl GC, Zwiers FW, Braconnot P *et al.* Chapter 9: Understanding and attributing climate change. In: Solomon SD (ed). *Climate change 2007: The physical science basis.* New York: Cambridge Univ, 2007,663-745

⁶¹ Yoshimori M, Yokohata T, Abe-Ouchi A. <u>A comparison of climate feedback strength between CO₂ doubling and LGM experiments</u>. *J Clim* 2009;**22**:3374-95

⁶² Stap LB, Kohler P, Lohmann G. <u>Including the efficacy of land ice changes in deriving climate sensitivity from</u> paleodata. *Earth Syst Dynam* 2019;**10**:333-45

⁶³ Koppen W. Das geographische system der climate. In Koppen W, Geiger G (eds) *Handbuch der Klimatologie 1(C)*. Berlin: Boentraeger, 1936.

⁶⁴ Kohler P, Bintanja R, Fischer H *et al.* <u>What caused Earth's temperature variations during the last 800,000 years?</u> Data-based evidence on radiative forcing and constraints on climate sensitivity. *Quat Sci Rev* 2010;**29**:129-45

⁶⁵ Hansen J, Sato M, Kharecha P et al. <u>Target atmospheric CO₂: Where should humanity aim?</u> Open Atmos Sci J 2008;**2**:217-231

⁶⁶ Rabineau M, Berne S, Oliver JL *et al.* <u>Paleo sea levels reconsidered from direct observation of paleoshoreline</u> <u>position during Glacial Maxima (for the last 500,000 yr).</u> *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 2006;**252**:119-37

⁶⁷Rohling EJ, Hibbert FD, Williams FH *et al.* <u>Differences between the last two glacial maxima and implications for</u> ice-sheet, <u>ō18O</u>, and sea-level reconstructions. *Quat Sci Rev* 2017;**176**:1-28

⁶⁸ Hansen J, Sato M, Kharecha P *et al.* <u>Young people's burden: requirement of negative CO2 emissions.</u> *Earth Syst Dyn* 2017;**8**:577-616

⁶⁹ Hoffman JS, Clark PU, Parnell AC *et al.* <u>Regional and global sea-surface temperatures during the last</u> <u>interglaciation</u>. *Science* 2017;**355(6322)**:276-279

⁷⁰ Ruth U, Barnola JM, Beer J *et al.* <u>EDML1: a chronology for the EPICA deep ice core from Dronning Maud Land,</u> <u>Antarctica, over the last 150 000 years</u>. *Clim Past* 2007;**3**:475-485

⁷¹ Hansen J, Sato M, Russell G *et al.* <u>Climate sensitivity, sea level, and atmospheric carbon dioxide</u>. *Phil Trans R Soc A* 2013;**371**:20120294

⁷² Russell GL, Miller JR, Rind D. <u>A coupled atmosphere-ocean model for transient climate change studies</u>. *Atmos Ocean* 1995;**33**:683-730

⁷³ Hoffman PF, Schrag DP. <u>The snowball Earth hypothesis: testing the limits of global change</u>. *Terra Nova* 2002;**14:**129-55

 ⁷⁴ Sackmann J, Boothroyd AI, Kraemer KE. <u>Our Sun. III. Present and future</u>. *Astrophys J* 1993;**418**:457-68
 ⁷⁵ Meraner K, Mauritsen T, Voight A. <u>Robust increase in equilibrium climate sensitivity under global warming</u>. *Geophys Res Lett* 2013;**40**:5944-8

⁷⁶ Beerling DJ, Fox A, Stevenson DS *et al.* Enhanced chemistry-climate feedbacks in past greenhouse worlds. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 2011;**108**:9770–5

⁷⁷ Bryan K, Komro FG, Manabe S *et al.* <u>Transient climate response to increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide</u>. *Science* 1982;**215**:56-8

⁷⁸ Hansen J, Russell G, Lacis A *et al.* <u>Climate response times: dependence on climate sensitivity and ocean</u> <u>mixing</u>. *Science* 1985;**229**:857-9

⁷⁹ Hansen J <u>Climate Threat to the Planet</u>, American Geophysical Union, San Francisco, California, 17 December 2008, <u>http://www.columbia.edu/~jeh1/2008/AGUBjerknes20081217.pdf</u>. (3 December 2022, date last accessed)
 ⁸⁰ Tom Delworth (NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory), Gokhan Danabasoglu (National Center for

Atmospheric Research), and Jonathan Gregory (UK Hadley Centre) provided long $2 \times CO_2$ runs of GCMs of these leading modeling groups. All three models had response time as slow or slower than the GISS GCM.

 ⁸¹ Yr 1 (no smoothing), yr 2 (3-yr mean), yr 3-12 (5-yr mean), yr 13-300 (25-yr mean), yr 301-5000 (101-yr mean).
 ⁸² Good P, Gregory JM, Lowe JA. <u>A step-response simple climate model to reconstruct and interpret AOGCM</u> projections. *Geophys Res Lett* 2011;**38**:e2010GL0452008 ⁸³ Schmidt GA, Kelley M, Nazarenko L *et al.* <u>Configuration and assessment of the GISS ModelE2 contributions to</u> <u>the CMIP5 archive</u>. *J Adv Model Earth Syst* 2014;**6**:141-84

⁸⁴ The GISS (2014) model is labeled as GISS-E2-R-NINT and GISS (2020) as GISS-E2.1-G-NINT in published papers, where NINT (noninteractive) signifies that the models use specified GHG and aerosol amounts.

⁸⁵ Prather MJ. Numerical advection by conservation of second order moments. J Geophys Res 1986;91:6671-81

⁸⁶ Romanou A, Marshall J, Kelley M *et al.* <u>Role of the ocean's AMOC in setting the uptake efficiency of transient</u> tracers. *Geophys Res Lett* 2017;**44**:5590-8

⁸⁷ von Schuckmann K, Cheng L, Palmer MD *et al.* <u>Heat stored in the Earth system: where does the energy</u> <u>go?</u>, *Earth System Science Data* 2020;**12**:2013-41

⁸⁸ Loeb NG, Johnson GC, Thorsen, TJ *et al.* <u>Satellite and ocean data reveal marked increase in Earth's heating rate</u>. *Geophys Res Lett* 2021;**48**:e2021GL093047

⁸⁹ Hansen J, Johnson D, Lacis A *et al*. <u>Climate impact of increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide</u>. *Science* 1981;**213**:957-966

⁹⁰ Kamae Y, Watanabe M, Ogura T *et al*. <u>Rapid adjustments of cloud and hydrological cycle to increasing CO₂: a</u> <u>review</u>. *Curr Clim Chan Rep* 2015;**1**:103-13

⁹¹ Zelinka MD, Myers TA, McCoy DT et al. <u>Causes of higher climate sensitivity in CMIP6 models</u>. Geophys Res Lett 2020;**47**:e2019GL085782

⁹² DeConto RM, Pollard D. <u>Rapid Cenozoic glaciation of Antarctica induced by declining atmospheric CO₂</u>. *Nature* 2003;**421**:245-9

⁹³ Crowley TJ. <u>Pliocene climates: the nature of the problem</u>. Marine Micropaleontology 1996;**27**:3-12

⁹⁴ Lacis AA, Schmidt GA, Rind D *et a*l. <u>Atmospheric CO₂: principal control knob governing Earth's temperature</u>. *Science* 2010;**330**:356-9

⁹⁵ Rae JWB, Zhang YG, Liu X *et al.* <u>Atmospheric CO₂ over the past 66 million years from marine archives</u>. *Ann Rev Earth Plan Sci* 2021;**49**:609-41

⁹⁶ Steinthorsdottir M, Vajda V, Pole M *et al.* <u>Moderate levels of Eocene pCO₂ indicated by Southern Hemisphere</u> fossil plant stomata. *Geology* 2019;**47**:914-8

⁹⁷ Pearson PN. <u>Oxygen isotopes in foraminifera: an overview and historical review</u>. In: Ivany LC, Huber BT (eds). *Reconstructing Earth's Deep-Time Climate – The State of the Art in 2012*, Paleontolog Soc Pap, 2012;**18**:1-38

⁹⁸ Westerhold T, Marwan N, Drury AJ *et al.* <u>An astronomically dated record of Earth's climate and its predictability</u> over the last 66 million years. *Science* 2020;**369**:1383-7

⁹⁹ Cutler KB, Edwards RL, Taylor FW *et al*. <u>Rapid sea-level fall and deep-ocean temperature change since the last</u> interglacial period. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 2003;**206**:253-71

¹⁰⁰ Meckler AN, Sexton PF, Piasecki AM *et al.* <u>Cenozoic evolution of deep ocean temperature from clumped isotope</u> thermometry. *Science* 2022;**377**:86-90

¹⁰¹ Yatheesh V, Dyment J., Bhattacharya GC *et al.* <u>Detailed structure and plate reconstructions of the central Indian</u> Ocean between 83.0 and 42.5 Ma (chrons 34 and 20). *J Geophys Res: Solid Earth* 2020,**124**:4303-4322

¹⁰² Siddall M, Honisch B, Waelbroeck C *et al*. <u>Changes in deep Pacific temperature during the mid-Pleistocene</u> transition and Quaternary. *Quatern Sci Rev* 2010;**29**:170-81

¹⁰³ Rohling EJ, Grant K, Bolshaw M *et al.* <u>Antarctic temperature and global sea level closely coupled over the past</u> <u>five glacial cycles.</u> *Nature Geosci* 2009;**2**:500-4

¹⁰⁴ Seltzer, AM, Blard, P-H, Sherwood, SC *et al.* <u>Terrestrial amplification of past, present, and future climate</u> change. *Sci Advan* 2023(8 Feb);**9**:eadf8119

¹⁰⁵ Zhu J, Poulsen CJ, Tierney JE. <u>Simulation of Eocene extreme warmth and high climate sensitivity through cloud</u> <u>feedbacks</u>. *Sci Advan* 2019;**5**:eaax1874

¹⁰⁶ Hansen J. <u>Storms of My Grandchildren</u>. ISBN 978-1-60819-502-2. New York: Bloomsbury, 2009

¹⁰⁷ Berner RA. The Phanerozoic Carbon Cycle: CO2 and O2. New York: Oxford Univ Press, 2004

¹⁰⁸ Rohling EJ. The climate question: natural cycles, human impact, future outlook. Oxford Univ Press, 2019

¹⁰⁹ Merdith AS, Williams SE, Brune S *et al.* <u>Rift and plate boundary evolution across two supercontinent cycles</u>. *Global Plan Chan* 2019;**173**:1-14

¹¹⁰ Peace AL, Phethean JJJ, Franke D *et al.* <u>A review of Pangea dispersal and large igneous provinces – in search of a causative mechanism</u>. *Earth-Science Rev* 2020;**206**:102902

¹¹¹ In Swedish, trapps are stairs. Basalt formations are commonly in layers from multiple extrusions.

¹¹² Baksi AK. <u>Comment on "40Ar/39Ar dating of the Rajahmundry Traps, eastern India and their relationship to the</u> Deccan Traps" by Knight et al. [Earth Planet Sci. Lett. 208 (2003) 85-99]. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 2005;**239**:368-373

¹¹³ Guo Z, Wilson M, Dingwell D *et al*. India-Asia collision as a driver of atmospheric CO₂ in the Cenozoic. Nature Comm 2021;**12**:3891

¹¹⁴ Raymo ME, Ruddiman WF. <u>Tectonic forcing of late Cepozoic climate</u>. Nature 1992;**359**:117-22

¹¹⁵ Ramos EJ, Lackey JS, Barnes JD et al. Remnants and rates of metamorphic decarbonation in continental arcs. GSA Today 2020;30:doi.org/10.1130/GSATG432A.1

¹¹⁶ Bufe A, Hovius N, Emberson R et al. Co-variation of silicate, carbonate and sulfide weathering drives CO₂ release with erosion. Nature Geosci 2021;14:211-6

¹¹⁷ Scotese C. <u>PALEOMAP PaleoAtlas for GPlates</u>, https://www.earthbyte.org/paleomap-paleoatlas-for-gplates/ ¹¹⁸ Lee CTA, Shen B, Slotnick BS et al. Continental arc-island arc fluctuations, growth of crustal carbonates, and long-term climate change. Geosphere 2013;9(1):21-36

¹¹⁹ McKenzie NR, Horton BK, Loomis SE et al. Continental arc volcanism as the principal driver of icehousegreenhouse variability. Science 2016;**352**:444-7¹²⁰ Petersen KD, Schiffer C, Nagel T. <u>LIP formation and protracted lower mantle upwelling induced by rifling and</u>

delamination. Scientific Rep 2018;8:16578

¹²¹ Eldholm E, Grue K, North Atlantic volcanic margins: dimensions and production rates, J Geophys Res 1994:99(B2):2955-68

¹²² Ji S, Nie J, Lechler A et al. A symmetrical CO₂ peak and asymmetrical climate change during the middle Miocene. Earth Plan Sci Lett 2019:499:134-44

¹²³ Babila TL, Foster GL. The Monterey Event and the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum: two contrasting oceanic carbonate system responses to LIP emplacement and eruption. In: Ernst RE, Dickson A, Bekker A (eds). ¹²⁴ Storey M, Duncan RA, Tegner C. Timing and duration of volcanism in the North Atlantic Igneous Province: implications for geodynamics and links to the Iceland hotspot. Chem Geol 2007;241:264-81

¹²⁵ Svensen H, Planke S, Malthe-Sorenssen A et al. <u>Release of methane from a volcanic basin as a mechanism for</u> initial Eocene global warming. Nature 2004:429:542-5

¹²⁶ Gutjahr M, Ridgwell A, Sexton PF et al. Very large release of mostly volcanic carbon during the Palaeocene Thermal Maximum. Nature 2017;548:573-7

¹²⁷ Frieling J, Peterse F, Lunt DJ et al. Widespread warming before and elevated barium burial during the Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum: evidence for methane hydrate release? Paleocean Paleoclim 2019;34:546-66

¹²⁸ Small apparent discrepancy is roundoff. CO₂ forcing is 9.13 W/m² and solar forcing is -1.16 W/m² at 50MyBP.

¹²⁹ Forcing = 4.6 W/m^2 assumes that the increase of non-CO₂ GHGs is human-made. This is true for CFCs and most trace gases, but a small part of CH₄ and N₂O growth could be a slow feedback, slightly reducing the GHG forcing. 130 9.9°C for ECS = 1.2°C per W/m²; 10.1°C for ECS = 1.22°C per W/m² (the precise ECS for 7°C LGM cooling)

¹³¹ Walker JCG, Hays PB, Kasting JF. <u>A negative feedback mechanism for the long-term stabilization of Earth's</u> surface temperature. J Geophys Res 1981;86(C10):9776-82 ¹³² Foster GL, Hull P, Lunt DJ et al. Placing our current 'hyperthermal' in the context of rapid climate change in our

geological past. Phil Trans Roy Soc A 2018;376:200170086

¹³³ Tiernev JE, Zhu J, Li M Spatial patterns of climate change across the Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2022;119(42):e2205326119

¹³⁴ Nunes F, Norris RD. Abrupt reversal in ocean overturning during the Palaeocene/Eocene warm period. Nature 2006;439:60-63

¹³⁵ Hopcroft PO, Ramstein G, Pugh TAM et al. Polar amplification of Pliocene climate by elevated trace gas radiative forcing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2020;117:23401-7

¹³⁶ Schaller MF, Fung MK. The extraterrestrial impact evidence at the Palaeocene-Eocene boundary and sequence of environmental change on the continental shelf. Phil Trans Roy Soc A 2018;376:20170081

¹³⁷ Kirkland Turner S. Constraints on the onset duration of the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum. Phil Trans Roy Soc A 2018;376:20170082

¹³⁸ Zachos JC, McCarren H, Murphy B et al. Tempo and scale of late Paleocene and early Eocene carbon isotope cycles: implications for the origin of hyperthermals. Earth Plan Sci Lett 2010;299:242-9

¹³⁹ Nichols JE, Peteet DM, Rapid expansion of northern peatlands and doubled estimate of carbon storage. Nat Geosci 2019;12:917-21

¹⁴⁰ Hanson PJ, Griffiths NA, Iverson CM et al. Rapid net carbon loss from a whole-ecosystem warmed peatland. AGU Advan 2020;1: e2020AV000163

¹⁴¹ Bowen GJ, Maibauer BJ, Kraus MJ et al. Two massive, rapid releases of carbon during the onset of the Palaeocene-Eocene thermal maximum. Nature Geosci 2015;8:44-7

¹⁴² Archer D, Buffett B, Brovkin V. Ocean methane hydrates as a slow tipping point in the global carbon cycle. *Proc* Natl Acad Sci USA 2009;106:20596-601

¹⁴³ Archer D, Eby M, Brovkin V et al. Atmospheric lifetime of fossil fuel carbon dioxide. Annual Rev Earth Planet Sci 2009;37:117-34

¹⁴⁴ World Health Organization, Ambient (outdoor) air pollution, https://www.who.int/en/news-room/factsheets/detail/ambient-(outdoor)-air-quality-and-health (23 June 2022, date last accessed)

¹⁴⁵ Marcott SA, Shakun JD, Clark PU *et al.* <u>A reconstruction of regional and global temperature for the last 11,300</u>. Science 2013;**339**:1198-201

¹⁴⁶ Tardiff R, Hakim GJ, Perkins WA *et al.* Last Millenium Reanalysis with an expanded proxy database and seasonal proxy modeling. *Clim Past* 2019;**15**:1251-73

¹⁴⁷ Watson AJ, Garabato ACN. <u>The role of Southern Ocean mixing and upwelling in glacial-interglacial atmospheric</u> <u>CO₂ change</u>. *Tellus* 2006;**58B**:73–87

¹⁴⁸ Wikipedia. *File:Post-Glacial Sea Level.png* <u>https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Post-Glacial_Sea_Level.png</u> (3 December 2022, date last accessed)

¹⁴⁹ Barber B. <u>Resistance by scientists to scientific discovery</u>. *Science* 1961;**134**:596-602

¹⁵⁰ Hoffman PF, Kaufman AJ, Halverson GP *et al.* <u>A Neoproterozoic Snowball Earth</u>. *Science* 1998;**281**:1342-1346
 ¹⁵¹ Alvarez L, Alvarez W, Asaro F *et al.* <u>Extraterrestrial Cause for the Cretaceous-Tertiary Extinction</u>. *Science* 1980;**208**:1095-1108

¹⁵² Mishchenko MI, Cairns B, Kopp G *et al.* <u>Accurate monitoring of terrestrial aerosols and total solar irradiance:</u> <u>Introducing the Glory mission</u>. *Bull Amer Meteorol Soc* 2007;**88**:677-691

¹⁵³ Hansen J, Rossow W, Fung I. *Long-term monitoring of global climate forcings and feedbacks*. Washington: NASA Conference Publication 3234, 1993

¹⁵⁴ Bellouin N, Quaas J, Gryspeerdt E *et al.* <u>Bounding global aerosol radiative forcing of climate change</u>. *Rev Geophys* 2020;**58**:e2019RG000660

¹⁵⁵ Kruzman D. <u>Wood-burning stoves raise new health concerns</u>. *Undark Magazine* 2022,02 March (accessed 06 February 2023).

¹⁵⁶ Glojek K, Mocnik G, Alas HDC *et al.* The impact of temperature inversions on black carbon and particle mass concentrations in a mountainous area. *Atmos Chem Phys* 2022;**22**:5577-601

 ¹⁵⁷ Rutgard O. Why is Britain taking the axe to wood-burning stoves? Bloomberg Green, 4 February 2023.
 ¹⁵⁸ Day JW, Gunn JD, Folan WJ *et al.* Emergence of complex societies after sea level stabilized, EOS Trans Amer Geophys Union 2007;88(15):169-70

¹⁵⁹ VanCuren RA. <u>Asian aerosols in North America: extracting the chemical composition and mass concentration of</u> the Asian continental aerosol plume from long-term aerosol records in the western United States. *J Geophs Res Atmos* 2003;**108**:D20,4623

¹⁶⁰ Knutti R. <u>Why are climate models reproducing the observed global surface warming so well?</u> *Geophys Res Lett* 2008;**35**:L18704

¹⁶¹ Hansen J, Sato M, Kharecha P *et al.* Earth's energy imbalance and implications. *Atmos Chem Phys* 2011;**11**:13421-49

¹⁶² Koch D, Bauer SE, Del Genio A *et al.* <u>Coupled aerosol-chemistry-climate twentieth-century model investigation:</u> trends in short-lived species and climate responses. *J Clim* 2011;**24**:2693-714

¹⁶³ Novakov T, Ramanathan V, Hansen JE *et al.* Large historical changes of fossil-fuel black carbon aerosols. *Geophys Res Lett* 2003;**30**:1324

¹⁶⁴ Two significant flaws in the derivation of this "alternative aerosol scenario" were largely offsetting: (1) the intermediate climate response function employed (Fig. 5 of Hansen J, Sato M, Kharecha P *et al.* Earth's energy <u>imbalance and implications</u>. *Atmos Chem Phys* 2011;**11**:13421-49) was too "fast," but (2) this was compensated by use of a low climate sensitivity of 3° C for $2 \times CO_2$.

¹⁶⁵ Bauer SE, Tsigaridis K, Faluvegi G *et al.* <u>Historical (1850-2014) aerosol evolution and role on climate forcing</u> using the GISS ModelE2.1 contribution to CMIP6. J Adv Model Earth Syst, 2020;**12(8)**:e2019MS001978.

¹⁶⁶ In the absence of a response function from a GCM with ECS = 4°C, we use the normalized response function of the GISS (2020) model and put $\lambda = 1$ °C per W/m² in equation (5).

¹⁶⁷ Hansen J, Ruedy R, Sato M *et al.* <u>Global surface temperature change</u>. *Rev Geophys* 2010;**48**:RG4004
 ¹⁶⁸ Lenssen NJL, Schmidt GA, Hansen JE *et al.* <u>Improvements in the GISTEMP uncertainty model</u>, *J Geophys Res Atmos* 2019;**124**(12):6307-26

¹⁶⁹ Jin Q, Grandey BS, Rothenberg D *et al.* <u>Impacts on cloud radiative effects induced by coexisting aerosols</u> converted from international shipping and maritime DMS emissions. *Atmos Chem Phys* 2018;**18**:16793-16808
 ¹⁷⁰ Hansen J, Rossow W, Carlson B *et al.* <u>Low-cost long-term monitoring of global climate forcings and feedbacks</u>. *Clim Chan* 1995;**31**:247-271

¹⁷¹ Bellouin N, Quaas J, Gryspeerdt E *et al.* <u>Bounding global aerosol radiative forcing of climate change</u>. *Rev Geophys* 2020;**58**:e2019RG000660

¹⁷² Glassmeier F, Hoffmann F, Johnson JS *et al.* <u>Aerosol-cloud-climate cooling overestimated by ship-track data</u>. Science 2021;**371**:485-9

¹⁷³ Manshausen P, Watson-Parris D, Christensen MW *et al.* <u>Invisible ship tracks show large cloud sensitivity to</u> <u>aerosol</u>. *Nature* 2022;**610**:101-6 ¹⁷⁴ Wall CJ, Norris JR, Possner A *et al.*: <u>Assessing effective radiative forcing from aerosol-cloud interactions over</u> the global ocean. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2022;**119**:e2210481119

¹⁷⁵ Forster P, Storelvmo T, Armour K, *et al.* The Earth's Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks, and Climate Sensitivity. In: Masson-Delmotte V (ed). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2021, Cambridge, 923–1054

¹⁷⁶ International Maritime Organization (IMO), MEPC.176(58), Amendments to the annex of the protocol of 1997 to amend the international convention for the prevention of pollution from ships, 1973, as modified by the protocol of 1978 relating thereto (Revised MARPOL, Annex VI), 2008

¹⁷⁷ Gryspeerdt E, Smith TWP, O'Keeffe E *et al.* <u>The impact of ship emission controls recorded by cloud properties</u>. *Geophys Res Lett* 2019;**46**:12,547-55

¹⁷⁸ International Maritime Organization. <u>IMO 2020 – cutting sulphur oxide emissions</u>, lowers limit on sulfur content of marine fuels from 3.5% to 0.5%. <u>https://www.imo.org/en/MediaCentre/HotTopics/Pages/Sulphur-2020.aspx</u> (5 December 2022, date last accessed)

¹⁷⁹ Yuan T, Song H, Wood R *et al.* <u>Global reduction in ship-tracks from sulfur regulations for shipping fuel</u>. *Sci Adv* 2022;**8(29)**:eabn7988

¹⁸⁰ Data sources, graphs available <u>http://www.columbia.edu/~mhs119/Solar/</u>. (23 October 2022, last accessed)
 ¹⁸¹ Loeb NG, Thorsen TJ, Rose FG *et al.* <u>Recent variations in EEI, SST & clouds</u>. ERB Workshop, Hamburg,

Germany, 12-14 October, 2022 (3 December 2022, date last accessed).

¹⁸² Sato M. <u>Sea ice area</u>. Columbia University webpage (05 November 2022, date last accessed).

¹⁸³ McCoy DT, Burrows SM, Wood R *et al.* <u>Natural aerosols explain seasonal and spatial patterns of Southern</u> <u>Ocean cloud albedo</u>. *Sci Adv* 2015;**1**:e1500157

¹⁸⁴ Section 7.4.2.4 Cloud Feedbacks, in IPCC, 2021: Climate Change 2021 (reference 13).

¹⁸⁵ Feynman RP. Surely You're Joking, Mr. Feynman! ISBN 0-553-34668-7. New York: WW Norton, 1985.

¹⁸⁶ Barber B. <u>Resistance by scientists to scientific discovery</u>. Science 1961;**134**:596-602

¹⁸⁷ Hariri AR, Brown SM, Williamson DE et al. <u>Preference for immediate over delayed rewards is associated with</u> magnitude of ventral striatal activity. *J Neorosci* 2006;**26(51)**:13213-7

¹⁸⁸ Hansen JE. <u>Scientific reticence and sea level rise</u>. Environ Res Lett 2007;2;er1246875

¹⁸⁹ Dunne JP, Winton M, Bacmeister J *et al.* <u>Comparison of equilibrium climate sensitivity estimates from slab</u> ocean, 150-year, and longer simulations. *Geo Res Lett* 2020;**47**:e2020GL088852

¹⁹⁰ Forster PM, Maycock AC, McKenna CM *et al.* Latest climate models confirm need for urgent mitigation. *Nat Clim Chan* 2020;**10**:7-10

¹⁹¹ Liu Z, Zhu J, Rosenthal Y *et al.* <u>The Holocene temperature conundrum</u>. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2014; 1407229111:E3501-E3505

¹⁹² Glojek K, Mocnik G, Alas HDC *et al.* The impact of temperature inversions on black carbon and particle mass concentrations in a mountainous area. *Atmos Chem Phys* 2022;**22**:5577-601

¹⁹³ Diamond MS. <u>Detection of large-scale cloud microphysical changes and evidence for decreasing cloud</u> <u>brightness within a major shipping corridor after implementation of the International Maritime Organization 2020</u> <u>fuel sulfur regulations</u>, *EGUsphere* 2023;doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-971

¹⁹⁴ Hansen, JE. <u>A slippery slope: how much global warming constitutes "dangerous anthropogenic interference?"</u> *Clim Change* 2005;**68**:269-79

¹⁹⁵ Jay Zwally, Eric Rignot, Konrad Steffen, and Roger Braithwaite.

¹⁹⁶ Braithewaite, RJ. Cover photo for Science 2002;297(5579). Reprinted in Hansen, J. <u>Defusing the global warming</u> time bomb. *Sci Amer* 2004;**290(3)**:68-77

¹⁹⁷ Rignot E, Jacobs S, Mouginot J et al. <u>Ice shelf melting around Antarctica</u>. Science 2013;**341**:266-70

¹⁹⁸ Rye CD, Naveira Garabato AC, Holland PR *et al.* <u>Rapid sea-level rise along the Antarctic margins in response to</u> increased glacial discharge. *Nature Geosci* 2014;**7**:732-5

¹⁹⁹ Hefner M, Marland G, Boden T *et al.* <u>Global, Regional, and National Fossil-Fuel CO₂ Emissions</u>, Research Institute for Environment, Energy, and Economics, Appalachian State University, Boone, NC, USA. https://energy.appstate.edu/cdiac-appstate/data-products (4 December 2022, date last accessed)

²⁰⁰ Boden TA, Marland G, Andres R J, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. <u>*Global, Regional, and National Fossil-Fuel CO2 Emissions*, https://doi.org/10.3334/CDIAC/00001 V2017 (4 December 2022, date last accessed)
</u>

²⁰¹ Hansen J, Kharecha P, Sato M *et al.* <u>Assessing "dangerous climate change": Required reduction of carbon</u> emissions to protect young people, future generations and nature. *Plos One* 2013;**8**:e81648

²⁰³ Hansen J, Kharecha P: Cost of carbon capture: Can young people bear the burden?. Joule 2018;2,:1405-7

²⁰⁴ Prins G, Rayner S <u>Time to ditch Kyoto</u>. *Nature* 2007;**449**:973-5

²⁰² Keith DW, Holmes G, Angelo D et al. <u>A process for capturing CO₂ from the atmosphere</u>. Joule 2018;2:1573-94

²⁰⁵ Hansen J, Sato M, Ruedy R *et al.* <u>Dangerous human-made interference with climate: A GISS modelE</u> <u>study</u>. *Atmos Chem Phys* 2007;**7**:2287-312

²⁰⁶ Matthews HD, Gillett NP, Stott PA *et al.* <u>The proportionality of global warming to cumulative carbon emissions</u>. *Nature* 2009;**459**:829-832

²⁰⁷ Hansen J, Sato M <u>Regional Climate Change and National Responsibilities</u>. *Environ Res Lett* 2016;11:034009
 ²⁰⁸ <u>Economists' statement on carbon dividends</u> (28 November 2022, date last accessed)

²⁰⁹ Hansen J. Columbia University. <u>Can Young People Save Democracy and the Planet?</u> (28 November 2022, date last accessed)

²¹⁰ Hayes RB <u>Nuclear energy myths versus facts support it's expanded use – a review</u>. Cleaner Ener. Sys. 2022;2:100009

²¹¹ Cao J, Cohen A, Hansen J et al. <u>China-U.S. cooperation to advance nuclear power</u>. Science 2016;**353**:547-8.

²¹² Ying F. <u>Cooperative competition is possible between China and the U.S.</u>, New York Times, 24 November.

²¹³ National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. *Reflecting Sunlight: Recommendations for Solar Geoengineering Research and Research Governance*. <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/25762</u> (4 December 2022, date last accessed)

²¹⁴ Hansen J. Columbia University (AGU-CAS meeting, Xi'an, China, 18 October 2018). <u>Aerosol effects on climate</u> and human health (4 December 2022, date last accessed)

²¹⁵ Tollefson J. Can artificially altered clouds save the Great Barrier Reef?. Nature 202;596:476-8

²¹⁶ Latham J, Rasch P, Chen CC *et al.* <u>Global temperature stabilization via controlled albedo enhancement of low-level maritime clouds</u>. *Phil Trans R Soc A* 2008;**366**:3969-87

²¹⁷ Patrick SM, Council on Foreign Relations. Special Report No. 93, April 2022 <u>Reflecting sunlight to reduce</u> climate risk: priorities for research and international cooperation (4 December 2022, date last accessed)

²¹⁸ Hansen J, Sato M, Ruedy R *et al.* <u>Global warming in the twenty-first century: an alternative scenario.</u> *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2000;**97**:9875-80

²¹⁹ Meckler AN, Sexton PF, Piasecki AM *et al*. <u>Cenozoic evolution of deep ocean temperature from clumped isotope</u> thermometry. *Science* 2022;**377**:86-90