## Microeconomic Analysis

Mark Dean

Homework 2

Due Thursday 19th September

**Question 1** Show that the two definitions of continuity we introduced in class are identical. Show they are also identical to the following conditions

- For any x the upper and lower contours  $\{y|y\succeq x\}$  and  $\{y|x\succeq y\}$  are closed
- For any x the sets  $\{y|y \succ x\}$  and  $\{y|x \succ y\}$  are open

**Question 2** Some more continuity questions:

- 1. Can a continuous preference relation be represented by a discontinuous utility function?
- 2. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Show that the preferences represented by the utility function  $u(x) = \max \{z \in \mathbb{Z} | x \ge z\}$  is not continuous. What about the preferences represented by  $v(x) = \max \{z \in \mathbb{Z} | x > z\}$ ?
- Question 3 A preference relation  $\succeq$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N_+$  is strictly increasing if x > y (i.e.  $x_n \ge y_n \forall n$  and  $x_n > y_n$  some n) implies  $x \succ y$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N_+$ . Let  $\succeq$  be a continuous and strictly increasing preference relation on  $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ . Define  $u(x) = \max [\alpha \ge 0 | x \succeq (\alpha, \alpha, ..., \alpha)]$ . Show that u is well defined, strictly increasing (i.e. x > y implies u(x) > u(y)), continuous and represents  $\succeq$ .

Question 4: In class we defined the transitive closure of a binary relation in the following way

**Definition 1** A transitive closure of a binary relation R is a binary relation T(R) that is the smallest transitive binary relation that contains R.

- 1. In class we defined the notion of an extension for a partial order, but the same notion can be applied to any binary relation: a binary relation B is an extension to a binary relation R if
  - (a) xRy implies xBy
  - (b) xRy and not yRx implies xBy and not yBx

Give an example of a binary relation for which its transitive closure is not an extension

2. We also defined a transitive closure in the following way

**Definition 2** For a binary relation R on a set X define the set of relations  $\{R_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  by

- (a)  $R_1 = R$
- (b) For any  $i \ge 2$ ,  $x, y \in X$  then  $xR_iy$  if there exists a sequence  $z_1, ..., z_{i-1}$  such that  $xRz_1R....Rz_{i-1}Ry$

Then the transitive closure of R is defined as  $T(R) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$ 

Show that these two definitions are equivalent

**Question 5** Question 6 in problem set 2 from the Rubinstein book (note he isn't joking about part 3 being difficult. Have a go but if you get stuck ask for a hint).