Intensive Lifestyle Changes for Reversal of Coronary Heart Disease
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Context.—The Lifestyle Heart Trial demonstrated that intensive lifestyle changes may lead to regression of coronary atherosclerosis after 1 year.

Objectives.—To determine the feasibility of patients to sustain intensive lifestyle changes for a total of 5 years and the effects of these lifestyle changes (without lipid-lowering drugs) on coronary heart disease.

Design.—Randomized controlled trial conducted from 1986 to 1992 using a randomized invitational design.

Patients.—Forty-eight patients with moderate to severe coronary heart disease were randomized to an intensive lifestyle change group or to a usual-care control group, and 35 completed the 5-year follow-up quantitative coronary arteriography.

Setting.—Two tertiary care university medical centers.

Intervention.—Intensive lifestyle changes (10% fat, whole foods vegetarian diet, aerobic exercise, stress management training, smoking cessation, group psycho-social support) for 5 years.

Main Outcome Measures.—Adherence to intensive lifestyle changes, changes in coronary artery percent diameter stenosis, and cardiac events.

Results.—Experimental group patients (20 [71%]) of 28 patients completed 5-year follow-up made and maintained comprehensive lifestyle changes for 5 years, whereas control group patients (15 [75%]) of 20 patients completed 5-year follow-up made more moderate changes. In the experimental group, the average percent diameter stenosis at baseline decreased 1.75 absolute percentage points after 1 year (a 4.5% relative improvement) and by 3.1 absolute percentage points after 5 years (a 7.9% relative improvement). In contrast, the average percent diameter stenosis in the control group increased by 2.3 percentage points after 1 year (a 5.4% relative worsening) and by 11.8 percentage points after 5 years (a 27.7% relative worsening) (P=.001 between groups). Twenty-five cardiac events occurred in 28 experimental group patients vs 45 events in 20 control group patients during the 5-year follow-up (risk ratio for any event for the control group, 2.47 [95% confidence interval, 1.48-4.20]).

Conclusions.—More regression of coronary atherosclerosis occurred after 5 years than after 1 year in the experimental group. In contrast, in the control group, coronary atherosclerosis continued to progress and more than twice as many cardiac events occurred.


THE LIFESTYLE Heart Trial, first randomized controlled trial to test whether ambulatory patients could be motivated to make and sustain comprehensive lifestyle changes an achieve an HDL cholesterol level of 60 mg/dL and an LDL cholesterol level of 150 mg/dL without using lipid-lowering drugs as measured by comprehensive quantitative coronary arteriography. This study derived from studies that used noninvasive measures.1,2

After 1 year, we found that mental group participants were more likely to maintain intensive lifestyle changes and had a 37.2% reduction in LDL levels by 6%, and a 16% increase in HDL levels by 6%. A percent diameter stenosis ratio improved 1.4% at baseline to 37.8% at 5 years, a change that was correlated with the degree of lifestyle change. In contrast, patients in the usual-care group had a 12% increase in HDL levels by 6%, and a 16% increase in HDL levels by 6%. A percent diameter stenosis ratio improved 1.4% at baseline to 37.8% at 5 years, a change that was correlated with the degree of lifestyle change.

Average percent diameter stenosis was 42.7% at baseline and 46.1% at 5 years. This was a significant improvement in the experimental group (P=.001).

Given these encouraging findings, we extended the study for an additional 4 years to determine (1) the feasibility of patients sustaining intensive lifestyle changes in diet and lifestyle for a much longer time, and (2) the effects of these changes on risk factors, coronary atherosclerosis, myocardial perfusion, and cardiac events after 4 additional years.

METHODS

The design, recruitment, and population were previously described. In brief, we recruited men and women.
with coronary atherosclerosis documented by quantitative coronary arteriography.

We identified 193 patients as potentially eligible for our study who agreed to undergo quantitative coronary arteriography. Following arteriography, 93 patients remained eligible and were randomly assigned to experimental or control groups using a randomized invitation design to minimize crossover, ethical concerns, nociob effects, and dropout. Of these 93 patients who were eligible, 83 were randomly assigned to the experimental group and 40 to the usual-care control group. Patients were then contacted and invited to participate in the study; 28 (53%) and 20 (60%) agreed to participate in the experimental and control groups, respectively. The primary reason for refusal in the experimental group was not wanting to undergo intensive lifestyle changes and/or not wanting a second coronary angiogram; control patients refused primarily because they did not want to undergo a second angiogram. To detect possible selection biases, we collected data on age, marital status, reported angina, history of myocardial infarction, height, weight, number of diseased lesions, and stent severity for all patients who were randomized into the study but refused to participate. We did not exclude any experimental group patients who volunteered even if we doubted their ability to adhere to the lifestyle program. All patients who volunteered were followed up using the intention-to-treat principle.

After 1 year, 7 patients did not provide angiographic data, and the reasons for loss to follow-up have been reported.4 Of the remaining 41 patients at baseline most had severe coronary atherosclerosis: 28 had 3-vessel disease, 12 had 2-vessel disease, and 1 had 1-vessel disease. Two of these patients whose angiographic data were not available after the 1-year follow-up were not available for 5-year comparisons.

Four experimental and 4 control patients who had an angiogram at 1 year did not have a third angiogram after 5 years. Three of these 4 patients in the experimental group refused a third angiogram (patients only volunteered for a 1-year study that was subsequently extended), and 1 died between years 1 and 4: of the 4 control patients who did not under a third angiogram, 1 died, 2 underwent revascularization of the arterial lesions under study, and 1 developed Parkinson disease and became too ill to be safely tested. Cine angiograms made in San Francisco, Calif, were sent to the University of Texas Medical School, Houston, for blinded quantitative analyses as previously described in detail.

All results, except lesion changes at 1 year (18 experimental and 15 control subjects) and cardiac events after 5 years (all 28 experimental and 20 control subjects), are based on the total of 35 patients (25 experimental and 15 control subjects) who had both baseline and 5-year angiograms. From these 35 patients, there were 224 lesions studied at baseline, of which 24 were 100% occluded and were excluded a priori from the lesion-change analyses per the study protocol. Of the remaining 200 lesions, 14 were lost to the 4-year follow-up, as follows: in the experimental group, 2 lesions were excluded due to technical failure during the angiogram and 2 had views that did not match in the control group, views did not match for 3 lesions, 3 lesions were excluded due to technical failure, 1 was excluded due to angioplasty, and 3 were excluded due to coronary artery bypass surgery. Of the 186 lesions available for analysis at 4 years, 109 were from the experimental group and 77 were from the control group.

The 1-year original study and the 4-year extension were approved by the Committee for Human Research at Califo-
included in a single regression model. Statistical significances of group differences were obtained for baseline levels, 1-year changes, and 5-year changes using F tests. All repeated measures analyses were implemented using PROC MIXED under SAS version 6.08. Analysis of lesion data used a repeated measures model in which the repeated measures were baseline or change values for multiple lesions within each subject. Change scores were used for the baseline to 1-year and baseline to 5-year follow-up periods, and analysis of baseline levels, 1-year changes, and 5-year changes were done separately. Again, F tests provided by SAS PROC MIXED were used to test significance of differences between groups with respect to baseline levels, 1-year changes, and 5-year changes. The SAS PROC MIXED linear regression, which allowed for dependence in data, was used to determine the relationship between adherence and percent diameter stenosis changes. Relative rates for cardiac events were analyzed and tested by Poisson regression using exact tests (SPPS 5.0, College Station, Tex).

RESULTS

Baseline Comparisons of Volunteers With Refusals

Those who declined the invitation to be in the study were similar to those who volunteered in all available data except those who volunteered were more likely to have a history of angina (87% vs 65%; \( P = 0.02 \)), a greater number of lesions (4.5 vs 3.5; \( P = 0.04 \)), and slightly more severely stenosed lesions (2.3 vs 2.0 on a 3-point scale; \( P = 0.05 \)).

Baseline Comparisons of Experimental Group With Control Group

Analyses across the 35 volunteers at baseline for whom 4-year lesion data were available showed no significant differences between the experimental group and the control group in demographic characteristics, history of myocardial infarction, angioptasia, bypass surgery, lesion number, lesion stenosis, dietary fat or cholesterol intake, exercise and stress management practice, blood pressure, exercise capacity, and psychosocial measures (Tables 1-3).

Among the many comparisons, only a few differed significantly (\( P < 0.05 \)). More women were randomly assigned to the control group (4) than to the experimental group (1); this fact accounted for half the weight difference (10 kg) between the 2 groups and most of the height difference (6 cm).

Experimental group patients had a slightly larger body mass index (measured as the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters) (28.4 vs 25.4 kg/m²; \( P = 0.03 \)) and had higher-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels (1.04 mmol/L [40.1 mg/dL] 1.36 mmol/L [52.4 mg/dL]; \( P = 0.03 \)), which was also reflected in lower atheroprotein A-II levels (0.45 mmol/L [17 mg/dL] vs 0.08 mmol/L [157.5 mg/dL]; \( P = 0.03 \)).

The lower body mass index of the control group may be due to larger number of women in the control group. Other lipid values, including ratios of total cholesterol to HDL and LDL to HDL, did not differ significantly (baseline Table 4).

Program Adherence

In the experimental group, adherence to all aspects of the program was excellent during the first year and good after that, whereas control group participants maintained more moderate changes: the 5 years consistent with conventional guidelines (Table 2). The percentage of daily energy (calories) provided by fruits, fruits, vegetables, whole grains, beans, legumes, nonfat dairy, and alcohol was comparable at 1 year and at 5 years. In the experimental group, fat intake decreased from approximately 30% (4.5% cholesterol from 21.1 to 18.6 mg energy from 819 to 7724 J [1930-14 cal]), protein from 17% to 15%, and carbohydrates increased from 63% to 70.5%.
the control group, fat intake decreased from 30% to 25%, cholesterol from 212.5 to 188.7 mg/dL, energy from 6,490 to 5,589 kcal (1711-1573 cal), protein from 19% to 18%, and carbohydrates increased from 51% to 52%. Since patients volunteered originally for a 1-year study, there was a significant decrease in meeting attendance after 1 year for 4 of the patients. Walking was the recommended form of exercise, but some patients jogged or did more strenuous exercise.

### Risk Factor Changes

Patients in the experimental group lost 10.9 kg (23.9 lbs) at 1 year and sustained a weight loss of 5.8 kg (12.8 lbs) at 5 years, whereas weight in the control group changed little from baseline. In the experimental group, LDL cholesterol levels decreased by 40% at 1 year and remained 29% below baseline at 5 years. In the control group, LDL cholesterol levels decreased by 1.2% at 1 year and by 10.5% at 3 years. There were no statistically significant differences in LDL levels between the 2 groups at 5 years, primarily because 9 (60%) of 15 control patients took lipid-lowering drugs between year 1 and year 5 of the study. None of the experimental group patients took lipid-lowering drugs during the 5 years of the study. Fourteen patients in the experimental group and 11 patients in the control group took aspirin during the study.

A-I did not change in the experimental group, but it increased in the control group ($P = .04$). High-density lipoprotein levels and blood pressure did not differ between the 2 groups.

### Angina Pectoris

Experimental group patients had a 91% reduction in reported frequency of angina after 1 year and a 72% reduction after 5 years (Table 5). In contrast, control group patients had a 186% increase in reported frequency of angina after 1 year and a 36% decrease in frequency after 5 years. The decrease in angina in the control group after 5 years was in large part because 3 of the 5 patients who reported an increase in anginal episodes from baseline to year 1 underwent coronary angioplasty between years 1 and 5. Because of this reduction in angina in control group patients who underwent revascularization, the between-group differences were no longer significant after 5 years (Table 5).

### Angiographic Changes

All detectable lesions that matched at baseline and 5-year follow-up were not 100% occluded at baseline were included in the analyses (n = 186). At baseline, there were no significant differences between the experimental and control groups in any measure of lesion severity (Table 3). In the experimental 4.5% relative improvement and by 3.4% absolute percentage points after 5 years (a 7.5% relative improvement). In contrast, the average percent diameter stenosis in the control group increased by 2.2% percentage points after 1 year (a 5.4% relative worsening) and by 11.8% percentage points after 5 years (a 27.7% relative worsening). These between-group differences were statistically significant after both 1 year and 5 years ($P = .02$ and $P = .01$, respectively, Figure 1).

Figure 2 shows the experimental group changes in percent diameter stenosis from baseline to 5 years according to tertiles of adherence to the lifestyle intervention. As seen at 1 year, there was also a strong correlation between adherence and percent diameter stenosis after 5 years in a dose-response relationship: the tertile of patients that was most adherent to the program had the most regression, the tertile with intermediate adherence had less regression, and the tertile with the least adherence halted the progression of disease without regression ($P = .04$). Of interest is that this relationship was not related to age or disease severity. There was no significant relationship between adherence and lesion changes in the control group, perhaps because many of these patients began taking lipid-lowering drugs, which may have confounded the ability to detect a possible relationship.
in lesions in both groups. These correlations remained significant when examining either the lipid values at 5 years or the change in lipid values from baseline to 5 years.

As a secondary analysis, we examined the results in control group patients who began taking lipid-lowering drugs during the study. Percent diameter stenosis progressed from 45.7% to 51.2%, a change of 6.0 absolute percentage points. In the control patients who did not take lipid-lowering drugs, the disease progressed from 40.7% to 44.3%, a much greater change of 13.0 absolute percentage points. (No experimental group patients took lipid-lowering drugs during the study.)

The change in body mass index from baseline to 1 year ($r = -0.95$; $P < .001$) and from baseline to 5 years ($r = -0.72$; $P = .001$) was significantly correlated with the change in percent diameter stenosis in the control group only. In other words, those who gained weight were more likely to show progression of atherosclerosis.

**Cardiac Events**

Data on cardiac events were obtained from all 48 patients. Cardiac events included myocardial infarction, coronary angioplasty, coronary artery bypass surgery, cardiac-related hospitalizations, and cardiac-related deaths. At 5 years, there were more cardiac events in the control group (45 events for 20 patients, or 2.25 events per patient) than the experimental group (25 events for 28 patients, or 0.89 events per patient) (Table 6). Control group patients were more likely to have undergone coronary angioplasty and bypass surgery and/or to have been hospitalized for cardiac-related problems than were experimental group patients.

**COMMENT**

The primary endpoint of this study, chosen a priori, was percent diameter stenosis. On average, there was more reduction (continued improvement) after 5 years than after 1 year in experimental group patients who were asked to make intensive lifestyle changes. In contrast, control group patients showed much more progression (continued worsening) in average percent diameter stenosis after 5 years than after 1 year, even though more than half of the control group patients were prescribed lipid-lowering medications during the course of the study. Although the sample size was relatively small, these differences were statistically significant at both 1 year and 5 years. These findings support the feasibility of intensive lifestyle changes in delaying, stopping, or reversing the progression of coronary artery disease in ambulatory patients over prolonged periods.

We found more than twice as many cardiac events per patient in the control group than in the experimental group. These findings are consistent with other clinical trials showing that even small changes in percent diameter stenosis are often accompanied by marked reductions in cardiac events. Other studies have demonstrated how quickly the coronary artery endothelium stabilizes in response to lipid-lowering drugs.

Although there was some reduction in adherence to the intensive lifestyle intervention between years 1 and 5 in the experimental group, long-term adherence remained remarkably high in this sample of self-selected patients. The level of lifestyle change, even at 5 years, is greater than in any other published study of ambulatory populations. These results are especially encouraging because these patients initially volunteered to participate for only 1 year when they entered the study.

The experimental group reduced LDL cholesterol levels by 40% at 1 year and by 20% after 5 years. These reductions are comparable with those achieved with lipid-lowering drugs in an ambulatory population. In contrast, the Ste 029 reduces LDL cholesterol by only less.

High-density lipoprotein increased and triglycerides increased experimental group patients over the ratio of LDL to HDL improved. Recent reports attest this phenomenon, which is often very low-fat diets, may be harmful. However, patients in the Lifestyle Heart Trial showed even more improvement of coronary atherosclerosis than after 1 year as well as a significantly decreased cardiac event.
HDL cholesterol levels due to reduced fat intake are the result of a decreased transport rate rather than the increased catabolism that is responsible for most cases of low HDL cholesterol levels in persons consuming a typical Western diet. Populations consuming low-fat, plant-based diets have low HDL cholesterol levels and low rates of coronary heart disease. Our data provide evidence using quantitative coronary arteriography in this population that diet-induced lowering of HDL cholesterol does not confer the same risk of atherosclerosis as do low HDL cholesterol levels in Americans consuming a high-fat diet. Experimental group patients whose triglycerides increased during the first year were asked to minimize their intake of simple carbohydrates, and triglyceride levels decreased between year 1 and year 5.

The experimental group's marked reduction in frequency, severity, and duration of angina after 1 year was sustained at similar levels after 5 years. This long-term reduction in angina is comparable with that achieved following coronary artery bypass surgery or angioplasty and helps to maintain long-term adherence. Between-group differences in most measures of chest pain were not statistically significant after 5 years because there was a large variability in angina and control group patients who were the most symptomatic underwent revascularization.

When we began this study, we believed that the younger patients with milder disease would be more likely to show regression, but we did not find this to be true. Instead, we found that the primary determinant of change in percent diameter stenosis in the experimental group was neither age nor disease severity but adherence to the recommended changes in diet and lifestyle. This relationship of adherence to percent diameter stenosis in the experimental group remained stable in the experimental group but markedly narrowed in the control group during the 5 years of the study. At 5 years, the differences between the experimental and control groups were statistically significant for both percent diameter stenosis and minimum diameter, even though control group patients reported risk reduction behavior consistent with a Step II diet of the National Cholesterol Education Program and the American Heart Association: they consumed an average of 25% of energy (calories) from fat and exercised an average of 3.5 times per week. These data are consistent with other studies indicating that moderate changes in diet and lifestyle may not be sufficient to stop the progression of coronary atherosclerosis unless combined with lipid-lowering drugs.

After 5 years, the normal diameter (the segment of least narrowing proximal to the minimum diameter) decreased slightly in the experimental group but widened slightly in the control group. A slight decrease in normal diameter, at least up to a point, may improve myocardial perfusion by streamlining flow—decreasing the forward flow losses that occur when going from a larger to a sharply reduced lumen diameter. Conversely, the slight increase in the normal diameter and reduction in the minimum diameter seen in control group patients increased the entry angle, further reducing blood flow. These theoretical considerations are consistent with the substantially increased myocardial perfusion in the experimental group and decreased myocardial perfusion in the control group that we measured using cardiac positron emission tomography scans.

A much earlier study by Morrison found that moderate reductions in fat and cholesterol intake improved cardiac survival: after 12 years, all of the control group patients had died compared with et al. reported that a similar diet plus lipid-lowering drugs in 11 patients caused regression of 11 lesions and stabilization in the remaining 14 lesions after 5.5 years. Although there was no control group, those who were adherent to the diet reported substantially fewer cardiac events than those who were not adherent. Like all clinical trials, our study has limitations. Although the study participants were a diverse group, they may not be representative of the general population of patients with coronary heart disease. Half of the patients who underwent quantitative coronary arteriography in the participating hospitals did not meet all of the inclusion and exclusion criteria and were not invited to participate in the study. Also, half of the patients who were invited declined to enroll in the study. Nevertheless, it is encouraging that 60% of the patients who were contacted agreed to volunteer despite the requirement for repeated arteriography and that experimental group patients were able to make and maintain comprehensive lifestyle changes. The angiographic measures lost to follow-up may have affected the treatment and control groups differently, although there are no data to suggest that this occurred. In addition, there is a possibility of differential loss of lesions in patients, although no evidence indicates that this occurred; in both groups, there were 14 lesions that were lost to follow-up. Also, 4 lesions were lost in the control group to bypass surgery or angioplasty; since these lesions were worsening sufficiently to require revascularization, the exclusion of these lesions from analysis would make between-group differences more difficult to detect. We recently completed a multicenter demonstration project to assess the practicality and cost-effectiveness of this intervention in a larger sample of economically and geographically diverse patients with coronary heart disease. Although we did not use lipid-lowering drugs in the experimental group, their value has been demonstrated in studies that have been published since the Lifestyle Heart Trial began. We do not know if experimental group patients may have demonstrated even more improvement by including lipid-lowering drugs. Patients in the control group who were not prescribed lipid-lowering drugs during the study showed more than 3 times as much progression in percent diameter stenosis as those who were. No experimental group patients took lipid-lowering drugs during the study, yet they showed better re-
ance is difficult to achieve,\(^1\) and long-term safety is unknown.\(^2\) In practice, patients may be offered a range of therapeutic options, including comprehensive lifestyle changes, lipid-lowering drug therapy, and revascularization, either separately or in combination.

In summary, these ambulatory patients were able to make and maintain comprehensive changes in diet and lifestyle for 5 years and showed even more regression of coronary atherosclerosis after 5 years than after 1 year as measured by percent diameter stenosis. In contrast, patients following more conventional lifestyle recommendations showed even more progression of coronary atherosclerosis after 5 years than after 1 year, and had more than twice as many cardiac events as patients making comprehensive lifestyle changes.
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