A Representation Theorem for Utility Maximization: Proofs

Mark Dean

GR6211 - Microeconomic Analysis 1

From Choice to Preferences

- Our job is to show that, if choices satisfy α and β then we can find a preference relation \succeq which is
 - Complete, transitive and reflexive
 - Represents choices

Theorem

A Choice Correspondence can be represented by a complete, transitive, reflexive preference relation if satisfies axioms α and β

From Choice to Preferences

- How should we proceed?
 - Choose a candidate binary relation ⊵
 - 2 Show that it is complete, transitive and reflexive
 - 3 Show that it represents choice

Guessing the Preference Relation

- If we observed choices, what do we think might tell us that x is preferred to y?
- How about if x is chosen when the only option is y?
- Let's try that!
- We will define ≥ as saying

$$x \ge y$$
 if $x \in C(x, y)$

Remember this translation!

- Whenever I ask "what does it mean that x ≥ y"
- You reply "x was chosen from the set {x, y}"
- Okay, great, we have defined ⊵
- But we need it to have the right properties

- Is \geq complete?
- Yes!
- For any set {x, y} either x or y must be chosen (or both)
- In the former case $x \ge y$
- In the latter $y \ge x$

- Is ⊵ reflexive?
- Yes! (though we have been a bit cheeky)
- Let x = y, so then C(x, x) = C(x) = x
- Implies $x \ge x$

- Is ⊵ transitive?
- Yes! (though this requires a little proving)
- Assume not, then

$$x \supseteq y, y \supseteq z$$

but not $x \supseteq z$

- We need to show that this cannot happen
- i.e. it violates α or β
- These are conditions on the data, so what do we need to do?
- Understand what this means for the data

- Translating to the data
 - $x \ge y$ means that $x \in C(x, y)$
 - $y \ge z$ means that $y \in C(y, z)$
 - not $x \ge z$ means that $x \notin C(x, z)$
- Claim: such data cannot be consistent with α and β
- Why not?

Transitivity

- What would the person choose from $\{x, y, z\}$
- x?
 - No! Violation of α as x not chosen from $\{x, z\}$
- y?
 - No! This would imply (by α) that $y \in C(x, y)$
 - By β this means that $x \in C(x, y, z)$
 - Already shown that this can't happen
- z?
 - No! This would imply (by α) that $z \in C(y, z)$
 - By β this means that $y \in C(x, y, z)$
 - Already shown that this can't happen

- If we have $x \trianglerighteq y$, $y \trianglerighteq z$ but not $x \trianglerighteq z$ then the data cannot satisfy α and β
- Thus if α and β are satisfied, we know that ≥ must be transitive!
- Thus, we can conclude that, if α and β are satisfied ≥ must have all three right properties!

Representing Choices

• Finally, we need to show that ⊵ represents choices - i.e.

$$C(A) = \{ x \in A | x \trianglerighteq y \text{ for all } y \in A \}$$

- How do we do this?
- Well, first note that we are trying to show that two **sets** are equal
 - The set of things that are chosen
 - The set of things that are best according to \unrhd
- We do this by showing two things

1 That if x is in C(A) it must also be $x \ge y$ for all $y \in A$ 2 That if $x \ge y$ for all $y \in A$ then x is in C(A)

Things that are Chosen must be Preferred

- Say that $x \in C(A)$
- For ≥ to represent choices it must be that x ≥ y for every y ∈ A
- Note that, if $y \in A$, $\{x, y\} \subset A$
- So by α if

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x & \in & C(A) \\ \Rightarrow & x \in C(x, y) \end{array}$$

And so, by definition

 $x \ge y$

Things that are Preferred must be Chosen

- Say that $x \in A$ and $x \supseteq y$ for every $y \in A$
- Can it be that $x \notin C(A)$
- No! Take any $y \in C(A)$
- By α, y ∈ C(x, y)
- As $x \ge y$ it must be the case that $x \in C(x, y)$
- So, by β , $x \in C(A)$
- Contradiction!

Done!

Q.E.D.

- Well, unfortunately we are not really done
- We wanted to test the model of **utility maximization**
- So far we have shown that α and β are equivalent to preference maximization
- Need to show that preference maximization is the same as utility maximization

Theorem

If a preference relation \succeq on a finite X is complete, transitive and reflexive then there exists a utility function $u : X \to \mathbb{R}$ which represents \succeq , i.e.

$$u(x) \ge u(y) \Longleftrightarrow x \succeq y$$

Proof By Induction

- We are going to proceed using proof by induction
 - We want to show that our statement is true regardless of the size of X
 - We do this using induction on the size of the set
 - Let n = |X|, the size of the set
- Induction works in two stages
 - Show that the statement is true if *n* = 1
 - Show that, if it is true for n, it must also be true for any n+1
- This allows us to conclude that it is true for *n*
 - It is true for n = 1
 - If it is true for n = 1 it is true for n = 2
 - If it is true for n = 2, it is true for n = 3....
- You have to be a bit careful with proof by induction
 - Or you can prove that all the horses in the world are the same color

- So in this case we have to show that we can find a utility representation if $\left|X\right|=1$
 - Trivial
- And show that if a utility representation exists for |X| = n, then it exists for |X| = n + 1
 - Not trivial

- Take a set such that |X| = n + 1 and a complete, transitive reflexive preference relation \succeq
- Remove some $x^* \in X$
- Note that the new set X/x^* has size *n*
 - And that the binary relation
 <u>⊢</u> restricted to this set is still complete, transitive and reflexive
- So, by the inductive assumption, there exists some $v: X/x^* \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$v(x) \geq v(y) \Longleftrightarrow x \succeq y$$

- So now all we need to do is assign a utility number to x* which makes it work with v
- How would you do this?

Step 2

- Four possibilities
 x* ~ y for some y ∈ X/x*

 Set v(x*) = v(y)

 x* ≻ y for all y ∈ X/x*

 Set v(x*) = max_{y∈X/x*} v(y) + 1

 x* ≺ y for all y ∈ X/x*

 Set v(x*) = min_{y∈X/x*} v(y) 1
 - 4 None of the above

- What do we do in case 4?
- We divide X in two: those objects better than x^{*} and those worse than x^{*}

$$X_* = \{y \in X / x^* | x^* \succeq x\}$$

$$X^* = \{y \in X/x^* | x \succeq x^*\}$$

 Figure out the highest utility in X_{*} and the lowest utility in X^{*} and fit the utility of x^{*} in between them

$$v(x^*) = \frac{1}{2} \min_{y \in X^*} v(y) + \frac{1}{2} \max_{y \in X_*} v(y)$$

- Note that everything in X* has higher utility than everything in X*
 - Pick an $x \in X^*$ and $y \in X_*$
 - $x \succeq x^*$ and $x^* \succeq y$
 - Implies $x \succeq y$ (why?)
 - and so $v(x) \ge v(y)$
 - In fact, because we have ruled out indifference v(x) > v(y)
- This implies that

$$v(x) > v(x^*) > v(y)$$

- And so
 - The utility of everything better than x^* is higher than $v(x^*)$
 - The utility of everything worse than x^* is lower than $v(x^*)$

- Verify that v represents \succeq in all of the four cases
- That sounds exhausting
- I'll leave it for you to do for homework

Done!

Q.E.D.