Summary and Course Overview

ECON 1820 Spring 2015 Mark Dean

Topics we Have Covered

- Utility Maximization
- What do we mean by a representation theorem?
- Bounded Rationality

 Search and satisficing
 - Rational Inattention Level K thinking
- Temptation and Self Control

 Gul and Pesendorfer Model
 Quasi-Hyperbolic Discounting
- Choice Under Risk and Uncertainty
- Expected Utility
 Probability Weighting
 Subjective Expected Utility
 Maxmin Expected Utility
 Models of Overconfidence
- Reference Dependent Preferences
- Social Preferences

 - Inequality Avercion
 Rabin model of Fairness

What do you Need to Know? - General **Principles**

- Think!
 - What is the point of this section of the course?
 What are we trying to understand?
- Definitions
- Important that you understand them but... Don't just regurgitate try to apply them

- Models

 What is this a model of?

 Why do we need to more away from the standard model?

 Why do we need to more away from the standard model?
 - Do you understand what sort of behavior the model allows that the standard model does not
 - Especially 'classic violations'

 Allais paradox

 Preference reversals

 Etc

 Again, try to apply them
- Proofs

 - What am I trying to prove?
 Do I understand each step of the proof
 Can I see how each of the assumptions in the proof are uesed

What do you Need to Know? -Techniques

- Reading the notes is a first step
 - Read once to get the flavor of what is going on
 - Read second time to get the technical details
 - Play with the definitions/models/proofs until you think you understand them
- Practice problems
 - Use them wisely
 - After you think you have understood what is going on
 - Close your notes!
 - Work through them as much as possible on your own
 - After all, this is what you are going to have to do on the exam
 - Only use notes/answers when you have REALLY done as much as you
- Exam
 - Exam questions will ask you to apply what you have learned in a new
 - Can you do that?

What do you Need to Know? - Utility Maximization

- What is the model of utility maximization?
- Why is testing utility maximization difficult
 What is a representation theorem, and why does it help with this problem?
- - Choice correspondence and choice function
 - Preference relations and their properties Properties alpha and beta
 - Utility representation
 - Commodity bundles and budget sets Local non-satiation
- Revealed preference and GARP Theorems
 - Relationship between alpha and beta and preferences
 - Relationship between preferences and utility
 - Relationship between GARP and utility (Afriat's theorem)
 - Uniqueness results

What do you Need to Know? -**Bounded Rationality 1**

- Key points
 - Utility maximization may fail if information is not free
 - People may not 'choose the best'
 - How can we introduce costs of information into models of choice?
 - What are the testable implications
- · Definitions
 - Satisficing model
 - Choice process data
 - Information Structure
 - Entropy
- Theorems
 - Satisficing as optimal search
 - The value of an information structure
 - Rational Inattention in a simple 2 state 2 act case

What do you Need to Know? – Bounded Rationality 2

- Key points
 - Nash Equilibrium requires a high degree of rationality
 - How might less rational players play
- Definitions
 - Nash Equilibrium
 - Best response
 - Level K thinking

What do you Need to Know? – Temptation and Self Control

- Key points
 - Problems of temptation and self control seem ubiquitous in everyday choice
 - How can we behaviorally detect such problem

 Preference for commitment

 Preference reversals in discounting
- Preference reversals in dis
 Definitions
 Preferences over menus
 Preference for commitment
 The Gul Pesendorfer model
 Set Betweenness
- Sophistication
- Preference reversal The exponential discounting model The beta delta model
- - Gul Pesendorfer implies set betweenness
 - Exponential discounting implies no preference reversals and no preference for commitment Beta delta discounting allows for preference reversals and preference for commitment
 - Preference for flexibility

What do you Need to Know? - Choice **Under Risk**

- Key points
 - In many cases we make choices over risky propositions
 - Standard model: people maximize expected utility
 - People often violate the predictions of this model
 - What are alternatives to expected utility
- Definitions
- Lottery
- Independence axiom
- Expected utility representation
- Risk aversion
- Common ratio and common consequence effects
- Cumulative probability weighting model
- Theorems
 - Axioms and Expected utility representation
 - Uniqueness results
 - Common ratio and common consequence violate independence
 - Effect of the shape of the probability weighting function

What do you Need to Know? - Choice **Under Uncertainty**

- · Key points
 - People may also make choices when probabilities are unknown
 - Standard model: Subjective Expected Utility
 - People often violate the predictions of this model
 - Ellsberg paradox
 - What are alternatives to expected utility
- Definitions

 - Subjective expected utility model
 - The Ellsberg Paradox
 - Maxmin expected utility model
- Theorems
 - SEU model cannot accommodate the Ellsberg Paradox
 - Maxmin model can accommodate the Ellsberg Paradox
 - Ambiguity aversion and no-trade prices

What do you Need to Know? -**Reference Dependent Preferences**

- **Key Points**
 - People's choices are affected by their reference points
 - Violates standard model
 - Endowment effect
- How can we model the effect of reference points?
- Definitions
 - The Endowment Effect
 - Reference dependence in risky choice
 - Loss Aversion
 - Personal Equilibrium
 - Diminishing Sensitivity
- Prospect theory
- - Loss aversion can cause the endowment effect
 - Effect of loss aversion in risky choice

What do you Need to Know? -Other **Regarding Preferences**

- **Key Points**
 - People's preferences depend on what other people get and do
 - Altruism, reciprocity, fairness
 - · Rejecting unfair offers in the ultimatum game
 - How can we model this?
- Definitions
 - Ultimatum game
 - Dictator game
 - Inequality aversion
 - Rabin fairness
- Equilibrium in the Rabin model
- - Inequality aversion can lead to observed behavior in ultimatum and
 - Rabin model can lead to observed behavior in modified ultimatium