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### Methods to Protect Subjects

- Well defined operating policies; Mechanisms for governance and oversight
- Internal procedures to protect privacy/confidentiality
- Investigator agreements
- Informed consent
- IRB review
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### OHRP Model

**Operating Policies & Governance**

- Governance and Oversight
  - Steering and/or oversight committees, ethics advisory boards
- Operating policies and procedures
  - Consent
  - Protecting privacy/confidentiality
  - Return of research results
    - If, when, under what conditions
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### Privacy/Confidentiality

- Anonymization of Specimens/data
- Coding of Specimens/data
  - Links maintained by repository but identifying information never released to investigators
- Encryption
- Limited access/secure storage
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### Privacy and confidentiality procedures: Honest Broker Model

Interposing a tissue bank trustee between patients and their caregivers and biomedical researchers enables strict control of information flows (arrows) associated with research using banked tissues.
**Additional Protections**

- **Employee Confidentiality Agreements**
- **Certificates of Confidentiality**
  - Protect identifiable research information from forced disclosure
  - Appropriate for genetic studies, including collecting and storing biological samples for future use
  - Must inform participant in consent
  - Covers all events after issuance
  - May not be useful for all repositories

**Consent**

- **Common Rule Requirement**
  - **Exceptions**
    - Unidentified, anonymous, de-linked
    - Linked with investigator use agreement
    - IRB waiver
  - **Study specific**
    - Most useful for defined studies
  - **Consent for future use**
    - Useful for repositories
    - Still useful post-HIPAA

**Model Consent for Future Use**

- **NCI/NAPBC model consent**
  - **Committee**
    - patient advocates, ethicists, lawyers, pathologists, clinicians, and laboratory researchers
  - **Designed to meet 45 CFR 46 requirements**
  - 27 focus groups
    - representing different socio-economic levels, racial and ethnic groups, genders, and professional and patient groups
  - **Simplified and converted to low literacy level**
  - **Presented to a wide variety of forums**
  - **Tested at multiple sites** (Sheila Taube, NCI)

**Key Points**

- **Advantages:**
  - Simple, understandable
  - Tiered consent minimizes the psychosocial risk of re-contact for new consent
  - Acceptability: Patients and advocacy groups, surgeons and physicians, NBAC, NCI Clinical Cooperative Groups
  - Makes specimens available for research that might otherwise be discarded

**Post-HIPAA**

- **Privacy Rule allows authorization**
  - To collect data for a database or repository
  - Not for future research use
- **Various options exist for use**
  - Study specific authorization
    - Often not feasible
  - Waiver of authorization
  - De-identification
  - Limited dataset with data use agreement

**IRB Review**

- **Review of operating policies and procedures**
  - oversight
  - Process issues
    - Conditions for collection
    - What data will be captured/provided
    - Identifiability
    - Potential uses and risk evaluation
  - Protections
    - Privacy/confidentiality
    - Data security
**Impact on research subjects**

- Societal and individual benefits balanced against risks
- Much specimen research may be considered minimal risk
- Level of risk may be determined by probability and magnitude of harm

**Websites**

- Office of Human Research Protection
  - http://ohrp.osophs.dhhs.gov/
- NCI Resources Development Branch
- Bioethics Resources on the Web
  - http://www.nih.gov/sigs/bioethics
- National Bioethics Advisory Commission
  - http://www.bioethics.gov