DYF Strategy

Donglin, Yining, Francis

Agenda

- 1. Strategies Introduction
 - a. Weighted Moving Average
 - b. MLP
 - i. Data Processing for MLP
 - ii. MLP Model Training and Evaluation
 - c. Combination
- 2. Performance Measurement

Weighted Moving Average (Week 1)

- Weight Assignment: We assigned increasing weights to the data, prioritizing recent market information. (e.g., Linear Function)
- Calculating Rate of Change (ROC): For each asset, we calculated the rate of change, which reflects the percentage change in price compared to the previous period. (Within a window of 20 days)
- Applying Weights to ROC: We then apply the assigned weights to these ROC values, highlighting the importance of recent changes.
- **Final WMA ROC Calculation:** Finally, We compute the Weighted Moving Average Rate of Change for each asset.

Data Processing for MLP (Week 3)

- **Data Segmentation**: We segmented the data into two groups equal and non-equal columns based on initial values
- Rate of Change Calculation: We calculate the rate of change for each asset to measure stock price momentum.
- Sliding Window: We used a sliding window technique for dataset preparation. We created the Input and the corresponding output dataset in a window of 30 days
- **Data Augmentation (Week 5):** Add Gaussian noise to the raw data to get a more robust representation.
- **Data Filtering (Week 7):** Using changepoint detection to filter out data under regime shifts.

MLP Model Training and Evaluation

- **Model Architecture:** Our MLP model consists of three layers with 28, 64, and 16 nodes respectively, and a final output layer with one neuron.
- **Training Process:** We use the Adam optimizer and Mean Squared Error loss function for training over 100 epochs.
- Evaluation: Post-training, the model is evaluated with the test dataset using standard regression metrics
- **Final Output:** The model predicts the rate of change in stock prices.

Final Combined Ranking

- Normalization: All Two metrics (ROC, MLP outputs) are normalized using the Z-score method, ensuring comparability across different scales.
- Weight Assignment: Priority on MLP based on their relative predictive power evaluation relative lower weights on WMA

Ranking Prediction & Decision Making

- **SoftMax:** Map the final score to 5-class classification logits.
- **Quantile Threshold for Candidates:** Rank all the assets according to final combined score, only keep the top k1 assets and last k2 assets. (k1, k2 decided by estimators).
- Weight Assignment: Normalize the selected asset score to get the weight for decision.

Performance Measurement - Weighted Moving Average

- Backtesting with rolling in sample windows
 - In-sample: 2018-01-01 to 2020-12-31
 - Validation: 2021-01-01 to 2022-12-31
 - Testing: 2023-01-01 onwards
 - Window size: 52; 1

• Robustness Check: what we learned

- Training: Cluster around 0
- Validation: Variability
- Testing: Stable in unseen market conditions

WMA vs Future Weekly Return

Slope of Regression Over Time





Performance Measurement - MLP

- Data Feeding Strategy
 - Data Training: 52 weeks data
 - Testing: previous 4 weeks data to predict next week's stock returns
- Comparison Time-Series Forecasting
 - ARIMA
 - Prophet
- Model Accuracy
 - Consistently low MSE indicates high predictive accuracy
 - Ability to learn complex non-linear patterns in the data
 - Strength in short-term forecasting

• Model Stability

- Relatively stable MSE across weeks suggests that the model has learned a consistent pattern.
- No significant spikes in error model is not overfitting to noise in the data.



Insights

- Regime Shifts
 - When WMA/Linear Model fail
 - Possible Solution: CPD/Transfer Learning/SSL/DA/DG

• Limited Data

- Unified Model: Heterogeneity (across section)
- Data Augmentation

• Model Selection

- Transformer: overfit
- MLP: agile
- Linear: explainable

• Source (Unfinished)

- News/Twitter => Event Modeling (Event-driven shift)
- Multimodality