Lecture 1: Introduction

### G5212: Game Theory

### Mark Dean

### Spring 2017

# Why Game Theory?

- So far your microeconomic course has given you many tools for analyzing economic decision making
- What has it missed out?
- Sometimes, economic agents interact directly
  - Two people bidding for the same item on ebay
  - Two people working together on a joint project
  - Two generals deciding where to position their armies
  - Two firms setting prices for similar products
- Key feature: the outcome for each person depends on their actions and the actions of the other person

# Why Game Theory?

- In such cases, optimization (on its own) will not get us very far
  - Best bid of auctioneer 1 depends on bid of auctioneer 2
  - Best bid of auctioneer 2 depends on bid of auctioneer 1
- Need some way of solving both problems together
- This (basically) is what game theory studies
- One of the big theoretical and practical success stories of microeconomics
- Applied to mating displays of birds, banking crises, spectrum auctions, kidney exchanges, insurance, school choice, political platforms, sport, war, kin selection, etc, etc etc

# The Plan (For The Course)

- Part 1: Game Theory (until March 8th)
- Focus on tools
  - Static games of complete information
  - Dynamic games of complete information
  - Games of incomplete information
  - Solution concepts and refinements
- With a few applications
  - Bargaining
  - Auctions
  - Experimental evidence

#### Lecture 1: Introduction

### The Plan (For The Course)

- Part 2: Information Economics
- Focus on applications in the face of *asymmetric information*
- Example 1: Signalling
  - PhD programs want to recruit people of high ability
  - But they cannot observe ability directly
  - Can education be used by high ability candidates to signal that they have high ability?
- Example 2: Moral Hazard
  - A boss wants to encourage their worker to work hard
  - But they cannot observe effort directly, only outcomes (which have a random component)
  - How should they design their incentive scheme?

# The Plan (for today)

- A gentle introduction!
- Talk through some 'classic' games
- Formal definition of a game
- Mixed strategies

## Matching Pennies

#### Example

### Matching Pennies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & & & & & \\ & H & T \\ \text{Anne} & H & +1, -1 & -1, +1 \\ & T & -1, +1 & +1, -1 \end{array}$$

- Two players (Ann and Bob) each reveal a penny showing heads or tales
- If the pennies match then Bob pays Ann a dollar
- If not, Ann pays Bob a dollar
- This is the matrix form of this game
- Other applications?

### Prisoner's Dilemma

#### Example

#### Prisoner's Dilemma

		Bob	
		Confess	Don't Confess
Anne	Confess	-6, -6	0, -9
	Don't Confess	-9,0	-1, -1

- Probably the most famous game in all of game theory
- Classic story of two prisoners who must decide whether or not to confess
- But many other (more economically interesting) applications

One application is the partnership game: effort E produces an output of 6 at a cost of 4, with output shared equally; shirking S produces an output of 0 at a cost of 0.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Bob} \\ & \text{S} & \text{E} \\ \text{Anne} & \text{S} & \hline 0, 0 & 3, -1 \\ & \text{E} & \hline -1, 3 & 2, 2 \end{array}$$

The canonical form of the prisoner's dilemma is given by

		Bob		
		Confess	Don't	
Anne	Confess	P, P	T, S	
	Don't	S, T	R, R	

where T(emptation)>R(eward)>P(unishment)>S(ucker)

# Defining a Game

- A normal form game consists of 3 elements
- The players
- **②** The actions that each player can take
- The payoffs associated with each set of actions
  - Notice that we are initially making some 'hidden' assumptions
    - Players move at the same time
    - All payoffs are known to all players
  - Later in the course we will relax these assumptions, and so a description of the game will also include
    - The sequence of play
    - Who knows what

#### Definition

An *n*-player normal (or strategic) form game *G* is an *n*-tuple  $\{(S_1, u_1), ..., (S_n, u_n)\}$ , where for each *i* (1)  $S_i$  is a nonempty set, called *i*'s strategy space, and (2)  $u_i : \prod_{k=1}^n S_k \to \mathbb{R}$  is called *i*'s payoff function.

### Notation

• 
$$S := \prod_{k=1}^{n} S_k$$
  
•  $s := (s_1, ..., s_n) \in S$   
•  $S_{-i} := \prod_{k \neq i} S_k$   
•  $(s'_i, s_{-i}) := (s_1, ..., s_{i-1}, s'_i, s_{i+1}, ..., s_n)$ 

#### Definition

A normal form game is simply a vector-valued function  $u:S\to \mathbb{R}^n$ 

Second-Price Sealed-Bid Auction. A seller has one indivisible object. There are n bidders with respective valuations  $0 \le v_1 \le \cdots \le v_n$  for the object (which are common knowledge). The bidders simultaneously submit bids. The highest bidder wins the object and pays the *second highest* bid. In the case of a tie all winning bidders are equally likely to have their bid accepted.

- Players: 1,....,n
- Strategies:  $S_i \in [0, \infty)$
- Payoffs: Given a profile of bids, s, let
   W(s) ≡ {k : ∀j, s<sub>k</sub> ≥ s<sub>j</sub>} be the set of highest bidders. Then the game is simply the following:

$$u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) = \begin{cases} v_i - \max_{j \neq i} s_j & \text{if } s_i > \max_{j \neq i} s_j \\ \frac{1}{|W(s)|} (v_i - s_i) & \text{if } s_i = \max_{j \neq i} s_j \\ 0 & \text{if } s_i < \max_{j \neq i} s_j. \end{cases}$$

**Cournot Duopoly**. There are two firms, call them 1 and 2, producing perfectly substitutable products: market demand is  $P(Q) = \max \{a - Q, 0\}, Q = q_1 + q_2$ . The cost of producing  $q_i$  is given by  $C(q_i) = cq_i, 0 < c < a$ . The two firms choose quantities simultaneously.

- Players: 1,2
- Strategies  $S_i \in [0, \infty)$ .
- Payoffs

$$u_i(q_1, q_2) = (P(q_1 + q_2) - c)q_i.$$

There are three players i = 1, 2, 3 and two candidates a and b which they can vote for. The voting rule is the majority rule. Voters' preferences are as follows

1	2	3
a	b	b
b	a	a

A player receives a payoff of 1 if his favorite candidate wins and a payoff of 0 if his less favorite candidate wins.

- Players: 1,2,3
- Strategies  $S_i = \{a, b\}$
- Payoffs (for Player 1):

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_1 \left( a, a, a \right) = 1 & u_1 \left( b, a, a \right) = 1 \\ u_1 \left( a, a, b \right) = 1 & u_1 \left( b, a, b \right) = 0 \\ u_1 \left( a, b, a \right) = 1 & u_1 \left( b, b, a \right) = 0 \\ u_1 \left( a, b, b \right) = 0 & u_1 \left( b, b, b \right) = 0 \end{array}$$

- Consider again the matching pennies game
- Here is another action Bob could take: rather than put the coin down H or T, he could flip it, and play whichever way the coin falls
- $\bullet\,$  This is a new strategy: it is not H or T, but a 50% chance of H and a 50% chance of T
- More generally, we might like to extend the player's strategy space to allow them to randomize between pure strategies
- These are 'Mixed Strategies'
- They will be useful going forward....

#### Definition

Suppose  $\{(S_1, u_1), ..., (S_n, u_n)\}$  is an *n*-player normal-form game. A **mixed strategy** for player *i* is a probability distribution over elements of  $S_i$ , denoted by  $\sigma_i \in \Delta(S_i)$ . Strategies in  $S_i$  are called **pure strategies**.

### Remarks

- In most cases, we assume  $S_i$  is finite. Then  $\sigma_i : S_i \to [0, 1]$ s.t.  $\sum_{s_i \in S_i} \sigma_i(s_i) = 1$ .
- Where  $S_i$  is not countable there are some technical concerns about defining mixed strategies
  - Need to define an appropriate  $\sigma$ -algebra, etc
  - We will not worry about this

Extend  $u_i$  to  $\prod_{j=1}^n \Delta(S_j)$  by taking expected values. If  $S_i$  is finite:

$$u_i(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n) := \sum_{s_1 \in S_1} \ldots \sum_{s_n \in S_n} u_i(s_1,\ldots,s_n) \sigma_1(s_1) \sigma_2(s_2) \cdots \sigma_n(s_n).$$

#### Notation:

$$u_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{-i}) := \sum_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}} u_{i}(s_{i}, s_{-i}) \prod_{j \neq i} \sigma_{j}(s_{j})$$
$$u_{i}(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{-i}) := \sum_{s_{i} \in S_{i}} u_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{-i}) \sigma_{i}(s_{i})$$

• Note that we are implicitly assuming risk neutrality, or assuming that payoffs are in utility units

• Note that a game

$$\{(S_1, u_1), ..., (S_n, u_n)\}$$

in which we don't allow mixed strategies induces another game

$$\left\{\left(\Delta(S_1), u_1\right), ..., \left(\Delta(S_n), u_n\right)\right\}$$

when mixed strategies are allowed

- Do mixed strategies mean that all distributions over strategies are allowed?
- No, because we don't allow for correlation

• 
$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Delta(S_j) \neq \Delta\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} S_j\right) = \Delta(S)$$
  
• 
$$(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_{i-1}, \sigma_{i+1}, ..., \sigma_n) =: \sigma_{-i} \in \prod_{j \neq i} \Delta(S_j) \neq \Delta(S_{-i})$$

• Example?

### Summary

- Key things from today
  - Understand what a game is
  - Understand how to translate a story into a game
  - Understand what mixed strategies are
  - Understand how to translate a game in pure strategies into a game with mixed strategies