POLITICAL SCIENCE W4209: GAME THEORY AND POLITICAL THEORY (SPRING 2011)

Professor:	Macartan Humphreys	Time:	MW 9:10am-10:25am
Office:	701 IAB	Location:	503 Hamilton Hall
e-mail:	<u>mh2245@columbia.edu</u>	TAs:	Alexandra Cirone aec2165@columbia.edu
Office Hours:	: F 2 – 4		Andrew Guess amg2232@columbia.edu

Overview

W4209 provides a high-level introduction to game theory. We focus on basic concepts and major results of importance for political scientists. It is appropriate for graduate and advanced undergraduate students. Major results from social choice and game theory are covered with applications in the study of collective action, voting, and bargaining. I will assume that students are comfortable with mathematical techniques at the level of Political Science W4360 (Math Methods for Political Science) or intermediate microeconomics.

Requirements

The requirements are:

- 35% A midterm and a final exam accounting for 15% and 20% of your grade respectively.
- 30% There will be six **sets of problems** and exercises to complete throughout the course; these are intended to evaluate your understanding of the material and to allow for deeper exploration of models studied, and, especially, to practice model construction and proof writing. These each account for 5% of the course grade. Dates in which they are handed out and are due are marked with a and a in the topics table respectively. Late problem sets will not be accepted.
- 25% You will be required to write a short original paper or group project presenting a model, a theorem or simulation. This paper is your key original output from this course. It can be short (10 pages) but should typically motivate a problem, develop a model, prove or demonstrate ensuing propositions, and identify testable predictions. You may be asked to present parts of your model in class or in the case of games and simulations you may gain a slot in the final day of class to "run" your model if applicable. The paper is due on midnight 7 May 2010.
- 10% You will be required to participate in **weekly sections** where problem sets will be reviewed, and class and research material will be discussed.

Resources

- Required Readings are marked with **R**: on the topics table. These area all examinable.
- You should learn some R: <u>http://www.r-project.org/ http://cran.r-project.org/doc/manuals/R-intro.pdf</u> We will make some code available for running simulations of various games.
- It would be good if you also learned LATEX <u>http://www.latex-project.org/</u> for writing up exercises
- The main coursebook is Martin Osborne's <u>An Introduction to Game Theory</u> which is on order at Book Culture (Labyrinth)
- Other required readings will be available on courseworks
- Send queries and clarifications to your TA who will in most cases respond "publicly"

Topics Table I

	Class	Topics and results	Readings
Social Choice	W 19 Jan	1 Rationality and Social Choice The Condorcet Paradox	R: Shepsle K. Analyzing Politics. Ch 3 & 4
			Ordeshook, P., 1995. Game Theory and Political Theory: An Introduction:CUP: 53-55.
			Kreps, D.,1988 <u>, Notes on the Theory of Choice,</u> Westview Press Ch 2-4.
			Varian: "How to Build an Economic Model in Your Spare Time"
			Halmos: http://www.mat.uc.pt/~pedro/lectivos/LaTeX/how-to-write-mathematics.pdf
	M 24 Jan		R: Ordeshook, P., 1995. Game Theory and Political Theory: An Introduction: CUP, 56-65.
		2 The Problem of Social Choice	R: Handout
		Arrow's Theorem	Sen, A. (1964)"Preferences, Votes and the Transitivity of Majority Decisions," <u>Rev Ec Stud</u> 31: 163-165.
		Paretian Liberals	Geanakoplos, Jn, 2001. Three Brief Proofs of Arrow's Impossibility Theorem
			Sen, A. 1970. " <u>The Impossibility of a Paretian Liberal</u> ." <u>JPE</u> 78:1, pp. 152-57.
	W 26 Jan O1	3 Majority Voting The Median Voter Theorem, May's Theorem	R: Ordeshook, P., 1995. Game Theory and Political Theory: An Introduction: CUP: 65-82
			R: Dasgupta P. and E. Maskin, 2004, "The Fairest Vote of All", <u>Scientific American</u> , March: 92-97.
			Black, D. (1948) "On the Rationale of Group Decision-Making," <u>Journal of PoliticalEconomy</u> 56: 23-34.
			May, K. 1952. 'A Set of Independent Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Simple Majority Decision,' <u>Econometrica</u> , 20: 680-4.
		4 Chaos and Responses Plott's Theorem	R: Shepsle K. <u>Analyzing Politics</u> . Ch 5 & 6
	M 31 Jan		Plott, C R. 1967. A Notion of Equilibrium and Its Possibility Under Majority Rule. <u>AER</u> , 57: 787-806.
			McKelvey, R. 1976. "Intransitivities in Multidimensional Voting Models and Some Implications for Agenda Control." JET 12: 472.
			Myerson, R. 1992. "Incentives to Cultivate Favored Minorities Under Alternative Electoral Systems" APSR 87: 856.
	W 2 Feb	5 Intro to Normal Form Games	R: Osborne 2
	•1	The Tragedy of the Commons	Olson, M <u>. The Logic of Collective Action</u> , CUP. 1971. 5-66
	M 7 Feb	6 Solving Normal Form Games	R: Osborne 3
	W 9 Feb O2	7 Nash I	R: Osborne 2 & 3 again
			McCarty N., and A. Meirowitz.2007. Political Game Theory. CUP, Ch. 5.1-5.5.
			Nash, J. 1953. 'Two-person Cooperative Games', <u>Econometrica</u> 21: 128–140.
			Krishna, V. 2002. <u>Auction Theory,</u> Academic Press: 16-20
les			McCarty and Meirowitz – Game Theory and Political Theory Ch 5.1-5.5
iam		8 Risk The Expected Utility Theorem	R: Usborne 4
5	M14 Feb		Rreps, D., 1988, Notes on the Theory of Choice, Westview Press. Ch. 4-6.
<u>in</u>			McCarty N., and A. Moirowitz 2007. Bolitical Came Theory, CUP, Ch 2 1 2 2
al F			Von Neumann and Morgenstern. Theory of Games and Economic Behavior. Ch 3
E			P: Oshorne, Chs A
Ž	W16 Feb ●2	9 Mixed strategies I Minimax theorem,	Kabneman, D. and A. Tversky, 1979, 'Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk' Econometrica 47: 263-292
			McCarty N and A Meirowitz 2007 Political Game Theory, CLIP, Ch 3.4
		10 Mixed strategies II Harsanyi Purification, Nash	R : Srihari, Reny & Rohson, A short proof of Harsanvi's purification theorem. GEB 2003
	M 21 Feb		Besley T. and S. Coate. 1997. 'An Economic Model of Representative Democracy' OJE 112: 85-114
			Gale. D. 1979. "The Game of Hex and Brouwer Fixed-Point Theorem". AMM 86: 818–827.
		11 Other solution concepts	,
	W23 Feb Q3	Shapley values, Correlated/	R: Osborne Ch 12
		Strong/CP Equilibrium	

	Class	Topics and results	Readings
			R: Osborne, Chs 5, 6
		12 Extensive Form Games	McCarty N., and A. Meirowitz.2007. Political Game Theory. CUP, Ch 7.1.
	IVI 28 FED	Kunn s Theorem,	Schwalbe, Ulrich and Walker, P. 2001. 'Zermelo and the Early History of Game Theory,' <u>GEB</u> 34: 123–137.
ies		zermelo's Theorem	Kuhn, H.W. 1953. 'Extensive games and the problem of information', In Kuhn (ed.). 1997. Classics in Game theory. PUP.
iam	W 2 Mar	13 Subgame Perfection	Req. Deane,S. 1995. 'Maths Class,' The New Yorker, June 26: 100
ε	•3	15 Subgame Perfection	McCarty N., and A. Meirowitz.2007. Political Game Theory. CUP, Ch 7.3
-ori	M 7 Ma	14 Review	
vel	W 9 Mar	15 In class exam	
isn	NA 20 Mar	16 Agenda Setting	R: Osborne Ch 7
xte	IVI 20 IVIar	The Setter Problem	Romer and Rosenthal, "Political Resource Allocation, Controlled Agendas, and the Status Quo." (PC 33: 27/CR)
ш	W/ 23 Mar	17 Applications to Bargaining	P: Osborno Ch 16 1
		Stahl-Rubinstein bargaining;	R: Baron D and L Fereighn 1989 "Bargaining in Legislatures" APSR 83: 1181-1206
	<u> </u>	Bargaining in Legislators	
		20 Incomplete information I	R: Osborne Ch 9
	M 28 Mar	Bayes' Rule; The Harsanyi	Harsanyi, J. C. 'Games with Incomplete Information Played by 'Bayesian' Players. In Kuhn 1997. <u>Classics in Game theory</u> . PUP.
		Representation	McCarty N., and A. Meirowitz.2007. <u>Political Game Theory</u> . CUP – Ch 8.
ç			R: Osborne Ch 10
Itio	W 30 Mar	21 Incomplete information II	Fearon, J.D. 1995. "Rationalist explanations for war," International Organization, 49: 379-414.
,me	●4	Perfect Bayesian Equilibrium	Baliga, S. and T. Sjostrom. (2004): "Arms Races and Negotiations," <u>Rev Ec Stud</u> 71(2): 351-69.
Ifoi			Gilligan and Krehbiel, "Collective Decisionmaking and Standing Committees: " (JEEO 3: 287/CR)
e ir		22 Incentive compatibility,	R: Osborne Ch 5.1
olet		Accountability and Bargaining	McCarty N., and A. Meirowitz.2007. <u>Political Game Theory</u> . CUP – Ch 10.
du	ілі 4 Ар	Setterthweite Muercen	Caselli, F. and M. Morelli. 2004. Bad Politicians . <u>Journal of Public Economics</u> , 88: 759-782.
nco		Satterthwaite Theorem	Gibbard A 1973 (Manipulation of voting schemes: a general result' Econometrica $41:587-601$
Ξ	W 6 Apr	22 Auctions	Bibbard, A. 1975. Wallpulation of voting schemes. a general result, <u>Econometrica</u> 41. 387–601
	w o Api	Revenue Equivalence Theorem	Krishna V 2002 Auction Theory Academic Press: 29-24
	M 11 Apr	24 Common Knowledge	R: Geanakonlos, I. Common Knowledge 1992, Journal of Economic Perspectives 6(4)
	Q5	Aumann's Agreement Theorem	Aumann, R.J. 1976. "Agreeing to Disagree". The Annals of Statistics 4 (6): 1236–1239
		18 Repeated Games I	
	W 13 Apr	The Discounted Utility model	R: Osborne, Ch. 14, 15
	W 13 Apr	The Folk Theorems	McCarty N., and A. Meirowitz.2007. <u>Political Game Theory</u> . CUP, Ch. 9.1-9.5.
			R: Fearon J, and D Laitin. 1996. "Explaining Interethnic Cooperation," APSR, 90:715-735.
	M 18 Apr	19 Repeated Games II (Andy)	Axelrod, R. 2006., The Evolution of Cooperation, Cambridge 2006. Ch 2&4.
	●5	Cooperation and Groups	Benabou, R.1997. "Inequality and Growth," NBER Working Papers 5658
ſ	W 20 Apr	25 Evolution I	R: Osborne Ch 13
tioı		Evolutionarily Stable Equilibrium	Dawkins, Richard. The Selfish Gene
plut	M 22 Apr	26 Evolution II	R: Brian Skyrms, 1996. Evolution of the Social Contract. CUP.
Ev	O 6	The Bishop–Cannings theorem	Aviad Heifetz, Chris Shannon, Yossi Spiegel, What to maximize if you must, <u>JET</u> , 133(1) 2007, 31-57
End	W 27 Apr	27 Beview	
	●6		
	M 2 May	28 Class Presentations	