

IEOR E4602: Quantitative Risk Management

Extreme Value Theory

Martin Haugh

Department of Industrial Engineering and Operations Research
Columbia University

Email: martin.b.haugh@gmail.com

Motivation

- Suppose we wish to estimate VaR_α for a given portfolio.
- We could use the empirical α -quantile, q_α .
- But there are many potential problems with this approach
 - there may not be enough data
 - the empirical quantile will never exceed the maximum loss in the data-set
 - time series dependence is ignored, i.e., we will be working with the **unconditional** loss distribution.
- **Extreme value theory** helps overcome these problems.

Extreme Value Theory (EVT)

- Two principal parametric approaches to modeling the extremes of a probability distribution:
 1. The **block maxima** approach
 2. The **threshold exceedances** approach.
- Threshold exceedances approach is more modern and usually the preferred approach
 - makes better use of available data.
- The **Hill Estimator** approach is also commonly used
 - this is a **non-parametric** approach.
- EVT can be combined with time-series models to estimate **conditional** loss distributions
 - and therefore construct better estimates of VaR, ES, etc.

The GEV Distributions

Definition: The CDF of the **generalized extreme value (GEV) distribution** satisfies

$$H_{\xi}(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-(1+\xi x)^{-1/\xi}}, & \xi \neq 0 \\ e^{-e^{-x}}, & \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

where $1 + \xi x > 0$.

- A three-parameter family is given by $H_{\xi, \mu, \sigma}(x) := H_{\xi}((x - \mu)/\sigma)$
 - μ is the **location** parameter
 - σ is the **scale** parameter
 - ξ is the **shape** parameter.
- $H_{\xi}(\cdot)$ defines the **type** of the distribution
 - i.e. recall a type is a family of distributions specified up to location and scale.

The GEV Distributions

Definition: The **right endpoint**, x_F , of a distribution with CDF, $F(\cdot)$, is given by $x_F := \sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F(x) < 1\}$.

- When $\xi > 0$ obtain the **Fréchet** distribution
 - has an infinite right endpoint.
- When $\xi = 0$ obtain the **Gumbel** distribution
 - has an infinite right endpoint
 - tail decays much faster than tail of Fréchet distribution.
- When $\xi < 0$ obtain the **Weibull** distribution
 - a short-tailed distribution with finite right endpoint

Convergence of Maxima

- Role of GEV distribution in the theory of extremes is analogous to role of normal distribution in the **Central Limit Theorem (CLT)** for sums of random variables.
- Recall the CLT: if X_1, X_2, \dots are IID with a finite variance then

$$\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \longrightarrow N(0, 1) \text{ in distribution where}$$

$$S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$a_n := n \mathbb{E}[X_1]$$

$$b_n := \sqrt{n \text{Var}(X_1)}$$

- Let $M_n := \max(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, i.e., the **block maximum**.
- The block maxima approach to EVT is concerned with the limiting distribution of M_n .

The Maximum Domain of Attraction

Definition: A CDF, F , is said to be in the **maximum domain of attraction (MDA)** of H if there exist sequences of constants, c_n and d_n with $c_n > 0$ for all n , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{M_n - d_n}{c_n} \leq x\right) = H(x) \quad (1)$$

for some non-degenerate CDF, H .

Note that (1) implies (why?)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(c_n x + d_n) = H(x). \quad (2)$$

The Fisher-Tippett Theorem (1920's)

Theorem: If $F \in \text{MDA}(H)$ for some non-degenerate CDF, H , then H must be a distribution of type H_ξ , i.e., a GEV distribution.

- If convergence of normalized maxima takes place, then the type of the distribution is uniquely determined. The location, μ , and scaling, σ , depend on the normalizing sequences, c_n and d_n .
- Essentially **all** the commonly used distributions of statistics are in $\text{MDA}(H_\xi)$ for some ξ .

Example: The Exponential Distribution

- Suppose the X_i 's are IID $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ so that

$$F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$$

for $x \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 0$.

- Let $c_n := 1/\lambda$ and $d_n := \ln(n)/\lambda$.
- Can directly calculate the limiting distribution using (1).
- We obtain

$$F^n(c_n x + d_n) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} e^{-x}\right)^n, \quad x \geq -\ln(n)$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(c_n x + d_n) = e^{-e^{-x}}.$$

- Therefore obtain $F \in \text{MDA}(H_0)$.

The Fréchet MDA

Definition:

(i) A positive function, L , on $(0, \infty)$ is **slowly varying** at ∞ if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(tx)}{L(x)} = 1, \quad t > 0.$$

(ii) A positive function, h , on $(0, \infty)$ is **regularly varying** at ∞ with **index** $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(tx)}{h(x)} = t^\rho, \quad t > 0.$$

e.g. The logarithmic function, $\log(x)$, is slowly varying.

The Fréchet MDA

Theorem: For $\xi > 0$,

$$F \in \text{MDA}(H_\xi) \iff \bar{F}(x) = x^{-1/\xi} L(x)$$

for some function, L , that is slowly varying at ∞ and where $\bar{F}(x) := 1 - F(x)$.

- When $F \in \text{MDA}(H_\xi)$, often refer to $\alpha := 1/\xi$ as the **tail index** of the distribution.
- e.g. Fréchet, t, F and Pareto are all in Fréchet MDA.
- Can be shown that if $F \in \text{MDA}(H_\xi)$ for $\xi > 0$, then $E[X^k] = \infty$ for $k > 1/\xi = \alpha$.

The Gumbel and Weibull MDA's

- The Gumbel and Weibull distributions aren't as interesting from a finance perspective
 - but their MDA's can still be characterized.
- e.g. exponential, normal and log-normal are in Gumbel MDA
 - $E[X^k] < \infty$ for all $k > 0$ in this case.
- e.g. Beta distribution is in Weibull MDA.

The Non-IID Case

- So far have dealt with only the IID case.
- But in finance, data is rarely IID.
- Can be shown, however, that for most strictly stationary time series, our results continue to hold
 - e.g. our results hold for ARCH / GARCH models
 - if it exists, the **extremal index**, $\theta \in (0, 1]$, of the time series is key!
 - $n\theta$ can be interpreted as the number of independent **clusters** of observation in n observations.
 - see Section 7.1.3 of *McNeil, Frey and Embrechts* for further details.

The Block Maxima Method

- Assume we have observation X_1, \dots, X_{nm}
 - so that the data can be split into m blocks with $M_j := \max\{j^{th} \text{ block}\}$
 - each block contains n observations.
- Would like both n and m to be large but there are tradeoffs
 - would like n large so that convergence to the GEV has occurred
 - would like m large so that we have more observations and hence lower variances of MLE estimates.
- In practice, if we are working with daily data and we have sufficiently many observations, might take quarterly, semi-annual or annual block sizes.

The Block Maxima Method

- Let $h_{\xi, \mu, \sigma}$ be the log-density.
- Then log-likelihood for $\xi \neq 0$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}l(\xi, \mu, \sigma ; M_1, \dots, M_m) &= \sum_{i=1}^m h_{\xi, \mu, \sigma}(M_i) \\&= -m \ln(\sigma) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \sum_{i=1}^m \ln \left(1 + \xi \frac{M_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right) \\&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^m \left(1 + \xi \frac{M_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^{-1/\xi}.\end{aligned}$$

- We then maximize the log-likelihood over (ξ, μ, σ) subject to
 - $\sigma > 0$ and
 - $1 + \xi (M_i - \mu)/\sigma > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

The Return Level and Return Period Problems

- The fitted GEV model can be used to analyze stress losses. In particular we have the **return level** problem and the **return period** problem.

Definition: Let H denote the CDF of the true n -block maximum. Then the k n -block return level is

$$r_{n,k} := q_{1-1/k}(H)$$

i.e., the $(1 - 1/k)$ -quantile of H .

- The k n -block return level can be interpreted as the level that is exceeded once out of every k n -blocks on average.
- Using our fitted model, we obtain

$$\hat{r}_{n,k} = H_{\hat{\xi}, \hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}}^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) = \hat{\mu} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\hat{\xi}} \left(\left(-\ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \right)^{-\hat{\xi}} - 1 \right)$$

- estimates of $r_{n,k}$ should **always** (why?) be accompanied by confidence intervals.

The Return Level and Return Period Problems

Definition: Let H denote the CDF of the true n -block maximum. The return period of the event $\{M > u\}$ is given by

$$k_{n,u} := 1/\bar{H}(u)$$

where $\bar{H}(u) = 1 - H(u)$.

- $k_{n,u}$ is the average number of blocks we must wait before we observe the event $\{M > u\}$.
- Again, an estimate of $k_{n,u}$ should **always** be accompanied by confidence intervals.

Threshold Exceedances

- The block maxima approach is inefficient as it ignores all but the maximum observation in each block.
- The threshold exceedance approach does not suffer from this approach
 - it uses all of the data above some threshold, u .
- The **Generalized Pareto Distribution (GPD)** plays the key role in the threshold exceedance approach.

The Generalized Pareto Distribution

Definition: The **Generalized Pareto Distribution (GPD)** is given by

$$G_{\xi,\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - (1 + \xi x/\beta)^{-1/\xi}, & \xi \neq 0 \\ 1 - e^{-x/\beta}, & \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

where $\beta > 0$, and $x \geq 0$ when $\xi \geq 0$, and $0 \leq x \leq -\beta/\xi$ when $\xi < 0$.

- ξ is the **shape** parameter
- β is the **scale** parameter.
- When $\xi > 0$ obtain the ordinary **Pareto** distribution.
- When $\xi = 0$ obtain the **exponential** distribution.
- When $\xi < 0$ obtain the short-tailed **Pareto** distribution.

Excess Distribution Over a Threshold

Definition: Let X be a random variable with CDF, F . Then the **excess distribution over the threshold u** has CDF

$$F_u(x) = P(X - u \leq x \mid X > u) = \frac{F(x + u) - F(u)}{1 - F(u)} \quad (3)$$

for $0 \leq x < X_f - u$ where $x_F \leq \infty$ is the right endpoint of F .

- In survival analysis F_u is known as the **residual life CDF**.

Definition: The **mean excess function** of a random variable, X , with finite mean is given by

$$e(u) := E[X - u \mid X > u].$$

Examples: Exponential and GPD Random Variables

- e.g. If $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$, then can show that $F_u(x) = F(x)$
 - reflects the **memoryless** property of exponential random variables.
- e.g. Suppose $X \sim G_{\xi, \beta}$. Then (3) implies

$$F_u(x) = G_{\xi, \beta(u)} \quad \text{where}$$

$$\beta(u) := \beta + \xi u$$

$$0 \leq x < \infty \text{ if } \xi \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq x \leq -\beta/\xi - u \text{ if } \xi < 0$$

- so the excess CDF remains a GPD with the same shape parameter but with a different scaling.
- can also show that the mean excess function satisfies

$$e(u) = \frac{\beta(u)}{1 - \xi} = \frac{\beta + \xi u}{1 - \xi}$$

$$\text{where } 0 \leq u < \infty \text{ if } 0 \leq \xi < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq u \leq -\beta/\xi \text{ if } \xi < 0$$

- note that $e(u)$ is **linear in u** for the GPD, a useful property!

The GPD and MDA's

Theorem: We can find a positive function, $\beta(u)$, such that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow x_F} \sup_{0 \leq x < x_F - u} |F_u(x) - G_{\xi, \beta(u)}(x)| = 0 \quad (4)$$

if and only if $F \in \text{MDA}(H_\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

- This theorem provides the link between the theories of block maxima and threshold exceedances.
- Since essentially all commonly used distributions are in $\text{MDA}(H_\xi)$ for some ξ , we see that the GPD distribution is the **canonical** distribution for excess distributions.
- Note that the shape parameter, ξ , does not depend on u .
- Can use (4) by taking u to be "large" and therefore assuming that $F_u(x) = G_{\xi, \beta}(x)$ for $0 \leq x < x_F - u$ and some ξ and $\beta > 0$.

Modeling Excess Losses

- Let X_1, \dots, X_n represent loss data from the distribution F .
- A random number N_u will exceed the threshold, u .
- Let Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_u} be the values of the N_u excess losses.
- We assume $F_u = G_{\xi, \beta}$ and estimate ξ and β using maximum likelihood.
- Obtain

$$\begin{aligned}l(\xi, \beta ; Y_1, \dots, Y_{N_u}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} \ln g_{\xi, \beta}(Y_i) \\ &= -N_u \ln(\beta) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} \ln \left(1 + \xi \frac{Y_i}{\beta}\right)\end{aligned}$$

which we maximize subject to $\beta > 0$ and $1 + \xi Y_i/\beta > 0$ for all i .

When the Data is Not IID

- So far have assumed the data is IID
 - but of course we know financial return data is not IID!
- If the **extremal index**, θ , equals 1 then no evidence of **extremal clustering**
 - so fine to assume data is IID.
- If $\theta < 1$ then there is evidence of **extremal clustering**
 - situation not so satisfactory
 - but can still use the MLE method to estimate the parameters
 - technically this becomes **quasi-MLE** since the model is misspecified
 - point estimates of the parameters should still be fine
 - but standard errors might be too small in which case associated confidence intervals would also be too narrow.

Excesses Over Higher Thresholds

Lemma: Suppose $F_u(x) = G_{\xi, \beta}(x)$ for $0 \leq x < x_F - u$ for some ξ and $\beta > 0$. Then $F_v(x) = G_{\xi, \beta + \xi(v-u)}(x)$ for any higher threshold $v \geq u$.

- So excess distribution over higher thresholds remains a GPD with same shape parameter, ξ , but with a scaling parameter that grows linearly in v .
- If $\xi < 1$, the mean excess function satisfies

$$e(v) = \frac{\beta + \xi(v - u)}{1 - \xi} = \frac{\xi v}{1 - \xi} + \frac{\beta - \xi u}{1 - \xi} \quad (5)$$

where $u \leq v < \infty$ if $0 \leq \xi < 1$ and $u \leq v \leq u - \beta/\xi$ if $\xi < 0$

- linearity of (5) in v can be used as a diagnostic for choosing the appropriate threshold, u
- this diagnostic tool is called the **sample mean excess plot**.

Sample Mean Excess Plot

Definition: Given loss data X_1, \dots, X_n , the **sample mean excess function** is the empirical estimator of the mean excess function given by

$$e_n(v) := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - v) 1_{\{X_i > v\}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{X_i > v\}}}$$

- Now can construct the mean excess plot $\{X_{(i,n)}, e_n(X_{(i,n)}) : 2 \leq i \leq n\}$ where $X_{(i,n)}$ is the i^{th} order statistic.
- If the data support a GPD model beyond a high threshold, then the plot should become linear for higher values of v
 - a positive slope indicates $\xi > 0$
 - a zero slope indicates $\xi \approx 0$
 - a negative slope indicates $\xi < 0$.
- Since final few values are based on very few data points they are often omitted from the plot.

Tail Probabilities

- Again assuming that $F_u(x) = G_{\xi, \beta}(x)$ for $0 \leq x < x_F - u$ we obtain for $x > u$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - F(x) &= \bar{F}(x) = P(X > u) P(X > x \mid X > u) \\ &= \bar{F}(u) P(X - u > x - u \mid X > u) \\ &= \bar{F}(u) \bar{F}_u(x - u) \\ &= \bar{F}(u) \left(1 + \xi \frac{x - u}{\beta} \right)^{-1/\xi} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

- so if we know $\bar{F}(u)$ we have a formula for the tail probabilities
- (6) can now be inverted to compute risk measures!

Risk Measures

- For $\alpha \geq F(u)$ obtain

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha = q_\alpha(F) = u + \frac{\beta}{\xi} \left(\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\bar{F}(u)} \right)^{-\xi} - 1 \right).$$

- If $\xi < 1$, then

$$\text{ES}_\alpha = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_\alpha^1 q_x(F) dx = \frac{\text{VaR}_\alpha}{1-\xi} + \frac{\beta - \xi u}{1-\xi}.$$

- Also obtain

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \frac{\text{ES}_\alpha}{\text{VaR}_\alpha} = \begin{cases} (1-\xi)^{-1}, & 1 > \xi \geq 0 \\ 1, & \xi < 0. \end{cases}$$

Estimation in Practice

- Can use the sample mean excess plot to choose an appropriate threshold, u .
- MLE methods then used to estimate ξ and β as well as their standard errors.
- We can use the empirical estimator, N_u/n , to estimate $\bar{F}(u)$.
- Then have

$$\hat{\bar{F}}(x) = \frac{N_u}{n} \left(1 + \hat{\xi} \frac{x - u}{\hat{\beta}} \right)^{-1/\hat{\xi}} \quad (7)$$

as our tail probability estimator for $x \geq u$

- should also compute confidence intervals for (7)
 - either using Monte-Carlo (how?) or by reparametrizing (how?).
- Should also study sensitivity of parameter estimates to the threshold, u
 - results are not reliable if estimates remain sensitive for large u .

Multivariate EVT

- Can also study extreme value theory for multivariate data
 - leads to **multivariate EVT**.
- The marginal distributions are as in the univariate case
 - e.g. GPD for the threshold exceedances method.
- So the main item of concern is the **dependency structure**
 - leads to **extreme value copulas**
 - e.g. the Gumbel copula is a 2-dimensional EV copula.
- Generally difficult to apply Multivariate EVT in high dimensions
 - too many parameters to estimate.
- A common solution is to simply collapse the problem to the univariate case by considering the entire portfolio value or return as a univariate random variable.

Example: Danish Fire Loss Data

- Dataset consists of 2,156 fire insurance losses over 1m Danish Kroner from 1980 to 1990
 - representing combined loss for building and contents and sometimes, business earnings
 - losses are inflation adjusted to 1985 levels.
- Mean excess plot appears linear over entire range
 - so GPD with $\xi > 0$ could be fitted to entire dataset.
- We find $\hat{\xi} \approx .52$
 - so fitted model is very heavy-tailed with infinite variance. Why?
 - because $E[X^k] = \infty$ for any GPD distribution with $k \geq 1/\xi$.

The Hill Estimator

- The Hill method assumes $F \in \text{MDA}(H_\xi)$ for $\xi > 0$, i.e., the Fréchet MDA
 - so $\bar{F}(x) = L(x) x^{-1/\xi}$ where L is slowly varying.
- The estimator satisfies

$$\hat{\xi}_k^{\text{Hill}} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \ln(X_{i,n}) - \ln(X_{k,n}), \quad 2 \leq k \leq n$$

where $X_{n,n} \leq \dots \leq X_{1,n}$ are the order statistics.

- often a very good estimator of ξ when the tail probability is well approximated by a power function
- It is common to plot the Hill estimator for different values of k
 - obtain the **Hill plot**
 - and to then choose a value of k from a region where the estimator is relatively stable.

Where Does the Hill Estimator Come From?

Consider the mean excess for function, $e(\cdot)$, for $\ln(X)$. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}e(\ln(u)) &= \mathbb{E}[\ln(X) - \ln(u) \mid \ln(X) > \ln(u)] \\&= \frac{1}{\bar{F}(u)} \int_u^\infty (\ln(x) - \ln(u)) dF(x) \\&= \frac{1}{\bar{F}(u)} \int_u^\infty \frac{\bar{F}(x)}{x} dx && \text{(using integration by parts)} \\&= \frac{1}{\bar{F}(u)} \int_u^\infty L(x)x^{-(1+1/\xi)} dx \\&\approx \frac{L(u)}{\bar{F}(u)} \int_u^\infty x^{-(1+1/\xi)} dx && \text{(for } u \text{ sufficiently large)} \\&= \frac{L(u)u^{-1/\xi}\xi}{\bar{F}(u)} \\&= \xi.\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

Conditional or Dynamic EVT for Financial Time Series

- So far, our applications of EVT lead to estimates of the **unconditional** loss distribution.
- But we are usually (much) more interested in the **conditional** loss distribution
 - at least in the case of financial applications
 - generally not true in the case of insurance applications. Why?
- Can apply EVT to obtain estimate of the conditional loss distribution using time series models
 - in particular, **ARCH / GARCH** models.

Conditional or Dynamic EVT for Financial Time Series

- Suppose the negative log-returns (from date $t - 1$ to date t) are generated by a strictly stationary time series

$$L_t = \mu_t + \sigma_t Z_t$$

- μ_t and σ_t are known at time $t - 1$
- and the Z_t 's are IID innovations with **unknown** CDF, $G(\cdot)$.
- The risk measures VaR_α^t and ES_α^t (at date $t - 1$) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\text{VaR}_\alpha^t &= \mu_t + \sigma_t q_\alpha(Z) \\ \text{ES}_\alpha^t &= \mu_t + \sigma_t \text{ES}_\alpha(Z)\end{aligned}$$

where q_α is the α -quantile of Z .

- We can estimate VaR_α^t and ES_α^t by first fitting a GARCH model to the L_t 's
 - but we don't know the distribution, $G(\cdot)$, of Z
 - so we need to use **quasi**-maximum likelihood estimation (QMLE) instead of the usual MLE.

Conditional or Dynamic EVT for Financial Time Series

- The fitted GARCH model can be used to estimate μ_t and σ_t .
- We want to apply EVT to the innovations, Z , but we don't observe the Z 's.
- Instead we take the GARCH **residuals** as our data for EVT.
- We fit the GPD to the tails of the residuals and estimate the corresponding risk measures to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\text{VaR}}_{\alpha}^t &= \hat{\mu}_t + \hat{\sigma}_t \hat{q}_{\alpha}(Z) \\ \widehat{\text{ES}}_{\alpha}^t &= \hat{\mu}_t + \hat{\sigma}_t \widehat{\text{ES}}_{\alpha}(Z)\end{aligned}$$

- See Section 3 of "*Extreme Value Theory for Risk Managers*" by McNeil or Sections 7.2.6 and 2.3.6 of MFE
 - note how well the dynamic EVT VaR method back-tests!
- See also *Risk Management and Time Series* lecture notes.