Mathematics For Economists

Mark Dean

Final 2010

Tuesday 7th December

Question 1 (10 Points) Let f be a continuously differentiable function on an interval I in \mathbb{R} . Show that f is concave if and only if

$$f(y) - f(x) \le f'(x)(y - x)$$

Show that this implies that , if f is a continuously differentiable function on a convex subset U of \mathbb{R}^n , then f is concave on U if and only if, for all $x, y \in U$

$$f(y) - f(x) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x)(y_i - x_i)$$

Question 2 (20 Points) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a concave and continuously differentiable function, and $g_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, j = 1, ..., m$, be convex and continuously differentiable functions. Consider the optimization problem (P):

$$\max \left(f \left(x \right) \right)$$

$$s.t.$$

$$g \left(x \right) \leq 0$$

Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $U = \mathbb{R}^n_-$ and $l: X \times U \to \mathbb{R}$ be the (Langrangian) function

$$l(x,\mu) = f(x) + \sum_{j} \mu_{j} g_{j}(x)$$

A saddle point for l is a vector $(x^*, \mu^*) \in X \times U$ that satisfies

$$l(x, \mu^*) \le l(x^*, \mu^*) \le l(x^*, \mu)$$

for all $(x, \mu) \in X \times U$.

- 1. Show that if (x^*, μ^*) is a saddle point for l then x^* is an optimal solution for (P).
- 2. Conversely, assume that (P) satisfies the Slater constraint qualification condition (SCQ); there exhausts \overline{x} such that $g(\overline{x}) < 0$. Show that if x^* solves (P) then there exists a μ^* such that (x^*, μ^*) is a saddle point for l.(hint, use the answer to question 1
- 3. Show directly that (x^*, μ^*) is a saddle point for l if and only if it satisfies the KKT conditions.
- Question 3 (40 Points) Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous utility function on an *n*-dimensional commodity space, and $B : \mathbb{R}_{++} \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the budget constraint defined by $B(p) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | px \leq I\}$ for some I
 - 1. Consider the problem of a consumer that has to spend at least k_i on each good *i*. Write down an expression for the choice set of the consumer as a function of *p*. Call this set $B^*(p)$. Define a set $P \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$ such that, for $p \in P$, $B^*(p)$ is non-empty.
 - 2. Let $v : P \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined as $v(p) = \max_{x \in B^*(p)} u(p)$ and $d : P \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as $d(p) = \arg \max_{x \in B^*(p)} u(p)$. Can we guarantee that v(p) and d(p) are well defined? What about if the consumer had to spend more that k_i on each good? In either case prove that the v and d are well defined, or give an example where they are not
 - 3. Assume once again that the consumer has to spend at least k_i on each good. Can we conclude that v(p) is continuous? what about d(p)? Again, either prove that they are, or give an example in which they are not
 - 4. Now say that the consumer only has to spend k_i on good i if the price of i is below some level \bar{p}_i (i.e. if the price of good i goes above \bar{p}_i , then there is no restriction on how much has to be spent on i). Can we guarantee that v is continuous?
 - 5. Now forget the additional condition in section 4 (i.e. return to the conditions in section 3). Let n = 2, and assume that $u(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{\beta}$. Can we conclude that the KKT conditions are necessary and sufficient for a local maximum? Will any local maximum also be a global maximum? Fix p such that $p_1 = p_2 = 1$ and I = 2. Find conditions on $\alpha, \beta k_1$ and k_2 such that $\arg \max_{x \in B^*(p)} u(p) = \arg \max_{x \in B(p)} u(p)$
- Question 4 (30 Points) An affine hull is defined as follows: For a set B in a linear space V, the affine hull of B is the smallest affine manifold that contains B.

1. Show that this means that

$$aff(B) = \cap \{ M \subset V | M \text{ is an affine manifold and } B \subset M \}$$

2. Show that this also means that

$$aff(B) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i x^i | k \in \mathbb{N}, x_1, ..., x_n \in B \text{ and } \lambda \in p_k \right\}$$

Hint - you can use the result that a set S is an affine manifold if and only if $\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in S$ for all $x, y \in S, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

3. Calculate the affine manifolds of the following sets in \mathbb{R}^3

(a)
$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

(b) $S = \left\{ x | x_1 = 1 \text{ and } (x_2 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \le 1 \right\}$
(c) $S = \left\{ x | x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \le 2 \right\}$

We define the concept of the relative interior of a set as follows: Let S a subset of a linear space V. A vector x ∈ S is called a relative interior point of S if, for any y ∈ aff(S) there exists a α_y > 0 such that

$$(1-\alpha)x + \alpha y \in S$$
 for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_y$

The set of all relative interior points of S is called the relative interior of S. We denote this ri(S)

- (a) Let S be a set in \mathbb{R}^n . Show that, if $x \in int(S)$ then $x \in ri(S)$
- (b) See if you can draw a picture of a set S that contains a point that is in $x \in ri(S)$ but is not in int(S)
- (c) Calculate the relative interiors and interiors for the sets in part
- 5. Prove the following: Let C be a convex set in some metric space M. Let $x_1 \in ri(C)$ and $x_2 \in cl(C)$. Then $[x_1, x_2) \subset ri(c)$