

Forwards, Swaps and Futures

These notes introduce forwards, swaps and futures, and the basic mechanics of their associated markets. We will also see how to price forwards and swaps, but we will defer the pricing of futures contracts until after we have studied martingale pricing.

While the underlying probability structure of the financial market plays only a small role in these notes, you should not be under the impression that the results we derive only hold for deterministic models and are therefore limited in scope. On the contrary, these results are general and hold irrespective of the underlying probability structure that we might find ourselves working with.

Finally, we mention again that it is easy to compute the value of a deterministic cash flow given the current term-structure of interest rates and we will often make use of this observation when pricing forwards and swaps. Pricing *stochastic* cash flows is more complicated and requires more sophisticated no-arbitrage, or even equilibrium, methods. We will study these more sophisticated arbitrage methods when we study martingale pricing. While the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is an example of a (single-period) equilibrium-based model that you should be familiar with, we will not study equilibrium models in this course as it is generally too difficult¹ to solve realistic instances of these models for (derivative) security prices.

Forwards

Definition 1 A forward contract on a security (or commodity) is a contract agreed upon at date $t = 0$ to purchase or sell the security at date T for a price, F , that is specified at $t = 0$.

When the forward contract is established at date $t = 0$, the forward price, F , is set in such a way that the initial value of the forward contract, f_0 , satisfies $f_0 = 0$. At the maturity date, T , the value of the contract is given² by $f_T = \pm(S_T - F)$ where S_T is the time T value of the underlying security (or commodity). It is very important to realize that there are two “prices” or “values” associated with a forward contract at time t : f_t and F . When we use the term “contract value” or “forward value” we will always be referring to f_t , whereas when we use the term “contract price” or “forward price” we will always be referring to F . That said, there should never be any ambiguity since f_t is fixed (equal to zero) at $t = 0$, and F is fixed for all $t > 0$ so the particular quantity in question should be clear from the context. Note that f_t need not be (and generally is not) equal to zero for $t > 0$.

Examples of forward contracts include:

- A forward contract for delivery (i.e. purchase) of a non-dividend paying stock with maturity 6 months.
- A forward contract for delivery of a 9-month T-Bill with maturity 3 months. (This means that upon delivery, the T-Bill has 9 months to maturity.)
- A forward contract for the sale of gold with maturity 1 year.
- A forward contract for delivery of 10m Euro (in exchange for dollars) with maturity 6 months.

¹Financial economists often solve stylized equilibrium models to gain insight about how markets and economies behave. They do not believe that the resulting security prices are necessarily accurate estimates of real-world prices, a topic of greater interest to financial engineers. For example, the value of the CAPM lies in the economic insights it provides rather than in its use as a practical tool for pricing securities.

²If the contract specifies a purchase of the security then the date T payoff is $S_T - F$ whereas if the contract specifies a sale of the security then the payoff is $F - S_T$.

Computing Forward Prices

We first consider forward contracts on securities that can be *stored* at zero cost. The origin of the term “stored” is that of forward contracts on commodities such as gold or oil which typically are costly to store. However, we will also use the term when referring to financial securities. For example, while non-dividend paying stocks and zero-coupon bonds may be stored at zero cost, it is also the case that dividend paying stocks and coupon paying bonds can be stored at a *negative* cost.

Forward Price for a Security that Can Be Stored at Zero Cost: Suppose a security can be stored at zero cost and that short³ selling is allowed. Then the forward price, F , at $t = 0$ for delivery of that security at date T is given by

$$F = S/d(0, T) \quad (1)$$

where S is the current spot price of the security and $d(0, T)$ is the discount factor applying to the interval $[0, T]$.

Proof: The proof works by constructing an arbitrage portfolio if $F \neq S/d(0, T)$.

Case (i): $F < S/d(0, T)$: Consider the portfolio that at date $t = 0$ is short one unit of the security, lends S until date T , and is long one forward contract. The initial cost of this portfolio is 0 and it has a positive payoff, $S/d(0, T) - F$, at date T . Hence it is an arbitrage.

Case (i): $F > S/d(0, T)$: In this case, construct the reverse portfolio and again obtain an arbitrage opportunity.

Example 1 (A Forward on a Non-Dividend Paying Stock)

Consider a forward contract on a non-dividend paying stock that matures in 6 months. The current stock price is \$50 and the 6-month interest rate is 4% per annum. Compute the forward price, F .

Solution: Assuming semi-annual compounding, the discount factor is given by $d(0, .5) = 1/1.02 = 0.9804$. Equation (1) then implies that $F = 50/0.9804 = 51.0$. ■

Suppose now that we wish to compute the forward price of a security that has non-zero storage costs. We will assume that we are working in a multi-period⁴ setting and that the security has a deterministic holding cost of $c(j)$ in period j , payable at the beginning of the period. Note that for a commodity, $c(j)$ will generally represent a true holding cost, whereas for a stock or bond, $c(j)$ will be a negative cost and represent a dividend or coupon payment.

Forward Price for a Security with Non-Zero Storage Costs: Suppose a security can be stored for period j at a cost of $c(j)$, payable at the beginning of the period. Assuming that the security may also be sold short, then the forward price, F , for delivery of that security at date T (assumed to be M periods away) is given by

$$F = \frac{S}{d(0, M)} + \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \frac{c(j)}{d(j, M)} \quad (2)$$

where S is the current spot price of the security and $d(j, M)$ is the discount factor between dates j and M .

Proof: As before, we could prove (2) using an arbitrage argument. An alternative proof⁵ is to consider the strategy of buying one unit of the security on the spot market at $t = 0$, and simultaneously entering a forward contract to deliver it at time T . The cash-flow associated with this strategy is

$$(-S - c(0), -c(1), \dots, -c(j), \dots, -c(M-1), F)$$

³The act of short-selling a security is achieved by first borrowing the security from somebody and then selling it in the market. Eventually the security is repurchased and returned to the original lender. Note that a profit (loss) is made if the security price fell (rose) in value between the times it was sold and purchased in the market.

⁴Analogous pricing formulae are available for settings that use continuous time and/or compounding.

⁵See, for example, Luenberger Chapter 10.

and its present value must (why?) be equal to zero. Since the cash-flow is deterministic we know how to compute its present value and we easily obtain (2).

Example 2 (A Bond Forward)

Consider a forward contract on a 4-year bond with maturity 1 year. The current value of the bond is \$920, it has a face value of \$1000 and a coupon rate of 10% per annum. A coupon has just been paid on the bond and further coupons will be paid after 6 months and after 1 year, just prior to delivery. Interest rates for 1 year out are flat at 8%. Compute the forward price of the bond.

Solution: Note that in this problem, the 'storage costs' (i.e. the coupon payments) are paid at the *end* of the period, which in this example is of length 6 months. As a result, we need to adjust (2) slightly to obtain

$$F = \frac{S}{d(0, M)} + \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \frac{c(j)}{d(j+1, M)}.$$

In particular, we now obtain

$$F = \frac{920}{d(0, 2)} - \frac{50}{d(1, 2)} - 50$$

where $d(0, 2) = 1.04^{-2}$ and $d(1, 2) = d(0, 2)/d(0, 1) = 1.04^{-1}$. ■

Computing the Value of a Forward Contract when $t > 0$

So far we have discussed how to compute $F = F_0$, the forward price at date 0 for delivery of a security at date T . We now concentrate on computing the forward value, f_t , for $t > 0$. (Recall that by construction, $f_0 = 0$.) Let F_t be the current forward price at date t for delivery of the same security at the same maturity date, T . Then we have

$$f_t = (F_t - F_0) d(t, T). \quad (3)$$

Proof: Consider a portfolio that at date t goes long one unit of a forward contract with price F_t and maturity T , and short one unit of a forward contract with price F_0 and maturity T . This portfolio has a deterministic cash-flow of $F_0 - F_t$ at date T and a deterministic cash-flow of f_t at date t . The present value at date t of this cash-flow stream, $(f_t, F_0 - F_t)$ must be zero (why?) and hence we obtain (3).

Tight Markets

Examination of equation (2) implies that the forward price for a commodity with positive storage costs should be increasing in M . Frequently, however, this is not the case and yet it turns out that arbitrage opportunities do not exist. This apparent contradiction can be explained by the fact that it is not always possible to short commodities, either because they are in scarce supply, or because holders of the commodity are not willing to lend them to would-be short sellers. The latter situation might occur, for example, if the commodity has a utility value over and beyond its spot market value.

If short selling is not allowed, then the arbitrage argument used to derive (2) is no longer valid. In particular, we can only conclude that

$$F \leq \frac{S}{d(0, M)} + \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \frac{c(j)}{d(j, M)}. \quad (4)$$

Exercise 1 Convince⁶ yourself that we can indeed only conclude that (4) is true if short-selling is not permitted.

⁶See Luenberger, Chapter 10, for a discussion of tight markets.

In such circumstances, we say that the market is *tight*. An artifice that is often used to restore equality in (4) is that of the *convenience yield*. The convenience yield, y , is defined in such a way that the following equation is satisfied.

$$F = \frac{S}{d(0, M)} + \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \frac{c(j) - y}{d(j, M)}. \quad (5)$$

The convenience yield may be thought of as a negative holding cost that measures the convenience per period of having the commodity on hand.

Swaps

Another important class of derivative security are *swaps*, perhaps the most common of which are interest rate swaps and currency swaps. Other types of swaps include equity and commodity swaps. A *plain vanilla swap* usually involves one party swapping a series of fixed level payments for a series of variable payments.

Swaps were introduced primarily for their use in risk-management. For example, it is often the case that a party faces a stream of obligations that are *floating* or stochastic, but that it will have to meet these obligations with a stream of fixed payments. Because of this mismatch between floating and fixed, there is no guarantee that the party will be able to meet its obligations. However, if the present value of the fixed stream is greater than or equal to the present value of the floating stream, then it could purchase an appropriate swap and thereby ensure that it can meet its obligations.

Plain Vanilla Interest Rate Swap

In a plain vanilla interest rate swap, there is a maturity date, T , a *notional principal*, P , and a fixed⁷ number of periods, M . There are two parties, A and B say, to the swap. Every period party A makes a payment to party B corresponding to a fixed rate of interest on P . Similarly, in every period party B makes a payment to party A that corresponds to a floating rate of interest on the same notional principal, P .

It is important to note that the principal itself, P , is never exchanged. Moreover, it is also important to specify whether the payments occur at the end or the beginning of each period.

For example, assuming cash payments are made at the end of periods, i.e. in *arrears*, the cash flow that party A receives⁸ is given by

$$C = (0, \underbrace{S_0 - X}_{\text{At end of 1}^{st} \text{ period}}, \dots, \underbrace{S_{M-1} - X}_{\text{At end of } M^{th} \text{ period}})$$

where $X = r_f P$ is constant, and $S_i = r_i P$ is the interest payment that occurs at the end of period i based on the interest rate that prevailed at the beginning of period i , r_i . In general, r_i will be stochastic and so the swap's cash-flow, C , will also be stochastic. As is the case with forward contracts, the value X (equivalently r_f) is usually chosen in such a way that the initial value of the swap is zero. Even though the initial value of the swap is zero, we say that party A is "long" the swap and party B is "short" the swap.

Exercise 2 Make sure you understand how to use the terms "long" and "short" when referring to a swap.

Currency Swaps

A simple type of currency swap would be an agreement between two parties to exchange fixed rate interest payments and the principal on a loan in one currency for fixed rate interest payments and the principal on a loan in another currency. Note that for such a swap, the uncertainty in the cash flow is due to uncertainty in the currency exchange rate. In a Dollar/Euro swap, for example, a US company may receive the Euro payments of

⁷It is assumed that the date of the terminal payment coincides with the maturity date, T .

⁸Party B receives $-C$.

the swap while a German company might receive the dollar payments. Note that the value of the swap to each party will vary as the USD/Euro exchange rate varies. As a result, the companies are exposed to foreign exchange risk but if necessary this risk can be hedged by trading in the forward foreign exchange market.

Why might the US and German companies enter such a transaction? A possible explanation might be that the US company wishes to invest in the Eurozone while the German country wishes to invest in the U.S. Each company therefore needs foreign currency. However, they may have a comparative advantage borrowing in their domestic currency at home as opposed to borrowing in a foreign currency abroad. If this is the case, it makes sense to borrow domestic currency at home and use a swap to convert it into the foreign currency.

Pricing Swaps

Pricing swaps is quite straightforward. For example, in the currency swap described above, it is easily seen that the swap cash-flow is equivalent to being long a bond in one currency and short the bond in another currency. Therefore, all that is needed to price⁹ the swap is the term structure of interest rates in each currency (to price the bonds) and the spot currency exchange rate.

More generally, we will see that the cash-flow stream of a swap can often be considered as a stream of forward contracts. Since we can price forward contracts, we will be able to price¹⁰ swaps. We will see how to do this by way of the first example below where we price a commodity swap.

Example 3 (Pricing a Commodity Swap)

Let S_i be the spot price of a commodity at the beginning of period i . Party A receives the spot price for N units of the commodity and pays a fixed amount, X , per period. We will assume that payments take place at the beginning of the period and there will be a total of M payments, beginning one period from now. The cash-flow as seen by the party that is long the swap is

$$C = N \times (0, S_1 - X, S_2 - X, \dots, S_M - X).$$

Note that this cash-flow is stochastic and so we cannot compute its present value directly by discounting. However, we can decompose C into a stream of fixed payments (of $-NX$) that we can easily price, and a stochastic stream, $N(0, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_M)$. The stochastic stream is easily seen to be equivalent to a stream of forward contracts on N units of the commodity. We then see that receiving NS_i at period i has the same value of receiving NF_i at period i where F_i is the date 0 forward price for delivery of one unit of the commodity at date i . As the forward prices, F_i , are deterministic and known at date 0, we can see that the value of the commodity swap is given by

$$V = N \sum_{i=1}^M d(0, i)(F_i - X).$$

X is usually chosen so that the initial value of V is zero. ■

Example 4 (Pricing an Interest Rate Swap)

Party A agrees to make payments of a fixed rate of interest, r , on a notional principal, P , while receiving floating rate payments on P for M periods. We assume that the payments are made at the end of each period and that the floating rate payment will be based on the short rate that prevailed at the beginning of the period. The cash-flow corresponding to the long side of the swap is then given by

$$C = P (0, c_0 - r, c_1 - r, \dots, c_{M-1} - r).$$

where c_i is the short rate for the period beginning at date i . Again this cash flow can be decomposed into a series of fixed payments that can be easily priced, and a stochastic stream, $P(0, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{M-1})$. We can

⁹As mentioned above, the fixed payment stream of a swap is usually chosen so that the initial swap value is zero. However, once the swap is established its value will then vary stochastically and will not in general be zero.

¹⁰Later in the course we will develop the theory of martingale pricing. Then we will be able to price swaps directly, without needing to decompose it into a series of forward contracts.

value the stochastic stream either using an arbitrage argument (see the next example) or by recalling that the price of a floating rate bond is always par at any reset point. Note that the stochastic stream is exactly the stream of coupon payments corresponding to a floating rate bond with face value P . Hence the value of the stochastic stream must be (why?) $P(1 - d(0, M))$ and so the value of the swap is given by

$$V = P \left[1 - d(0, M) - r \sum_{i=1}^M d(0, i) \right]. \quad (6)$$

As before, r is usually chosen so that the initial value of the swap is zero. ■

Remark: The example below is quite tricky: the key with these types of questions is to construct a portfolio that includes the security you wish to price as well as other securities whose prices are already known. If the price of the resulting portfolio is also known then you should have enough information to price the security of interest.

Example 5 (Luenberger Exercise 10.10)

The floating rate portion of a plain vanilla interest swap with yearly payments and a notional principal of one unit has cash flows at the end of each year defining a stream starting at time $t = 1$ of $(c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{M-1})$, where c_i is the actual short rate at the beginning of year i . Using the concepts of forwards, argue that the value at time zero of c_i to be received at time $i + 1$ is $d(0, i + 1)r_i$, where r_i is the short rate for time i implied by the current (time zero) term structure and $d(0, i + 1)$ is the implied discount factor to time $i + 1$. The value of the stream is therefore $\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} d(0, i + 1)r_i$. Show that this reduces to the required term in the formula for V in (6).

Solution: Let W be the time 0 value of the *contract* in question, i.e., the security that pays c_i at time $i + 1$. Now consider the following portfolio strategy:

A: Borrow \$1 today until date i . Then at date i borrow again the principal and resulting interest until date $i + 1$ at the prevailing short rate, c_i .

B: Lend \$1 today until date $i + 1$.

C: Purchase $d(0, i)^{-1}$ contracts today.

We then have the following cash-flows:

	$t = 0$	$t = i$	$t = i + 1$
<i>A</i>	1	0	$-d(0, i)^{-1}(1 + c_i)$
<i>B</i>	-1	0	$d(0, i + 1)^{-1}$
<i>C</i>	$-d(0, i)^{-1} W$	0	$d(0, i)^{-1} c_i$
Total	$-d(0, i)^{-1} W$	0	$d(0, i + 1)^{-1} - d(0, i)^{-1}$

Note that the row marked *Total* consists only of deterministic cash flows. We therefore have that

$$d(0, i)^{-1} W = d(0, i + 1) (d(0, i + 1)^{-1} - d(0, i)^{-1})$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} W &= d(0, i + 1) \left(1 - \frac{d(0, i)}{d(0, i + 1)} \right) \\ &= -r_i d(0, i + 1) \end{aligned}$$

as required.

In the last line we used the fact that r_i satisfies

$$r_i = \frac{d(0, i)}{d(0, i + 1)} - 1$$

and this also enables us to obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} d(0, i+1)r_i = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} d(0, i+1) \left(\frac{d(0, i)}{d(0, i+1)} - 1 \right) = 1 - d(0, M)$$

which is consistent with (6). ■

Futures

While forwards markets have proved very useful for both hedging and investment purposes, they have a number of weaknesses. First, forward markets are not organized through an exchange. This means that in order to take a position in a forward contract, you must first find someone willing to take the opposite position. This is the *double-coincidence-of-wants* problem. Second, because forward contracts are not exchange-traded, there can sometimes be problems with price transparency and liquidity. Finally, in addition to the financial risk of a forward contract, there is also *counter-party risk*. This is the risk that one party to the forward contract will default on its obligations. These problems have been eliminated to a large extent through the introduction of futures markets. That is not to say that forward markets are now redundant; they are not, and they are used, for example, in the many circumstances when suitable futures markets are not available.

Perhaps the best way to understand the mechanics of a futures market is by example.

Example 6 (Cricket Futures)

We consider an example of a futures market where the futures contracts are *not* written on an underlying financial asset or commodity. Instead, they are written on the total number of runs that are scored in a cricket¹¹ test match. The market opens before the cricket match takes place and expires at the conclusion of the match. Similar futures markets do exist in practice and this example simply demonstrates that in principle, futures markets can be created where just about any underlying variable can serve as the underlying asset.¹²

The particular details of the cricket futures market are as follows:

- The futures market opens on June 3rd and the test match itself begins on June 15th. The market closes when the match is completed on June 19th.
- The closing price on the first day of the market was 720. This can be interpreted as the market forecast for the total number of runs that will be scored by both teams in the test match. This value varies through time as new events occur and new information becomes available. Examples of such events include information regarding player selection and fitness, current form of players, weather forecast updates, umpire selection, condition of the field etc.
- The *contract size* is \$1. This means if you go *long* one contract and the price increases by one, then you will have \$1 added to your cash balance. On the other hand, if the price had decreased by 8, say, and you were short 5 contracts then your balance would decrease by \$40. This process of *marking-to-market* is usually done on a daily basis. Moreover, the value of your futures position immediately after marking-to-market is identically zero, as any accrued profits or losses have already been added to or subtracted from your cash balance.

In the table below we present one possible evolution¹³ of the futures market between June 3 and June 19. The initial position is 100 contracts and it is assumed that this position is held until the test match ends on June 19.

¹¹You do not need to know anything about cricket in order to understand this example! An Excel worksheet, *Futures.xls*, is available for this example and may be downloaded from the course website. There we assume that the closing price on any given day is normally distributed with mean equal to the previous day's closing price, and standard deviation, σ . We use $\sigma = 10$ for the pre-test match data and a $\sigma = 25$ after the test match has actually begun. This reflects the fact that you would expect a greater volatility once the match has begun.

¹²Another futures market that has received considerable media attention in recent years is the University of Iowa Presidential Futures Market. See the *Challenge Question* at the end of these notes.

¹³This particular realization was generated using parameters that were different to those given in the *Futures.xls* worksheet.

An initial balance of \$10,000 is assumed and this balance earns interest at a rate of .005% per day. It is also important to note that when the futures position is initially adopted the cost is zero, i.e. initially there is no exchange of cash.

Remark 1 You should make sure that you fully understand the mechanics of this futures market as these are the same mechanics used by other futures markets.

CRICKET FUTURES CONTRACTS						
Date	Price	Position	Profit	Interest	Balance	
June 3	720.00	100	0	0	10,000	
June 4	721.84	100	184	1	10,184	
June 5	721.52	100	-31	1	10,153	
June 6	711.88	100	-964	1	9,190	
June 7	716.67	100	479	0	9,669	
June 8	720.04	100	337	0	10,006	
June 9	672.45	100	-4,759	1	5,248	Any explanation?
June 10	673.25	100	80	0	5,328	
June 11	687.04	100	1,379	0	6,708	
June 12	670.56	100	-1,648	0	5,060	
June 13	656.25	100	-1,431	0	3,630	
June 14	647.14	100	-912	0	2,718	
June 15	665.57	100	1,843	0	4,561	Test Match Begins
June 16	673.48	100	791	0	5,353	
June 17	672.88	100	-60	0	5,293	
June 18	646.63	100	-2,625	0	2,669	
June 19	659.58	100	1,294	0	3,963	Test Match Ends
Total			-6,042		3,963	

In Example 6 we did not discuss the details of *margin requirements* which are intended to protect against the risk of default. A typical margin requirement would be that the futures trader maintain a minimum *balance* in her trading account. This minimum balance will often be a function of the contract value (perhaps 5% to 10%) multiplied by the position, i.e., the number of contracts that the trader is long or short. When the balance drops below this minimum level a *margin call* is made after which the trader must deposit enough funds so as to meet the balance requirement. Failure to satisfy this margin call will result in the futures position being *closed out*¹⁴.

¹⁴See Luenberger Section 10.6 for further details.

Strengths and Weaknesses of Futures Markets

Futures markets are useful for a number of reasons:

- It is easy to take a position using futures markets without having to purchase the underlying asset. Indeed, it is not even possible to buy the underlying asset in some cases, e.g., interest rates, cricket matches and presidential elections.
- Futures markets allow you to *leverage* your position. That is, you can dramatically increase your exposure to the underlying security by using the futures market instead of the spot market.
- They are well organized and designed to eliminate counter-party risk as well as the “double-coincidence-of-wants” problem.
- The mechanics of a futures market are generally independent of the underlying ‘security’ so they are easy to “operate” and easily understood by investors.

Futures markets also have some weaknesses:

- The fact that they are so useful for leveraging a position also makes them dangerous for unsophisticated and/or rogue investors.
- Futures prices are (more or less) *linear* in the price of the underlying security. This limits the types of risks that can be perfectly hedged using futures markets. Nonetheless, non-linear risks can still be partially hedged using futures. See, for instance, Example 8 below.

Relationship of Futures Prices to Forward and Spot Prices

While forwards and futures prices are clearly closely related, they are not equal in general. One important case where they do coincide is when interest rates are deterministic and a proof of this may be found in Section 10.7 of Luenberger. However, we will see a more general proof of this and related results after we have studied martingale pricing.

When interest rates are stochastic, as they are in the real world, forwards and futures prices will generally not coincide. In particular, when movements in interest rates are positively correlated with price movements in the asset underlying the futures contract, futures prices will tend to be higher than the corresponding forward price. Similarly, when the correlation is negative, the futures price will tend to be lower than the forward price. We will see an explanation for this after we have studied martingale pricing.

Another interesting question that arises is the relationship between F and $E[S_T]$, where S_T is the price of the underlying asset at the expiration date, T . In particular, we would like to know whether $F < E[S_T]$, $F = E[S_T]$ or $F > E[S_T]$. We can already guess at the answer to this question. Using the language of the CAPM¹⁵, for example, we would expect (why?) $F < E[S_T]$ if the underlying security has positive systematic risk, i.e., a positive beta.

Hedging Using Futures Markets: the Perfect and Minimum-Variance Hedges

Futures markets are of great importance for hedging against risk. They are particularly suited to hedging risk that is *linear* in the underlying asset. This is because the final payoff at time T from holding a futures contract is linear¹⁶ in the terminal price of the underlying security, S_T . In this case we can achieve a perfect hedge by taking an equal and opposite position in the futures contract.

¹⁵Recall that the CAPM states that the expected return on a risky security, $E[r]$ say, satisfies $E[r] - r_f = \beta(E[r_m] - r_f)$ where r_f is the risk-free interest rate, r_m is the return on the market portfolio and $\beta = \text{Cov}(r, r_m) / \text{Var}(r_m)$.

¹⁶The final payoff is $\pm x(F_T - F_0) = \pm x(S_T - F_0)$ depending on whether or not we are long or short x futures contracts and this position is held for the entire period, $[0, T]$. This assumes that we are ignoring the costs and interest payments associated with the margin account. As they are of secondary importance, we usually do this when determining what hedging positions to take.

Example 7 (Perfect Hedge)

Suppose a wheat producer knows that he will have 100,000 bushels of wheat available to sell in three months time. He is concerned that the spot price of wheat will move against him (i.e. fall) in the intervening three months and so he decides to lock in the sale price now by hedging in the futures markets. Since each wheat futures contract is for 5,000 bushels, he therefore decides to sell 20 three-month futures contracts. Note that as a result, the wheat producer has a perfectly hedged position. ■

In general, perfect hedges are not available for a number of reasons:

1. None of the expiration dates of available futures contracts may exactly match the expiration date of the payoff, P_T , that we want to hedge.
2. P_T may not correspond exactly to an *integer* number of futures contracts.
3. The security underlying the futures contract may be different to the security underlying P_T .
4. P_T may be a non-linear function of the security price underlying the futures contract.
5. Combinations of all the above are also possible.

When perfect hedges are not available, we often use the *minimum-variance* hedge to identify a good hedging position in the futures markets. To derive the minimum-variance hedge, we let Z_T be the cash flow that occurs at date T that we wish to hedge, and we let F_t be the time t price of the futures contract. At date $t = 0$ we adopt a position¹⁷ of h in the futures contract and hold this position until time T . Since the initial cost of a futures position is zero, we can (if we ignore issues related to interest on the margin account) write the terminal cash-flow, Y_T , as

$$Y_T = Z_T + h(F_T - F_0).$$

Our objective then is to minimize

$$\text{Var}(Y_T) = \text{Var}(Z_T) + h^2\text{Var}(F_T) + 2h\text{Cov}(Z_T, F_T)$$

and we find that the minimizing h and minimum variance are given by

$$h^* = - \frac{\text{Cov}(Z_T, F_T)}{\text{Var}(F_T)}$$

$$\text{Var}(Y_T^*) = \text{Var}(Z_T) - \frac{\text{Cov}(Z_T, F_T)^2}{\text{Var}(F_T)}.$$

Such *static hedging strategies* are often used in practice, even when dynamic hedging strategies are capable of achieving a smaller variance. Note also, that unless $E[F_T] = F_0$, it will not be the case that $E[Z_T] = E[Y_T^*]$. It is also worth noting that the mean-variance hedge is not in general the same as the *equal-and-opposite* hedge¹⁸.

Example 8 (Luenberger Exercise 10.14)

Assume that cash flow is given by $y = S_T W + (F_T - F_0)h$. Let $\sigma_S^2 = \text{Var}(F_T)$, and $\sigma_{ST} = \text{Cov}(S_T, F_T)$.

In an equal and opposite hedge, h is taken to be an opposite equivalent dollar value of the hedging instrument. Therefore $h = -kW$, where k is the price ratio between the asset and the hedging instrument. Express the standard deviation of y with the equal and opposite hedge in the form

$$\sigma_y = W\sigma_s \times B. \quad (\text{That is, find } B.)$$

¹⁷A positive value of h implies that we are long the futures contract while a negative value implies that we are short. More generally, we could allow h to vary stochastically as a function of time. We might want to do this, for example, if Z_T is *path dependent* or if it is a non-linear function of the security price underlying the futures contract. When we allow h to vary stochastically, we say that we are using a *dynamic hedging strategy*. Such strategies are often used for hedging options and other derivative securities with non-linear payoffs.

¹⁸See Example 10.12 of Luenberger and Example 8 above.

Solution

We have $y = S_T W - (F_T - F_0) W k$ where $k = S_0/F_0$. Note that h is determined at date 0 and is therefore a function of date 0 information only. It is easy to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_y^2 &= W^2 \sigma_S^2 + \frac{W^2 S_0^2}{F_0^2} \sigma_F^2 - 2 \frac{W^2 S_0}{F_0} \sigma_{S,F} \\ \Rightarrow \sigma_y &= W \sigma_S \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{S_0 \sigma_F}{F_0 \sigma_S}\right)^2 - 2 \frac{S_0 \sigma_{S,F}}{F_0 \sigma_S^2}} \end{aligned}$$

which implicitly defines B .

As a check, suppose that S_T and F_T are perfectly correlated. We then obtain (check) that

$$\sigma_y = W \sigma_S \left(1 - \frac{S_0 \sigma_F}{F_0 \sigma_S}\right)$$

which is not in general equal to 0! However, if F_t and S_t are scaled appropriately (alternatively we could scale h), then we can obtain a perfect hedge. ■

Example 9 (Hedging Operating Profits)

A corporation manufactures a particular type of widget. It has orders to supply D_1 and D_2 of these widgets at dates t_1 and t_2 , respectively. The revenue, R , of the corporation may then be written as

$$R = D_1 P_1 + D_2 P_2$$

where P_i represents the price per widget at time t_i . We assume that P_i is stochastic and that it will depend in part on the general state of the economy at date t_i . In particular, we assume

$$P_i = a S_i e^{\epsilon_i} + c$$

where a and c are constants, S_i is the time t_i value of the market index, and ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are independent random variables that are also independent of S_i . Furthermore, they satisfy $E[e^{\epsilon_i}] = 1$ for each i . The manufacturer wishes to hedge the revenue, R , by taking a position h at $t = 0$ in a futures contract that expires at date t_2 and where the market index is the underlying security. The date t_2 payoff, Y , is then given by

$$Y = D_1 (a S_1 e^{\epsilon_1} + c) + D_2 (a S_2 e^{\epsilon_2} + c) + h(S_2 - F_0).$$

If we assume that S_t is a geometric Brownian motion so that $S_t = S_0 \exp((\mu - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma B_t)$ where B_t is a standard Brownian motion, we can easily find the minimum variance hedge, $h^* = -\text{Cov}(R, S_2)/\text{Var}(S_2)$. ■

Exercise 3 Compute h^* and the variance reduction that is achieved.

Remark: A more sophisticated hedge would be to choose a position of size h_1 at date $t = 0$ and then to update this position to h_2 at date t_1 where h_1 and h_2 are constants that are chosen at date $t = 0$. In this case the resulting hedging strategy is still a static hedging strategy.

Note, however, that since h_2 need not be chosen until date t_1 , it makes sense to allow h_2 to be a function of available information at date t_1 . In particular, we could allow h_2 to depend on P_1 and S_1 , thereby obtaining a dynamic hedging strategy, $(h_1, h_2(P_1, S_1))$. Such a strategy should be able to eliminate most of the uncertainty in R .

Exercise 4 How would you go about solving for the optimal $(h_1^*, h_2^*(P_1, S_1))$? Would you need to make an assumption regarding F_1 ?

Note that the most general class of dynamic hedging strategy would allow you to adjust h stochastically at every date in $[0, t_2)$ and not just at dates t_0 and t_1 .

Final Remarks

- As stated earlier, futures markets generally work in much the same way, regardless of the underlying asset. Popular futures markets include interest rate futures and equity index futures. Interest futures, for example, can be used to immunize bond portfolios by matching durations and/or convexities. Index futures are often used in place of the actual index itself for hedging index options. Of course, interest rate and index futures are also used for many other reasons.
- Sometimes the expiration dates of available futures contracts are sooner than the expiration date of some obligation or security that needs to be hedged. In such circumstances, it is often common to *roll the hedge forward*. That is, a hedging position in an available futures contract is adopted until that futures contract expires. At this point the futures position is closed out and a new position in a different (and newly available) futures contract is adopted. This procedure continues until the expiration date of the obligation or security.

Exercise 5 *What types of risk do you encounter when you roll the hedge forward?*

In order to answer Exercise 5, assume you will have a particular asset available to sell at time T_2 . Today, at time $t = 0$, you would like to hedge your time T_2 cash-flow by selling a single futures contract that expires at time T_2 with the given asset as the underlying security. Such a futures contract, however, is not yet available though there is a futures contract available at $t = 0$ that expires at time $T_1 < T_2$. Moreover, upon expiration of this contract the futures contract with expiration T_2 will become available. You therefore decide to adopt the following strategy: at $t = 0$ you sell one unit of the futures contract that expires at time T_1 . At T_1 you close out this contract and then sell one unit of the newly available futures contract that expires at time T_2 . What is your *net* cash-flow, i.e. after selling the asset and closing out the futures contract, at time T_2 ?

- Note that we have only discussed the mechanics of futures markets and how they can be used to hedge linear and non-linear risks. We have not seen how to compute the futures price, F_t , but instead will return to this after we have studied martingale pricing.

Challenge Question

The University of Iowa Presidential Futures Market was very successful in the 2000 election at predicting the share of the popular vote that was won by Gore and Bush, respectively. One of the rules of all of the Iowa futures markets is that people are limited in the size of the positions that they can take. For example, you are not allowed to open a trading account with more than \$500 and multiple accounts per person are not allowed. Do you think this particular rule might in any way *help* account for the success in predicting the outcome of the presidential election? (After all, there are many other reasons why such a rule might be enacted.)