

Wigner 3- $j$  Symbols

Begin by considering states on which two angular momentum operators  $\vec{J}^1$  and  $\vec{J}^2$  are defined:  $|j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2\rangle$ . As the labels suggest, these states are eigenstates of  $(\vec{J}^i)^2$  and  $J_z^i$ :

$$(\vec{J}^i)^2 |j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2\rangle = \hbar^2 j_i(j_i + 1) |j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2\rangle \quad (1)$$

$$J_z^i |j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2\rangle = \hbar m_i |j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2\rangle \quad (2)$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . We next introduce the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients that determine how these states can be combined to produce states which are eigenstates of  $(\vec{J})^2$  and  $J_z$  where  $\vec{J} = \vec{J}^1 + \vec{J}^2$  and

$$(\vec{J})^2 |j, m; j_1, j_2\rangle = \hbar^2 j(j + 1) |j, m; j_1, j_2\rangle \quad (3)$$

$$J_z |j, m; j_1, j_2\rangle = \hbar m |j, m; j_1, j_2\rangle \quad (4)$$

These coefficients are defined by:

$$(j, m | j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2) = \langle j, m; j_1, j_2 | j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2 \rangle. \quad (5)$$

Since by convention the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are always chosen real the order in which the right and left states are written does not matter:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j, m; j_1, j_2 | j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2 \rangle &= \langle j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2 | j, m; j_1, j_2 \rangle^* \\ &= \langle j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2 | j, m; j_1, j_2 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Define a general rotation acting on such a  $\vec{J}^2, J_z$  eigenstate to take the form:

$$\exp(-i\vec{J} \cdot \hat{n} \theta / \hbar) |j, m\rangle = \sum_{m'=-j}^j \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^j(\hat{n}, \theta) |j, m'\rangle \quad (7)$$

If we insert the product of operators  $\exp(+i\vec{J} \cdot \hat{n} \theta / \hbar) \exp(-i\vec{J} \cdot \hat{n} \theta / \hbar)$  in the matrix element in Eq. 5 we find that the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients obey the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} (j, m | j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2) &= \sum_{m'=-j}^j \sum_{m'_1=-j_1}^{j_1} \sum_{m'_2=-j_2}^{j_2} \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^j(\hat{n}, \theta)^* \\ &\quad \cdot \mathcal{D}_{m'_1, m_1}^{j_1}(\hat{n}, \theta) \mathcal{D}_{m'_2, m_2}^{j_2}(\hat{n}, \theta) (j, m' | j_1, m'_1; j_2, m'_2). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We can remove the complex conjugate on the first  $\mathcal{D}_{m',m}^j(\hat{n}, \theta)^*$  factor by recognizing that in our usual conventions the elements of the matrices  $J_x$  and  $J_z$  are real while those of  $J_y$  are imaginary allowing us to write:

$$(e^{-i\vec{J}\cdot\hat{n}\theta/\hbar})^* = e^{-i(-J_x n_x + J_y n_y - J_z n_z)\theta/\hbar} = e^{+i\pi J_y/\hbar} e^{-i\vec{J}\cdot\hat{n}\theta/\hbar} e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar}. \quad (9)$$

By commuting  $e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar}$  with  $J_z$  and  $J_x \pm iJ_y$  it is easy to show:

$$(e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar})_{m',m} = (-1)^{j-m} \delta_{m',-m}. \quad (10)$$

First note that:

$$J_z e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar} |m\rangle = -e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar} J_z |m\rangle = -m\hbar e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar} |m\rangle \quad (11)$$

which shows that  $e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar}$  changes  $m$  to  $-m$  as stated in Eq. 10. Next relate the matrix element given in Eq. 10 for  $m$  to a similar one with  $m$  replaced by  $m-1$  (assuming  $m > -j$ ):

$$\langle -m | e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar} | m \rangle = \frac{\langle -m | e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar} (J_x + iJ_y) | m-1 \rangle}{\sqrt{(j-m+1)(j+m)}} \quad (12)$$

$$= \frac{\langle -m | (-J_x + iJ_y) e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar} | m-1 \rangle}{\sqrt{(j-m+1)(j+m)}} \quad (13)$$

$$= -\frac{\langle (J_x + iJ_y) | -m \rangle, e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar} | m-1 \rangle}{\sqrt{(j-m+1)(j+m)}} \quad (14)$$

$$= -\langle -m+1 | e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar} | m-1 \rangle, \quad (15)$$

which establishes the presence of the factor  $(-1)^{-m}$  in Eq. 10. Finally, the overall constant phase  $(-1)^j$  can be deduced by applying the operator  $\exp(-i\pi J_y/\hbar)$  to an example of the state  $|j, +j\rangle$  constructed from the product of  $2j$  spin-1/2 states, each with  $J_z = +\hbar/2$  to show that  $e^{-i\pi J_y/\hbar} |j\rangle = +|-j\rangle$ .

We can now substitute Eq. 9 into Eq. 8 to replace  $\mathcal{D}_{m',m}^j(\hat{n}, \theta)^*$  by  $(-1)^{2j-m-m'} \mathcal{D}_{-m',-m}^j(\hat{n}, \theta)$  so that Eq. 8 becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} (j, m | j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2) &= \sum_{m'=-j}^j \sum_{m'_1=-j_1}^{j_1} \sum_{m'_2=-j_2}^{j_2} (-1)^{j-m'} \mathcal{D}_{-m',-m}^j(\hat{n}, \theta) (-1)^{j-m} \\ &\quad \cdot \mathcal{D}_{m'_1, m_1}^{j_1}(\hat{n}, \theta) \mathcal{D}_{m'_2, m_2}^{j_2}(\hat{n}, \theta) (j, m' | j_1, m'_1; j_2, m'_2). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Finally the factors  $(-1)^m$  and  $(-1)^{m'}$  and the reversed signs of  $m$  and  $m'$ , can be absorbed by defining new coefficients which then obey a symmetrical transformation law. Thus, define the Wigner 3- $j$  symbols:

$$\begin{pmatrix} j & j_1 & j_2 \\ m & m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{(-1)^{j_1-j_2-m}}{\sqrt{2j+1}} (j, -m | j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2). \quad (17)$$

These new symbols now obey:

$$\begin{pmatrix} j & j_1 & j_2 \\ m & m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{m'=-j}^j \sum_{m'_1=-j_1}^{j_1} \sum_{m'_2=-j_2}^{j_2} \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^j(\hat{n}, \theta) \mathcal{D}_{m'_1,m_1}^{j_1}(\hat{n}, \theta) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{m'_2,m_2}^{j_2}(\hat{n}, \theta) \begin{pmatrix} j & j_1 & j_2 \\ m' & m'_1 & m'_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

Note, we have shown that this symmetrical transformation law requires the  $-m$  argument in the Clebsch-Gordan in the definition given in Eq. 17. Likewise the factor of  $(-1)^{-m}$  is needed too. However, whether we write  $(-1)^m$  or  $(-1)^{-m}$  and the extra factor of  $(-1)^{j_1-j_2}$  is at this point an arbitrary choice, made so that we follow the usual definition of the Wigner 3- $j$  symbols. (Recall that  $(-1)^m$  and  $(-1)^{-m}$  are related by the factor  $(-1)^{2m}$  which is the constant +1 or -1 depending on whether  $j$  is integral or 1/2-integral.)

The transformation properties given in Eq. 18 then imply that we can use these Wigner 3- $j$  symbols to combine a collection of states made of the product of three representations of the rotation group into a state with total angular momentum zero. That is, the state

$$\sum_{m_1=-j_1}^{j_1} \sum_{m_2=-j_2}^{j_2} \sum_{m_3=-j_3}^{j_3} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} |j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2; j_3, m_3\rangle. \quad (19)$$

is not changed when acted on by a general rotation and hence must have  $(\vec{J})^2 = 0$  and  $J_3 = 0$ . Since there is at most a single way to combine three angular momenta to form a state with  $(\vec{J})^2 = 0$  and  $J_3 = 0$ , this implies that the Wigner 3- $j$  symbols have a unique dependence on the three variables  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and  $m_3$ .

Since the transformation rules given in Eq. 18 are symmetrical with respect to the interchange of any  $j_a, m_a$   $j_b, m_b$  pair, the uniqueness of the Wigner 3- $j$  symbols implies that they must be similarly symmetrical:

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} = c(a, b, c) \begin{pmatrix} j_a & j_b & j_c \\ m_a & m_b & m_c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Given the normalization implied by Eq. 17, the Wigner 3- $j$  symbols obey the condition:

$$\sum_{m_1=-j_1}^{j_1} \sum_{m_2=-j_2}^{j_2} \sum_{m_3=-j_3}^{j_3} \left( \begin{matrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{matrix} \right)^2 = 1. \quad (21)$$

(The factor of  $1/\sqrt{2j+1}$  in Eq. 17 implies that the sum over  $m_2$  and  $m_3$  in Eq. 21 should return 1 divided by the square of this extra factor. The final sum over  $m_1$  then gives  $(2j_1+1)/(2j_1+1) = 1$ .)

Squaring and summing both sides of Eq. 20 over  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and  $m_3$  then gives:

$$c(a, b, c)^2 = 1 \quad (22)$$

implying that  $c(a, b, c)$  is a simple phase. In fact, with our choices this phase is

$$c(a, b, c) = (\epsilon_{abc})^{j_1+j_2+j_3}. \quad (23)$$

To establish Eq. 23 we must first adopt sign conventions for our Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. This contains two parts. The first is to determine how our Clebsch-Gordan coefficients change when we reverse the order of the two spins being coupled. Here we adopt the standard conventions:

$$(j, m | j_1, m_1, j_2, m_2) = (-1)^{j-j_1-j_2} (j, m | j_2, m_2, j_1, m_1). \quad (24)$$

For the case  $j_1 \neq j_2$ , the two quantities related by Eq. 24 are quite separate and this is simply a convention that can be imposed. For example, for the case  $j_2 > j_1$  we could adopt any convention we wanted for  $(j, m | j_1, m_1, j_2, m_2)$  and then view Eq. 24 as the definition of  $(j, m | j_1, m_1, j_2, m_2)$  for the case  $j_1 > j_2$ .

However, when  $j_1 = j_2$  this is a real condition and must be verified. This is not difficult to do if we consider the exchange operator  $S$  acting on the composite state  $|j_1, m_1; j_1, m_2\rangle$ :

$$S|j_1, m_1; j_1, m_2\rangle = |j_1, m_2; j_1, m_1\rangle. \quad (25)$$

For the case with largest value of  $m_1 + m_2 = 2j_1$ , there is only a single state and it has eigenvalue +1 for  $S$ . Thus,  $(j, m | j_1, m_1, j_2, m_2)$  must be symmetric. Since for this case  $j = 2j_1$ , this agrees with the factor  $(-1)^{j-j_1-j_2} = 1$  given in Eq. 24. When we consider the next lowest value of  $m_1 + m_2 = 2j_1 - 1$  there are two states:

$$|j_1, j_1; j_1, j_1 - 1\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |j_1, j_1 - 1; j_1, j_1\rangle. \quad (26)$$

From these we can form two eigenstates of  $S$  with eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ . Since the lowered  $|2j_1, 2j_1 - 1; j_1, j_1\rangle$  necessarily has  $S = +1$  (since the lowering operation commutes with  $S$ ), the new orthogonal state  $|2j_1 - 1, 2j_1 - 1; j_1, j_1\rangle$  must necessarily have  $S = -1$ . This implies that  $(2j_1 - 1, m|j_1, m_1, j_1, m_2)$  must be anti-symmetric under the exchange of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , again consistent with Eq. 24. When we lower again, we must deal with three states:

$$|j_1, j_1; j_1, j_1 - 2\rangle, \quad |j_1, j_1; j_1 - 1, j_1 - 1\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |j_1, j_1 - 2; j_1, j_1\rangle. \quad (27)$$

These can be written as two states that have  $S = +1$  and one state with  $S = -1$ . The lowered versions of the two states we have already constructed have  $S = \pm 1$ . Thus, the new state with  $j = 2j_1 - 2$  must have  $S = +1$ . This implies a symmetric Clebsch-Gordan coefficient  $(2j_1 - 2, m_1 + m_2|j_1, m_1, j_1, m_2)$ , again consistent with Eq. 24. It should now be clear how this pattern will continue, establishing the condition Eq. 24 for arbitrary  $0 \leq j \leq 2j_1$ .

The combination of Eq. 24 and the factor  $(-1)^{j_2-j_3}$  in the definition, Eq. 17, then establishes Eq. 23 for the case that the second and third columns are being exchanged:  $a = 1, b = 3, c = 2$ . The factor  $(-1)^{j_2-j_3}$  will introduce a phase  $(-1)^{2(j_2-j_3)}$  under such an interchange. This combines with the added factor  $(-1)^{j_1-j_2-j_3}$  (coming from Eq. 24 with the appropriate change of variables) to give:

$$(-1)^{2(j_2-j_3)}(-1)^{j_1-j_2-j_3} = (-1)^{j_1+j_2-3j_3} = (-1)^{j_1+j_2+j_3} \quad (28)$$

since  $4j_3$  is necessarily an even integer.

The second case we must consider is the exchange of the first and second columns,  $1 \leftrightarrow 2$  in Eq. 20 or  $a = 2, b = 1$ . For this operation to be determined we must impose a further sign convention on our Clebsch-Gordan coefficients:

$$(j, j_1 - j_2|j_1, j_1; j_2, -j_2) > 0. \quad (29)$$

We will determine the phase  $c(2, 1, 3)$  by comparing the sign of two quantities related by this phase:

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_3 - j_2 & j_2 & -j_3 \end{pmatrix} = c(2, 1, 3) \begin{pmatrix} j_2 & j_1 & j_3 \\ j_2 & j_3 - j_2 & -j_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (30)$$

From the condition in Eq. 29 and the definition of the Wigner 3- $j$  symbols in Eq. 17 we learn that the sign of the left-hand-side of Eq. 30 is

$$(-1)^{j_2-j_3-(j_3-j_2)} = (-1)^{2(j_2-j_3)}. \quad (31)$$

The sign of the Wigner 3- $j$  symbol appearing on the right hand side of Eq. 30 is the sign of the quantity:

$$(-1)^{j_1-j_3-j_2}(j_2, -j_2|j_1, j_3-j_2; j_3, -j_3). \quad (32)$$

The conventions imposed in Eq. 29 imply

$$(j_2, j_1-j_3|j_1, j_1; j_3, -j_3) > 0. \quad (33)$$

We can then use the lowering operator  $j_1 + j_2 - j_3 > 0$  times to equate the positive sign of this quantity with the sign of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient appearing in Eq. 32. Thus, equating the signs on the left and right hand sides of Eq. 30 we find:

$$(-1)^{2(j_2-j_3)} = c(2, 1, 3)(-1)^{j_1-j_3-j_2} \quad (34)$$

or  $c(2, 1, 3) = (-1)^{-j_1+3j_2-j_3} = (-1)^{j_1+j_2+j_3}$  as claimed.